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Dear Sir.

**Re:- The appeal of your client Mr Simon Cordell**

**1/** This case (Simon Cordell) is now reserved to His Honour Judge Pawlak and a mention will take place on 4th April 2016; the appeal has now been fixed for 3 days commencing 26/9/2016.

**2/** The purpose of the mention among other things, is to ensure that the issues, factual and legal have been adequately identified, in particular the court will wish to be told.

1. What involvement in each event (rave) relied on by the Respondent, the Appellant admits to having had.
2. Whether the Appellant contends that the involvement he admits, was in fact within the law if so why.
3. Whether the Appellant agrees that any of the raves did or could have caused distress to local residents by way of noise or the movement of persons participating in the raves.
4. Whether the Appellant agrees that a premises licence was required for each rave.
5. Whether the Appellant concedes that for any of the raves in which he was involved, whether by helping to arrange or by providing sound equipment he believed the event to be a licensed event and therefore was an innocent supplier of equipment, and, if so for which rave or raves in particular.

**3/**  If the Appellant wishes to rely on any medical evidence as to his mental health, then any report dealing with such matters must be before the court on the 4th of April 2016.

**4/** The Court will not and does not accede to any application for the Appellants Solicitors to come off the record or to cease acting for the Appellant. Such an application was dismissed by His Honour Judge Morrison on the 19th of February 2016. If any attempt is made to repeat this application the Court will require it to be made in person by the Senior Partner of Michael Carroll & Co .
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**5/** The Respondent is to serve by the 41" April 2016 a hearsay notice identifying by reference to pages of Bundle R what hearsay it wishes to rely on and why it should be admitted in evidence

Yours Sincerely
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HHJ W. Pawlak

Cc C.P.S.
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