

WITNESS STATEMENT


CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Statement of **Steve ELSMORE**..... URN:

--	--	--	--

Age if under 18 **Over 18**..... (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: **Police Officer**

This statement (consisting of: **5**..... pages each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated anything in it which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: Date: **24/9/16**Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐ (supply witness details on rear)

I make this statement in relation to Simon CORDELL and his Anti Social Behaviour Order appeal. During this statement I will attempt to address matters in relation to CAD.


I think it is important to note that I am not CAD trained and therefore have very limited knowledge on the system itself and I have had to seek advice in relation to certain points.

The callers name and number has been redacted from the CAD reports, as I believe this to be normal practice, they are calls from members of the public and residents of the borough who have called to make a complaint with regards to a rave/noise and disruption. It would not be ethical in my opinion to share these details with Mr Simon CORDELL. -One CAD within the bundle is from PC SHINNICK, this is CAD 1047 07JUN14, which is transparent within the evidence bundle.

Where the attendance location is given, I would imagine that the Grid reference is identical for each CAD due to the fact that when the CAD operator types in Progress Way, this is the grid reference that is brought up on the CAD system. This is obviously providing that the callers know that the noise is coming from this location.

I am asked why some of the CAD reports have an earlier time but a later CAD number. For example;

CAD 3005 7JUN14 is entered at 0922 and CAD 3037 7JUN14 is entered at 0920. On first looking at these CADs it would appear that they are incorrect. The CADS should run in order and CAD 3005 should clearly have been entered first, but there is an explanation for this.

Signature: 

Signature witnessed by:

Continuation of Statement of **Steve ELSMORE**

On page 3 of CAD 3037 it states created in CHS at 0920 and CAD 0933

On page 2 of CAD 3005 it states created in CHS at 0922 and CAD 0927.

I am advised that when a call is made it goes through to the Call Handling System. They take details and then create a CAD if required. Only once the CAD itself is created will it be given a CAD reference. As you will see above although CAD 3037 called first at 0920, the CAD itself wasn't actually created until 0933, therefore giving it a higher CAD number than CAD 3005 which was created at 0927. I am told reasons for slight delays in creating CADs could be a slow operator, a language barrier, the caller rambling, a chatty caller and many more.

When a call is made to Police it can come one of four ways; Alarms (A) this would be an alarm activation, Emergency (E) this would be an emergency call, Radio (R) this would be via radio, Ordinary (O) this would be an ordinary call/non emergency.

I have checked the Grid References on the below; As you will see the calls from members of the public/residents are within very close proximity to Progress Way. I have provided a map of the area to assist


CAD 1012 - 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to the Ayley Croft, which is the other side of the A10 directly opposite Progress Way.

CAD 1323 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodstock Crescent, which is directly behind Progress Way.

CAD 1722 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Hazelwood Road, although the caller gives their location as Orchard Terrace. Both are within close proximity to Progress Way.

CAD 1816 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Avenue, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

CAD 2141 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Hardy Way, which is a fair distance away from Progress Way. Although in the body of the CAD at 05:57 there is an entry Caller is in Woodgrange Way. This will be ident to Progress Way.

Signature: 

Signature witnessed by:

Continuation of Statement of **Steve ELSMORE**

CAD 2255 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Leighton Road, which is behind the Ayley Croft, which is on the other side to the A10, almost opposite Progress Way.

CAD 2271 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Gardens, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

CAD 10471 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodstock Crescent, which is directly behind Progress Way.

CAD 3037 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Avenue, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

CAD 1608 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Gardens, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

CAD 2601 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Ayley Croft, which is the other side of the A10 directly opposite Progress Way.

CAD 2637 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Gardens, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

CAD 2672 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Progress Way.

CAD 2854 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Gardens, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

CAD 3005 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Mayfield Crescent, which is directly behind Progress Way.

CAD 3252 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Hazelwood Road, although the caller gives their location as Orchard Terrace. Both are within close proximity to Progress Way.

CAD 3986 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Gardens, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

Signature: 

Signature witnessed by:

Continuation of Statement of **Steve ELSMORE**

CAD 4323 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodstock Crescent, which is directly behind Progress Way.

CAD 5206 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodstock Crescent, which is directly behind Progress Way. (Att Loc and Inc Loc has been redacted as this was inputted as the callers home address. Although it is clear in the body of the CAD what this matter relates to and where it is located).

CAD 8841 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Gardens, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

CAD 10393 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Great Cambridge Road, quite some distance away from Progress Way. Although it is clear from the body of the CAD that the male had been to a rave on Progress Way.

CAD 10481 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodstock Crescent, which is directly behind Progress Way. (Att Loc and Inc Loc have been redacted as this was inputted as the caller's home address. Although it is clear in the body of the CAD what this matter relates to and where it is located).


CAD 10506 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Gardens, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way. (Att Loc and Inc Loc have been redacted as this was inputted as the caller's home address. Although it is clear in the body of the CAD what this matter relates to).

CAD 10741 7JUN14 The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Avenue, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

CAD 10967 7JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Ayley Croft, which is the other side of the A10 directly opposite Progress Way.

CAD 47 8JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Gardens, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

CAD 340 8JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Hazelwood Road, although the caller gives their location as Orchard Terrace. Both are within close proximity to Progress Way

Signature:  Signature witnessed by:

Continuation of Statement of **Steve ELSMORE**

CAD 625 8JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Mayfield Crescent, which is directly behind Progress Way.

CAD 793 8JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Woodgrange Gardens, which is directly behind/side of Progress Way.

CAD 2410 8JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Southbury Road, which is further along the A10 from Progress Way.

CAD 3151 8JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Anglesey Road (off Southbury Road).

CAD 3319 8JUN14. The caller location using the Grid Reference comes back to Broadlands Avenue (further on from Anglesey Road, travelling away from Progress Way).

I cannot see that any other Raves were happening on the Borough at the same time and nothing was brought to my attention at the time. Therefore I believe the calls off of Southbury Road/Crown Road, relate to the Progress Way incident. They are not far distance wise from each other.

Signature:



Signature witnessed by:

