

**Police Case the Start of Bianca Road!” Gazebo”**

“Intro; Home Page Chapter Number Are: “0,”

	<b>2013</b>
	<b>May</b>
<b>01/05/2013</b>	
<b>02/05/2013</b>	
<b>03/05/2013</b>	
<b>04/05/2013</b>	
<b>04/05/2013</b>	<b><u>1</u></b> <b><u>Police Case</u></b> The Start of Bianca Road!” Gazebo”

1

**The start of the Bianca Road Case for the Gazebo: --**

A Gary cloud was hovering slowly over my head: -

Another event took place with members of the Metropolitan police and this was on the date of;  
**04/05/2013**

On this day a private party took place on Bianca Road South London and now day of the so-called Gazebo case got referred to by the police as a burglary case.

The weather on the day was: --

**The Bianca Road Case for the Gazebo  
The Day & Night of the Birthday Party**

As it was a nice day in the beginning of May; it got arranged in advance, to the date mentioned, for a private get together to take place. It got sorted out amongst people, so in order that we support our friend and this was in a satisfactory and plausible reason, it was her Birthday, so the party got agreed to get started and with this all explained so to be able to show that the day got set with good intentions. Without doubt, I met this friend while attending a few parties in the past, to this date; from the moment I met her, she is always there for any other person's, she could help. In myself trying to explain her personality, I would gladly, say: — she has a heart of gold. On her birthday, I agreed to accept to help her, because I wanted to get involved in her birthday party.

She asked me if I could help her may be supply or all of the sound equipment on the day, so I said yes to her request. The party took place, this was in an outdoor location and the police attended and asked for the party location to get moved on, so for the party to be in a new location. Agreements got made for this to happen and it proceeded to get moved as agreed. To sum up the incident about my friend, whose birthday parties had got arranged, at the same time in life she was living under adverse possession, this got done by her being in compliance; with the United Kingdom's, Treaties and Acts of law she was living in the statue to her legal rights and because of these reasons given the party then got finally moved on to where she was living at the Bianca road; originally. The ladies' birthday that got too happened, did not live alone at the time and as a consequence to this information; she was part of an occupation and for this reason, she had to communicate to an agreement, with her housemates, this got done and it got agreed, so for her party to continue to take place in her home, otherwise, the party could not have gone ahead, due to every person(s) consideration, of their belongings, the simple reason being so for them all to get kept safe. The party went ahead with no Problems and just the usual occasional pop up by the police presence after their request to get moved on from the outside open to air location, so at the end of the party and after having as much of a good time as I could with all my friends I then left and went back to my home.

**Back to the Future: --**

In around the same term of time that would equal towards “five past months,” I was at my home address, when I noticed an unexpected knock on my home front door. On answering the door, I was to find out, that it was the police and I felt uncomfortable, I remember thinking this was another repeat of the police harassing me. I got arrested for suspicion of burglary and handling some stolen goods. The police came to my home and taken my own garden gazebo that got assembled by me about a year prior, they took it from my own back garden there was not much I could do, I was later to find out they believed it possible, to have got stolen somehow, someway, I later I found out, from the occupied building for my friend birthday got held at Bianca Road. Subsequently, after a year on bail I suffered because!

**Si Note:**

1

A curfew

The case did get finally discarded; the reason being is that I could prove that I was innocent.

**Police Case the Start of Bianca Road!”**

Gazebo Case

**06/05/2013**

**07/05/2013**

<b>08/05/2013</b>	
<b>09/05/2013</b>	
<b>10/05/2013</b>	
<b>11/05/2013</b>	
<b>12/05/2013</b>	
<b>13/05/2013</b>	
<b>14/05/2013</b>	
<b>15/05/2013</b>	
<b>16/05/2013</b>	
<b>17/05/2013</b>	
<b>18/05/2013</b>	
<b>19/05/2013</b>	
<b>20/05/2013</b>	
<b>21/05/2013</b>	
<b>22/05/2013</b>	
<b>23/05/2013</b>	
<b>24/05/2013</b>	
<b>25/05/2013</b>	
<b>26/05/2013</b>	
<b>27/05/2013</b>	
<b>28/05/2013</b>	
<b>29/05/2013</b>	
<b>30/05/2013</b>	
<b>31/05/2013</b>	
	<b>June</b>
<b>01/06/2013</b>	
<b>02/06/2013</b>	
<b>03/06/2013</b>	
<b>04/06/2013</b>	
<b>05/06/2013</b>	
<b>06/06/2013</b>	
<b>07/06/2013</b>	
<b>08/06/2013</b>	
<b>09/06/2013</b>	
<b>10/06/2013</b>	
<b>11/06/2013</b>	
<b>12/06/2013</b>	
<b>13/06/2013</b>	
<b>14/06/2013</b>	
<b>15/06/2013</b>	
<b>16/06/2013</b>	
<b>17/06/2013</b>	
<b>18/06/2013</b>	
<b>19/06/2013</b>	
<b>20/06/2013</b>	
<b>21/06/2013</b>	
<b>22/06/2013</b>	
<b>23/06/2013</b>	
<b>24/06/2013</b>	

25/06/2013

**Arrested and Wrongful imprisonment! "Police Station"**

Gazebo Case

1

**Wrongful imprisonment!**

The Gazebo Case: --

2

**The Doctor's Folder / pub Book Issue: 2!**

**Stage 2**

**Folder: 0**

Met Police

RISK ASSESSMENT (CASCADEINFO)

MERLIN Ref:

Page Numbers: 25,26,27,28,29,30

"X 9 Different Incidents on Met Com Noted 12/12/2017"

25/06/2013 / CRIS 3018184/13 - Subject with others was Charged with attempted robbery.

3

**The Doctor's Folder / pub Book Issue: 2!**

**Stage 2**

**Folder: 0**

Met Police

RISK ASSESSMENT (CASCADEINFO)

MERLIN Ref:

18PAC087493

Page Numbers: 46,47,48,49,50

Adult Research Conducted - Deborah Batchelor Enfield PPD –

13/04/2018 / Subject - Simon CORDELL, [REDACTED] Address 109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield EN3 7JQ /

CRIS 3018184/13 - Subject with others was Charged with attempted robbery.?

4

**The Gazebo Case: --**

Arrest: 25/06/2013

Arrest/Summons Ref: 13/0000/00/625125U.

Name Charged: CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

Date of Birth:

Fingerprint Status: CONFIRMED 01FP 14/07/13.

DNA Status: NOT TAKEN.

Process Stage: ARRESTED ON

25/06/2013

07:20.

Arresting Officer: 01 MS

25/06/2013

Report Owner: MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

Prosecuting Agent: 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

Last Updated: CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE) 14/07/13.

Remand to Dates: --

- 1) 25/06/2013: "Police Station"
- 2) 26/06/2013: "Court & the World of Scrubs"
- 3) 27/06/2013: "World of Scrubs"
- 4) 28/06/2013: "Judge & Chambers "Granted Bail"
- 5) 10/07/2013: "Bail Conditions"
- 6) 16/07/2013: "Bail Conditions"
- 7) 16/08/2013: "Bail Conditions"
- 8) 09/09/2013: "Bail Conditions"
- 9) 19/11/2013: "Bail Conditions"
- 10) 20/11/2013: "Bail Conditions"

11) 23/12/2013: "Bail Conditions"

12) 08/04/2014: "Bail Conditions"

13) 01/07/2014: "I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions"

A. **Video of Interview:** "Yes"; Link

B. **Correspondents & Case Files;** "Yes" Link

C. **Bail Conditions:** --

D. **Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.

E. **Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.

F. **Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.

G. **Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.

H. **Condition 5: OTHER:** \*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF

I. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\*

J. **Condition 6:** \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO POLICE\*\*\*\*\*

➤ **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.

➤ **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.

➤ **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.

➤ **At:** WOOLWICH CROWN.

➤ **Owner:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

# 1

## **Wrongful imprisonment the Gazebo Case: --**

At about this point in time, I would say; that it must have slowly been coming up to the end, of the first eight years of my life, while living at Burncroft Avenue. These last few past chapters are to describe the first few years while I have lived in Burncroft Avenue. It must be about that time, for me to go into some 2nd phase of my lifetime's chapters, containing more of the finer exclusive details, of the truth. I got arrested on the:

**25/06/2013** and remanded to prison till the **28/06/2013**

This was the start of everything going wrong for me and I got locked up for a back-garden gazebo court case, from the date prior off Bianca Road at the private birthday party that I helped with, what got dated the **04/05/2013** as explained about in **chapter 1232323**.

A few more months later after the **04/05/2013** I got placed on police stringent bail conditions, which meant, I could not leave my home, this persisted to continue to happen, because on the **25/06/2013** the police came to my home address, and they arrested me for improper allegations, related to a suspected criminal offence of burglary, to which I knew I did not commit.

Unfairly, the police charged me, legally how, I do not know and as a continuation off this allegation I soon after got remanded to go to prison, as a Summary to the reasons of why this happened to me, an explanation later got then given and was; due to incorrect police intelligence that is now contained in a copy of my criminal record. I later on found out for it to be in error under the data protection act 1998 and therefore wrong information that got contained on the police national Computer system, about myself "PNC." This is easy for me to prove that I am correct, and this is still getting addressed to date, of me writing this. Gonging to prison on remand, meant to me that things just could not get any worse, I got taken to London's Centerville prison, at Her Majesties Pleasure and I still had done nothing wrong, then I got placed in the prison on fours and to any one that does not know what I mean by the fours, you will have to contemplate on the correct answer yourselves, I got kept in there for two whole nights', for me to later get released by the Judge and chambers, on bail conditions. The bail conditions got imposed against me, so, I could get granted bail from after being released from prison by the Judge, were because: --

- 1) The amount of the surety in the sum of one thousand pounds and this must get provided from An Ms and this is to get surrendered to the nearest Police Station, — prior to Mr S Cordell is being release from Custody.
- 2) Home Residence, to be @ Burncroft Road, Enfield, EN3 7JQ.
- 3) Not to enter the London Borough of Southwark.
- 4) Surrender my Passport to the nearest Police Station.
- 5) Report daily to Edmonton Police between the hours of 1400 – 1600. 6. Curfew 8pm — 6am this is to be a (doorstep condition — I the Defendant should show myself to any officer upon their requests.)

Gonging to prison on remand, meant to me that things just could not get any worse, I got taken to London's Pentonville prison, at Her Majesties Pleasure and I still had done nothing wrong, then I got placed in the prison on fours and to any one that does not know what I mean by the fours, you will have to contemplate on the correct answer yourselves, I got kept in there for two whole nights', for me to later get released by the Judge and chambers, on bail conditions.

**26/06/2013**

### **"Court & the World of Scrubs"**

Gazebo Case - Remanded to Prison in Error!

#### 1

### **The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

20 JOSEPHINE Ward \_ Fwd. \_Simon Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 69

Walworth Police Station- Anthony Clarke Gazebo Case!

#### 2

### **The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

203. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Fwd. \_Simon Cordell\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 70

Walworth Police Station- Anthony Clarke Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

20 JOSEPHINE Ward \_ Fwd. \_Simon Cordell

/ Page Numbers: 69

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 26 June 2013 18:22

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Fwd.: Simon Cordell

**Attachments:** [PST NOTES.pdf](#)

**Forwarded**

**message from:**

"Anthony Clarke" <[anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)>

**Date:** Jun 26, 2013 5:35 PM

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

**To:** "[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)" <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Josie

➤ My police station notes from Walworth Police Station on 25/06/2013

Anthony

This Firm is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

**Michael Carroll & Co**

798 High Road

Tottenham

N17 0DH

**Telephone:** 020 8365 9900

**Fax:** 020 8365 0500

**DX:** 36206 Edmonton One

Principal Solicitor: Michael Carroll

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

203. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Fwd. \_Simon Cordell\_ (1)

/ Page Numbers: 70

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 26 June 2013 20:34

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Fwd.: Simon Cordell

**Attachments:** [PNC.pdf](#)

**Forwarded message from:**

"Anthony Clarke" [anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Date:** Jun 26, 2013 5:16 PM

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

**To:** "[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)" <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Josie

➤ Previous convictions as requested.

Anthony

This Firm is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

**Michael Carroll & Co**

798 High Road

Tottenham

N17 0DH

**Telephone:** 020 8365 9900

**Fax:** 020 8365 0500

**DX:** 36206 Edmonton One

Principal Solicitor: Michael Carroll

2

**App for a variation in bail "Refused!"**

**"Refused!"**

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP 14/07/13.

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/2013**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE) 14/07/13.

**Remand to Dates: --**

- 1) **25/06/2013:** "Police Station"
  - 2) **26/06/2013:** "[Court & the World of Scrubs](#)"
  - 3) **27/06/2013:** "World of Scrubs"
  - 4) **28/06/2013:** "Judge & Chambers "Granted Bail"
  - 5) **10/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 6) **16/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 7) **16/08/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 8) **09/09/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 9) **19/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 10) **20/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 11) **23/12/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 12) **08/04/2014:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 13) **01/07/2014:** "I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions"
    - A. **Video of Interview:** "Yes"; Link
    - B. **Correspondents & Case Files;** "Yes" Link
    - C. **Bail Conditions:** --
    - D. **Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.
    - E. **Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.
    - F. **Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.
    - G. **Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.
    - H. **Condition 5: OTHER:** \*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF
    - I. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\*
    - J. **Condition 6:** \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO POLICE\*\*\*\*\*
- **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.
- **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.
- **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.
- **At:** **WOOLWICH CROWN.**
- **Owner:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)



27/06/2013

**“World of Scrubs”**

Gazebo Case

**1**

**The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

21 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell (6) /

**Page Numbers:** 71

Walworth Police Station- Anthony Clarke Gazebo Case!

**2**

**The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

22 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (75) /

**Page Numbers:** 72

Walworth Police Station- Anthony Clarke Gazebo Case!

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

24 JOSEPHINE Ward\_ Regina v /

**Page Numbers:** 76

Walworth Police Station- Anthony Clarke Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

21 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell (6)

/ Page Numbers: 71

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 26 June 2013 22:25

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Cc:** '[anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

**Attachments:** [REASONS FOR THIS APPLICATION.doc](#)

➤ [Simon's bail app](#)

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 26 June 2013 18:22

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Fwd.:** Simon Cordell

**Forwarded**

**message from:**

"Anthony Clarke" <[anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)>

**Date:** Jun 26, 2013 5:35 PM

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

**To:** "[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)" <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Josie

➤ My police station notes from Walworth Police Station on **25/06/2013**

Anthony

This Firm is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

**Michael Carroll & Co**

798 High Road

Tottenham

N17 0DH

**Telephone:** 020 8365 9900

**Fax:** 020 8365 0500

**DX:** 36206 Edmonton One

Principal Solicitor: Michael Carroll

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

22 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (75)

/ Page Numbers: 72

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 27 June 2013 00:17

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

**Attachments:** [Too Smooth Pre-Catalogue 2013.pdf](#)

➤ [Here is the catalogue](#)

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 26 June 2013 20:34

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Fwd.:** Simon Cordell

**Forwarded message from:**

"Anthony Clarke" <[anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)>

**Date:** Jun 26, 2013 5:16 PM

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

**To:** "[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)" <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Josie

➤ Previous convictions as requested.

Anthony

This Firm is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

**Michael Carroll & Co**

798 High Road

Tottenham

N17 0DH

**Telephone:** 020 8365 9900

**Fax:** 020 8365 0500

**DX:** 36206 Edmonton One

Principal Solicitor: Michael Carroll

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

24 JOSEPHINE Ward\_ Regina v

/ **Page Numbers:** 76

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 27 June 2013 09:35

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Fwd.:** Regina v. Simon Cordell application for Judge and Chambers bail application on 28th June 2013 at Southwark Crown Court.

**Attachments:** [photo.png](#);

[viewer\\_014.png](#);

[viewer.png](#);

[viewer\\_002.png](#);

[viewer\\_003.png](#);

[viewer\\_004.png](#);

[viewer\\_005.png](#);

[viewer\\_006.png](#);

[viewer\\_007.png](#);

[viewer\\_008.png](#);

[viewer\\_009.png](#);

[viewer\\_010.png](#);

[viewer\\_011.png](#);

[viewer\\_012.png](#);

[viewer\\_013.png](#);

[PNC.pdf](#);

[REASONS FOR THIS APPLICATION.doc](#);

[medical letters in support of bail application.pdf](#)

Morning Lorraine

➤ Please accept this email as confirmation that I have requested that Simon's bail application be listed tomorrow morning for bail.

➤ He will not be produced but I may have to require you to attend with the Post Office statement and also your passport and a utility bill with your address on it. I will confirm if you are required this afternoon.

Regards

Josephine

**Forwarded message from:**

JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

**Date:** **Thu, Jun 27, 2013** at 9:32 AM

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell application for Judge and Chambers bail application on 28th June

2013 at Southwark Crown Court.

**To:** [listing@southwark.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@southwark.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk)

**Cc:** [Innerlondon&Southwarkcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:Innerlondon&Southwarkcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

Dear Sir or Madam

➤ We act on behalf of our above-named client and request that this matter be listed for a bail application at Southwark Crown Court on 28th June 2013 at 10am.

**We attach the following:**

1) Notice and grounds

2) Medical letters confirming the medical ailments of Ms Lorraine Cordell and Mrs Catherine Cordell

3) Too Smooth catalogue corroborating that our client is attempting to set up his own business

➤ Please confirm the listing at you earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**Si Note:**

I got arrested on the **25/06/2013** and remanded to prison till the **28/06/2013**

**2**

**App for a variation in bail "Refused!"**

**"Refused!"**

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP 14/07/13.

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/2013**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE) 14/07/13.

**Remand to Dates: --**

**14) 25/06/2013:** "Police Station"

**15) 26/06/2013:** "Court & the World of Scrubs"

**16) 27/06/2013:** "[World of Scrubs](#)"

**17) 28/06/2013:** "Judge & Chambers "Granted Bail"

**18) 10/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

**19) 16/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

**20) 16/08/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

**21) 09/09/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

**22) 19/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

**23) 20/11/2013:** "[Bail Conditions](#)"

**24) 23/12/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

**25) 08/04/2014:** "Bail Conditions"

**26) 01/07/2014:** "I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions"

**K. Video of Interview:** "Yes"; Link

**L. Correspondents & Case Files;** "Yes" Link

**M. Bail Conditions: --**

**N. Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.

**O. Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.

**P. Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.

**Q. Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.

**R. Condition 5: OTHER: \*\*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF**

**S. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\***

**T. Condition 6: \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO POLICE\*\*\*\*\***

➤ **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.

➤ **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.

- **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.
- **At:** **WOOLWICH CROWN.**
- **Owner:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

28/06/2013

1

**“Judge & Chambers”**

Gazebo Case “Granted Bail”

2

**Remanded to prison**

and then released!

3

**The Facts**

Curfew!

2

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

3

**The Facts**

1

**“Judge & Chambers”**

Gazebo Case “Granted Bail”

2

**Remanded to prison**

and then released!

3

**The Facts**

Curfew!

2

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP

**14/07/2013**

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/2013**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE)

**14/07/2013**

**Remand to Dates: --**

- 1) **25/06/2013:** “Police Station”
- 2) **26/06/2013:** “Court & the World of Scrubs”
- 3) **27/06/2013:** “World of Scrubs”
- 4) **28/06/2013:** **“Judge & Chambers “Granted Bail”**
- 5) **10/07/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 6) **16/07/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 7) **16/08/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 8) **09/09/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 9) **19/11/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 10) **20/11/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 11) **23/12/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 12) **08/04/2014:** “Bail Conditions”
- 13) **01/07/2014:** “I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions”

**A. Video of Interview:** “Yes”; Link

**B. Correspondents & Case Files;** “Yes” Link

**C. Bail Conditions: --**

**D. Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.

**E. Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.

**F. Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.

**G. Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.

**H. Condition 5: OTHER:** \*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF

**I. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\***

**J. Condition 6:** \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO POLICE\*\*\*\*\*

- **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.
  - **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.
  - **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.
  - **At:** WOOLWICH CROWN.
  - **Owner:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)
- -
- When I got arrested it made me wrongly go to prison on the **25/06/2013**
  - and remanded to prison till the **28/06/2013**
  - On the **28/06/2013** two whole day's latter My mother, and I desired to appeal the decision that got made, because it got granted in error, by the district judge himself, whom at the crown court had remanded myself to prison and did so wrong, which meant that I got released and granted bail but under six different bail conditions.
    - 1) Surety £1000 from Ms, this is (To be surrendered to the nearest Police Station)—prior to release from Custody.
    - 2) Residence @ 109 Burncroft Road, Enfield, EN3 7JQ.
    - 3) Not to enter the London Borough of Southwark.
    - 4) Surrender Passport to the nearest Police Station.
    - 5) Report daily to Edmonton Police between 1400—1600 hours. 6. Curfew 8pm - 6am (doorstep condition—the Defendant should show himself to any officer upon requests.)
  - The case took over a year to deal with as the CPS would not give the disclosure that my solicitors was asking for and the judge ordered them to give.
  - After a year and on the day the trial was due to start the Judge discharged the charges and found me not guilty in **July 2014** this was before the trial started: --
  - In this time my brother had a life changing accident: --
  - My Nan got diagnosed terminal and passed away **30/08/2014**
  - A close friend of the family passed away in **Dec 2013**
  - A close friend of the family passed away **May 2014**
  - A close friend of the family got diagnosed terminal and passed away on the **29/08/2014** the day before my Nan.

**3**

### **The Facts**

- I will now state the facts and they are that the police knew I could not have done any think of the sort too what they were saying and the errors on the police PNC database caused me to go to prison, and I fell victim to how I was being treated by the courts, because of what was being told to the judge by the police and CPS and, this is also inclusive of the time leading to how long the case had taken due to myself not getting disclosure from the police after the judge ordered it, we did not get disclosed until the trial date.
- The reason the prosecution would not give disclosure was it because they knew by giving me it, they would have got the case dismissed much earlier and this happened for reasons such as the information I and my family had obtained.
- I could do nothing with my company and lost loads of contracts due to the bail conditions I was under knowing I had done nothing wrong so to get put under these conditions.
- Due to how much the police had kept tarnishing my life when I had not done anything wrong; this caused stress in my long-time relationship till we had to depart from one and other, as she and I could not take know more of the police harassment.
- The case took over a year to deal with, as the CPS would not give the disclosed that me and my solicitors requested thought, this never got given after the judge had ordered it to happen himself, and he told the prosecution to provide us with our request.
- This case got dismissed in **July 2014** and got acquitted before the trial could start.
- The facts of the gazebo case at Bianca road are simple; the police knew I could have done nothing of the sort they themselves blamed me for, Errors on the police PNC database all about my criminal recorded had wrongfully caused me to go to prison and because of these issues I felt like a victim to how I was being treated, by the courts and this is to further put it another way, because of what got told to the judge by the police and the CPS, caused the period that this court case ended up getting left to eclipse for escalating to a far much longer time scale than ever would be necessary.
- Due to me not getting disclosed from the police even after the judge had ordered the information to get passed over, I still did not get given it in a timely manner that I had requested to get disclosed.

- The court case ended up taking far much longer than a year until it got rightfully dropped.
- This meant that I was invariably on police bail conditions “curfew,” wrongfully for over a year.

29/06/2013	<p><u>1.</u> <b>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</b> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>2.</u> <b>Working at Home doing?</b> Court Case Defence Work!</p> <p><u>3.</u> <b>Stuck on curfew</b></p>
	<p><u>1.</u> <b>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</b> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>2.</u> <b>Working at Home doing?</b> Court Case Defence Work!</p> <p><u>3.</u> <b>Stuck on curfew</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Sign on at police station 4:00pm!</li> <li>B. Hand passport to police!</li> <li>C. 8:00 pm curfew</li> <li>D. Barred from central London!</li> <li>E. £1000 in surety!</li> <li>F. My bail conditions took place for the Woolwich Crown Court case (Aka Gazebo)</li> </ul>
30/06/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><b>Working at Home doing?</b> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
	<b>July</b>
01/07/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><b>Working at Home doing?</b> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
02/07/2013	<p><u>1</u> <b>The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:</b> 26 Lorraine Cordell _RE_ Simon / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 81 Walworth Police Station- Anthony Clarke Gazebo Case!</p>



1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

26 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ Simon

/ Page Numbers: 81

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 01 July 2013 20:37

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon

Dear Josephine

- Simon is trying to get some information for his case it comes under the Freedom of Information Act Request, but I really not sure how to go about it.
- This is the sort of information he is after All police call outs and CAD to Hymerle Road SE15, Bianca Road SE15, Industrial Estate's for reason of Squatting, Parties, noise abatement, criminal damage, Illegal Raves, Burglary, from **01/05/2012**
- Till **01/06/2013** Noise abatement orders and dates and council involvement which would cover the name of the council team that come out to the buildings, to these roads Haymerle Road SE15, Bianca Road SE15 Industrial Estate.
- All Police officers' numbers that attended to these roads Haymerle Road SE15, Bianca Road SE15 Industrial Estate's for dates **01/05/2012**
- Till **01/06/2013**
- He also wants the council person name who come with the police on the **04/06/2013** at around I am and talked to Simon and the people that was squatting the building, council office said the noise abatement order was still in place from the **16/02/2013** party, Council and police walked around the building to test the music levels and told Simon he had to keep within safe levels, and they let the party go on.
- 999 calls and calls that was made to the police due to the noise on the nights of the parties.
- Some notes here for you.
- We do know that the other party that went on there was on the **16/02/2013** and there was a next party the week after Simon did his on the **04/05/2013** so that would have been on the **11/05/2013**
- But over the last year there has been more parties held in that building and other units around it. There has also been squatting in the building for some time and again also other units within them roads.
- Still trying to get other dates of parties that were held there within the last year at the building Simon sound system was hire in.
- Also, the writing on the wall in which they say Simon done the Burglary he wants someone to test it to show he never wrote it. Which will prove also he did not do that damage.

Lorraine

**03/07/2013**

**1<sup>st</sup> Curfew**

The Gazebo Case!

**Working at Home doing?**

Court Case Defence Work!

**04/07/2013**

**1<sup>st</sup> Curfew**

The Gazebo Case!

**Working at Home doing?**

Court Case Defence Work!

05/07/2013	<p><b><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u></b> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><b><u>Working at Home doing?</u></b> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
06/07/2013	<p><b><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u></b> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><b><u>Working at Home doing?</u></b> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
07/07/2013	<p><b><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u></b> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><b><u>Working at Home doing?</u></b> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
08/07/2013	<p><b><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u></b> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><b><u>Working at Home doing?</u></b> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
09/07/2013	<p><b><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u></b> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><b><u>Working at Home doing?</u></b> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
10/07/2013	<p><b><u>“Bail Conditions”</u></b> Gazebo Case, Bail Variation!</p> <p><b><u>1</u></b> <b><u>Working at Home doing?</u></b> Court Case Defence Work!</p> <p><b><u>2</u></b> <b><u>Police Court Case Gazebo</u></b> 1st Curfew!</p> <p><b><u>3</u></b> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:</u></b> 27 Lorraine Cordell _Re_ Simon Cordell-Lorraine bail letter / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 82 Walworth Police Station- Anthony Clarke Gazebo Case!</p> <p><b><u>4</u></b> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:</u></b> 28 Lorraine Cordell _Re_ Simon Cordell_ (74) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 83 Walworth Police Station- Anthony Clarke Gazebo Case!</p>

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

27 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell-Lorraine bail letter

/ Page Numbers: 82

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 10 July 2013 13:20

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Lorraine bail letter

Attachments: [Bail Lorraine.jpg](#)

➤ Hi Jose, the mail been returned to me can you send it over please.

Lorraine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

28 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (74)

/ Page Numbers: 83

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 10 July 2013 13:27

To: 'Anthony Clarke'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: [Bail Lorraine.jpg](#);

[BAIL VARIATION TO SOUTHWARK CROWN COURT.doc](#)

Hello

➤ Here is the letter you need so the Judge can change Simon bail

3

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP

**14/07/2013**

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/2013**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE)

**14/07/2013**

**Remand to Dates: --**

- 1) **25/06/2013:** "Police Station"
- 2) **26/06/2013:** "Court & the World of Scrubs"
- 3) **27/06/2013:** "World of Scrubs"
- 4) **28/06/2013:** "Judge & Chambers "Granted Bail"
- 5) **10/07/2013:** "[Bail Conditions](#)"
- 6) **16/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 7) **16/08/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 8) **09/09/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 9) **19/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 10) **20/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 11) **23/12/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 12) **08/04/2014:** "Bail Conditions"
- 13) **01/07/2014:** "I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions"
  - A. **Video of Interview:** "Yes"; Link
  - B. **Correspondents & Case Files;** "Yes" Link

**C. Bail Conditions: --**

**D. Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.

**E. Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.

**F. Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.

**G. Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.

**H. Condition 5: OTHER: \*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF**

**I. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\***

**J. Condition 6: \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO POLICE\*\*\*\*\***

- **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.
- **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.
- **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.
- **At:** WOOLWICH CROWN.
- **Owner:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

4

- On the 10/07/2013, I had to attend to the court, and this was for the case at Woolwich Crown Court for the gazebo case that took place at Bianca Road for my friend's Birthday party.
- While in the Courtroom it got said that my mother needed to attend to the court due to the surety she had placed down towards my bail, but on this day, she was in hospital, because she was having an operation.
- The judge was horrid towards me when he raised his points of law about my mother; who was acting as surety still and her not being at the courthouse, so for her to confirm the position of yet again surety! In the courthouse the judge got informed by me and my acting solicitor that my mother had not declined the positions of surety against me, from still being in place.
- My mother was in hospital and should never have got ordered by the acting judge; so that she must attend to court or I will get detained, as the surety is continuous from the prior occasions.
- The judge did not agree and said as the surety got taken at the police station, as ordered by the prior judge that she would need to attend court to reconfirm her position as surety.
- This is wrong in law and despite me putting a relevant authority; off Choudhry v Birmingham, at the Crown Court before his honour, which is clear on this point of law.
- Finally, and after much desiccation the judge accept that we were right, this got done by him to all of us present.
- After this debate the judge continued to say to my barrister that me; His client, has escaped custody by "the skin of his teeth."
- I was yet again, put in fear for my freedom being taken away from me and this got done for no fair reason.
- The court case then got put over for the trial date, that had got set to get heard.

11/07/2013

12/07/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

29 JOSEPHINE Ward\_ Re \_Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 84

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

30 JOSEPHINE Ward\_ RE\_ Advance information on Simon's case /

**Page Numbers:** 85,86

Gazebo Case!

[“Moved to the 15/01/2013 as in wrong location here!”](#)

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

321. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 87

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

31 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 88

Gazebo Case!

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

32 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ Cordell\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 89

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

33 JOSEPHINE Ward \_ Simon Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 90

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

40 JOSEPHINE Ward Photos as promised /

**Page Numbers:** 97

Gazebo Case!

[“Moved from 15/01/2013 to here as file was in the wrong location!”](#)

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

29 JOSEPHINE Ward\_ Re \_Cordell

/ Page Numbers: 84

Sent: 12 July 2013 17:55

To: Jay Lemos

Cc: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Cordell

Lorraine

- Please see barrister's court attendance note.
- Mr. Lemos has no recollection of a tag being mentioned but does recall a doorstep condition.
- However, if Serco have court paperwork, then he will have to allow them access as they could call police to have arrested for breach of bail.
- I will list for bail variation next week.

Josephine

On Jul 11, 2013 11:16 AM, "Jay Lemos" <[jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk)> wrote:

- please find attached attendance note for the above.
- UMAR: will you please chase the disclosure for Mohammed.

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

321. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Cordell

/ Page Numbers: 87

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 12 July 2013 18:15

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Cordell

Lorraine

- Click on the paper clip.
- Sending from mobile and trying to forward on.
- Technically rubbish.
- Computer can attest to that.

Regards

Josephine

On Jul 12, 2013 6:09 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Jossey

- There were not attachments was there meant to be the notes from the barrister' could you let me know please

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 12 July 2013 17:55

To: Jay Lemos

Cc: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Cordell

Lorraine

- Please see barrister's court attendance note.
- Mr. Lemos has no recollection of a tag being mentioned but does recall a doorstep condition.
- However, if Serco have court paperwork, then he will have to allow them access as they could call police to have arrested for breach of bail.
- I will list for bail variation next week.

Josephine

On Jul 11, 2013 11:16 AM, "Jay Lemos" <[jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk)> wrote:

- please find attached attendance note for the above.

➤ UMAR: will you please chase the disclosure for Mohammed.

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

31 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ Cordell

/ Page Numbers: 88

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 July 2013 18:09

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Cordell

Hi Jossey

➤ There were not attachments was there meant to be the notes from the barrister' could you let me know please

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 July 2013 17:55

**To:** Jay Lemos

**Cc:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Cordell

Lorraine

➤ Please see barrister's court attendance note.

➤ Mr. Lemos has no recollection of a tag being mentioned but does recall a doorstep condition.

➤ However, if Serco have court paperwork, then he will have to allow them access as they could call police to have arrested for breach of bail.

➤ I will list for bail variation next week.

Josephine

On Jul 11, 2013 11:16 AM, "Jay Lemos" <[jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk)> wrote:

➤ please find attached attendance note for the above.

➤ UMAR: will you please chase the disclosure for Mohammed.

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

33 JOSEPHINE Ward \_ Simon Cordell

/ Page Numbers: 90

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 July 2013 18:28

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

**Attachments:** [Att note 10.07.13.docx](#)

Lorraine

➤ Hope this is it.

➤ I hate technology.

Regards

Josephine

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

40 JOSEPHINE Ward Photos as promised

/ Page Numbers: 97

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 June 2014 18:43

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Photos as promised

**Attachments:** [Simon Cordell crime scene photos.pdf](#)

Dear Lorraine & Simon

➤ Please see attached the crime scene photographs.

➤ They do not add anything to the case.

- The attached statements however confirm that the police were aware that there were squatters in the premises, and this contradicts the statement of the Inspector.
- I think that a Saturday office appointment will be better at my office.
- I will confirm the time on Saturday morning as I have another two clients attending also.
- I am on a legal visit in the morning and then I have a conference at Wood Green Crown Court.

Regards  
Josephine

13/07/2013

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

34 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (73) /

**Page Numbers:** 91

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

35 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (72) /

**Page Numbers:** 92

["Moved from 14/01/2013 to here as file was in the wrong location!"](#)



2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

34 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (73)

/ Page Numbers: 91

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 July 2013 16:24

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

**Attachments:** [CORDELL B20130035.pdf](#)

[Att note 10.07.13.docx](#)

➤ Here Jossey

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 July 2013 18:28

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

➤ Hope this is it.

➤ I hate technology.

Regards

Josephine

1

**Bath Festival!**

Gazebo Case!

➤ Time spent building my Company!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

35 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (72)

/ Page Numbers: 92

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 July 2013 18:10

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Jose

➤ I just wanted to update you to something that has come to light about this case.

➤ The party that happened in the building that they say that Simon done the burglary in on May, on the 18/02/2013 people at that party were also meant to be done for burglary which does go to show the damage to the building was there on the 18/02/2013 so how then can they do Simon for all this when they already know the damage to the building in Feb and that people were arrested for it.

➤ I am going to try and get some name of the people in Feb that were arrested,

➤ and I will keep you updated.

14/07/2013

**Bath Festival!**

Gazebo Case!

Time spent building my Company!

**Bath Festival!**

Gazebo Case!

Time spent building my Company!

15/07/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

36 JOSEPHINE WARDS\_ Advance information on Simon's case /

**Page Numbers:** 93

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

38 JOSEPHINE Ward\_ Regina v (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 94

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

39 JOSEPHINE Ward RE\_ Regina v /

**Page Numbers:** 95,96

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

41 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ Advance information on Simon's case /

**Page Numbers:** 98,99

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

42 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ Advance information on Simon's case\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 100,101

Gazebo Case!

[“Moved from 16/01/2013 to here as file was in the wrong location!”](#)

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

36 JOSEPHINE WARDS\_ Advance information on Simon's case

/ Page Numbers: 93

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 09:28

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Advance information on Simon's case

**Attachments:** [AI.pdf](#)

Lorraine

- Please find attached copies of the following:
- Advance Information You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and as recorded in the statement of PC Almy.
- These were also signed by Simon.
- Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial.
- I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defence case statement.
- I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please feel-free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

Regards

Josephine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

38 JOSEPHINE Ward\_ Regina v (2)

/ Page Numbers: 94

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 08:40

**To:** [listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk)

**Cc:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on **Tuesday 16th July 2013**

Dear Sir or Madam

- We act on behalf of our above-named client under the terms of a legal representation order.
- Our client's matter was listed at Woolwich Crown Court for a preliminary hearing and an application to vary our client's bail conditions.
- The Learned Judge on that occasion refused to deal with the application to vary bail and raised issues regarding why the surety was not present.
- He removed the surety requirement and our understanding is that our client was admitted to bail on the same terms as before.
- A number of issues have now arisen as a result of this.
- Serco attended to insert an electronic tag, and this was never a condition of our client's bail when he was granted bail by Woolwich Crown Court but a curfew with a doorstep condition was imposed.
- Our client explained this to Serco who left.
- We clarified that this information was accurate by reviewing the advocate's attendance note from **10th July** and also receiving verbal confirmation from Mr Lemos to further verify this.
- When our client went to report to Edmonton Police Station in compliance with his bail conditions, he was arrested for breaching his bail in that he refused Serco entry to install the electronic monitoring equipment.
- This was on **13th July 2013** Fortunately; our client was able to produce a copy of the bail notice from Woolwich Crown Court and also a copy of the advocate's court attendance notes who attended on **13th July 2013**.
- He was released. Ms Cordell contacted Serco and advise Jo badge number 3072 of this development and Serco will now wait until **Tuesday 16th July 2013**
- to receive clarification from the court.

➤ Can you please list this case tomorrow? **16th July 2013** in order that matters can be clarified.  
We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter and we await clarification of this.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

39 JOSEPHINE Ward RE\_ Regina v

/ **Page Numbers:** 95,96

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 10:25

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday 16th July 2013

Lorraine

➤ It is a typo.

On **Jul 15, 2013 10:03 AM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Jose

➤ You made a mistake in this Simon was never given a bail notice from Woolwich he only had the one from the other court that gives him bail from the prison.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 08:40

**To:** [listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk)

**Cc:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday

**16th July 2013**

Dear Sir or Madam

- We act on behalf of our above-named client under the terms of a legal representation order.
- Our client's matter was listed at Woolwich Crown Court for a preliminary hearing and an application to vary our client's bail conditions.
- The Learned Judge on that occasion refused to deal with the application to vary bail and raised issues regarding why the surety was not present.
- He removed the surety requirement and our understanding is that our client was admitted to bail on the same terms as before.
- A number of issues have now arisen as a result of this.
- Serco attended to insert an electronic tag, and this was never a condition of our client's bail when he was granted bail by Woolwich Crown Court but a curfew with a doorstep condition was imposed.
- Our client explained this to Serco who left.
- We clarified that this information was accurate by reviewing the advocate's attendance note from **10th July** and also receiving verbal confirmation from Mr Lemos to further verify this.
- When our client went to report to Edmonton Police Station in compliance with his bail conditions, he was arrested for breaching his bail in that he refused Serco entry to install the electronic monitoring equipment.
- This was on **13th July 2013** Fortunately; our client was able to produce a copy of the bail notice from Woolwich Crown Court and also a copy of the advocate's court attendance notes who attended on **13th July 2013**.
- He was released.

**96**

- Ms Cordell contacted Serco and advise Jo badge number 3072 of this development and Serco will now wait until **Tuesday 16th July 2013**
- to receive clarification from the court.

➤ Can you please list this case tomorrow? **16th July 2013** in order that matters can be clarified.  
We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter and we await clarification of this.

Yours faithfully

**5**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

41 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ Advance information on Simon's case

/ **Page Numbers:** 98,99

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 12:59

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Advance information on Simon's case

Jose

- As for the Q and A records from PC Almy there is a lot he has lied about.
  - Simon did say to him that he brought a job lot of 5 chairs and 5 gazebos this is a fact.
  - But he also said the Gazebo that was in his garden was brought from focus along with another thing he got for his kitchen.
  - Also, none of the PC have said that me and Sheila was at the address we got there just after Simon let the police in this should have been noted and has not by any officer.
  - I know they are meant to ask and note anyone that comes to the address when they are there.
  - As for the Nokia phone I was the one that told the police I got it from Tesco for Simon.
  - Also, no colleagues secured the dog Simons put the dog out before he let the police in.
  - Also, if you look at PC Almy statement where he said Simon was going to set the dog on them, then look at PC golden you see that both are saying something else if it was the case Simon had said he was going to set the dog on them it would have been in both statements.
  - As should have been all the Q and A from PC Almy statements why is it the case all this is only listed on 1 statement when all officers should have heard what was said.
  - We also have the receipt from the guy at the party, I through Simon had given it to you the other day he must have forgot.
  - He is looking for the receipt from Focus still.
  - But it must be somewhere at mine or his.
- Lorraine
- **PS** when we Simon know the time for the listing in court tomorrow do you know yet.

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[Mail To:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 09:28

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Advance information on Simon's case

Lorraine

- Please find attached copies of the following:
- Advance information.
- You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and as recorded in the statement of PC Almy.
- These were also signed by Simon. Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial.
- I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defense case statement.
- I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please

**99**

feel free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

Regards

Josephine

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

42 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ Advance information on Simon's case\_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 100,101

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 18:06

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE: Advance information on Simon's case**

Hi Jose

- Yep, I got the info 10am tomorrow at Woolwich, was lucky I called them today to find out what time as they had not even looked at your email, so it would not have been listed.
- Is this also for bail variation also as the lady at the court said she was listing for this.

Lorraine

- And omg have a nice holiday ☐

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 17:12

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE: Advance information on Simon's case**

- Simon's case listed tomorrow for bail clarification.

On **Jul 15, 2013 12:58 PM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)>

wrote Jose

- As for the Q and A records from PC Almy there is a lot he has lied about.
- Simon did say to him that he brought a job lot of 5 chairs and 5 gazebos this is a fact.
- But he also said the Gazebo that was in his garden was brought from focus along with another thing he got for his kitchen.
- Also, none of the PC have said that me and Sheila was at the address we got there just after Simon let the police in this should have been noted and has not by any officer.
- I know they are meant to ask and note anyone that comes to the address when they are there.
- As for the Nokia phone I was the one that told the police I got it from Tesco for Simon.
- Also, no colleagues secured the dog Simons put the dog out before he let the police in.
- Also, if you look at PC Almy statement where he said Simon was going to set the dog on them, then look at PC golden you see that both are saying something else if it was the case Simon had said he was going to set the dog on them it would have been in both statements.
- As should have been all the Q and A from PC Almy statements why is it the case all this is only listed on 1 statement when all officers should have heard what was said.
- We also have the receipt from the guy at the party, I through Simon had given it to you the other day he must have forgot.
- He is looking for the receipt from Focus still.
- But it must be somewhere at mine or his.

Lorraine

- **PS** when we Simon know the time for the listing in court tomorrow do you know yet.

**101**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 09:28

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Advance information on Simon's case

Lorraine

- Please find attached copies of the following:
- Advance Information You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and as recorded in the statement of PC Almy.
- These were also signed by Simon.
- Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial.
- I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defence case statement.
- I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please feel-free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

Regards

Josephine

16/07/2013

**“Bail Conditions”**

App for a variation in bail “Refused!”

**2**

**App for a variation in bail**

“Refused!”

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**1**

**The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

43 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ Advance information on Simon's case\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 102,103,104

Gazebo Case!

**“Moved from 17/01/2013 to here as file was in the wrong location!”**

**App for a variation in bail**

➤ “Refused!”

**Application for a variation in bail: --**

- It was the **16th July** and the courthouse was hot and full of many people the time was 2:10pm and I had arrived 30 minutes earlier than needed, as I wanted to get the case resolved in my favour. when asking the court clerk of the courtroom I got told that I was to be standing in the courtroom with his honour HHJP.
- So, rock would stand the application for a variation in bail for the case files.
- This was an application for a Bail Variation due to my work commitments
- and I was to get told that the Judge would not allow for the Bail Variation to get granted and enforced for me to continue business in my profession I must sublet out my company. My life's hard work subleased out to other people to run and without me present.
- I explained about many other new jobs contracts I had obtained and that was to be coming up and provided evidence to support these truths of mine.
- I explained how I had prior managed to get these contracts and how the bail conditions were stopping me from running ligament business opportunities to the judge and the Crown Prosecution.
- I also showed the Judge a lot of different evidence like my T.S website that I was paying for and had already invested a lot of money and time of effort to get built, this included the “T.s charity section and needed documentation.”
- Many people had been building, this T.S website for me and other to succeed well in and out of life, as the police were well aware of.
- Included in the provided documentation that got submitted to the judge and to the prosecutor was a lot of different contracts for work, this included jobs such as Ponders End Festival that got held on the **26—27/08/2013**.
- I expressed to his honour that as a starting company that I could not offer to pay for the well needed additional trained staff, so for them to take my role and that I have to leave a lot of different pieces of expensive equipment on sites, such as generators that power the site.
- He still ordered to me that I still needed to attend back to court for the trial and reinstated that I must also subcontract my own companies out to other people to manage.
- I went back home after the court case and got left in disrepair, as well as upsets.

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP

**14/07/13**

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/13**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE)

**14/07/2013**

**Remand to Dates: --**

- 1) **25/06/2013:** “Police Station”
- 2) **26/06/2013:** “Court & the World of Scrubs”
- 3) **27/06/2013:** “World of Scrubs”
- 4) **28/06/2013:** “Judge & Chambers “Granted Bail”
- 5) **10/07/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 6) **16/07/2013:** “Bail Conditions”



- 7) 16/08/2013: "Bail Conditions"
  - 8) 09/09/2013: "Bail Conditions"
  - 9) 19/11/2013: "Bail Conditions"
  - 10) 20/11/2013: "Bail Conditions"
  - 11) 23/12/2013: "Bail Conditions"
  - 12) 08/04/2014: "Bail Conditions"
  - 13) 01/07/2014: "I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions"
    - A. **Video of Interview:** "Yes"; Link
    - B. **Correspondents & Case Files;** "Yes" Link
    - C. **Bail Conditions:** --
    - D. **Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.
    - E. **Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.
    - F. **Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.
    - G. **Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.
    - H. **Condition 5: OTHER:** \*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF
    - I. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\*
    - J. **Condition 6:** \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO POLICE\*\*\*\*\*
- **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.
  - **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.
  - **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.
  - **At:** WOOLWICH CROWN.
  - **Owner:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

43 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ Advance information on Simon's case\_ (2)

/ Page Numbers: 102,103,104

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 16 July 2013 18:05

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Advance information on Simon's case

**Attachments:** [Woolwich Bail.jpg](#)

Hi Jose

- Look really sorry to bother you on holiday,
- I tried to get Michael to deal with this, but he seemed to get very upset.
- Today we went to Woolwich crown court, the judge did not leave the curfew as you said they may not have when we last talked.
- But the bail conditions were sorted as far as I was aware, curfew with doorstep as before.
- I even got the guy that was sent to get the bail printed out for me which I will add to this email.
- I later today called Serco to make sure the tag had been lifted but again they told me the court had again said he was on tag, so I called the court and I got a rude lady who said Well that's the order I have in front of me when I told her what I had she then replied that well you need to get your solicitors to re list it.
- I told her that was why it was listed for today, but she was still being rude and unhelpful.
- After I called the office and talked to someone and they called the guy that come to court for Simon, he also told your office it was doorstep.
- I was told that due to us having the letter from the court that Simon should not let the tag go on.
- Worried this was when I called Michael to be honest, he did not seem to care and said something I was not happy with.
- He said this was your case and he was fucking going to email you to find out what the fuck was going on.
- I told him not to that you were on holiday he said that does not matter you and your husband are not getting on and you would be happy for the email.

- I am not hiding the way in which Michael talked to me in fact he was just trying to say tell Simon to put the tag on as it did not matter.
- And really, I think he was thinking that it was a tag from the start as I sure he was not hearing me right.
- Should I tell Simon to put the Tag on or not as far as Simon said when I told him what Woolwich had done, he said he was not going to let them put the tag on this is causing me so much headache.
- And I know they will just arrest him like they tried to do the other day.
- So, I don't know what to do for the best.
- But I am really not happy how Michael talked to me.
- I am sorry to have to email you on holiday this should be some time to enjoy yourself and you do need that with the hours you do.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 17:12

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Advance information on Simon's case

- Simon's case listed tomorrow for bail clarification.

On **Jul 15, 2013 12:58 PM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)>

wrote Jose

- As for the Q and A records from PC Almy there is a lot he has lied about.
- Simon did say to him that he brought a job lot of 5 chairs and 5 gazebos this is a fact.
- But he also said the Gazebo that was in his garden was brought from focus along with another thing he got for his kitchen.
- Also, none of the PC have said that me and Sheila was at the address we got there just after Simon let the police in this should have been noted and has not by any officer.
- I know they are meant to ask and note anyone that comes to the address when they are there.
- As for the Nokia phone I was the one that told the police I got it from Tesco for Simon.
- Also, no colleagues secured the dog Simons put the dog out before he let the police in.
- Also, if you look at PC Almy statement where he said Simon was going to set the dog on them, then look at PC golden you see that both are saying something else if it was the case Simon had said he was going to set the dog on them it would have been in both statements.
- As should have been all the Q and A from PC Almy statements why is it the case all this is only listed on 1 statement when all officers should have heard what was said.
- We also have the receipt from the guy at the party, I through Simon had given it to you the other day he must have forgot.
- He is looking for the receipt from Focus still.
- But it must be somewhere at mine or his.

Lorraine

- **PS** when we Simon know the time for the listing in court tomorrow do you know yet.

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 09:28

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Advance information on Simon's case

Lorraine

- Please find attached copies of the following:
- Advance Information You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and as recorded in the statement of PC Almy.
- These were also signed by Simon.
- Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial.
- I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defence case statement.
- I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please feel-free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

17/07/2013

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

44 Anthony Clarke\_ /

**Page Numbers:** 105

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

45 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (71) /

**Page Numbers:** 106

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

46 Lorraine Cordell\_ Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (69) /

**Page Numbers:** 107

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

47 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (70) /

**Page Numbers:** 108

Gazebo Case!

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

48 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 109

Gazebo Case!

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

49 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (68) /

**Page Numbers:** 110

Gazebo Case!

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

50 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (67) /

**Page Numbers:** 111

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

44 Anthony Clarke\_

/ Page Numbers: 105

From: Anthony Clarke [[tony.j.clark3@gmail.com](mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com)]

Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28

To: [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

➤ Send to this one.

Anthony

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

45 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (71)

/ Page Numbers: 106

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 17 July 2013 09:50

To: 'Anthony Clarke'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: [Error in bail.doc](#); [160713WoolwichBail.jpg](#)

Hi Anthony

➤ After the call I just made I am forwarding the information and the letter I have written about the error by the court in my sons Simon Cordell bail conditions by Woolwich Crown Court heard on the 16/07/2013.

Lorraine

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

46 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (69)

/ Page Numbers: 107

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28

To: '[anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)'

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Attachments: [160713WoolwichBail.jpg](#)

[Error in bail.doc](#)

Hi Anthony

➤ After the call I just made I am forwarding the information and the letter I have written about the error by the court in my sons Simon Cordell bail conditions by Woolwich Crown Court heard on the 16/07/2013.

Lorraine

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

47 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (70)

/ Page Numbers: 108

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28

To: 'Anthony Clarke'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: [160713WoolwichBail.jpg](#)

[Error in bail.doc](#)

Hi Anthony

➤ After the call I just made I am forwarding the information and the letter I have written about the error by the court in my sons Simon Cordell bail conditions by Woolwich Crown Court heard on the 16/07/2013.

Lorraine

From: Anthony Clarke [Mail To: [tony.j.clark3@gmail.com](mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com)]

Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Send to this one.

➤ Anthony

**6**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

48 Lorraine Cordell \_RE\_ (2)

/ **Page Numbers:** 109

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 17 July 2013 16:19

**To:** 'Anthony Clarke'

**Subject:** RE:

**Attachments:** [CORDELL B20130035.pdf](#)

Hello Anthony

➤ Here is the bail condition that was set by the court and these have not changed only the Surety was removed on the 10th July 2013 the judge on the 10 July refused to vary the bail conditions. Please read the above document from the court.

Lorraine

**From:** Anthony Clarke [**Mail To:** [tony.j.clark3@gmail.com](mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 17 July 2013 10:28

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Send to this one.

Anthony

**7**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

49 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (68)

/ **Page Numbers:** 110

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 17 July 2013 16:20

**To:** 'Anthony Clarke'; '[anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)'

**Subject:** RE: Simon Cordell

**Attachments:** [CORDELL B20130035.pdf](#)

Hello Anthony

Here is the bail condition that was set by the court and these have not changed only the Surety was removed on the 10th July 2013 the judge on the 10 July refused to the bail conditions. Please read the above document from the court.

Lorraine

**From:** Anthony Clarke [**Mail To:** [tony.j.clark3@gmail.com](mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 17 July 2013 10:28

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Send to this one.

Anthony

**8**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

50 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (67)

/ **Page Numbers:** 111

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 17 July 2013 17:00

**To:** 'Anthony Clarke'; '[anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)'

**Subject:** RE: Simon Cordell

Anthony

Would it also please be possible for you to forward anything that the court send you to me please.

Lorraine

18/07/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

51 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (66) /

**Page Numbers:** 112

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

51 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (66)

/ **Page Numbers:** 112

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 18 July 2013 10:20

**To:** 'Anthony Clarke'; '[anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hello Anthony

➤ Is there any update from the court and could you please forward me anything that the court has sent to you please?

➤ Was it left yesterday with the tag needing to be put in place can you please confirm this?

Lorraine

**From:** Anthony Clarke [**Mail To:** [tony.j.clark3@gmail.com](mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 17 July 2013 10:28

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Send to this one.

Anthony

19/07/2013

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

20/07/2013

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

21/07/2013

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

22/07/2013

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

23/07/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
24/07/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
25/07/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
26/07/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
27/07/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
28/07/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
29/07/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
30/07/2013	<p><u>1</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 53 Lorraine Cordell _Re_ Simon Cordell_ (65) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 116 Gazebo Case!</p>

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

53 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (65)

/ Page Numbers: 116

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 30 July 2013 12:51

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josephine

➤ Hope you had a great holiday and a wonderful rest; would it be possible to email me back when you are back at work, please.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[Mail To:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 09:28

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Advance information on Simon's case

Lorraine

- Please find attached copies of the following:
- Advance Information You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and as recorded in the statement of PC Almy.
- These were also signed by Simon.
- Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial.
- I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defence case statement.
- I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please feel-free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

Regards

Josephine

**31/07/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**August**

**01/08/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**02/08/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!



03/08/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
04/08/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
05/08/2013	<p><u>1</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 54 Lorraine Cordell _Re_ Simon Cordell_ (64) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 117 Gazebo Case!</p>
<p><u>1</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 54 Lorraine Cordell _Re_ Simon Cordell_ (64) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 117 <b>From:</b> Lorraine Cordell [<a href="mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk">lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk</a>] <b>Sent:</b> 05 August 2013 12:52 <b>To:</b> 'JOSEPHINE WARD' <b>Subject:</b> RE: Simon Cordell Hi Josephine</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ I am just writing this to get an update on Simon Case he wants to try for bail again on the</li> <li>➤ 07/08/2013 when he is next in court.</li> <li>➤ He has done some research and calls and from what he understands the judge is breaking his human rights in not letting him work.</li> <li>➤ Also, I need an update as to when he is next in court for the trailer thing when he was at boomtown, I know it is Aug some time but need a date that it is listed for I am sure it's for the case to be heard not sure what stage it is at.</li> <li>➤ Also, Tyrone case at the police station today has been dropped the police called him and told him it was dropped.</li> <li>➤ Also, I need to get these letters done for his case on the 12/08/2013 and I need to find out where he has to call to get the drugs test done.</li> <li>➤ I knew you was busy over the weekend so just left you as I knew you had a lot of work to do after I got your email.</li> </ul> <p>Lorraine</p>	
06/08/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>

07/08/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

55 JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's case\_/

**Page Numbers:** 118,119

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

55 JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's case

/ Page Numbers: 118,119

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 07 August 2013 22:10

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon's case

Hi Lorraine

- **Winchester Crown Court**
- This case is in the warned list for **29th August 2013**
- I am still waiting for Simon to provide witnesses who can corroborate the negotiations between Simon and the "seller" Woolwich Crown Court
- The main problem in this case is that Simon is unhappy that he is subject to an electronic curfew. As you are aware, I did not request a curfew as I knew that this problem would arise.
- He was initially given a doorstep curfew, but the Judge changed this to an electronic curfew. This is the preferable option as it is a qualifying curfew and counts half a day towards any custodial sentence, if convicted.
- The Judge did state an electronic tag as the clerk checked the tapes for what was said.
- The Judge is within his rights to do this as he will view this as relieving a burden in terms of manpower from the police.
- I cannot challenge this as it is still a curfew.

**Bail variation:**

- Simon has to be realistic in terms of what we can achieve here.
- One of my obligations as a solicitor is to manage a client's expectations.
- I will of course make an application to vary Simon's bail conditions but as I stated before I will require emails from Simon from clients requesting that he cover events with quotes given by Simon as to how much he will charge.
- The smartest way to attempt to get Simon's bail varied is to ask for certain dates as opposed to lifting the curfew altogether as the Judge will not do this.
- If Simon persists in stating that he is not allowed to work and earn his living then Simon will then have to complete a change in financial circumstances statements for both the Woolwich case and the Winchester case and the court will have to assess his monthly contributions for both cases.
- At present he does not have to make a contribution as he is in receipt of benefits.
- I can only properly challenge the evidence in this case when I receive the case papers and then make my requests for secondary disclosure.
- The court would then be more amenable to an application to remove conditions of bail.
- I am sorry that Simon feels that his case is not being handled properly.
- I sent Simon a client care letter at the commencement of this case outlining the complaints procedure. I do not believe that Michael was aware of the calls made by Simon to the office.
- I tried to deal with Simon's case personally and usually I do. e.g., Going to Birmingham Police Station in **2012**, attending home address after his remand from Camberwell Green Magistrates Court to draft bail application.
- I am not sure what happened when I was on annual leave but based on your email it appears that the complaint should be directed against me as I am the fee earner and I am meant to supervise case workers.
- Michael is the Principal of the Firm and not the fee earner on this case.
- The case workers knew I was contactable by email and did not contact me.
- Michael Carroll has had no dealings with this case. (Since returning from annual leave I have dealt with 2 complex rapes, 3 GBH and a police station duty to date.

**119**

- I did intend to call at your address this weekend, but I was on duty and I had to deal with a complex rape at Colindale on Sunday.
- This case lasted all day. I can only apologise for this.)
- If you do not believe that Michael can investigate and deal with your complaint, then I must now advise you to address your complaint regarding this matter to Edel Speirits at the West London office.
- Her email is [edel@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:edel@michaelcarrollandco.com).

- If you are not satisfied with her resolution of the complaint, then you can then proceed to complain to the Ombudsman.
- I am sorry that Simon feels this way and I am sorry that you are disappointed in my conduct of this case.
- I will forward this email to Michael Carroll in the morning but if you decide over night that you do not want Michael involved in the complaint and want to include him in the complaint then please confirm this so that I can forward your email to Edel Speirts.

Regards

Josephine Ward (Associate Solicitor)

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

56 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon's case (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 120,121

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

58 JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's court attendance notes for 16th July 2013 /

**Page Numbers:** 122

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

60. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 123

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

103. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 124,125

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

61. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 126,127

Gazebo Case!

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

62. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's letter withdrawing complaint /

**Page Numbers:** 128

Gazebo Case!

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

63. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 129

Gazebo Case!

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

64. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon's letter withdrawing complaint /

**Page Numbers:** 130

Gazebo Case!

9

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

65. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon's letter withdrawing complaint\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 131

Gazebo Case!

10

Prince Charity Work /

**Page Numbers:** 132

11

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

“Update” /

**Page Numbers:** 133

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

56 Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon's case (2)

/ Page Numbers: 120,121

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 11:06

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon's case

Hi Jose

- Simon does not blame you at all he knows you got a lot to do, and knows you do your job he just felt while you were away the office did not have a cue on what to do.
- He just wanted a reply as to what was going on and that was not happening.
- And did not in fact know that Michael Company was not involved in his case he was thinking that Michael Company was acting for him so when you went away, they could deal with it which they did not.
- Michael also has talked to Simon about this on the phone more than once so is aware of this Simon did not like the way in which Michael talked to me or him but the last time, he talked to Michael that was sorted over the phone.
- Josey, we don't have a problem with you at all.
- The problem we had was no one was able to deal with anything while you were away and that should not be the case the office should be able to deal with things if you are not around.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[Mail To:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 07 August 2013 22:10

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon's case

Hi Lorraine

- Winchester Crown Court
- This case is in the warned list for **29th August 2013**
- I am still waiting for Simon to provide witnesses who can corroborate the negotiations between Simon and the "seller" Woolwich Crown Court
- The main problem in this case is that Simon is unhappy that he is subject to an electronic curfew. As you are aware, I did not request a curfew as I knew that this problem would arise.
- He was initially given a doorstep curfew, but the Judge changed this to an electronic curfew. This is the preferable option as it is a qualifying curfew and counts half a day towards any custodial sentence, if convicted.
- The Judge did state an electronic tag as the clerk checked the tapes for what was said.
- The Judge is within his rights to do this as he will view this as relieving a burden in terms of manpower from the police.
- I cannot challenge this as it is still a curfew.

**Bail variation:**

- Simon has to be realistic in terms of what we can achieve here.
- One of my obligations as a solicitor is to manage a client's expectations.
- I will of course make an application to vary Simon's bail conditions but as I stated before I will require emails from Simon from clients requesting that he cover events with quotes given by Simon as to how much he will charge.
- The smartest way to attempt to get Simon's bail varied is to ask for certain dates as opposed to lifting the curfew altogether as the Judge will not do this.
- If Simon persists in stating that he is not allowed to work and earn his living then Simon will then

121

- have to complete a change in financial circumstances statements for both the Woolwich case and the Winchester case and the court will have to assess his monthly contributions for both cases.
- At present he does not have to make a contribution as he is in receipt of benefits.
- I can only properly challenge the evidence in this case when I receive the case papers and then make my requests for secondary disclosure.
- The court would then be more amenable to an application to remove conditions of bail.

- I am sorry that Simon feels that his case is not being handled properly.
- I sent Simon a client care letter at the commencement of this case outlining the complaints procedure. I do not believe that Michael was aware of the calls made by Simon to the office.
- I tried to deal with Simon's case personally and usually I do. e.g., Going to Birmingham Police Station in **2012**, attending home address after his remand from Camberwell Green Magistrates Court to draft bail application.
- I am not sure what happened when I was on annual leave but based on your email it appears that the complaint should be directed against me as I am the fee earner and I am meant to supervise case workers.
- Michael is the Principal of the Firm and not the fee earner on this case.
- The case workers knew I was contactable by email and did not contact me.
- Michael Carroll has had no dealings with this case. (Since returning from annual leave I have dealt with 2 complex rapes, 3 GBH and a police station duty to date.
- I did intend to call at your address this weekend, but I was on duty and I had to deal with a complex rape at Colindale on Sunday.
- This case lasted all day. I can only apologise for this.)
- If you do not believe that Michael can investigate and deal with your complaint, then I must now advise you to address your complaint regarding this matter to Edel Speirits at the West London office.
- Her email is [edel@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:edel@michaelcarrollandco.com).
- If you are not satisfied with her resolution of the complaint, then you can then proceed to complain to the Ombudsman.
- I am sorry that Simon feels this way and I am sorry that you are disappointed in my conduct of this case.
- I will forward this email to Michael Carroll in the morning but if you decide over night that you do not want Michael involved in the complaint and want to include him in the complaint then please confirm this so that I can forward your email to Edel Speirits.

Regards

Josephine Ward (Associate Solicitor)

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

58 JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's court attendance notes for 16th July 2013

/ Page Numbers: 122

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 11:21

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon's court attendance notes for 16th July 2013

**Attachments:** [Brief for the Defendant.pdf](#)

[Client Care Mags Letter.doc](#)

Lorraine / Simon

- Please see the barrister's back sheet from the 16th July 2013.
- This should have been forwarded onto you and would have been by me if it had been emailed to me in Bulgaria.
- Case workers are not allowed to send over any paperwork on any client file without prior authorisation from the Solicitor with conduct, namely me.
- I cannot criticise the case workers for this as I am pretty strict on this.
- If this had been emailed over to me in Bulgaria, then I would have emailed on it but as I was on annual leave the case workers viewed this as nonurgent as the Judge refused to vary the conditions.
- I am also emailing you the client care letter again which outlines the complaints procedure.

Regards

Josephine

- **PS** As indicated when the case papers are served and the secondary disclosure served then it may be possible to make a further attempt to vary bail but at this stage, I do not believe that the Court will entertain it.

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

60. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (1)



/ Page Numbers: 123

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 13:43

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013

at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Jossey

➤ Now Simon understands from your email he will not be taking it up with the Ombudsman or putting in a complaint so this can be dropped.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 12:29

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013

at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

➤ I am in the process of getting Simon's correspondence bundle scanned so that this can be emailed to you and Michael Carroll / Edel Speirts.

➤ Unfortunately, as Simon has raised a complaint and is considering contacting the Legal Ombudsman then this will now have to be resolved as a matter of urgency.

➤ I need confirmation as to whether Simon wants Michael himself, or Edel to investigate the complaint.

➤ Again, if Simon can confirm this in writing, please so that I can get this matter resolved, hopefully to the satisfaction of all parties.

➤ I understand that you are saying that Simon does not have a problem with me but I am the designated fee earner on the file and as such I have the overall responsibility, the buck stops with me.

➤ The case papers have arrived this morning and I will scan and email you a copy before the close of business today.

➤ Can you please confirm dates when Simon will be available to attend the office to give his instructions on the case papers so that a defence case statement can be prepared?

➤ Lorraine unfortunately I cannot now deal with Simon's case until his complaint has been resolved and another case worker will have to be resolved in the interim.

➤ Please confirm the name of the person you want this investigate this matter by return email.

Regards

Josephine

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

103. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (1)

/ Page Numbers: 124,125

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 14:29

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine

➤ Thank you for the email but I will require written confirmation from Simon to this effect.

➤ The case papers will be sent over to you before the close of business today and I then want to arrange an appointment so that I can take Simon's instructions and draft his defence case statement.

➤ Can Simon please provide me with a copy of his receipt for the gazebo as soon as possible as I will have to refer to this in his defence case statement.

➤ I also need confirmation as to whether Simon was provided with a copy of his interview tape of not as a transcript will have to be prepared.

Regards

Josephine

On **Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 1:43 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Dear Jossey

- Now Simon understands from your email he will not be taking it up with the Ombudsman or putting in a complaint so this can be dropped.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 12:29

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at

Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

- I am in the process of getting Simon's correspondence bundle scanned so that this can be emailed to you and Michael Carroll / Edel Speirits.

Unfortunately, as Simon has raised a complaint and is

**125**

- considering contacting the Legal Ombudsman then this will now have to be resolved as a matter of urgency.
- I need confirmation as to whether Simon wants Michael himself, or Edel to investigate the complaint.
- Again, if Simon can confirm this in writing, please so that I can get this matter resolved, hopefully to the satisfaction of all parties.
- I understand that you are saying that Simon does not have a problem with me but I am the designated fee earner on the file and as such I have the overall responsibility, the buck stops with me.
- The case papers have arrived this morning and I will scan and email you a copy before the close of business today.
- Can you please confirm dates when Simon will be available to attend the office to give his instructions on the case papers so that a defence case statement can be prepared?
- Lorraine unfortunately I cannot now deal with Simon's case until his complaint has been resolved and another case worker will have to be resolved in the interim.
- Please confirm the name of the person you want this investigate this matter by return email.

Regards

Josephine

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

61. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (2)

/ **Page Numbers:** 126,127

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 15:00

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013

at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

**Attachments:** [To Ms. Josephine Ward.doc](#)

Hi Jose

- Please read the attached letter

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 14:29

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at

Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine

- Thank you for the email but I will require written confirmation from Simon to this effect.

- The case papers will be sent over to you before the close of business today and I then want to arrange an appointment so that I can take Simon's instructions and draft his defence case statement.
- Can Simon please provide me with a copy of his receipt for the gazebo as soon as possible as I will have to refer to this in his defence case statement.
- I also need confirmation as to whether Simon was provided with a copy of his interview tape or not as a transcript will have to be prepared.

Regards  
Josephine

On **Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 1:43 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Dear Jossey

- Now Simon understands from your email he will not be taking it up with the Ombudsman or putting in a complaint so this can be dropped.

Lorraine

"Forward"

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 12:29

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at

Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

- I am in the process of getting Simon's correspondence bundle scanned so that this can be emailed to

**127**

- you and Michael Carroll / Edel Speirts.
- Unfortunately, as Simon has raised a complaint and is considering contacting the Legal Ombudsman then this will now have to be resolved as a matter of urgency.
- I need confirmation as to whether Simon wants Michael himself, or Edel to investigate the complaint.
- Again, if Simon can confirm this in writing, please so that I can get this matter resolved, hopefully to the satisfaction of all parties.
- I understand that you are saying that Simon does not have a problem with me but I am the designated fee earner on the file and as such I have the overall responsibility, the buck stops with me.
- The case papers have arrived this morning and I will scan and email you a copy before the close of business today.
- Can you please confirm dates when Simon will be available to attend the office to give his instructions on the case papers so that a defence case statement can be prepared?
- Lorraine unfortunately I cannot now deal with Simon's case until his complaint has been resolved and another case worker will have to be resolved in the interim.
- Please confirm the name of the person you want to investigate this matter by return email.

Regards  
Josephine

**6**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

62. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

/ **Page Numbers:** 128

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 15:12

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

Lorraine

Thank you for the letter.

- Can you please forward a signed copy, or I can collect it when I am taking client's instructions for the Woolwich matter?

Regards

Josephine

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

63. JOSEPHINE Ward\_Regina v\_ (2)

/ Page Numbers: 129

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 08 August 2013 16:07

To: Lorraine Cordell

Cc: Jay Lemos

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court (Burglary)

Attachments: [Correspondence.pdf](#)

[Witness Statement 126.pdf](#)

[Exhibits 16.pdf](#)

[Bad character application and previous convictions.pdf](#)

[Unused Material.pdf](#)

Dear Simon / Lorraine

➤ Please find attached copies of the following:

- 1) Case papers the exhibits are colour photographs and Simon are welcome to examine these at the office whenever he wants to.
- 2) Correspondence June & July
- 3) Correspondence August

➤ I may have to send the correspondence as separate attachments.

➤ I have raised with the caseworkers and Michael the absence of telephone call messages.

➤ Can I suggest that you email thorough any requests or text me direct in order that I am kept informed as to any queries you / Simon may have.

Regards

Josephine

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

64. Lorraine Cordell\_Re\_Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

/ Page Numbers: 130

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 08 August 2013 16:08

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

➤ Hi Jose, yep you can pick it up as Simon has now already left to go home

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 08 August 2013 15:12

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

Lorraine

Thank you for the letter.

➤ Can you please forward a signed copy, or I can collect it when I am taking client's instructions for the Woolwich matter?

Regards

Josephine

9

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

65. Lorraine Cordell\_Re\_Simon's letter withdrawing complaint\_ (1)

/ Page Numbers: 131

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 08 August 2013 16:13

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

Hi Jose

- yep, can you pick it up as Simon already left to go home so is not here now.
- Also, I got for to say I sent the letters over for Tyrone the pc has replied to say she got it the probation has not yet replied.
- But Tyrone failed his Drugs test today, so I don't know what's going to go on now he has been off of it since he went to court but it still showed up in his system.
- The person who did the test wants to help Tyrone or so she says Tyrone said before all this went on about Brooke, he was doing so well to her and passing all the drugs test since all this with Brooke he was so depressed he started again.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 15:12

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

Lorraine

Thank you for the letter.

- Can you please forward a signed copy, or I can collect it when I am taking client's instructions for the Woolwich matter?

Regards

Josephine

10

11

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

"Regina v. Simon Cordell"

/ Page Numbers: 133

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 August 2013 12:29

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

- I am in the process of getting Simon's correspondence bundle scanned so that this can be emailed to

127

- you and Michael Carroll / Edel Speirits.
- Unfortunately, as Simon has raised a complaint and is considering contacting the Legal Ombudsman then this will now have to be resolved as a matter of urgency.
- I need confirmation as to whether Simon wants Michael himself, or Edel to investigate the complaint.
- Again, if Simon can confirm this in writing, please so that I can get this matter resolved, hopefully to the satisfaction of all parties.
- I understand that you are saying that Simon does not have a problem with me but I am the designated fee earner on the file and as such I have the overall responsibility, the buck stops with me.
- The case papers have arrived this morning and I will scan and email you a copy before the close of business today.
- Can you please confirm dates when Simon will be available to attend the office to give his instructions on the case papers so that a defence case statement can be prepared?
- Lorraine unfortunately I cannot now deal with Simon's case until his complaint has been resolved and another case worker will have to be resolved in the interim.
- Please confirm the name of the person you want this investigate this matter by return email.

Regards

Josephine

**09/08/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

10/08/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

66. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (3) /

**Page Numbers:** 134,135,136,137,138,139,140,141

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

67. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (3) /

**Page Numbers:** 142,143,144,145

Gazebo Case!

DWP!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

68. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Ponders End Floor Plan /

**Page Numbers:** 146,147

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

69. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Ponders End Floor Plan\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 148

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

70. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon Cordell\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 149

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

71. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Ponders End Floor Plan\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 150

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

72. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Ponders End Floor Plan\_ (3) /

**Page Numbers:** 151

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

**Date: Sat, Aug 10, 2013 at 1:26 PM**

**From:** Mother

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on Gazebo Case!

DWP!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

66. JOSEPHINE Ward\_Regina v\_ (3)

/ Page Numbers: 134,135,136,137,138,139,140,141

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 10 August 2013 12:23

To: Lorraine Cordell; [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

Subject: plea and Regina v. Simon Cordell for case management hearing on **4th September 2013** at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

- Thank you for your telephone call today.
- I am copying Michael Carroll into this email as he is now overseeing and monitoring all the work that I undertake in this case to ensure that I am preparing your case properly and to your satisfaction and complying with your instructions.
- I set out below the main content of our conversation but if I have left anything out, please come back to me as soon as possible.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

- Simon, you confirmed that your benefits had been suspended and you did not have the funds to attend the police station every day.
- You stated that Edmonton Police Station is a 2-mile walk from where you currently live.
- You have asked me to make an application to remove this bail condition.

**Secondly,**

- you have asked that your curfew be suspended for an up-and-coming festival in Enfield.

**Thirdly,**

- you have asked me to consider the merits of making an application to dismiss the charges against you based on the fact that you entered a building that was being squatted in and therefore you were not a trespasser.

**Fourthly**

- you stated that the photographs sent to you were of poor quality and were in black and white.

**Fifth,**

- you complained that the barrister did not present your case properly.

**Six,**

- you requested your case papers from the Winchester case.
- I will deal with each of the points that you have raised and the agreed action.

**Point (1)**

- I can make this application to remove the reporting the condition.
- I can source a map from the internet to show the distance and I can also show bus prices on an Oyster card £2.80 per day in fares as I assume only one bus is required.
- If I am wrong in this assumption,
- can you, please confirm by email the number of buses and the routes that the buses take at your earliest convenience.
- Can you also please ask your mother to email over the letters that you have sent to the Benefits Agency appealing and requesting the reinstatement of your benefits as this will assist my application.

**Point (2)**

- Again, I can make an application to suspend your curfew on the dates of the festival but again I need the documentation from the Council regarding this to support your application.

**Point (3)**

- I will have to consider this point in more detail but if I can illustrate an analogy to you which I believe that the Judge will also use.
- Your case is that you were not a trespasser when you entered the building due to notices on both buildings which confirmed they were legal squats.
- You may well be right.
- The law on burglary consists of entry to a building or part of a building, as a trespasser with intent to

**135,**

- either steal item, cause GBH or doing unlawful damage or actually does any of the aforementioned.
- I will deal with the trespass point firstly.



- The notices in relation to the legal squat may well highlight that you were not a trespasser when you entered.
- (I confess that I need to research this point)
- I think that we can also agree that the squatters were not the owners of the building and had not made any claim for adverse possession.
- I have included some information on adverse possession for your ease of reference at the end of this email.
- Even if we can establish that you had a right to be in the building it does not follow that you had a right to any of the contents.
- These would still remain the property of the owner, unless you can provide me with the relevant section / legislation / case law.
- There are a number of instances when a person can enter premises initially by invitation but once they do an act contrary to the right of entry, they then become a trespasser. i.e., a customer in a shop reaching over the counter and taking a sales assistant's purse / phone.
- This is burglary because the person has stolen property (a) that was not for sale (b) that was in a part of the building where the public did not have access.
- The other stumbling block to an application to dismiss is your police interview.
- You accept presence and you accept purchasing items of garden furniture from a male called Mohammed.
- You state that you have a receipt.
- This is a trial point and one to be left to the jury as to whether you believed that Mohammed was lawfully entitled to sell the goods to you.
- Whilst considering this please bear in mind that although the notices allowed entry once Mohammed assumed the rights of the owner by disposing of the property and selling it, he then arguably became a trespasser.
- I am not concerned about the damage caused to the building as you state that this was caused on a previous occasion so I should be able to establish this from the CPS in my disclosure requests in your defence case statement.

#### **Point (4)**

- I can easily remedy this issue by bringing the photographs with me when I attend your mother's address near the end of next week.
- I will confirm the day closer to the time and I am grateful for your consideration and understanding that I cannot at this stage give you a fixed appointment.
- As you are no doubt aware my diary varies from day to day and emergencies do arise.

#### **Point (5)**

- Unfortunately, I am only made aware of problems when clients tell me they are unhappy.
- I forwarded the correspondence bundle to your mother which demonstrated how much work I have actually undertaken in your case to date, both during social and unsocial house and even when I have been on annual leave.

#### **Point (6)**

- I will email the case papers over on the Winchester case under separate email as this is a separate case.

#### **NEXT ACTION REQUIRED BY YOU:**

- 1) Emails to Benefits Agency re appealing and requesting reinstatement of your Benefits
- 2) Documentation re negotiations with Enfield Council and confirmation of date when festival will take place.
- 3) Receipt from Focus confirming that the gazebo in the back garden of your property was not stolen.
- 4) List of witnesses present when you purchased the garden furniture
- 5) Receipt for the garden furniture

- I hope that this email clarifies the information that I require.

#### **NEXT ACTION BY MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

- Once I receive the above information, I will email the Crown Court and the CPS, and I will request an urgent application to remove the reporting conditions on the grounds of financial hardship.

**136.**

- I will confirm a visit nearer the end of next week in order that you can see the colour photographs.

➤ I will confirm with the barrister that they are happy to use black and white pictures and if this is the case, I will give you the colour set.

➤ Should you have any further questions then please email me back so that I can assist you further.

Regards

Josephine

**PS**

➤ Below I have attached some information regarding the law of adverse possession

#### **The new regime –**

➤ a brief overview Prior to the coming into force of the LRA 2002, a squatter could acquire the right to be registered as proprietor of a registered estate if they had been in adverse possession of the land for a minimum of 12 years.

➤ However, the doctrine of adverse possession did not fit easily with the concept of indefeasibility of title that underlies the system of land registration.

➤ Nor could it be justified by the uncertainties as to ownership which can arise where land is unregistered; the legal estate is vested in the registered proprietor and they are identified in the register.

➤ The LRA 2002 has created a new regime that applies only to registered land.

➤ This new regime is set out in Schedule 6 to the Act.

➤ It makes it more likely that a registered proprietor will be able to prevent an application for adverse possession of their land being completed.

➤ The following paragraphs provide a brief overview of the new regime; the remaining sections of this guide discuss it in more detail.

➤ Adverse possession of registered land for 12 years of itself will no longer affect the registered proprietor's title. After 10 years' adverse possession, the squatter will be entitled to apply to be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.

➤ On such an application being made the registered proprietor (and certain other persons interested in the land) will be notified and given the opportunity to oppose the application.

➤ If the application is not opposed<sup>1</sup>, the squatter will be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.

➤ If the application is opposed, it will be rejected unless either: it would be unconscionable because of an equity by estoppel for the registered proprietor to seek to dispossess the squatter and the squatter ought in the circumstances to be registered as proprietor the squatter is for some other reason entitled to be registered as proprietor, or the squatter has been in adverse possession of land adjacent to their own under the mistaken but reasonable belief that they are the owner of it, the exact line of the boundary with this adjacent land has not been determined and the estate to which the application relates was registered more than a year prior to the date of the application.

➤ In the event that the application is rejected but the squatter remains in adverse possession for a further two years, they will then be able, subject to certain exceptions, to reapply to be registered as proprietor and this time will be so registered whether or not anyone opposes the

#### **137.**

application.

➤ I by 'opposed' we mean that a counter notice is served; see section 8 Giving counter notice to the registrar in response to notice.

➤ Instead, or at the same time, the registered proprietor may object to the application on the ground that there has not been the necessary 10 years' adverse possession; see section 7 Objecting to the squatter's application for the implications of such an objection.

#### **138.**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 August 2013 12:23

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at

Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

➤ Thank you for your telephone call today.

- I am copying Michael Carroll into this email as he is now overseeing and monitoring all the work that I undertake in this case to ensure that I am preparing your case properly and to your satisfaction and complying with your instructions.
- I set out below the main content of our conversation but if I have left anything out, please come back to me as soon as possible.

### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

- Simon, you confirmed that your benefits had been suspended and you did not have the funds to attend the police station every day.
- You stated that Edmonton Police Station is a 2-mile walk from where you currently live.
- You have asked me to make an application to remove this bail condition.

### **Secondly,**

- you have asked that your curfew be suspended for an up-and-coming festival in Enfield.

### **Thirdly,**

- you have asked me to consider the merits of making an application to dismiss the charges against you based on the fact that you entered a building that was being squatted in and therefore you were not a trespasser.

### **Fourthly**

- you stated that the photographs sent to you were of poor quality and were in black and white.

### **Fifth,**

- you complained that the barrister did not present your case properly.

### **Six,**

- you requested your case papers from the Winchester case.
- I will deal with each of the points that you have raised and the agreed action.

### **Point (1)**

- I can make this application to remove the reporting the condition.
- I can source a map from the internet to show the distance and I can also show bus prices on an Oyster card £2.80 per day in fares as I assume only one bus is required.
- If I am wrong in this assumption,
- can you, please confirm by email the number of buses and the routes that the buses take at your earliest convenience.
- Can you also please ask your mother to email over the letters that you have sent to the Benefits Agency appealing and requesting the reinstatement of your benefits as this will assist my application.

### **Point (2)**

- Again, I can make an application to suspend your curfew on the dates of the festival but again I need the documentation from the Council regarding this to support your application.

### **Point (3)**

- I will have to consider this point in more detail but if I can illustrate an analogy to you which I believe that the Judge will also use.
- Your case is that you were not a trespasser when you entered the building due to notices on both buildings which confirmed they were legal squats.
- You may well be right.
- The law on burglary consists of entry to a building or part of a building, as a trespasser with intent to

### **139,**

either steal item, cause GBH or doing unlawful damage or actually does any of the aforementioned.

- I will deal with the trespass point firstly.
- The notices in relation to the legal squat may well highlight that you were not a trespasser when you entered.
- (I confess that I need to research this point)
- I think that we can also agree that the squatters were not the owners of the building and had not made any claim for adverse possession.
- I have included some information on adverse possession for your ease of reference at the end of this email.
- Even if we can establish that you had a right to be in the building it does not follow that you had a right to any of the contents.
- These would still remain the property of the owner, unless you can provide me with the relevant section / legislation / case law.

- There are a number of instances when a person can enter premises initially by invitation but once they do an act contrary to the right of entry, they then become a trespasser. i.e., a customer in a shop reaching over the counter and taking a sales assistant's purse / phone.
- This is burglary because the person has stolen property (a) that was not for sale (b) that was in a part of the building where the public did not have access.
- The other stumbling block to an application to dismiss is your police interview.
- You accept presence and you accept purchasing items of garden furniture from a male called Mohammed.
- You state that you have a receipt.
- This is a trial point and one to be left to the jury as to whether you believed that Mohammed was lawfully entitled to sell the goods to you.
- Whilst considering this please bear in mind that although the notices allowed entry once Mohammed assumed the rights of the owner by disposing of the property and selling it, he then arguably became a trespasser.
- I am not concerned about the damage caused to the building as you state that this was caused on a previous occasion so I should be able to establish this from the CPS in my disclosure requests in your defence case statement.

**Point (4)**

- I can easily remedy this issue by bringing the photographs with me when I attend your mother's address near the end of next week.
- I will confirm the day closer to the time and I am grateful for your consideration and understanding that I cannot at this stage give you a fixed appointment.
- As you are no doubt aware my diary varies from day to day and emergencies do arise.

**Point (5)**

- Unfortunately, I am only made aware of problems when clients tell me they are unhappy.
- I forwarded the correspondence bundle to your mother which demonstrated how much work I have actually undertaken in your case to date, both during social and unsocial house and even when I have been on annual leave.

**Point (6)**

- [I will email the case papers over on the Winchester case under separate email as this is a separate case.](#)

**NEXT ACTION REQUIRED BY YOU:**

- 6) Emails to Benefits Agency re appealing and requesting reinstatement of your Benefits
- 7) Documentation re negotiations with Enfield Council and confirmation of date when festival will take place.
- 8) Receipt from Focus confirming that the gazebo in the back garden of your property was not stolen.
- 9) List of witnesses present when you purchased the garden furniture
- 10) Receipt for the garden furniture

- I hope that this email clarifies the information that I require.

**NEXT ACTION BY MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

- Once I receive the above information, I will email the Crown Court and the CPS, and I will request an urgent application to remove the reporting conditions on the grounds of financial hardship.

**140.**

- I will confirm a visit nearer the end of next week in order that you can see the colour photographs.
- I will confirm with the barrister that they are happy to use black and white pictures and if this is the case, I will give you the colour set.
- Should you have any further questions then please email me back so that I can assist you further.

Regards  
Josephine

**PS**

- Below I have attached some information regarding the law of adverse possession

**The new regime –**

- a brief overview Prior to the coming into force of the LRA 2002, a squatter could acquire the right to be registered as proprietor of a registered estate if they had been in adverse possession of the land for a minimum of 12 years.

- However, the doctrine of adverse possession did not fit easily with the concept of indefeasibility of title that underlies the system of land registration.
- Nor could it be justified by the uncertainties as to ownership which can arise where land is unregistered; the legal estate is vested in the registered proprietor and they are identified in the register.
- The LRA 2002 has created a new regime that applies only to registered land.
- This new regime is set out in Schedule 6 to the Act.
- It makes it more likely that a registered proprietor will be able to prevent an application for adverse possession of their land being completed.
- The following paragraphs provide a brief overview of the new regime; the remaining sections of this guide discuss it in more detail.
- Adverse possession of registered land for 12 years of itself will no longer affect the registered proprietor's title. After 10 years' adverse possession, the squatter will be entitled to apply to be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.
- On such an application being made the registered proprietor (and certain other persons interested in the land) will be notified and given the opportunity to oppose the application.
- If the application is not opposed<sup>1</sup>, the squatter will be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.
- If the application is opposed, it will be rejected unless either: it would be unconscionable because of an equity by estoppel for the registered proprietor to seek to dispossess the squatter and the squatter ought in the circumstances to be registered as proprietor the squatter is for some other reason entitled to be registered as proprietor, or the squatter has been in adverse possession of land adjacent to their own under the mistaken but reasonable belief that they are the owner of it, the exact line of the boundary with this adjacent land has not been determined and the estate to which the application relates was registered more than a year prior to the date of the application.
- In the event that the application is rejected but the squatter remains in adverse possession for a further two years, they will then be able, subject to certain exceptions, to reapply to be registered as proprietor and this time will be so registered whether or not anyone opposes the

#### 141.

application.

- I by 'opposed' we mean that a counter notice is served; see section 8 Giving counter notice to the registrar in response to notice.
- Instead, or at the same time, the registered proprietor may object to the application on the ground that there has not been the necessary 10 years' adverse possession; see section 7 Objecting to the squatter's application for the implications of such an objection.

#### 2

#### **The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

67. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (3)

/ Page Numbers: 142,143,144,145

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 10 August 2013 13:27

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013

at Woolwich Crown Court

**Attachments:** [Sim DWP.doc](#); [SimBaljitsaimbiIncomesurport.doc](#)

Hi Josey

- I send you the letters 1st to the DWP as to what I have written to them to get Simon claim reopened for benefit.
- I will need to list everything you covered 1 by 1 if that's ok so please see attached letters for Simon Benefit.

Lorraine

#### 143.

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 August 2013 12:23

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at Woolwich Crown Court  
**144,**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 August 2013 12:23

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at Woolwich Crown Court

**145**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 August 2013 12:23

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at Woolwich Crown Court

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

68. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Ponders End Floor Plan

/ **Page Numbers:** 146,147

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 10 August 2013 13:40

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject:** FW: Ponders End Floor Plan

**Attachments:** [Pondersendpage01.jpg](#);

[PonderendPage02.jpg](#)

Hi Josey

- There is going to be 2 emails for the Ponders End Festivals 2013 for Enfield Council I had to forward these from Simon Email to mine so I can send them to you.
- I have also made a copy of the flyer and will attach this to this email.
- Simon is meant to be supplying all the power for this event with his Gen and if all goes well, he will be the main person supplying all things for Enfield Council events from this point on.
- He will be given the main contract if all works ok with this one.
- He has not told Omar Lawrence who sets up these events for Enfield Council as to this case, and if the court would want to contract him, please let Simon know as this he will need to address with the Omar Lawrence.
- I will send the floor plan over next which is in PDF format.

Lorraine

**From:** Rewired [Mail To: [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 August 2013 13:06

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Fwd.: Ponders End Floor Plan

Forwarded

**Message From:**

Rewired <[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)>

**To:** Omar Lawrence <[omar.niburumedia@gmail.com](mailto:omar.niburumedia@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, 5 August 2013, 19:07

**Subject: Re:** Ponders End Floor Plan

Dear Omar Lawrence

- Thank you for the information I am meeting my electrician tomorrow 06/08/2013 where I should get the information that you will need as to all the power cables needed and if we have them in stock or will need to be hire in.
- The electrical certificate for the Generator you will need to be handed in for the event, and the public liability for the space ball.
- All of this will be addressed this week and I will forward you all the information you need and any think that maybe of help for your event.

Simon.

**From:** Omar Lawrence <[omar.niburumedia@gmail.com](mailto:omar.niburumedia@gmail.com)>  
**To:** too smooth <[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 1 August 2013, 15:00  
**Subject:** re: Ponders End Floor Plan  
**147**  
FYI...  
OMAR

**4**  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

69. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Ponders End Floor Plan\_ (1)  
/ **Page Numbers:** 148  
**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]  
**Sent:** 10 August 2013 13:41  
**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'  
**Subject:** FW: Ponders End Floor Plan  
**Attachments:** [floor plan ponders end festival.pdf](#)  
Hi Josey  
➤ Here is the floor plan for Ponders End Festival.  
Lorraine

**From:** Rewired [**Mail To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)]  
**Sent:** 10 August 2013 13:07  
**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)  
**Subject:** Fwd.: Ponders End Floor Plan  
Forwarded  
**Message From:**  
Omar Lawrence <[omar.@gmail.com](mailto:omar.@gmail.com)>  
**To:** too smooth <[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 1 August 2013, 15:00  
**Subject:** re: Ponders End Floor Plan  
FYI...  
OMAR

**5**  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

70. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon Cordell\_ (1)  
/ **Page Numbers:** 149  
**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]  
**Sent:** 10 August 2013 14:43  
**To:** Lorraine Cordell  
**Subject:** Simon Cordell  
Lorraine

- Please send me the emails again re Omar Lawrence on their own without making reference to Simon not disclosing his court case to Omar Lawrence so send a fresh email as opposed to a reply to one that I have sent you otherwise all the emails will be included.
- I will now progress the application to vary Simon's bail and I will copy you in on the emails. One observation that I do make and the Judge will pick up on this is the time of the festival from 12pm 6pm. Whilst the Judge may extend the curfew time, he may not suspend it.
- However, if Simon is remaining on site with his equipment overnight then I can include this with my representations for bail.
- Please get back to me.

Regards  
Josephine

**6**  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

71. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Ponders End Floor Plan\_ (2)  
/ **Page Numbers:** 150  
**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]



**Sent:** 10 August 2013 15:20  
**To:** 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'  
**Subject:** FW: Ponders End Floor Plan

**From:** Rewired [Mail To: [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 August 2013 13:06  
**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)  
**Subject:** Fwd.: Ponders End Floor Plan

Forwarded

**Message From:**

Rewired <[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)>  
**To:** Omar Lawrence <[omar.niburumedia@gmail.com](mailto:omar.niburumedia@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, 5 August 2013, 19:07  
**Subject:** Re: Ponders End Floor Plan

Dear Omar Lawrence

- Thank you for the information I am meeting my electrician tomorrow 06/08/2013 where I should get the information that you will need as to all the power cables needed and if we have them in stock or will need to be hire in.
- The electrical certificate for the Generator you will need to be handed in for the event, and the public liability for the space ball.
- All of this will be addressed this week and I will forward you all the information you need and any think that maybe of help for your event.

Simon.

**From:** Omar Lawrence <[omar.@gmail.com](mailto:omar.@gmail.com)>  
**To:** too smooth <[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 1 August 2013, 15:00  
**Subject:** re: Ponders End Floor Plan  
FYI...  
OMAR

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

72. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Ponders End Floor Plan\_ (3)  
/ Page Numbers: 151

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]  
**Sent:** 10 August 2013 15:20  
**To:** 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'  
**Subject:** FW: Ponders End Floor Plan

**Attachments:** [floor plan ponders end festival.pdf](#)

**From:** Rewired [Mail To: [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 August 2013 13:07  
**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)  
**Subject:** Fwd.: Ponders End Floor Plan

Forwarded

**Message From:**

Omar Lawrence <[omar.@gmail.com](mailto:omar.@gmail.com)>  
**To:** too smooth <[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 1 August 2013, 15:00  
**Subject:** re: Ponders End Floor Plan

FYI...

OMAR

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

**Date:** Sat, Aug 10, 2013 at 1:26 PM

**From:** Mother

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on

**04th September 2013**

at Woolwich Crown Court



To: JOSEPHINE WARD

Hi, Josey,

- I send you the letters 1st to the DWP as to what I wrote to them to get Simon claim reopened for the benefit.
- I will need to list everything you covered 1 by 1 if that's ok so please see attached letters of Simon Benefit.

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

73. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's application to vary his curfew for 25th & 26th August 2013 (12pm - 6pm) /

**Page Numbers:** 152

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

74. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (4) /

**Page Numbers:** 153

Gazebo Case!

DWP!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

75. JOSEPHINE WARD Regina v\_ (5) /

**Page Numbers:** 154

Gazebo Case!

DWP!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

343. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Omar Lawrence /

**Page Numbers:** 155

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

76. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (6) /

**Page Numbers:** 156,157

Bail Variation!

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

Solicitors Miss Ward Bail Variation Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 158

Gazebo Case!

DWP!

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Bail Variation Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 159

Gazebo Case!

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Bail Variation Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 160,161

Gazebo Case!

9

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 162,163,164, 165,166,  
Gazebo Case!

**10**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 167

Gazebo Case!

**11**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 168

Gazebo Case!

**12**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Blank /

**Page Numbers:** 169,170, 171,172,

**Tyrone Benjamin**

**13**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 173

Gazebo Case!

**14**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 174,175,176

Gazebo Case!

**15**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 177,178

Gazebo Case!

**16**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

151. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Bail variation /

**Page Numbers:** 350,

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

73. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's application to vary his curfew for 25th & 26th August 2013 (12pm - 6pm)

/ Page Numbers: 152

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 11 August 2013 13:19

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon's application to vary his curfew for **25th & 26th August 2013** (12pm 6pm)  
Lorraine

- Thank you for your emails to date in respect of this matter.
- The emails that you have forwarded does not specifically state that Simon has been booked so I will need an email confirming this and the agreed fee etc.
- Can you also please confirm the number of days that the festival goes on for and the duration.
- The flyer refers to **26th August 2013** from 12pm 6pm but there is no time specified for the **25th August 2013**.
- The application that I will be making will be for curfew suspension from **25<sup>th</sup> and 26th August 2013**.
- The Judge will however require information as to why he requires the whole of the **26th** bearing in mind that the festival runs from 12pm 6pm.
- Can you provide additional instructions on this please and also an email confirming that Simon is providing the entertainment?
- I will proceed and try to get the reporting condition relaxed in the interim and will await your instructions in relation to the above.

Regards  
Josephine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

74. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (4)

/ Page Numbers: 153

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 11 August 2013 14:02

To: [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk);

[kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk); Lorraine

Cordell; Jay Lemos

Cc: [jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary conditions of bail at Woolwich Crown Court on

16th August 2013 if matter cannot be resolved and agreed administratively

Attachments: [APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.pdf](#);

[DOCUMENTATION IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL 110813.pdf](#);

[INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF VARYING THE BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.doc](#)

Dear Sir or Madam

- We continue to act on behalf of our above-named client under the terms of a legal representation order.
- Our client has instructed us to make an application to vary his bail conditions so that the reporting condition is removed in its entirety, and if an alternative bail condition is required then his mother is willing to stand surety **£1,000**.

**We attach the following:**

- 1) Reasons for application
  - 2) Notice of application for court to consider bail
  - 3) Map printed from internet supporting the distance from the client's address to the local police station
- We also add that £19.60 represents 40% of his benefits when they are reinstated, and this will cause our client financial hardship.
  - We would be grateful if you oppose this application that a representative is available for the application to be determined in Court on **16th August 2013**

➤ We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

75. JOSEPHINE WARD Regina v\_ (5)

/ Page Numbers: 154

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 11 August 2013 14:12

**To:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

Jay Lemos

Lorraine Cordell;

[michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

[kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk);

[jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell Bail variation request **16th August 2013**

➤ if not agreed administratively

**Attachments:** [letters to Job centre evidence for bail variation 110813.pdf](#)

Dear Sirs

➤ We refer to the above.

➤ We forgot to attach the letters sent by the family to the Job Centre.

➤ There were a number of telephone calls also made but regrettably these are not in documentary format.

➤ Please ensure that this is married to the documentation sent on our earlier email at 2.02pm.

➤ We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

343. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Omar Lawrence

/ Page Numbers: 155

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 11 August 2013 14:15

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Omar Lawrence Lorraine / Simon

➤ The CPS and Court will undoubtedly wish to contact Omar Lawrence so if Simon will have to consider putting Mr Lawrence on notice re this.

Regards

Josephine

**5**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

76. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (6)

/ Page Numbers: 156,157

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 11 August 2013 16:38

**To:** [Re\\_wired\\_@ymail.com](mailto:Re_wired_@ymail.com)

Lorraine Cordell

[michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell further application to vary bail and suspend curfew on **25th and 26th August 2013**

Dear Simon / Lorraine

➤ Simon it is my understanding that your mother is having internet difficulties at present.

➤ I sent over a number of emails this weekend which I will try to forward over to you from my trash box.

**Re:** application to remove reporting condition.

I confirm that I have lodged this application already.

**Re: application to suspend curfew for 25<sup>th</sup> And 26th August 2013**

Simon,

- I have requested written confirmation from Omar Lawrence that you have been awarded the contract together with the particulars of the contract as the Court will undoubtedly ask for this information.
- There is also a risk that the Court / Police will check that the contract is genuine, and this may well entail telephoning Omar Lawrence to check the detail.
- It is not unusual for the court to check bail addresses by analogy, so I am merely putting you on notice of this fact.
- I will not have any control over the information that is provided by the police to Mr Omar Lawrence, but they will have to identify themselves as police officers and this may raise questions about why police are making these enquiries.
- Your mother has explained that you may lose the contract as a result of this and unfortunately,
- I do not have any control over this.

**The options therefore available to you are as follows:**

- 1) Attend the festival during the curfew hours and then go home
  - 2) Apply to the court to vary the electronic curfew and request that the court do not disclose details of your criminal history or current court case.
  - 3) However, Mr Lawrence may well wonder why an enquiry has been made
  - 4) Do not make any application and take your chances this is not advised as you will be arrested for breaching your bail and there is a high risk of remand to prison until the conclusion of your case
  - 5) Discuss the matter with Omar Lawrence beforehand so that he is aware, and he can confirm this in the email to the court.
  - 6) The risk with this is that he may use you for this festival but may seek an alternative resource next year
  - 7) The final option of course is not to make the application and attend in person during your curfew hours and get an alternative person who you trust to look after your equipment overnight on **25th August 2013**
- This option allows you to retain the potential for further contracts via your company, ensures that future clients are not aware of your previous criminal history and will also safeguard any future contracts with Enfield Council.
  - Clearly this is a matter that you need to consider very carefully and weigh up the pros and cons of each option.
  - I am aware how important this festival is to you and your business.
  - If you decide to make the application to vary bail, then I will need the following from you by **15th August 2013:**
    - A. Letter from Omar Lawrence that you are hired for the festival

**157**

- I will need this information by **15th August** as the court and CPS will require 5 days to agree the matter administratively, failing which I will ask for a fixed hearing on **22<sup>nd</sup> Or 23rd August 2013.**
- I await your instructions with regards to the above matter.
- I have confirmed to your mother that I will require your instructions in writing.
- Should you have any questions then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Josephine

**PS**

- I will endeavour to send all other emails from trash box.
- Your mother has copies on her system.

**6**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

Solicitors Miss Ward Bail Variation Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 158

**Subject:** Fwd.: Regina v. Simon Cordell Bail variation request **16th August 2013**

- if not agreed administratively

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

To: [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:42

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Date: Sun, Aug 11, 2013 at 2:11 PM

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell Bail variation request  
16th August 2013

if not agreed administratively

To: [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

Jay Lemos

[jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk)

Lorraine

Cordell

<[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

[michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

[kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk),

[jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

Dear Sirs

- We refer to the above.
- We forgot to attach the letters sent by the family to the Job Centre.
- There were a number of telephone calls also made but regrettably these are not in documentary format.
- Please ensure that this is married to the documentation sent on our earlier email at 2.02pm.
- We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**Attachments**

[letters to Job centre evidence for bail variation 110813.pdf \(35.51 KB\)](#)

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Bail Variation Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 159

Subject: Fwd.: Simon's application to vary his curfew for 25th & 26th August 2013 (12pm - 6pm)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

To: [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:43

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Date: Sun, Aug 11, 2013 at 1:18 PM

Subject: Simon's application to vary his curfew for 25th & 26th August 2013 (12pm - 6pm)

To: Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)>

Lorraine

- Thank you for your emails to date in respect of this matter.
- The emails that you have forwarded does not specifically state that Simon has been booked so I will need an email confirming this and the agreed fee etc.
- Can you also please confirm the number of days that the festival goes on for and the duration.
- The flyer refers to **26th August 2013** from 12pm 6pm but there is no time specified for the **25th August 2013**.
- The application that I will be making will be for curfew suspension from **25<sup>th</sup>** and **26th August 2013**.
- The Judge will however require information as to why he requires the whole of the **26th** bearing in mind that the festival runs from 12pm 6pm.
- Can you provide additional instructions on this please and also an email confirming that Simon is providing the entertainment?

➤ I will proceed and try to get the reporting condition relaxed in the interim and will await your instructions in relation to the above.

Regards  
Josephine

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Bail Variation Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 160,161

**Subject:** Fwd.: Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary conditions of bail at Woolwich Crown Court on 16th August 2013

➤ if matter cannot be resolved and agree

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:43

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

**Date:** Sun, Aug 11, 2013 at 2:02 PM

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary conditions of bail at Woolwich Crown Court on 16th

August 2013 if matter cannot be resolved and agreed administratively

**To:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

[kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

Lorraine Cordell

<[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

Jay Lemos

[jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk)

**Cc:** [jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

Dear Sir or Madam

➤ We continue to act on behalf of our above-named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

➤ Our client has instructed us to make an application to vary his bail conditions so that the reporting condition is removed in its entirety, and if an alternative bail condition is required then his mother is willing to stand surety **£1,000**.

**We attach the following:**

1) Reasons for application

2) Notice of application for court to consider bail

3) Map printed from internet supporting the distance from the client's address to the local police station

➤ We also add that £19.60 represents 40% of his benefits when they are reinstated, and this will cause our client financial hardship.

➤ We would be grateful if you oppose this application that a representative is available for the application to be determined in Court on **16th August 2013**

➤ We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**Attachments**

[APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.pdf \(69.81 KB\)](#)

[DOCUMENTATION IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL 110813.pdf \(81.43 KB\)](#)

[161](#)

[INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF VARYING THE BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.doc \(21.50 KB\)](#)

9

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 162,163,164, 165,166,



**Subject:** Fwd.: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on **4th September 2013** at Woolwich Crown Court  
**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))  
**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);  
**Date:** Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:45  
FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)>  
**Date:** Sat, Aug 10, 2013 at 1:26 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on **4th September 2013**  
At Woolwich Crown Court  
**To:** JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Hi Josey

- I send you the letters 1st to the DWP as to what I have written to them to get Simon claim reopened for benefit.
- I will need to list everything you covered 1 by 1 if that's ok so please see attached letters for Simon Benefit.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]  
**Sent:** 10 August 2013 12:23  
**To:** Lorraine Cordell; [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)  
**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on **4th September 2013**

at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

Thank you for your telephone call today. I am copying Michael Carroll into this email as he is now overseeing and monitoring all the work that I undertake in this case to ensure that I am preparing your case properly and to your satisfaction and complying with your instructions. I set out below the main content of our conversation but if I have left anything out please come back to me as soon as possible.

**163.**

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

Simon you confirmed that your benefits had been suspended and you did not have the funds to attend the police station every day. You stated that Edmonton Police Station is a 2-mile walk from where you currently live. You have asked me to make an application to remove this bail condition.

**Secondly**, you have asked that your curfew be suspended for an up-and-coming festival in Enfield.

**Thirdly**, you have asked me to consider the merits of making an application to dismiss the charges against you based on the fact that you entered a building that was being squatted in and therefore you were not a trespasser.

**Fourthly** you stated that the photographs sent to you were of poor quality and were in black and white.

**Fifth**, you complained that the barrister did not present your case properly.

**Six**, you requested your case papers from the Winchester case. I will deal with each of the points that you have raised and the agreed action.

**Point (1)** I can make this application to remove the reporting the condition. I can source a map from the internet to show the distance and I can also show bus prices on an Oyster card £2.80 per day in fares as I assume only one bus is required. If I am wrong in this assumption can you please confirm by email the number of buses and the routes that the buses take at your earliest convenience. Can you also please ask your mother to email over the letters that you have sent to the Benefits Agency appealing and requesting the re-instatement of your benefits as this will assist my application.

**Point (2)** Again I can make an application to suspend your curfew on the dates of the festival but again I need the documentation from the Council regarding this to support your application.

**Point (3)** I will have to consider this point in more detail but if I can illustrate an analogy to you which I believe that the Judge will also use. Your case is that you were not a trespasser when you entered the building due to notices on both buildings which confirmed they were legal

squats. You may well be right. The law on burglary consists of entry to a building or part of a building, as a trespasser with intent to either steal item, cause GBH or doing unlawful damage or actually does any of the aforementioned. I will deal with the trespass point firstly. The notices in relation to the legal squat may well highlight that you were not a trespasser when you entered. (I confess that I need to research this point) I think that we can also agree that the squatters were not the owners of the building and had not made any claim for adverse possession. I have included some information on adverse possession for your ease of reference at the end of this email. Even if we can establish that you had a right to be in the building it does not follow that you had a right to any of the contents. These would still remain the property of the owner, unless you can provide me with the relevant section / legislation / case law. There are a number of instances when a person can enter premises initially by invitation but once they do an act contrary to the right of entry, they then become a trespasser. i.e., a customer in a shop reaching over the counter and taking a sales assistant's purse / phone. This is burglary because the person has stolen property

(a) that was not for sale

(b) that was in a part of the building where the public did not have access. The other stumbling block to an application to dismiss is your police interview. You accept presence and you accept purchasing items of garden furniture from a male called Mohammed. You state that you have a receipt. This is a trial point and one to be left to the jury as to whether you believed that Mohammed was lawfully

**164.**

[Sim-DWP.doc \(27.50 KB\)](#)

[Sim-Baliit-saimbi-Income-support.doc \(25.50 KB\)](#)

**165.**

Prior to the coming into force of the LRA 2002, a squatter could acquire the right to be registered as proprietor of a registered estate if they had been in adverse possession of the land for a minimum of 12 years. However, the doctrine of adverse possession did not fit easily with the concept of indefeasibility of title that underlies the system of land registration. Nor could it be justified by the uncertainties as to ownership which can arise where land is unregistered; the legal estate is vested in the registered proprietor and they are identified in the register. The LRA 2002 has created a new regime that applies only to registered land. This new regime is set out in Schedule 6 to the Act. It makes it more likely that a registered proprietor will be able to prevent an application for adverse possession of their land being completed. The following paragraphs provide a brief overview of the new regime; the remaining sections of this guide discuss it in more detail.

Adverse possession of registered land for 12 years of itself will no longer affect the registered proprietor's title.

After 10 years' adverse possession, the squatter will be entitled to apply to be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.

On such an application being made the registered proprietor (and certain other persons interested in the land) will be notified and given the opportunity to oppose the application.

If the application is not opposed<sup>1</sup>, the squatter will be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.

If the application is opposed, it will be rejected unless either:

it would be unconscionable because of an equity by estoppel for the registered proprietor to seek to dispossess the squatter and the squatter ought in the circumstances to be registered as proprietor

the squatter is for some other reason entitled to be registered as proprietor, or

the squatter has been in adverse possession of land adjacent to their own under the mistaken but reasonable belief that they are the owner of it, the exact line of the boundary with this adjacent land has not been determined and the estate to which the application relates was registered more than a year prior to the date of the application.

In the event that the application is rejected but the squatter remains in adverse possession for a further two years, they will then be able, subject to certain exceptions, to reapply to be registered as proprietor and this time will be so registered whether or not anyone opposes the application.

I By 'opposed' we mean that a counter notice is served; see section 8 Giving counter notice to the registrar in response to notice. Instead, or at the same time, the registered proprietor may object to the application on the ground that there has not been the necessary 10 years' adverse possession; see section 7 Objecting to the squatter's application for the implications of such an objection.

**166.**

entitled to sell the goods to you. Whilst considering this please bear in mind that although the notices allowed entry once Mohammed assumed the rights of the owner by disposing of the property and selling it, he then arguably became a trespasser. I am not concerned about the damage caused to the building as you state that this was caused on a previous occasion so I should be able to establish this from the CPS in my disclosure requests in your defence case statement.

**Point (4)** I can easily remedy this issue by bringing the photographs with me when I attend your mother's address near the end of next week. I will confirm the day closer to the time and I am grateful for your consideration and understanding that I cannot at this stage give you a fixed appointment. As you are no doubt aware my diary varies from day to day and emergencies do arise.

**Point (5)** Unfortunately I am only made aware of problems when clients tell me they are unhappy. I forwarded the correspondence bundle to your mother which demonstrated how much work I have actually undertaken in your case to date, both during social and unsocial house and even when I have been on annual leave.

**Point (6)** I will email the case papers over on the Winchester case under separate email as this is a separate case.

**NEXT ACTION REQUIRED BY YOU:**

1. Emails to Benefits Agency re appealing and requesting reinstatement of your Benefits
2. Documentation re negotiations with Enfield Council and confirmation of date when festival will take place.
3. Receipt from Focus confirming that the gazebo in the back garden of your property was not stolen.
4. List of witnesses present when you purchased the garden furniture
5. Receipt for the garden furniture

I hope that this email clarifies the information that I require.

**NEXT ACTION BY MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

Once I receive the above information, I will email the Crown Court and the CPS and I will request an urgent application to remove the reporting conditions on the grounds of financial hardship. I will confirm a visit nearer the end of next week in order that you can see the colour photographs. I will confirm with the barrister that they are happy to use black and white pictures and if this is the case, I will give you the colour set. Should you have any further questions then please email me back so that I can assist you further.

Regards

Josephine

PS Below I have attached some information regarding the law of adverse possession

**The new regime** – a brief overview

**10**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 167

**Subject:** Fwd.: Regina v. Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court (Burglary)

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD (<[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>)

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:46

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD (<[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>)

**Date:** Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 4:06 PM

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court (Burglary)

**To:** Lorraine Cordell (<[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)>)

**Cc:** Jay Lemos (<[jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk)>)

Dear Simon / Lorraine

➤ Please find attached copies of the following:

- 1) Case papers the exhibits are colour photographs and Simon are welcome to examine these at the office whenever he wants to.
- 2) Correspondence June & July
- 3) Correspondence August

- I may have to send the correspondence as separate attachments.
- I have raised with the caseworkers and Michael the absence of telephone call messages.
- Can I suggest that you email thorough any requests or text me direct in order that I am kept informed as to any queries you / Simon may have.

Regards

Josephine

#### Attachments

[Correspondence.pdf \(2.58 MB\)](#)

[Witness Statement 1-26.pdf \(1.26 MB\)](#)

[Exhibits 1-6.pdf \(395.36 KB\)](#)

[Bad character application and previous convictions.pdf \(378.14 KB\)](#)

[Unused Material.pdf \(483.69 KB\)](#)

**11**

#### **The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 168

**Subject:** Fwd.: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on **4th September 2013** at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:46

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

**Date:** Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 2:29 PM

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at

Woolwich Crown Court

**To:** Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)>

Dear Lorraine

- Thank you for the email but I will require written confirmation from Simon to this effect.
- The case papers will be sent over to you before the close of business today and I then want to arrange an appointment so that I can take Simon's instructions and draft his defence case statement.
- Can Simon please provide me with a copy of his receipt for the gazebo as soon as possible as I will have to refer to this in his defence case statement.
- I also need confirmation as to whether Simon was provided with a copy of his interview tape of not as a transcript will have to be prepared.

Regards

Josephine

On **Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 1:43 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Dear Jossey

- Now Simon understands from your email he will not be taking it up with the Ombudsman or putting in a complaint so this can be dropped.

Lorraine

**12**

#### **Tyrone Benjamin**

#### **The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Blank

/ **Page Numbers:** 169,170, 171,172,

**Subject:** Fwd.: Tyrone Benjamin

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:47

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

**Date:** Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 11:48 AM

**Subject: Re:** Tyrone Benjamin

**To:** Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)>

Dear Lorraine / Tyrone

- The letters appear to be very short.
- Can I suggest the following?

Dear Catherine

- Thank you for the help that you gave me up until the date of this incident.
- As you are aware, I have been trying my hardest to beat my addiction to Class A drugs.
- Up until the date of this incident I believed that we worked well together and were making progress.
- Unfortunately, I reacted quite badly to the news from Social Services that I would not be able to see my daughter or have my daughter stay with me at weekends and this was based on information that you provided to Social Services.
- I suffer from anxiety and depression and at the time I just thought about not being able to see my daughter and I blamed you for this.
- I now thought about it and I know that you only did this out of concern for my daughter.
- I am very sorry for my behaviour and I know that you were only doing what you thought was right for my daughter.
- I should not have reacted as I did.
- I was extremely anxious and emotional and all I thought about was not being able to see my daughter so please accept my apologies.

Yours sincerely

Tyrone Benjamin

Dear PC?

- Please accept this letter as an apology for my behaviour on (date).
- You were not aware of the reason for my behaviour at the time, but Social Services had notified me that I would not be allowed to see my daughter or have her stay at my flat.
- I suffer from anxiety and depression and day to day events can affect how I react.
- PC Ainsowrth was speaking to me and because I was emotional and crying, I was embarrassed as I could not control my emotions.
- I did not want people looking at me in that state and I was trying to have a private conversation with PC Ainsowrth.
- I now accept that you were only trying to do your job.
- I did not mean to be offensive, rude or as you perceived threatening.
- This was not my intention although I accept that my behaviour was extremely erratic.

**170.**

- I am not making any excuses, but I am trying to explain what I was feeling on the day.
- I am very sorry, and I hope that I do not behave like this in the future.
- Please accept my apologies.

Yours sincerely

(Name)

These letters are merely suggestions and Tyrone can phrase the letter however he wants.

**The email addresses are as follows:**

- 1) Catherine Chaplin - [Catherine.Chaplin@london.probation.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:Catherine.Chaplin@london.probation.gsi.gov.uk)
- 2) PC Saran Alexandra - [Alexandra.h.saran@met.police.uk](mailto:Alexandra.h.saran@met.police.uk) or
- 3) [Alexandra.Saran@met.pnn.police.uk](mailto:Alexandra.Saran@met.pnn.police.uk)

- Should you have any further queries re this matter or issues / difficulties in sending the emails then please email me back and I will do my best to assist.

Regards

Josephine

On **Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 10:50 AM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:  
Hi josie

- Here are the letters I done they are not long.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 07 August 2013 21:13

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE: Tyrone Benjamin**

Dear Lorraine

**Re: Tyrone Benjamin's case**

- Lorraine the letters are due by **12th August 2013** on my reading of the court note.
- I do not believe that Tyrone will receive a custodial sentence for this.
- If you can ask Tyrone to be at your address for 1pm tomorrow I will attend and help with any amendments before they are emailed.

**171.**

- With regards to the drug testing the barrister's attendance note is silent as to who is responsible for arranging this.
- I will contact Probation tomorrow for confirmation for clarification.
- I believe you informed me that Tyrone missed an appointment.
- You did not say why this happened or indeed who had arranged this appointment.
- I have discussed with you my concerns that Tyrone's behaviour is becoming more erratic and unpredictable.
- Can you please confirm whether any progress has been made with regards to Tyrone accessing treatment via his GP for his addiction and counselling?
- For tomorrow if you can confirm that Tyrone can be at your address for 1pm.
- If I am delayed at court, I will update you at my earliest convenience.

Regards

Josephine

On **Aug 7, 2013 7:33 PM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josephine

- I write this to get an update as to Tyrone case that is due in court on the 12/08/2013.
- Can you please tell me where I will have to call to get an update as to where Tyrone will need to call to get his drugs test done?
- I am going to start and write the letters the best I can and hand them in tomorrow so they cannot say they never got them.
- At this time, it looks as if he can end up going to prison as nothing has been done there is only a few days left till he is due in court.
- Also, can you give me an update to what is going on with Simon case, he is really upset ATM that nothing has been done he also feels that Michael Carroll cannot cope while you are not there.
- Even though he asked the office more than once while you were away on holiday for his case to be listed in court this was not done.
- He was just told by the office he will need to wait till you got back from holiday.
- I have emailed you about his case since you got back from holiday and had no reply to any emails about him.
- Simon himself has also made many calls to the office and still nothing has been done.
- It does seem there is a real break down to Simon case and it is just being left with nothing done or no replies.
- He is now thinking he is going to take this up with the solicitor's ombudsman.
- He is sad it has had to come to this as he has used Michael Carroll for many years as you yourself know.
- I do understand that you are very busy with all the caseloads you have.
- But we are feeling at this point you are the only one doing any work at Michael Carroll.
- And the other people working there cannot cope as to even list his case to the court for an application to vary/remove bail conditions to be listed at court.

**172.**

- At this point we do not even know if Michael Carroll is dealing with his case any longer and just not been told, there for he is asking at this time is Michael Carroll still dealing with his case or not?
- If not, then can you let us know by tomorrow the latest so that he can get a new solicitor.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

**Tyrone Benjamin**



**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 173

**Subject:** Fwd.: Simon's court attendance notes for 16th July 2013

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:47

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

**Date:** Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 11:20 AM

**Subject:** Simon's court attendance notes for 16th July 2013

**To:** Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)>

Lorraine / Simon

- Please see the barrister's back sheet from the 16th July 2013.
- This should have been forwarded onto you and would have been by me if it had been emailed to me in Bulgaria.
- Case workers are not allowed to send over any paperwork on any client file without prior authorisation from the Solicitor with conduct, namely me.
- I cannot criticise the case workers for this as I am pretty strict on this.
- If this had been emailed over to me in Bulgaria, then I would have emailed on it but as I was on annual leave the case workers viewed this as nonurgent as the Judge refused to vary the conditions.
- I am also emailing you the client care letter again which outlines the complaints procedure.

Regards

Josephine

- **PS** As indicated when the case papers are served and the secondary disclosure served then it may be possible to make a further attempt to vary bail but at this stage, I do not believe that the Court will entertain it.

**Attachments**

[Brief for the Defendant.pdf \(18.88 KB\)](#)

[Client Care Mags Letter.doc \(45.00 KB\)](#)

**14**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 174,175,176

**Subject:** Fwd.: Simon's case

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:47

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)>

**Date:** Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 11:05 AM

**Subject:** RE: Simon's case

**To:** JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Hi Jose

- Simon does not blame you at all he knows you got a lot to do, and knows you do your job he just felt while you were away the office did not have a cue on what to do.
- He just wanted a reply as to what was going on and that was not happening.
- And did not in fact know that Michael Company was not involved in his case he was thinking that Michael Company was acting for him so when you went away, they could deal with it which they did not.
- Michael also has talked to Simon about this on the phone more than once so is aware of this Simon did not like the way in which Michael talked to me or him but the last time, he talked to Michael that was sorted over the phone.
- Josey, we don't have a problem with you at all.
- The problem we had was no one was able to deal with anything while you were away and that should not be the case the office should be able to deal with things if you are not around.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 07 August 2013 22:10

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon's case

Hi Lorraine

➤ Winchester Crown Court

**175.**

- This case is in the warned list for **29th August 2013**
- I am still waiting for Simon to provide witnesses who can corroborate the negotiations between Simon and the "seller" Woolwich Crown Court
- The main problem in this case is that Simon is unhappy that he is subject to an electronic curfew. As you are aware, I did not request a curfew as I knew that this problem would arise.
- He was initially given a doorstep curfew, but the Judge changed this to an electronic curfew. This is the preferable option as it is a qualifying curfew and counts half a day towards any custodial sentence, if convicted.
- The Judge did state an electronic tag as the clerk checked the tapes for what was said.
- The Judge is within his rights to do this as he will view this as relieving a burden in terms of manpower from the police.
- I cannot challenge this as it is still a curfew.

