

1

offer of D in court + potentially giving evidence.

2

Police - no objections

Probable case will run over until tomorrow.

6 wit. of fact

1 officer in case.

Tab 6 - 22 - Core statements.

3

at come into possession of new into a Facebook  
 of it bundles before Court, but should be  
 showing into suggesting were organised by other  
 people nothing to do w/ D.

4

interim ASBO made - case has been well won

5

This evidence shows that ~~the~~ Rave on 06/06/  
 was nothing to do w/ D.

MRS Cordell (mother has carried out her own  
 investigation be she was not happy w/ results  
 of instructing SOLs).

A large bundle to get through.

If material can be viewed later + PROS (Met) then  
~~we will~~ decide on admissibility of the evidence

trial. not acceptable.

Det Polio

Chrystian  
Thyan

1st State

He's made app for ASBO.

Inspector Hamill to lead..

vit I - Insp Hamill - R.O - 11:15am. ETC  
Statement contained in Tab 9 - lead.

Det XEX

Intell wld be by open source checked by an officer but  
~~to~~ was not done by me.

The Race was taking place indoors. I have not personally  
spoken to owners of venue. My saw D on the  
evening of the 7th (Saturday)

I did not go inside - gates were closed - I did not  
see any vehicles.

D's Van Reg. IS known to the police but I would not  
personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice white  
D's van was there.

was not aware of people squadding in that building  
at that time.

Knowledge of officer's confirming D @ venue but have  
not present here today.

There was a Race on an adjacent rd but not on that  
day.

it I

two calls received were not relating to Crown Rd zone, or that day.

in the day in question phone calls rec'd related to this particular zone.

JFO.

let Pol: 6 ~~Re~~ Re-xe

my understanding is that door staff @ gate busied to m D - the event organiser.

referring to pg 184 - 7140 re: collar reporting incident

it II

no SM opp raised previously.

R7

VO.

it II - PC Miles - R.O - 11:54 a.m - EIC

it X-Ex

Henceed venue on 7th alone - ~~did~~ did not look @ Jell before attending. did not speak to owners. so not know who Frank Benjamin.

it III - PC Skinner - Bundle tabs: 12 & 13 - read stat 1 (tab 13)

in 7th duty officer & worked through estate & saw x van but did not recognise van. He saw D however who admitted he was the organiser of that race.

I had to call for Bette intervention to call to my house.  
I answered D's people dispersed + then I was later  
D was released. Rape did not take place.  
No doubt Rape would have continued had he not arrested D.

### Def X ex.

19th July event @ copyright company - building was  
empty. Saw spears + other heavy loading equipment  
in door.

Details of van ~~not~~ taken but no ~~the~~ D.

Carper night was padlocked w/ metal barrier.

Other car parks had a 7 entrance.

I was Senior Officer attending venue. Later in  
I was instructed Sergeant to contact the owners.

F. later saw D getting out of the van. I can remember  
that I may have updated others in relation to  
~~the~~ D coming out of van. But I may or may not  
have updated system.

On 21st July D made admissions to me. <sup>NOT aware of</sup> ~~The house~~  
Squatter on that Indust. estate.

### Met Rex.

Refers to statement on page 76.

Unit TV PC - Edgecase - R. O 12.14 pm 'EIC - Read

Stat. Tab 21.

Incident on 24th July: I was in the vehicle that saw  
D's vehicle. NO threat to break D's window or anything  
about drug's issues.

C  
J17 V1 - PC King - Affirmation - 12.28 pm ETC.

Tab 15/16)

Stat. Pag. 41

Witness has only met D once before and D has always been polite and never had any problem w/D.  
D was very eloquent & clearly knows the law.

J17 VI - PC ANES - Acting Sargeant - R.O - 12.46 pm - ETC.

XET XEX

event was outdoors. Saw some equip. witness speaks poss. approx. size of witness box but can't remember much & he was distracted by people.

NFGS.

J17 VII - PC ELSMERE R.O - 14.10 ETC

(Tab 6 - pg 41)

DET XEX

g15

counsel argues that PNC into on statement adds no corroborative value & into re: witness being "afraid of D" which he puts down to the way he was asked but he meant that people actually are afraid of process of giving evidence in court.

Cont. Def

Council argues that Officer's statement is ~~not~~ designed to ~~give~~ an cause an emotive reaction & is of no value & speculative in nature.

of

How many calls from public did ~~he~~ receive?

WIT/WT

In excess of 15 calls - may relate to some of venues + not others.

Does not know nr calls in relation to each of these occasions.

On Pg 15 - allegations re: Millmarsh Lane. Evidence from Officer not 1st hand - relied on CAD & other intell.

Query re: "3 massive nitrous oxide tanks"

of

Where did you get such info Officer?

WIT/WT

From Pg 65 - Sergeant King - circumstantial reports, other intell but not from people at the venue.

Council

Officer signed a statement of truth ≠ to other witness statements.

of

We all know that on ASBOS apps hearsay is allow.

founder

Ward Officer not ~~asked~~ rely on Pc King's statement. other than on crime report.

Officer not involved ~~to~~ in taking it to from Pc King.

officer he didn't.

did not notice the discrepancy in statements.

are head of every decided matters - they were advertising and I believe he knows a member of above company.

NO evidence D is involved in running their operations.

NO attempt has been made to speak to Directors of Co. NO reason why you didn't contact the company.

I think from memory have met D only @ Emory's police station.

At Pg. 16 1st para - not consistent w/ fact that he met him only 016.

the report

All notes w/ YER number were lifted from reports not officer's own words - some applies from CAD but had no ~~input~~ <sup>input</sup>.

Has not made ~~attempt~~ to contact owners of premises.

Officer unable to assist Court in relation to why statements were not signed on note books provide.

Another example of things put in statement to block

in statement @ Point 12

NO convictions from class A Drugs unlike what's written in statement - another example of cut & past.

DJ

I'll ignore bc no convs of class A or Supply.

Q: Counsel

You cannot assist Court w/ reliability of info contained, are you?

Can intell be highly inaccurate? NO?

Q: Mr

On that particular report, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Purcell, he is from a 7 borough. I believe he was not included in the e-mail. - bc initial blanket e-mail sent to LDE only.

Searched system for info on Corbett's convs.

Turning on to statement on pg 30a.

Q: Mr

Does Point v. Unit has more info that it is let on?

Officer: NO.

Are you aware that US Corbett has spoken to other

Officers re: love?

Suggest that you do not want DS Tanner to examine on this proceedings bc she has more info & knowledge of Raes + their not being connected  
V/D.



int.

R V Cordell

-6-

You have no record - per e-mailed her but  
~~the~~ then spoke. e-mail ~~may~~ have been deleted  
no copies kept or printed.

let Polio

EX. of wit VII

~~at 11/08/14~~ Done through submission.

Nothing in the contents of this ~~interview~~ report is  
inaccurate to my knowledge.

↓

⊕

Hopefully the 2 witnesses on behalf of D should  
be able to give evidence tomorrow.

at VIII \* (MS Cordell - Aff: - 16.05pm - EIC)

⇒ (her son) lives separately from me but I've been  
trying to help him sort out inaccuracies with both  
N: PNC + other police matters.

Police is still popping around to his home - Simon  
tells me + also. I physically get to his flat before  
police have left. He's been harassed by police.

⊕

at 6 not relevant - on witness statement - kept  
out of a line through that.

⊕

alleged ~~statements~~ w/ PNC that was included by  
... in an attempt to challenge. This PNC is

2/8

Ms Cordell

Net XEX

Bottom of pg 8 - leaving party for Dwayne Edwards  
I got there @ 7:30 pm and left about 9:30 pm

6<sup>th</sup> - 8<sup>th</sup> June - ~~was~~ I was also around during  
the day on Saturday & Sunday as well.

I was at my work for an 1/2 on Saturday &  
1 hr on Sunday during day. I agree I did not  
include it in my statement.

On Sunday it was around midday.  
I was not w/ D from about 2 am on Sunday, no  
I was not  
nor at 2 am on Saturday either.

~~was~~  
on 7<sup>th</sup> June pg 8 (bottom) I did see me for + so  
and all my family that were @ party.

At pg 14

Police did not have 107 book"  
2 + 3<sup>rd</sup> Pages.

Accept that was told to me by DS Chapman.  
DS Tanner called me on 11 or 12. I believe they have  
a lot more information - I am aware of full bullet  
and ~~that~~ alleged involvement but not Dates.

I do believe that must have a vendetta against my  
whole family including Trone - Harassment: pull

R Cordell's cont.

I am saying that there may be some truth but allegations of my son arranging rapes is ludicrous.

~~My son's own statements that he had been stabbed and was in hospital~~

20<sup>th</sup> June - can't give evidence as to D's whereabouts but believe he had been arrested on 19<sup>th</sup> June.

at 7<sup>th</sup> July - not w/ him - did not give detailed route in statement bc and not think it relevant.

Problems w/ service of docs from Police & would not take bundle bc frightened ~~of~~ police. He panics & things he even ~~at~~ time he's stopped.

I have got memory & write down all encounters w/ police although not in the bundle.

Re + XEX

I accept involvement of police - their interaction w/ my son / family.

You said that police have lot of info ~~to~~ & you said "I accept involvement but not Bone's"

I met involvement w/ police & lot of data particularly w/ Simon. but ~~not~~ in regards to rape - issues ~~do~~ Rapes.

I must accept that he's involved in organisations