**Bail variation:**

- Simon has to be realistic in terms of what we can achieve here.
- One of my obligations as a solicitor is to manage a client's expectations.
- I will of course make an application to vary Simon's bail conditions but as I stated before I will require emails from Simon from clients requesting that he cover events with quotes given by Simon as to how much he will charge.
- The smartest way to attempt to get Simon's bail varied is to ask for certain dates as opposed to lifting the curfew altogether as the Judge will not do this.
- If Simon persists in stating that he is not allowed to work and earn his living then Simon will then have to complete a change in financial circumstances statements for both the Woolwich case and the Winchester case and the court will have to assess his monthly contributions for both cases.
- At present he does not have to make a contribution as he is in receipt of benefits.
- I can only properly challenge the evidence in this case when I receive the case papers and then make my requests for secondary disclosure.
- The court would then be more amenable to an application to remove conditions of bail.
- I am sorry that Simon feels that his case is not being handled properly.
- I sent Simon a client care letter at the commencement of this case outlining the complaints procedure. I do not believe that Michael was aware of the calls made by Simon to the office.
- I tried to deal with Simon's case personally and usually I do. e.g., Going to Birmingham Police Station in **2012**, attending home address after his remand from Camberwell Green Magistrates Court to draft bail application.
- I am not sure what happened when I was on annual leave but based on your email it appears that the complaint should be directed against me as I am the fee earner and I am meant to supervise case workers.
- Michael is the Principal of the Firm and not the fee earner on this case.
- The case workers knew I was contactable by email and did not contact me.
- Michael Carroll has had no dealings with this case. (Since returning from annual leave I have dealt with 2 complex rapes, 3 GBH and a police station duty to date.
- I did intend to call at your address this weekend, but I was on duty and I had to deal with a complex rape at Colindale on Sunday.
- This case lasted all day. I can only apologise for this.)
- If you do not believe that Michael can investigate and deal with your complaint, then I must now advise you to address your complaint regarding this matter to Edel Speirits at the West London office.
- Her email is [edel@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:edel@michaelcarrollandco.com).
- If you are not satisfied with her resolution of the complaint, then you can then proceed to complain to the Ombudsman.



- I am sorry that Simon feels this way and I am sorry that you are disappointed in my conduct of this case.
- I will forward this email to Michael Carroll in the morning but if you decide over night that you do not want Michael involved in the complaint and want to include him in the complaint then please confirm this so that I

**176**

can forward your email to Edel Speirts.

Regards

Josephine Ward (Associate Solicitor)

**15**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 177,178

**Subject:** Fwd.: Regina v. Simon Cordell - further application to vary bail and suspend curfew on **25th**

and

**26th August 2013**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:55

Simon

- I attach this email.
- I previously sent it to the wrong email and has a mail delivery failure.
- The previous emails I have also forwarded onto to you.

Regards

Josephine

**PS**

- I am raising these issues as the Judges have been very difficult thus far.

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

**Date:** Sun, Aug 11, 2013 at 4:38 PM

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell - further application to vary bail and suspend curfew on **25th**

And

**26th August 2013**

**To:** [wired\\_@ymail.com](mailto:wired_@ymail.com),

Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)>, [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Simon it is my understanding that your mother is having internet difficulties at present.
- I sent over a number of emails this weekend which I will try to forward over to you from my trash box.

**Re:** application to remove reporting condition.

I confirm that I have lodged this application already.

**Re:** application to suspend curfew for **25<sup>th</sup>** And **26th August 2013**

Simon,

- I have requested written confirmation from Omar Lawrence that you have been awarded the contract together with the particulars of the contract as the Court will undoubtedly ask for this information.
- There is also a risk that the Court / Police will check that the contract is genuine, and this may well entail telephoning Omar Lawrence to check the detail.
- It is not unusual for the court to check bail addresses by analogy, so I am merely putting you on notice of this fact.
- I will not have any control over the information that is provided by the police to Mr Omar Lawrence, but they will have to identify themselves as police officers and this may raise questions about why police are making these enquiries.
- Your mother has explained that you may lose the contract as a result of this and unfortunately,
- I do not have any control over this.

**The options therefore available to you are as follows:**

**178**

- 1) Attend the festival during the curfew hours and then go home
  - 2) Apply to the court to vary the electronic curfew and request that the court do not disclose details of your criminal history or current court case.
  - 3) However, Mr Lawrence may well wonder why an enquiry has been made
  - 4) Do not make any application and take your chances this is not advised as you will be arrested for breaching your bail and there is a high risk of remand to prison until the conclusion of your case
  - 5) Discuss the matter with Omar Lawrence beforehand so that he is aware, and he can confirm this in the email to the court.
  - 6) The risk with this is that he may use you for this festival but may seek an alternative resource next year
  - 7) The final option of course is not to make the application and attend in person during your curfew hours and get an alternative person who you trust to look after your equipment overnight on **25th August 2013**
- This option allows you to retain the potential for further contracts via your company, ensures that future clients are not aware of your previous criminal history and will also safeguard any future contracts with Enfield Council.
  - Clearly this is a matter that you need to consider very carefully and weigh up the pros and cons of each option.
  - I am aware how important this festival is to you and your business.
  - If you decide to make the application to vary bail, then I will need the following from you by **15th August 2013:**
    - A. Letter from Omar Lawrence that you are hired for the festival
  - I will need this information by **15th August** as the court and CPS will require 5 days to agree the matter administratively, failing which I will ask for a fixed hearing on **22<sup>nd</sup> Or 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2013.**
  - I await your instructions with regards to the above matter.
  - I have confirmed to your mother that I will require your instructions in writing.
  - Should you have any questions then please do not hesitate to contact me.
- Regards  
Josephine
- PS**
- I will endeavour to send all other emails from trash box.
  - Your mother has copies on her system.

**16**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

151. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Bail variation

/ Page Numbers: 350,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 11 August 2013 14:05

To: Lorraine Cordell; [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

Subject: Bail variation

Lorraine / Simon

- Further to me earlier email I have now emailed the court, cps and instructed advocate with the request to remove the reporting condition.
- Once I am in receipt of the information requested earlier than I will also endeavour to get the curfew either suspended or extended for **25<sup>th</sup> And 26<sup>th</sup> August 2013** but I will require the email confirming the booking for **25<sup>th</sup> And 26<sup>th</sup> August 2013**
- If I can be of any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me by email or text.

Regards  
Josephine

**12/08/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**13/08/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

77. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am

/ **Page Numbers:** 179

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

78. JOSEPHINE WARD \_UFN01MS0218813 Regina v /

**Page Numbers:** 180

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

79. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (7) /

**Page Numbers:** 181

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

80. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell Section 144 Section 6 /

**Page Numbers:** 182

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 183

Gazebo Case!

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 184

Gazebo Case!

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 185

Gazebo Case!

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 186

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

77. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on **4th September 2013** at 10am

/ **Page Numbers:** 179

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 14 August 2013 11:27

**To:** too smooth

Lorraine Cordell

Anthony Clarke

Anthony Clarke;

[michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on **4th September 2013** at 10am

**Attachments:** [NAE020813 ROTL PGS 7 22.pdf](#)

Dear Simon

- Please find attached a copy of the transcript of your police interview.
- This arrived in the DX this morning.
- I am hoping to attend your mother's address on Friday evening **16th August 2013** at 4.30pm. I will discuss all aspects of your case on that date and hope to be in a position to update you with regards to the application to remove the reporting condition.
- In the intervening period of time should you have any questions with regards to any of the matters contained in this letter then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

Regards

Josephine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

78. JOSEPHINE WARD \_UFN01MS0218813 Regina v

/ **Page Numbers:** 180

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 14 August 2013 11:34

**To:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

Lorraine Cordell

too smooth

**Subject:** UFN01MS0218813 Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on **4th September 2013** at 10am

Dear Sir or Madam

- We continue to act on behalf of our above-named client under the terms of a legal representation order.
- We acknowledge safe receipt of the Notice of Further evidence dated **9th August 2013** received today at our office.
- Can you please confirm your views in relation to our earlier application to remove our client's reporting condition at your earliest convenience and please indicate whether this can be agreed administratively?
- We thank you in advance and we await hearing from you.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

79. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (7)

/ **Page Numbers:** 181

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 14 August 2013 11:39

**To:** Anthony Clarke; Anthony Clarke; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth;

[michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary bail administratively

Anthony

- I emailed the Woolwich Crown Court on Sunday re an application to remove the reporting condition.
- If Woolwich Crown Court do not respond to us by Friday **16th August 2013**
- can you please email them to ascertain what the position is as that will be day 5 in which case, please ask for the case to be listed for mention and please confirm the date and time of the hearing to Simon and his mother.
- Please copy me into the email.
- Can you please email them on Friday morning before 12pm, if you have been called out to police station, please delegate to either Umar or Michael Mc Kee?

Thanks  
Josephine

**PS**

I will need an update as I have a conference scheduled with this client on **16th August 2013** at 4.30pm at his mother's address.

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

80. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell Section 144 Section 6

/ **Page Numbers:** 182

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 14 August 2013 12:59

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell Section 144 Section 6

**Attachments:** [NEWLEGWNONRES144.pdf](#)

Hi Josey

- Here is the link to the document you need
- <http://www.squatter.org.uk/files/2012/11/NEWLEGWNONRES1.pdf>
- I will also attach the PDF of it.
- This document is the new section 6 with the Section 144, LASPO added to it so that it covers NOT a "residential building"

Lorraine

**5**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 183

**183**

**Subject:** Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on **04th September 2013** at 10am

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD  
([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);  
[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk);  
[anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)  
[tony.j.clark3@gmail.com](mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com);  
[michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 14 August 2013, 11:27

Dear Simon

- Please find attached a copy of the transcript of your police interview.
- This arrived in the DX this morning.
- I am hoping to attend your mother's address on Friday evening **16th August 2013** at 4.30pm I will discuss all aspects of your case on that date and hope to be in a position to update you with regards to the application to remove the reporting condition.
- In the intervening period of time should you have any questions with regards to any of the matters contained in this letter then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

Regards  
Josephine

**Attachments**

[NAE090813\\_ROTLEGS 7 - 29.pdf \(853.23 KB\)](#)

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 184

**Subject:** UFN01MS0218813 Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on **04th September 2013** at 10am

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk);

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk);

[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date: Wednesday, 14 August 2013, 11:33**

Dear Sir or Madam

- We continue to act on behalf of our above-named client under the terms of a legal representation order.
- We acknowledge safe receipt of the Notice of Further evidence dated **9th August 2013** received today at our office.
- Can you please confirm your views in relation to our earlier application to remove our client's reporting condition at your earliest convenience and please indicate whether this can be agreed administratively?
- We thank you in advance and we await hearing from you.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 185

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary bail administratively

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com);

[tony.j.clark3@gmail.com](mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com);

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk);

[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

[michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com);

**Date: Wednesday, 14 August 2013, 11:38**

Anthony,

- I emailed the Woolwich Crown Court on Sunday re an application to remove the reporting condition.
- If Woolwich Crown Court do not respond to us by Friday **16th August 2013**
- can you please email them to ascertain what the position is as that will be day 5 in which case, please ask for the case to be listed for mention and please confirm the date and time of the hearing to Simon and his mother.
- Please copy me into the email.
- Can you please email them on Friday morning before 12pm, if you have been called out to police station, please delegate to either Umar or Michael Mc Kee?

Thanks

Josephine

**PS**

I will need an update as I have a conference scheduled with this client on **16th August 2013** at 4.30pm at his mother's address.

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 186

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on **04th September 2013**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk);

[michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com);

Date: Wednesday, 14 August 2013, 18:12

Simon

➤ I have now read through all your case papers, interview transcript and unused material.

**Areas for discussion:**

- 1) Premises - need full address of where the rave took place
  - 2) Any emails / Facebook notification of the rave / booking your sound system for the party / any invoices given
  - 3) to the people hosting the party
  - 4) Full contact details for Mohammed in order that I can take a statement confirming the sale to you
  - 5) Receipt that Mohammed gave you, presumably this itemises the goods sold and date of purchase by you - pg. of your interview you make reference to leaving with your sound system and then being offered a job lot of chairs - need specifics on this see 5 below.
  - 6) Please also read your bottom page 20 of your ROTI "I bought it of the person who put the 144 notices on both of them premises that was living there and was a registered squatter to the buildings.
  - 7) What made you believe that Mohammed had a right to the property?
  - 8) Where did he tell you he? got the property from?
  - 9) I need confirmation of the date you purchased the items; the location where the sale took place
  - 10) What made you believe that both premises were linked? For all intents and purposes, they appear to be separate buildings and entry to Unit 3 appears to have been gained through a hole in the wall not a natural doorway. This is criminal damage and therefore illegal.
  - 11) Confirmation of where the Notices were displayed. Any photographs from the rave to back this up would be very useful
  - 12) Neighbours who can confirm the date the gazebo was erected
  - 13) More information on the hole in the wall - photographs would be helpful from rave photographs and also any persons who were living there in the Unit 3 squat
  - 14) Recordings of conversation between you and police before entering the party. Any shoulder numbers of officers who attended the premises so we can request statements etc from them re damage.
  - 15) What proof do you have that Unit 3 was not barricaded with wood as the Director alleges and the barricades were not simply moved prior to your arrival?
- Simon I will have a full discussion with you regarding the law etc on Friday but if you can concentrate on the above and collating the requested information.
- I will draft your defence case statement after I have taken a full proof of evidence (statement) from you.

Regards  
Josephine

15/08/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

Festival Work /

**Page Numbers:** 187,188

My Company Too Smooth!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

81. JOSEPHINE Ward\_Regina v\_ (8) /

**Page Numbers:** 189

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!



1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Festival Work / **Page Numbers:** 187,188

**Date:** Thursday, 15 August 2013, 0:58: --

**Subject:** Fwd.: IMPORTANT. Power Provision

**From:** Martine's drake ([pondersendfestivals@gmail.com](mailto:pondersendfestivals@gmail.com))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

81. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (8)

**/ Page Numbers:** 189

**Sent:** 14 August 2013 18:12

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell; [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 4th September 2013  
Simon

➤ I have now read through all your case papers, interview transcript and unused material.

**Areas for discussion:**

- 1) Premises - need full address of where the rave took place
  - 2) Any emails / Facebook notification of the rave / booking your sound system for the party / any invoices given
  - 3) to the people hosting the party
  - 4) Full contact details for Mohammed in order that I can take a statement confirming the sale to you
  - 5) Receipt that Mohammed gave you, presumably this itemises the goods sold and date of purchase by you - pg. of your interview you make reference to leaving with your sound system and then being offered a job lot of chairs - need specifics on this see 5 below.
  - 6) Please also read your bottom page 20 of your ROTI "I bought it of the person who put the 144 notices on both of them premises that was living there and was a registered squatter to the buildings.
  - 7) What made you believe that Mohammed had a right to the property?
  - 8) Where did he tell you he? got the property from?
  - 9) I need confirmation of the date you purchased the items; the location where the sale took place
  - 10) What made you believe that both premises were linked? For all intents and purposes, they appear to be separate buildings and entry to Unit 3 appears to have been gained through a hole in the wall not a natural doorway. This is criminal damage and therefore illegal.
  - 11) Confirmation of where the Notices were displayed. Any photographs from the rave to back this up would be very useful
  - 12) Neighbours who can confirm the date the gazebo was erected
  - 13) More information on the hole in the wall - photographs would be helpful from rave photographs and also any persons who were living there in the Unit 3 squat
  - 14) Recordings of conversation between you and police before entering the party. Any shoulder numbers of officers who attended the premises so we can request statements etc from them re damage.
  - 15) What proof do you have that Unit 3 was not barricaded with wood as the Director alleges and the barricades were not simply moved prior to your arrival?
- Simon I will have a full discussion with you regarding the law etc on Friday but if you can concentrate on the above and collating the requested information.
- I will draft your defence case statement after I have taken a full proof of evidence (statement) from you.

you.

Regards

Josephine

16/08/2013

1

App for a variation in bail "Refused!"

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

1

**App for a variation in bail "Refused!"**

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP

**14/07/2013**

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/2013**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE)

**14/07/2013**

**Remand to Dates: --**

- 1) **25/06/2013:** "Police Station"
  - 2) **26/06/2013:** "Court & the World of Scrubs"
  - 3) **27/06/2013:** "World of Scrubs"
  - 4) **28/06/2013:** "Judge & Chambers "Granted Bail"
  - 5) **10/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 6) **16/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 7) **16/08/2013:** "[Bail Conditions](#)"
  - 8) **09/09/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 9) **19/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 10) **20/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 11) **23/12/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 12) **08/04/2014:** "Bail Conditions"
  - 13) **01/07/2014:** "I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions"
    - A. **Video of Interview:** "Yes"; Link
    - B. **Correspondents & Case Files;** "Yes" Link
    - C. **Bail Conditions: --**
    - D. **Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.
    - E. **Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.
    - F. **Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.
    - G. **Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.
    - H. **Condition 5: OTHER:** \*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF
    - I. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\*
    - J. **Condition 6:** \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO POLICE\*\*\*\*\*
- **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.
  - **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.
  - **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.
  - **At:** **WOOLWICH CROWN.**
  - **Owner:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

17/08/2013

1

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

82. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (4) /

**Page Numbers:** 190

Gazebo Case!

1

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

82. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (4)

/ **Page Numbers:** 190

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 17 August 2013 16:30

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- After talking yesterday and saying about the insurance we will need to get the insurance for the building and insurance of the contents from anyone who owns or rents the property as I think you will find there is more than one insurance for the place, building insurance is something else to contents, and because you got more than one person for the property you are going to need all insurance being held by any person.
- Also, the guys who said things was taken should be able to do a stock check any real company would be able to do this which will show the amount and numbers of items taken on the **16/02/2013** and what he was saying has been taken on between the **01/05/2013** to the **08/05/2013** a person can't just say it's this amount without listing the items taken and numbers and any company should have done a stock check in Feb **2013** when this 1st happened and then again, a stock check in **May 2013**
- So far, we have no number of items that have been taken just saying it's the amount he has said and that don't add up.
- Also looking on the LiLo Orders there Venice chairs are not listed on the order invoices he has given, the only item there is the Harrogate Gazebo, but he is saying in his statement that beds, trampolines, so where is the invoice for these items.
- There has got to be a list of how many items were taken he can't just guess the total amount so has to know the items and numbers that were taken on both dates.
- Also, on the land registry is this listed as one unit the reason I have asked this is the way the main section is to enter the building there does seem to be only one main section the other side may have been added after to make the unit to make it into 3 units.
- If this is the case and it was 1 unit to start with has planning permission been granted for the unit to be made into 3 units?

Lorraine

18/08/2013

1st Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

19/08/2013

1st Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

20/08/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
21/08/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
22/08/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
23/08/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
24/08/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
25/08/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
26/08/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
27/08/2013	<p><u>2</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 87. Lorraine Cordell _Re_ Simon's case / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 205,206 Gazebo Case!</p>

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

87. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon's case

/ Page Numbers: 205,206

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 27 August 2013 12:21

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon's case

Hi Josey

- Can you please send the case papers over for this case for Simon?
- This case is very near now and Simon still trying to sort out with his friends they won't get in trouble.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 07 August 2013 22:10

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon's case

Hi Lorraine

- Winchester Crown Court
- This case is in the warned list for **29th August 2013**
- I am still waiting for Simon to provide witnesses who can corroborate the negotiations between Simon and the "seller" Woolwich Crown Court
- The main problem in this case is that Simon is unhappy that he is subject to an electronic curfew. As you are aware, I did not request a curfew as I knew that this problem would arise.
- He was initially given a doorstep curfew, but the Judge changed this to an electronic curfew. This is the preferable option as it is a qualifying curfew and counts half a day towards any custodial sentence, if convicted.
- The Judge did state an electronic tag as the clerk checked the tapes for what was said.
- The Judge is within his rights to do this as he will view this as relieving a burden in terms of manpower from the police.
- I cannot challenge this as it is still a curfew.

**Bail variation:**

- Simon has to be realistic in terms of what we can achieve here.
- One of my obligations as a solicitor is to manage a client's expectations.
- I will of course make an application to vary Simon's bail conditions but as I stated before I will require emails from Simon from clients requesting that he cover events with quotes given by Simon as to how much he will charge.
- The smartest way to attempt to get Simon's bail varied is to ask for certain dates as opposed to lifting the curfew altogether as the Judge will not do this.
- If Simon persists in stating that he is not allowed to work and earn his living then Simon will then have to complete a change in financial circumstances statements for both the Woolwich case and the Winchester case and the court will have to assess his monthly contributions for both cases.
- At present he does not have to make a contribution as he is in receipt of benefits.
- I can only properly challenge the evidence in this case when I receive the case papers and then make my requests for secondary disclosure.
- The court would then be more amenable to an application to remove conditions of bail.
- I am sorry that Simon feels that his case is not being handled properly.
- I sent Simon a client care letter at the commencement of this case outlining the complaints procedure. I do not believe that

206

Michael was aware of the calls made by Simon to the office.

- I tried to deal with Simon's case personally and usually I do. e.g., Going to Birmingham Police Station in **2012**, attending home address after his remand from Camberwell Green Magistrates Court to draft bail application.
- I am not sure what happened when I was on annual leave but based on your email it appears that the complaint should be directed against me as I am the fee earner and I am meant to supervise case workers.

- Michael is the Principal of the Firm and not the fee earner on this case.
- The case workers knew I was contactable by email and did not contact me.
- Michael Carroll has had no dealings with this case. (Since returning from annual leave I have dealt with 2 complex rapes, 3 GBH and a police station duty to date.
- I did intend to call at your address this weekend, but I was on duty and I had to deal with a complex rape at Colindale on Sunday.
- This case lasted all day. I can only apologise for this.)
- If you do not believe that Michael can investigate and deal with your complaint, then I must now advise you to address your complaint regarding this matter to Edel Speirits at the West London office.
- Her email is [edel@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:edel@michaelcarrollandco.com).
- If you are not satisfied with her resolution of the complaint, then you can then proceed to complain to the Ombudsman.
- I am sorry that Simon feels this way and I am sorry that you are disappointed in my conduct of this case.
- I will forward this email to Michael Carroll in the morning but if you decide over night that you do not want Michael involved in the complaint and want to include him in the complaint then please confirm this so that I can forward your email to Edel Speirits.

Regards

Josephine Ward (Associate Solicitor)

**28/08/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**29/08/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**30/08/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**31/08/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**September**

**01/09/2013**

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 214

Gazebo Case

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 214

**214**

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at **Woolwich Crown Court** on **09th September 2013** (Burglary)

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)

[jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk);

**Date:** Wednesday, 4 September 2013, 11:48

Dear Lorraine / Simon / Jay

➤ Please find attached a copy of the court listing for 9th September 2013 in relation to the above case.

Regards

Josephine

**Attachments:** [court listing for 09.09.2013.pdf \(11.63 KB\)](#)

**02/09/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**03/09/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!



04/09/2013

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 215

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

89. x 2 JOSEPHINE WARD Regina v\_ (9) /

**Page Numbers:** 216

Gazebo Case!

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

93. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v\_ (10) /

**Page Numbers:** 220

Gazebo Case!

9

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

94. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (5) /

**Page Numbers:** 221

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

95. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v\_ (11)/

**Page Numbers:** 222

Gazebo Case!

[“Moved from 05/09/2013 to here as file was in the wrong location!”](#)

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 215

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on

**9th September 2013**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk)

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 4 September 2013, 21:08

Dear Jay / Simon / Lorraine

➤ Please see attached the amended defence case statement.

Regards

Josephine

➤ PS Jay, Simon will sign this on Monday so can you please serve it direct to CPS and court and confirm this by email.

**Attachments**

**DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL.doc (46.50 KB)**

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

89. x 2 JOSEPHINE WARD Regina v\_ (9)

/ Page Numbers: 216

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 04 September 2013 10:16

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

too smooth

Jay Lemos

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Paul Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 at 10am

**Attachments:** [DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL.doc](#)

Dear Simon / Lorraine / Jay

➤ Please find attached a copy of the defence case statement that I have drafted for you.

➤ You will note that I have made reference to the following:

1) Receipt for the gazebo I will require this as it will have to be exhibited to the defence case statement

2) Receipt from Mohamed for the sale of the original items

3) Focus catalogues showing the gazebos on sale at an earlier date to that claimed by Mr Patel or at the very least the link. If the link, then I will have to amend the DCS.

➤ If you wish to amend the DCS then please email me back with the areas that you are not happy with or seek amendment to.

➤ Any questions please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

➤ I am out of the office most of the day tomorrow, but I will be contactable by mobile or email.

➤ I am also flying to Ireland on Thursday evening but will be contactable by mobile on Friday or over the weekend should you have any queries.

➤ My mobile is 07

Regards

Josephine

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

93. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v\_ (10)

/ Page Numbers: 220

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 04 September 2013 11:48

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Jay Lemos

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on  
**09th September 2013**

**(Burglary)**

**Attachments:** [court listing for 09.09.2013.pdf](#)

Dear Lorraine / Simon / Jay

➤ Please find attached a copy of the court listing for 9th September 2013 in relation to the above case,

Regards  
Josephine

9

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

94. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (5)

/ **Page Numbers:** 221

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 04 September 2013 15:16

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Paul Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 at 10am

Hi Josey

➤ There is something that need to be added and a changed I will send it over later if that's ok it's only a small bit.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 04 September 2013 10:16

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Jay Lemos

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Paul Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 at 10am

Dear Simon / Lorraine / Jay

➤ Please find attached a copy of the defence case statement that I have drafted for you.

➤ You will note that I have made reference to the following:

- 1) Receipt for the gazebo I will require this as it will have to be exhibited to the defence case statement
- 2) Receipt from Mohamed for the sale of the original items
- 3) Focus catalogues showing the gazebos on sale at an earlier date to that claimed by Mr Patel or at the very least the link. If the link, then I will have to amend the DCS.

➤ If you wish to amend the DCS then please email me back with the areas that you are not happy with or seek amendment to.

➤ Any questions please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

➤ I am out of the office most of the day tomorrow, but I will be contactable by mobile or email.

➤ I am also flying to Ireland on Thursday evening but will be contactable by mobile on Friday or over the weekend should you have any queries.

➤ My mobile is 07

Regards  
Josephine

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

95. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v\_ (11)

/ **Page Numbers:** 222

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 04 September 2013 21:09

**To:** Jay Lemos; Lorraine Cordell

too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013

**Attachments:** [DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL.doc](#)

Dear Jay / Simon / Lorraine

➤ Please see attached the amended defence case statement.

Regards  
Josephine

➤ PS Jay, Simon will sign this on Monday so can you please serve it direct to CPS and court and confirm this by email.

<b>05/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>06/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>07/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>08/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

96. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Simon's representation at Woolwich Crown Court for PCMH on 9th September 2013 /

**Page Numbers:** 226

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

97. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Simon's complaint /

**Page Numbers:** 227,228

Simon's Solicitor complaint!

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

98. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (6) /

**Page Numbers:** 229

Simon's Solicitor complaint!

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

99. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Simon's complaint /

**Page Numbers:** 230

Simon's Solicitor complaint!

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

100. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon's complaint /

**Page Numbers:** 231

Simon's Solicitor complaint!

Gazebo Case!

6

**App for a variation in bail "Refused!"**

**A not guilty plea and this was about the gazebo case: --**

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

96. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Simon's representation at Woolwich Crown Court for PCMH on 9th September 2013

/ Page Numbers: 226

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 09 September 2013 12:38

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon's representation at Woolwich Crown Court for PCMH on 9th September 2013

Dear Lorraine

- Thank you for your telephone call today.
- I am sorry that Simon is upset regarding his representation.
- Can you please send me an email with all of Simon's complaint so that I can discuss this with Michael Carroll?
- Michael will also want to discuss your concerns with the barrister, and we will change the barrister as it is not acceptable that the barrister did not attend court with the correct paperwork. The amended defence case statement was emailed to the barrister on 4th September 2013 and Simon had to bring the exhibits to accompany the defence case statement to today's hearing.
- If he did not do this then can you, please ensure that they are scanned and emailed over to me so that I can email them to the CPS and the Crown Court.

Regards

Josephine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

97. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Simon's complaint

/ Page Numbers: 227,228

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 09 September 2013 13:07

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Simon's complaint

Lorraine

I am on my way to a police station, but this will be sorted today.  
I am not happy about this either as it is my reputation on the line.  
I will be in touch.

Josephine

On Sep 9, 2013 1:04 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- I agree and do not blame you at all as I know full well you sent the edited paper work over to Jay Thursday of last week which should be well in time for him to have access to a printer and get up to speed with the case.
- I also know you were not complaining that Simon forgot the documentation I just added it to my email, so, you were, fully aware Simon did not bring it to court.

Lorraine

- I will also send the documents you need over my email later today ATM I am writing some letters for Sheila.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

[**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 09 September 2013 12:53

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon's complaint

Lorraine

- Thank you for your email.
- This will be forwarded to Michael Carroll to deal with when he gets in this afternoon.

228

- I was not complaining that Simon forgot the documentation as clearly Jay should have brought his and he should have been familiar with the case.
- I will revert to you in due course.
- Josephine

PS

- Any queries please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

98. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (6)

/ Page Numbers: 229

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 09 September 2013 12:45

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 (Burglary)

Hi Josey

- After just taking to you on the phone about Jay who was at court today with Simon to deal with the above matter Jay come to court today with no papers.
- The judge was not happy and ordered him to supply said papers to the court today by 4pm also he had not prepared for the case so when the judge was asking thing Jay did not know what to say and had to say to the judge, I have to think about that.
- I do agree Simon was meant to bring papers with him, but Jay also should have had the paperwork you had sent him and have had a printer in order to print it of for the court.
- Lying to the judge to say he had tried to email them does not go down well with any judge.
- And the Judge saw through this as he said don't you mean you tried to print the paperwork to bring to court.
- This is not the 1st time Jay has turned up with no paperwork for this case and tried to also use he turned to email it as he did not have access to a printer.
- Does his offices have no printers as I know full well you sent the paperwork to him well on time for him to have had access to a printer before today's hearing.
- Simon did indeed get upset due to jay no having anything or knowing anything to say to the judge in his case and did stand up and try to explain himself to the judge which the judge did not like and in the end told Simon to leave the court and wait outside.
- But Simon should not have needed to stand up Jay should have known what to tell the judge and been prepared for the case.
- It was also said about Simon curfew in court and it has now been said it is a doorstep as the prosecution said also in court it has never been electronic curfew so the judge accepted this and said it was door step.
- Simon no longer wants Jay to act for him on any matters can you please sort a next person to be in court for him if you are able can you get the guy that Simon has had in the pass,
- I forget his name but he dealt with a case a while ago for him.
- If you need Simon to sign this then I will get him to do this when he gets home from court, but he does not want Jay on any of his cases again.

Many Thanks

Lorraine and Simon

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**[Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 04 September 2013 11:48

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Jay Lemos

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 (Burglary)

Dear Lorraine / Simon / Jay

- Please find attached a copy of the court listing for 9th September 2013 in relation to the above case,

Regards

Josephine

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

99. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Simon's complaint

/ Page Numbers: 230

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 09 September 2013 12:53

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon's complaint

Lorraine

- Thank you for your email.
- This will be forwarded to Michael Carroll to deal with when he gets in this afternoon.
- I was not complaining that Simon forgot the documentation as clearly Jay should have brought his and he should have been familiar with the case.
- I will revert to you in due course.
- Josephine

**PS**

Any queries please do not hesitate to contact me at my office

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

100. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon's complaint

/ Page Numbers: 231

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 09 September 2013 13:04

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon's complaint

Hi Josey

- I agree and do not blame you at all as I know full well you sent the edited paper work over to Jay Thursday of last week which should be well in time for him to have access to a printer and get up to speed with the case.
- I also know you were not complaining that Simon forgot the documentation I just added it to my email, so, you were, fully aware Simon did not bring it to court.

Lorraine

- I will also send the documents you need over my email later today ATM I am writing some letters for Sheila.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD

[Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 09 September 2013 12:53

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon's complaint

Lorraine

- Thank you for your email.
- This will be forwarded to Michael Carroll to deal with when he gets in this afternoon.
- I was not complaining that Simon forgot the documentation as clearly Jay should have brought his and he should have been familiar with the case.
- I will revert to you in due course.
- Josephine

**PS**

Any queries please do not hesitate to contact me at my office

6

**A not guilty plea and this was about the gazebo case: --**

- I went to the Court to enter by a not guilty plea and this was about the gazebo case: --
- It has gotten said to me by other people that throughout the years we will not go without sacrifice, now I find the saying to be true!
- On this day I attended to the Court to enter my not guilty plea, about the gazebo case from the day of my friend's private birthday party that did get held at the Bianca Road after being moved over to there by the police.



- What did get put against me and into motion at court is a criminal charge of suspicion of a non-dwelling burglary from the police station prior, From the start the case files are in error before printed and in layman terms “a mess,” While at the courthouse the prosecutor did not even have any of the case files with himself “Official Paperwork” present, so when present at the courthouse I filed out an official complaint and issued it in to the court clerk by hand delivery and also sent an email into the correct department once back at home.
- I have continued in my fight of not letting the justice system let me down and in turn the justice system, letting everyone else’s down, so, I continue with the work within the local community that I have agreed to, the next festival job is set alongside the North River Lee “Enfield lock” for the date of **14/09/2013** to tell you the truth I am really looking forward to the day out.

**App for a variation in bail “Refused!”**

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew! + \*7

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP

**14/07/2013**

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/13**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE)

**14/07/2013**

**Remand to Dates: --**

- 1) **25/06/2013:** “Police Station”
- 2) **26/06/2013:** “Court & the World of Scrubs”
- 3) **27/06/2013:** “World of Scrubs”
- 4) **28/06/2013:** “Judge & Chambers “Granted Bail”
- 5) **10/07/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 6) **16/07/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 7) **16/08/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 8) **09/09/2013:** [“Bail Conditions”](#)
- 9) **19/11/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 10) **20/11/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 11) **23/12/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
- 12) **08/04/2014:** “Bail Conditions”
- 13) **01/07/2014:** “I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions”
  - A. **Video of Interview:** “Yes”; Link
  - B. **Correspondents & Case Files;** “Yes” Link
  - C. **Bail Conditions: --**
  - D. **Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.
  - E. **Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.
  - F. **Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.
  - G. **Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.
  - H. **Condition 5: OTHER: \*\*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF**
  - I. **SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\***
  - J. **Condition 6: \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO**  
POLICE\*\*\*\*\*

- **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.
- **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.
- **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.
- **At:** WOOLWICH CROWN.
- **Owner:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

10/09/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 232

Simon's Solicitor complaint!

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

101. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Simon's complaint\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 233

Simon's Solicitor complaint!

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

102. x2 JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v\_ (12) /

**Page Numbers:** 234

Simon's Solicitor complaint!

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 232

232

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD ([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Tuesday, 10 September 2013, 12:42

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Can you please scan over to me the receipt and the links for the catalogue as referred to in the defence case statement in order that I can serve this document today?
- I have discussed what happened with your case yesterday Simon and it is clear that there has been a total breakdown in the relationship between you and the instructed advocate. Michael has approved the immediate removal of your case from Mr Lemos's diary and I will instruct the Advocate who represented you for the bladed article case.
- I am sorry that you were disappointed with the instructed Advocate.
- I hope that the above is a satisfactory solution.
- Can you please keep me updated as to any additional concerns that you have regarding any future instructed advocates?
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further problems.

Regards

Josephine

**PS.**

- please ensure that you provide the receipts and the links by return email as the defence case statement should have been served yesterday.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

101. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Simon's complaint\_ (1)

/ Page Numbers: 233

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 09 September 2013 18:24

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon's complaint

Lorraine

- I have sent a copy of your email of complaint to the barrister and I have asked him to respond in writing.
- I have copied Michael Carroll in on the email and Michael is not happy that Simon was upset at Court today.
- Michael will be taking action on this but first we have to go through the process of awaiting the barrister's response and I am hoping to respond to you by tomorrow evening, Wednesday evening at the latest.
- Any questions please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

Josephine

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

102. x2 JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v\_ (12)

/ Page Numbers: 234

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 September 2013 12:42

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Can you please scan over to me the receipt and the links for the catalogue as referred to in the defence case statement in order that I can serve this document today?

- I have discussed what happened with your case yesterday Simon and it is clear that there has been a total breakdown in the relationship between you and the instructed advocate. Michael has approved the immediate removal of your case from Mr Lemos's diary and I will instruct the Advocate who represented you for the bladed article case.
- I am sorry that you were disappointed with the instructed Advocate.
- I hope that the above is a satisfactory solution.
- Can you please keep me updated as to any additional concerns that you have regarding any future instructed advocates?
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further problems.

Regards  
Josephine

**PS.**

- please ensure that you provide the receipts and the links by return email as the defence case statement should have been served yesterday.

**11/09/2013**

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

105. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Regina v\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 235,236

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

104. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (7) /

**Page Numbers:** 237

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

105. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Regina v\_ (2)

/ Page Numbers: 235,236

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 11 September 2013 12:45

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Hi Lorraine

- Further to our telephone call I am returning the email that you forwarded to me.
- I will require the original receipt that I can photocopy.
- I assume that there are no issues re the catalogue links.
- I will send over an amended defence case statement that Simon will have to sign before I can serve

Josephine

On Sep 11, 2013 9:53 AM, "Lorraine Cordell"

<[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Jossey

- Really sorry I forgot yesterday after I helped Sheila out, I was so tired.
- Here is the information you need.
- Also did you ask the police if you can have access to the building to take our own pictures?

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

[**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 September 2013 12:42

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Can you please scan over to me the receipt and the links for the catalogue as referred to in the defence case statement in order that I can serve this document today?
- I have discussed what happened with your case yesterday Simon and it is clear that there has been a total breakdown in the relationship between you and the instructed advocate. Michael has approved the immediate removal of your case from Mr Lemos's diary and I will instruct the Advocate who represented you for the bladed article case.

**236**

- I am sorry that you were disappointed with the instructed Advocate.
- I hope that the above is a satisfactory solution.
- Can you please keep me updated as to any additional concerns that you have regarding any future instructed advocates?
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further problems.

Regards

Josephine

**PS,**

- please ensure that you provide the receipts and the links by return email as the defence case statement should have been served yesterday.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

104. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (7)

/ Page Numbers: 237

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 11 September 2013 09:53

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

**Attachments:** [Harrogate gazebo URL.doc](#);

[Products in Gazebos, Garden Buildings on Focus DIY.pdf](#);

[Simon Receipt.jpg](#)

Hi Jossey

- Really sorry I forgot yesterday after I helped Sheila out, I was so tired.
- Here is the information you need.
- Also did you ask the police if you can have access to the building to take our own pictures?

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 September 2013 12:42

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Can you please scan over to me the receipt and the links for the catalogue as referred to in the defence case statement in order that I can serve this document today?
- I have discussed what happened with your case yesterday Simon and it is clear that there has been a total breakdown in the relationship between you and the instructed advocate. Michael has approved the immediate removal of your case from Mr Lemos's diary and I will instruct the Advocate who represented you for the bladed article case.
- I am sorry that you were disappointed with the instructed Advocate.
- I hope that the above is a satisfactory solution.
- Can you please keep me updated as to any additional concerns that you have regarding any future instructed advocates?
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further problems.

Regards

Josephine

**PS.**

- please ensure that you provide the receipts and the links by return email as the defence case statement should have been served yesterday.

**12/09/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**13/09/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**14/09/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**15/09/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

<b>16/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>17/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>18/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>19/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>20/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>21/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>22/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>23/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!

<b>24/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>25/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>26/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>27/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>28/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>29/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>30/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!



<b>01/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>02/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>03/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>04/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>04/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>06/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>07/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>08/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!

<b>09/10/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>10/10/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>11/10/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>12/10/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>13/10/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>14/10/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>15/10/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>16/10/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>

17/10/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
18/10/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
19/10/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
20/10/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
21/10/2013	<p><u>1</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01</u></b> Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 246 Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>2</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 106. JOSEPHINE WARD _Regina v_ (13) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 247 Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>3</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 107. Lorraine Cordell _Re_ Regina v_ (8) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 248,249 Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>4</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 120. JOSEPHINE WARD _RE_ Regina v_ (3) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 250,251,252 Gazebo Case!</p>

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 246

Date: Monday, 21 October 2013, 18:10: -

Dear Simon,

- your case has been listed tomorrow for a mention hearing.
- You are both welcome to attend if you so desire, but you are not required to attend, as you have not been able to provide me with the original receipt of internet link for the Focus catalogue, I edited the defence case statement accordingly.
- I now attach the amended defence case statement which I instructed your barrister to serve at tomorrow's hearing.
- This will trigger secondary disclosure in the case, and I will be hoping to offer you an appointment in four weeks' time for a conference with your barrister.
- If you have any further requests to vary your bail, then please provide me with adequate notice as the courts tend to want the CPS and solicitors to attempt to agree the variation administratively before resorting to the court.
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards,

Josephine.

**PS**

- Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office.
- Thanks

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

106. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v\_ (13)

/ Page Numbers: 247

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 21 October 2013 18:11

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court 10 at 11am

Attachments: **DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL AMENDED VERSION.doc**

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- your case has been listed tomorrow for a mention hearing.
- You are both welcome to attend if you so desire, but you are not required to attend, as you have not been able to provide me with the original receipt of internet link for the Focus catalogue, I edited the defence case statement accordingly.
- I now attach the amended defence case statement which I instructed your barrister to serve at tomorrow's hearing.
- This will trigger secondary disclosure in the case, and I will be hoping to offer you an appointment in four weeks' time for a conference with your barrister.
- If you have any further requests to vary your bail, then please provide me with adequate notice as the courts tend to want the CPS and solicitors to attempt to agree the variation administratively before resorting to the court.
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards

Josephine

**PS**

- Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office.
- Thanks

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

107. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (8)

/ Page Numbers: 248,249

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 21 October 2013 19:51

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court10 at 11am

Hi Josey

- Just gone over the document you sent over and on number 17 you made a mistake with the date.
- The Defendant denies entering as a trespasser the said premises.
- The Defendant accepts that his DNA may have been found on a can but that this may have been moved at any time during the night of the party on the **4th February 2013**
- The Defendant denies stealing from the premises and he denies causing any damage to the Premises It should be the **4th May 2013**
- Also, the link for the catalogue for focus is correct
  - 1) <http://web.archive.org/web/20110321003352>
  - 2) <http://div.focusdiv.co.uk/nav/cat2/gazebos/cat/gardenbuildings/0>
- It does show the Harrogate Gazebo for the catalogue for focus and it's the website URL archive dated **11 March 2011**
- It does take a little while to load from the archive servers but does load and you can see the items of gazebos that focus was selling on the **11 March 2011** you have to look down the list to see the Harrogate Gazebo information.
- If you load the URL and then right click on the page and select Save As in the menu you can save it to your computer also.
- The only thing I cannot find is the original receipt for Simon Gazebo that was at his home when the police come.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[Mail To:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 October 2013 18:11

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **22nd October 2013** in Court 10 at 11am

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- your case has been listed tomorrow for a mention hearing.
- You are both welcome to attend if you so desire, but you are not required to attend, as you have not been able to provide me with the original receipt of internet link for the Focus catalogue, I edited the defence case statement accordingly.
- I now attach the amended defence case statement which I instructed your barrister to serve at tomorrow's hearing.
- This will trigger secondary disclosure in the case, and I will be hoping to offer you an appointment in four weeks' time for a conference with your barrister.
- If you have any further requests to vary your bail, then please provide me with adequate notice as the courts tend to want the CPS and solicitors to attempt to agree the variation administratively before resorting to the court.

**249**

- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards

Josephine

**PS**

- Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office.
- Thanks

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

120. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Regina v\_ (3)

/ Page Numbers: 250,251,252

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 October 2013 19:56

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **22nd October 2013** in Court 10 at 11am.

- Okay thanks Lorraine the date can be amended at court by the barrister
- Thanks for drawing my attention to it.

Regards  
Josephine

On **Oct 21, 2013 7:51 PM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Just gone over the document you sent over and on number 17 you made a mistake with the date.
- The Defendant denies entering as a trespasser the said premises.
- The Defendant accepts that his DNA may have been found on a can but that this may have been moved at any time during the night of the party on the **4th February 2013**
- The Defendant denies stealing from the premises and he denies causing any damage to the Premises It should be the **4th May 2013**
- Also, the link for the catalogue for focus is correct
  - 1) <http://web.archive.org/web/20110321003352>
  - 2) <http://diy.focusdiy.co.uk/nav/cat2/gazebos/cat/gardenbuildings/0>
- It does show the Harrogate Gazebo for the catalogue for focus and it's the website URL archive dated **11 March 2011**
- It does take a little while to load from the archive servers but does load and you can see the items of gazebos that focus was selling on the **11 March 2011** you have to look down the list to see the Harrogate Gazebo information.
- If you load the URL and then right click on the page and select Save As in the menu you can save it to your computer also.
- The only thing I cannot find is the original receipt for Simon Gazebo that was at his home when the police come.

Lorraine

**251.**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[Mail To:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 October 2013 18:11

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **22nd October 2013** in Court 10 at 11am

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- your case has been listed tomorrow for a mention hearing.
- You are both welcome to attend if you so desire, but you are not required to attend, as you have not been able to provide me with the original receipt of internet link for the Focus catalogue, I edited the defence case statement accordingly.
- I now attach the amended defence case statement which I instructed your barrister to serve at tomorrow's hearing.
- This will trigger secondary disclosure in the case, and I will be hoping to offer you an appointment in four weeks' time for a conference with your barrister.
- If you have any further requests to vary your bail, then please provide me with adequate notice as the courts tend to want the CPS and solicitors to attempt to agree the variation administratively before resorting to the court.
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards  
Josephine

**PS**

- Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office.

**252**

N/A

22/10/2013

1

Gazebo Court Mentioning

then at home all day!

Gazebo Case!

1

It was the scariest thing arriving at Woolwich Crown Court for the gazebo case: --

- Even low I could not sleep at night or in the daytime surprisingly diapered fast, leading me up to the date of the 22/10/2013 when I had to arrive at Woolwich Crown Court.
- This date was for the gazebo case that took place at Bianca Road for my friend's Birthday party, and for the prior listed mentioning to take place, for the case to be ready for trial, the time was 11:00 hours.
- I was feeling depressed of this case by then, but I kept a smile on my face, the day carried on ahead and soon after ending back at home on bail alone.

23/10/2013

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

24/10/2013

1

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

108. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Court today /

**Page Numbers:** 253,254

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

108. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Court today

/ **Page Numbers:** 253,254

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 24 October 2013 09:20

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Court today

Hi Jossey

➤ They are about 45 mins away from Southampton court so they maybe a little late or get there about 10am

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 11 September 2013 12:45

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Hi Lorraine

- Further to our telephone call I am returning the email that you forwarded to me.
- I will require the original receipt that I can photocopy.
- I assume that there are no issues re the catalogue links.
- I will send over an amended defence case statement that Simon will have to sign before I can serve

Josephine

On **Sep 11, 2013 9:53 AM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Jossey

- Really sorry I forgot yesterday after I helped Sheila out, I was so tired.
- Here is the information you need.
- Also did you ask the police if you can have access to the building to take our own pictures?

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 September 2013 12:42

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Can you please scan over to me the receipt and the links for the catalogue as referred to in the defence case statement in order that I can serve this document today?
- I have discussed what happened with your case yesterday Simon and it is clear that there has been a total breakdown in the relationship between you and the instructed advocate. Michael has approved the immediate removal of your case from Mr Lemos's diary and I will instruct the Advocate who represented you for the bladed article case.
- I am sorry that you were disappointed with the instructed Advocate.
- I hope that the above is a satisfactory solution.
- Can you please keep me updated as to any additional concerns that you have regarding any future instructed advocates?
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further problems.

**254**

Regards

Josephine

**PS.**

- please ensure that you provide the receipts and the links by return email as the defence case statement should have been served yesterday.



<b>25/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>26/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>27/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>28/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>29/10/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>30/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>31/09/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
	<b>November</b>
<b>01/11/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!

<b>02/11/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>03/11/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>04/11/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>05/11/2013</b>	<p><u>1</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 114. Lorraine Cordell _Re_ Regina v_ (9) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 263 Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>2</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 143. x2 JOSEPHINE Ward _Conversation of today / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 264 Gazebo Case!</p>

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

114. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (9)

/ **Page Numbers:** 263

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 05 November 2013 13:18

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell Case info

**Attachments:** [001.jpg](#);

[IMG 3893.JPG](#)

[IMG 3894.JPG](#)

[names.doc](#); [party names and dates.doc](#);

[Sim Moses Howe Deadbeats Bank Holiday BenderRentalInvoice04052013.doc](#)

[Picture of trolley in party in the room with red shutters it was in when Simon left the party.jpg](#)

[1 Bianca Road address of party on the 0452013.png](#)

[62646\\_469671246434851\\_212091727\\_n.jpg](#);

[255604\\_469671833101459\\_675140176\\_n.jpg](#)

[922944\\_469671186434857\\_568631502\\_n.jpg](#);

[935721\\_469671259768183\\_1881687900\\_n.jpg](#)

[936830\\_469671569768152\\_1848895760\\_n.jpg](#);

[941336\\_469668209768488\\_1744153675\\_n.jpg](#)

[942414\\_469671343101508\\_1514956726\\_n.jpg](#);

[Deadbeats Bank Holiday Bender May13.png](#)

[Deadbeats Bank Holiday Bender event page May13.jpg](#)

[EIS party event page for 16022013.png](#)

[Mosses with Simon Amps in back of picture.jpg](#)

[Part of Simon system in the party.jpg](#)

Hi Josey

- I got the receipt you needed,
- I have taken 2 pictures of it and I have also done a scan of it.
- Also, Simon has asked me to send over some other info.
- I have also got some pictures of the event that Simon was hired out for.
- And also, one of the event pages for the event that was held on the 16/2/2013.

Lorraine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

143. x2 JOSEPHINE Ward \_Conversation of today

/ **Page Numbers:** 264

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 November 2013 21:08

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Conversation of today Lorraine

- I have not received the emails you referred to in our conversation of this evening.
- Can you please resend it?

Thanks

Josephine

06/11/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

116. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Conversation of today /

**Page Numbers:** 265

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

117. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Conversation of today\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 266

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

118. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Conversation of today\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 267

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

119. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Conversation of today\_ (3) /

**Page Numbers:** 268

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

116. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Conversation of today

/ **Page Numbers:** 265

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 06 November 2013 11:08

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Conversation of today

**Attachments:** [001.jpg](#);

[IMG 3893.JPG](#)

[IMG 3894.JPG](#)

[names.doc](#)

[party names and dates.doc](#);

[Sim Moses Howe Deadbeats Bank Holiday BenderRentalInvoice04052013.doc](#)

➤ Oh, Josey just got this mgs I will send it over in 3 emails maybe it was the size of the email why you did not get it.

➤ This is what I said

--

Hi Josey

➤ I got the receipt you needed,

➤ I have taken 2 pictures of it and I have also done a scan of it.

➤ Also, Simon has asked me to send over some other info.

➤ I have also got some pictures of the event that Simon was hired out for.

➤ And also, one of the event pages for the event that was held on the **16/2/2013**.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 November 2013 21:08

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Conversation of today

Lorraine

➤ I have not received the emails you referred to in our conversation of this evening.

➤ Can you please resend it?

Thanks

Josephine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

117. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Conversation of today\_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 266

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 06 November 2013 11:10

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Conversation of today

**Attachments:** [1 Bianca Road address of party on the 0452013.png](#)

[Deadbeats Bank Holiday Bender May13.png](#)

[Deadbeats Bank Holiday Bender event page May 13 .jpg](#)

[E1S party event page for 16022013.png](#)

[Part of Simon system in the party.jpg](#)

[Picture of trolley in party in the room with red shutters it was in when Simon left the party.jpg](#)

Hi Josey

➤ 2nd email

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

118. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Conversation of today\_ (2)

/ **Page Numbers:** 267

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 06 November 2013 11:11

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Conversation of today

**Attachments:** [942414\\_469671343101508\\_1514956726\\_n.jpg](#);

[62646\\_469671246434851\\_212091727\\_n.jpg](#)

[255604\\_469671833101459\\_675140176\\_n.jpg](#);

[922944\\_469671186434857\\_568631502\\_n.jpg](#)

[935721\\_469671259768183\\_1881687900\\_n.jpg](#);

[936830\\_469671569768152\\_1848895760\\_n.jpg](#)

[941336\\_469668209768488\\_1744153675\\_n.jpg](#)

[3rd email](#)

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 November 2013 21:08

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Conversation of today

Lorraine

➤ I have not received the emails you referred to in our conversation of this evening.

➤ Can you please resend it?

Thanks

Josephine

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

119. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Conversation of today\_ (3)

/ **Page Numbers:** 268

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 06 November 2013 11:12

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Conversation of today

Hi Josey

➤ **Can you confirm you got all 3 emails with attachments please?**

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 November 2013 21:08

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Conversation of today

Lorraine

➤ I have not received the emails you referred to in our conversation of this evening.

➤ Can you please resend it?

Thanks

Josephine

**07/11/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

08/11/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

182. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (4) /

**Page Numbers:** 269

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

121. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (10) /

**Page Numbers:** 270

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

182. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (4)

/ Page Numbers: 269

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 November 2013 01:13

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell Case info

Hi Lorraine

I have been very busy this week.

I have just arrived in from the police station and am knackered.

I will respond to your email over the weekend if that is okay as I have a number of prior authorities to send off (x6) and they are time consuming.

Regards

Josephine

On Tue, Nov 5, 2013 at 1:17 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- I got the receipt you needed,
- I have taken 2 pictures of it and I have also done a scan of it.
- Also, Simon has asked me to send over some other info.
- I have also got some pictures of the event that Simon was hired out for.
- And also, one of the event pages for the event that was held on the 16/2/2013.

Lorraine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

121. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (10)

/ Page Numbers: 270

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 08 November 2013 09:12

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell Case info

- That's cool Josey I just wanted to know you got them after not getting the 1st one I sent to you try and relax a bit and have some rest ☺

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 08 November 2013 01:13

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell Case info

Hi Lorraine

I have been very busy this week.

I have just arrived in from the police station and am knackered.

I will respond to your email over the weekend if that is okay as I have a number of prior authorities to send off (x6) and they are time consuming.

Regards

Josephine

On Tue, Nov 5, 2013 at 1:17 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- I got the receipt you needed,
- I have taken 2 pictures of it and I have also done a scan of it.
- Also, Simon has asked me to send over some other info.
- I have also got some pictures of the event that Simon was hired out for.
- And also, one of the event pages for the event that was held on the 16/2/2013.

Lorraine



<b>09/11/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>10/11/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>11/11/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

122. Vaughan Barnes \_description of bar stool ads /

**Page Numbers:** 271

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

123. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ description of bar stool ads /

**Page Numbers:** 272

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

124. Vaughan Barnes \_RE\_ description of bar stool ads /

**Page Numbers:** 273

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

125. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ description of bar stool ads /

**Page Numbers:** 274,275

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

126. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Re\_ Simon Cordell (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 276,277

Gazebo Case!

[“Moved from 13/11/2013 to here as file was in the wrong location!”](#)

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

127. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (63) /

**Page Numbers:** 278,279

Gazebo Case!

[“Moved from 13/11/2013 to here as file was in the wrong location!”](#)

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

122. Vaughan Barnes \_description of bar stool ads

/ Page Numbers: 271

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [[Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:00

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

➤ As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

☐☐+356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

☐☐ [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

123. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ description of bar stool ads

/ Page Numbers: 272

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:03

**To:** 'Vaughan Barnes'

**Subject: RE:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Vaughan

➤ I got the email, but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again, but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [Mail To: [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:00

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

➤ As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

Kind regards

**Vaughan Barnes** | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

El [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

124. Vaughan Barnes \_RE\_ description of bar stool ads

/ Page Numbers: 273

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [[Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:10

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** description of bar stool ads

**Attachments:** [bar stool.docx](#)

Hello Simon,

➤ I have no sorry about that.

➤ No problem I have attached it in a word doc this time.

➤ Please get back to me if you cannot view it.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

El [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2013 5:02 PM

**To:** Vaughan Barnes

**Subject: RE:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Vaughan

➤ I got the email, but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again, but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [Mail To: [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:00

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

➤ As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

Kind regards

**Vaughan Barnes** | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

E [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

125. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ description of bar stool ads

/ **Page Numbers:** 274,275

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 17:42

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: FW:** description of bar stool ads

**Attachments:** [bar stool.docx](#);

[shopfront-google-map.png](#);

[Sim Chair.jpg](#)

Hi Josey

- Today Simon called Gumtree up to see if he could in fact get the ad which was placed on Gumtree from the shop where Mohammad worked and got the items he sold to Simon.
- Gumtree was very helpful and found the ad for Simon and sent it over in the below email, the only thing they could not put in the email was the contact information of the person who posted the ad on Gumtree, but have said they will give this out to the police if the police contact them. The shop was called MJD'S 98 Tower Bridge Road, London SE1 4TP and this is where Mohammad worked.
- This is the name of the shop were the gazebos chairs and stools were for sale and the shop that advertised them on gumtree.
- When Simon Ordered the chairs from Mohammad there were 2 different types Simon got 4 of the Milan bars stools and one of the Venice Chair.
- The Venice Chair is what the police have at the police station.
- He sold 4 of the Milan Stools he ordered from Mohammad which were different from the one found at Simon address.
- All of these carry the What! Logo.
- Simon has since be able to get one of the people he sold the stool to which did keep the box and was able to get the label of it which I have scanned and will send over with this email.
- Which is clear it is the same chair in the ad on Gumtree.
- Bar stool.doc is the attachment from gumtree the other attachments are from me.

Lorraine

Below is what Gumtree sent to Simon

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [Mail To: [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:10

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** description of bar stool ads Hello Simon,

- I have no sorry about that.
- No problem I have attached it in a word doc this time.
- Please get back to me if you cannot view it.

Kind regards

**Vaughan Barnes** | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

El [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**275**

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2013 5:02 PM

**To:** Vaughan Barnes

**Subject:** RE: description of bar stool ads Hello Vaughan

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [Mail To: [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:00

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

- As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

+356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

El [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

- I got the email, but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again, but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

126. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Re\_ Simon Cordell (2)

/ Page Numbers: 276,277

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 November 2013 08:31

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject:** FW: Re: Simon Cordell

**Attachments:** [bar stool.docx](#); [shopfront-google-map.png](#); [Sim Chair.jpg](#)

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 17:42

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** FW: description of bar stool ads

Hi Josey

- Today Simon called Gumtree up to see if he could in fact get the ad which was placed on Gumtree from the shop where Mohammad worked and got the items he sold to Simon.
- Gumtree was very helpful and found the ad for Simon and sent it over in the below email, the only thing they could not put in the email was the contact information of the person who posted the ad on Gumtree, but have said they will give this out to the police if the police contact them. The shop was called MJD'S 98 Tower Bridge Road, London SE1 4TP and this is where Mohammad worked.
- This is the name of the shop were the gazebos chairs and stools were for sale and the shop that advertised them on gumtree.
- When Simon Ordered the chairs from Mohammad there were 2 different types Simon got 4 of the Milan bars stools and one of the Venice Chair.
- The Venice Chair is what the police have at the police station.
- He sold 4 of the Milan Stools he ordered from Mohammad which were different from the one found at Simon address.
- All of these carry the What! Logo.

- Simon has since be able to get one of the people he sold the stool to which did keep the box and was able to get the label of it which I have scanned and will send over with this email.
- Which is clear it is the same chair in the ad on Gumtree.
- Bar stool.doc is the attachment from gumtree the other attachments are from me.

Lorraine

Below is what Gumtree sent to Simon

**From:** Vaughan Barnes

**Mail To:** [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:10

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** description of bar stool ads Hello Simon,

- I have no sorry about that.
- No problem I have attached it in a word doc this time.
- Please get back to me if you cannot view it.

**277**

Kind regards

**Vaughan Barnes** | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000 2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

H [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2013 5:02 PM

**To:** Vaughan Barnes

**Subject: RE:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Vaughan

- I got the email, but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again, but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [**Mail To:** [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:00

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

- As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

Kind regards

**Vaughan Barnes** | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000 2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

H [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

127. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (63)

/ **Page Numbers:** 278,279

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 November 2013 08:32

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

**Attachments:** [bar stool.docx](#);

[shopfront-google-map.png](#);

[Sim Chair.jpg](#)

Hi Josey did you get this email I sent yesterday

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [**Mail To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 17:42

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: FW:** description of bar stool ads

Hi Josey

- Today Simon called Gumtree up to see if he could in fact get the ad which was placed on Gumtree from the shop where Mohammad worked and got the items he sold to Simon.
- Gumtree was very helpful and found the ad for Simon and sent it over in the below email, the only thing they could not put in the email was the contact information of the person who posted the ad on Gumtree, but have said they will give this out to the police if the police contact them. The shop was called MJD'S 98 Tower Bridge Road, London SE1 4TP and this is where Mohammad worked.
- This is the name of the shop where the gazebos chairs and stools were for sale and the shop that advertised them on gumtree.
- When Simon Ordered the chairs from Mohammad there were 2 different types Simon got 4 of the Milan bars stools and one of the Venice Chair.
- The Venice Chair is what the police have at the police station.
- He sold 4 of the Milan Stools he ordered from Mohammad which were different from the one found at Simon address.
- All of these carry the What! Logo.
- Simon has since be able to get one of the people he sold the stool to which did keep the box and was able to get the label of it which I have scanned and will send over with this email.
- Which is clear it is the same chair in the ad on Gumtree.
- Bar stool.doc is the attachment from gumtree the other attachments are from me.

Lorraine

Below is what Gumtree sent to Simon

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [Mail To: [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:10

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** description of bar stool ads Hello Simon,

- I have no sorry about that.
- No problem I have attached it in a word doc this time.
- Please get back to me if you cannot view it.

**279**

Kind regards

**Vaughan Barnes** | Fraud Agent | **Gumtree**

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000 2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

H [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2013 5:02 PM

**To:** Vaughan Barnes

**Subject: RE:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Vaughan

- I got the email, but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again, but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [Mail To: [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:00

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

- As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

Kind regards

**Vaughan Barnes** | Fraud Agent | **Gumtree**

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000 2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

H [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

13/11/2013

14/11/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
15/11/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
16/11/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
17/11/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
18/11/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
19/11/2013	<p><u>1</u> <b><u>1x Email</u></b> Fest = <b>Christmas Glow Festival</b> My Company Too Smooth! Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>2</u> <b><u>App for a variation in bail "Refused!"</u></b></p>



1

**1x Email**

Fest!

**Date:** Tuesday, 19 November 2013, 21:22

**Subject: Re:** Christmas Glow Festival.

**From:** Rewired ([re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com))

**To:** [omar.@gmail.com](mailto:omar.@gmail.com);

Hi Omar,

- I confirm that I can do the power for the **07/12/2013**
- for the Christmas Glow Festival, please see attached invoice for the cost for the day.
- You may also like to take a look at our website at  
1) <http://toosmooth.co.uk>
- please look at our Product Catalogue this may be useful to you to see what items that Too Smooth can hire out for all events, we carry a large stock of sound systems, event lighting, staging and much more.
- Looking forward to hearing back from you to confirm the date of the **07/12/2013**

Many Thanks,

Simon.

2

**App for a variation in bail "Refused!"**

**"Refused!"**

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP 14/07/13.

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/2013**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE) 14/07/13.

**Remand to Dates: --**

- 1) **25/06/2013:** "Police Station"
- 2) **26/06/2013:** "Court & the World of Scrubs"
- 3) **27/06/2013:** "World of Scrubs"
- 4) **28/06/2013:** "Judge & Chambers "Granted Bail"
- 5) **10/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 6) **16/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 7) **16/08/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 8) **09/09/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 9) **19/11/2013:** "[Bail Conditions](#)"
- 10) **20/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 11) **23/12/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 12) **08/04/2014:** "Bail Conditions"
- 13) **01/07/2014:** "I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions"
  - A. **Video of Interview:** "Yes"; Link
  - B. **Correspondents & Case Files;** "Yes" Link
  - C. **Bail Conditions: --**
  - D. **Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.

- E. Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.
- F. Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.
- G. Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.
- H. Condition 5: OTHER: \*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF**
- I. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\***
- J. Condition 6: \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO**  
**POLICE\*\*\*\*\***

- **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.
- **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.
- **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.
- **At:** WOOLWICH CROWN.
- **Owner:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

1

**App for a variation in bail "Refused!"**

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**"As above"**

19/11/2013

Gazebo Case!

2

**App for a variation in bail "Refused!"**

**"Refused!"**

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP 14/07/13.

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/2013**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE) 14/07/13.

**Remand to Dates: --**

- 1) **25/06/2013:** "Police Station"
- 2) **26/06/2013:** "Court & the World of Scrubs"
- 3) **27/06/2013:** "World of Scrubs"
- 4) **28/06/2013:** "Judge & Chambers "Granted Bail"
- 5) **10/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 6) **16/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 7) **16/08/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 8) **09/09/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 9) **19/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 10) **20/11/2013:** "[Bail Conditions](#)"
- 11) **23/12/2013:** "Bail Conditions"
- 12) **08/04/2014:** "Bail Conditions"
- 13) **01/07/2014:** "I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions"
  - A. **Video of Interview:** "Yes"; Link
  - B. **Correspondents & Case Files;** "Yes" Link
  - C. **Bail Conditions: --**
  - D. **Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.
  - E. **Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.
  - F. **Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.
  - G. **Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.
  - H. **Condition 5: OTHER:** \*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF
  - I. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\*

**J. Condition 6: \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO POLICE\*\*\*\*\***

- **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.
- **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.
- **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.
- **At:** WOOLWICH CROWN.
- **Owner:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

1

**App for a variation in bail "Refused!"**

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**21/11/2013**

1st Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**22/11/2013**

1st Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**23/11/2013**

1st Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**24/11/2013**

1st Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**25/11/2013**

1st Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

**26/11/2013**

1st Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

27/11/2013

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

28/11/2013

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 309

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

145. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference /

**Page Numbers:** 310,311

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 309

Date: Thursday, 28 November 2013, 17:30

Dear Simon,

- please see the CPS response to our defence case statement.
- This was received in the DX today.
- It does not advance our case.
- I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on **12th December 2013** at 10am in Nexus Chambers.
- He has personal appointments tomorrow and cannot as previously advise to a conference at **Croydon Crown Court.**
- I therefore booked a fixed conference for **12th December 2013** at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.
- Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

Regards,

Josephine.

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

145. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

/ Page Numbers: 310,311,

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 28 November 2013 19:54

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the email I just got it so will let Simon look over this tomorrow.
- Can I ask something the receipt from LiLo Leisure is that the receipt for the?
- **16/02/2013** or the **04/05/2013** as it seems to be the same receipt.
- What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the **04/05/2013** and also, the same for the **16/02/2013**
- someone can't say an amount in £s of damage and items were taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the **04/05/2013** and the **16/02/2013** all of the items listed on the receipts were taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts.
- There are also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the **16/02/2013** or the **04/05/2013** for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to this so-called building on the **04/05/2013**
- Which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.
- Also, there is no information as to really anything for the **16/02/2013**
- And no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.
- There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from **16/02/2013** so, show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.
- There is far too much data that is missing.
- And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?
- There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair trial without the full facts.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 28 November 2013 17:30

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- This was received in the DX today.
- It does not advance our case.
- I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on **12th December 2013** at 10am in Nexus Chambers.
- He has personal appointments tomorrow and cannot as previously advise to a conference at **Croydon Crown Court.**
- I therefore booked a fixed conference for **12th December 2013** at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.
- Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

**311**

- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

Regards  
Josephine

<b>29/11/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>30/11/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>December</b>	
<b>01/08/2013</b>	<u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!  <u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!
<b>02/12/2013</b>	<u>1</u> <b>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</b> 146. Lorraine Cordell _Re_ CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference_ (1) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 312,313,314 Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

146. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference\_ (1)

/ Page Numbers: 312,313,314,

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 02 December 2013 14:58

To: '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

- I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.
- There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb 2013 case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.
  - 1) Both the invoices from LiLo Leisure products ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May 2013 and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.
  - 2) On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice were not delivered to the address the parties were held in, in Feb 2013 and also May 2013 they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the parties were held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage?
  - 3) space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
  - 4) There has never been a list of items that Mr petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May 2013
  - 5) So far, we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb 2013, and seeing at Mr petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that were taken
  - 6) what was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb 2013 as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has listed from his insurance, they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May 2013?
  - 7) Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb 2013 claim out till after the claim in May 2013?
  - 8) Why did they only pay out after the May 2013 claim is it due that someone was arrested, and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon.
  - 9) As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April 2013 yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet.
  - 10) Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb 2013 and before May 2013.
  - 11) What was the costs of damage to the building in Feb 2013 and the value of the items taken?
  - 12) What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken? In short how could the property have been stolen in May 2013 if it had already been stolen in Feb 2013 as the invoice is the same?
  - 13) Also, the damage to the building from Feb 2013 seems to have been added to the costs to the May 2013 but yet Simon has not been charged with the party in Feb 2013.
- It seems they have mixed both cases together and Simon is taking the blame for all of it within the costs.
- The Crown does need to give us all the information to the Feb 2013 case including pictures so we can see ourselves the damage to the building and they need to tell us if anyone was changed in Feb 2013 and what was the outcome.
- If the crown does not do this then this is an unfair trail they will be holding as to Simon case.
- Also, we need to see all the insurance claims to see the total costs of damage in Feb 2013 and also for the claim in May 2013 and the reasons as to why both cases have been linked together by the insurance company.
- Also, where is the insurance claim for the items within the warehouse that was meant to have been taken as so far, I can only see a claim for the damage to the building?
- Any claim would need to include a list of the items that were taken and would need to be replaced.



➤ Someone cannot just come up with a figure of a cost for items that were taken and so far, it seems as if that has what has been done in both cases Feb 2013 and May 2013.

**313.**

Lorraine / Simon

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 28 November 2013 19:54

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the email I just got it so will let Simon look over this tomorrow.
- Can I ask something the receipt from LiLo Leisure is that the receipt for the?
- **16/02/2013** or the **04/05/2013** as it seems to be the same receipt.
- What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the **04/05/2013** and also, the same for the **16/02/2013**
- someone can't say an amount in £s of damage and items were taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the **04/05/2013** and the **16/02/2013** all of the items listed on the receipts were taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts.
- There are also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the **16/02/2013** or the **04/05/2013** for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to this so-called building on the **04/05/2013**
- Which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.
- Also, there is no information as to really anything for the **16/02/2013**
- And no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.
- There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from **16/02/2013**
- so, show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.
- There is far too much data that is missing.
- And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?
- There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair trial without the full facts.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 28 November 2013 17:30

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- This was received in the DX today.
- It does not advance our case.
- I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on **12th December 2013** at 10am in Nexus Chambers.
- He has personal appointments tomorrow and cannot as previously advise to a conference at **Croydon Crown Court.**
- I therefore booked a fixed conference for **12th December 2013 311**
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

**314.**

at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.

- Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

Regards

Josephine

03/12/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

277. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference /

**Page Numbers:** 315,316,317,318

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

277. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

/ Page Numbers: 315,316,317,318,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 03 December 2013 02:41

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Lorraine

- I am working through my emails slowly and by priority at the moment.
- I will endeavour to deal with this by Wednesday at the latest.
- I have been on duty all weekend and today I was in the police station from 9.30am until after midnight.
- I am aware of the documents being duplicated also.
- I will deal with each point you raise in due course.

Regards

Josephine

**On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 2:57 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:**

Hi Josey

- I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.
- There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb 2013 case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.
  - 1) Both the invoices from LiLo Leisure products ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May 2013 and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.
  - 2) On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice were not delivered to the address the parties were held in, in Feb 2013 and also May 2013 they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the parties were held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage?
  - 3) space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
  - 4) There has never been a list of items that Mr petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May 2013
  - 5) So far, we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb 2013, and seeing at Mr petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that were taken
  - 6) what was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb 2013 as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has listed from his insurance, they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May 2013?
  - 7) Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb 2013 claim out till after the claim in May 2013?
  - 8) Why did they only pay out after the May 2013 claim is it due that someone was arrested, and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon.
  - 9) As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April 2013 yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet.
  - 10) Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb 2013 and before May 2013.
  - 11) What was the costs of damage to the building in Feb 2013 and the value of the items taken?
  - 12) What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken?

**316.**

- 13) In short how could the property have been stolen in May 2013 if it had already been stolen in Feb 2013 as the invoice is the same?
- 14) Also, the damage to the building from Feb 2013 seems to have been added to the costs to the May 2013 but yet Simon has not been charged with the party in Feb 2013.

- It seems they have mixed both cases together and Simon is taking the blame for all of it within the costs.
- The Crown does need to give us all the information to the Feb 2013 case including pictures so we can see ourselves the damage to the building and they need to tell us if anyone was changed in Feb 2013 and what was the outcome.
- If the crown does not do this then this is an unfair trail they will be holding as to Simon case.
- Also, we need to see all the insurance claims to see the total costs of damage in Feb 2013 and also for the claim in May 2013 and the reasons as to why both cases have been linked together by the insurance company.
- Also, where is the insurance claim for the items within the warehouse that was meant to have been taken as so far, I can only see a claim for the damage to the building?
- Any claim would need to include a list of the items that were taken and would need to be replaced.
- Someone cannot just come up with a figure of a cost for items that were taken and so far, it seems as if that has what has been done in both cases Feb 2013 and May 2013.

Lorraine / Simon

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 28 November 2013 19:54

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

**317.**

- Thank you for the email I just got it so will let Simon look over this tomorrow.
- Can I ask something the receipt from LiLo Leisure is that the receipt for the?
- 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 as it seems to be the same receipt.
- What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the 04/05/2013 and also, the same for the 16/02/2013
- someone can't say an amount in £s of damage and items were taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the 04/05/2013 and the 16/02/2013 all of the items listed on the receipts were taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts.
- There are also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to this so-called building on the 04/05/2013
- Which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.
- Also, there is no information as to really anything for the 16/02/2013
- And no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.
- There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from 16/02/2013
- so, show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.
- There is far too much data that is missing.
- And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?
- There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair trail without the full facts.

Lorraine

**318.**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 28 November 2013 17:30

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- This was received in the DX today.
- It does not advance our case.
- I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on 12th December 2013 at 10am in Nexus Chambers.
- He has personal appointments tomorrow and cannot as previously advise to a conference at Croydon Crown Court.

- I therefore booked a fixed conference for **12th December 2013 311**
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case. at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.
- Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

Regards  
Josephine

04/12/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
05/12/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
06/12/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
07/12/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
08/12/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
09/12/2013	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>10/12/2013</b>	<p><b><u>1</u></b> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 149. Lorraine Cordell _Re_ CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference_ (2) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 320,321,322 Gazebo Case!</p>

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

149. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference\_ (2)

/ **Page Numbers:** 320,321,322,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 10 December 2013 23:40

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Jossey

- Can you please confirm the conference on **12th December 2013** at 10am at **Nexus Chambers** for Simon and if all is ok can you please send the address over for Nexus Chambers
- please Also can you give us an update to his case and if the crown or insurance company have given you any more information or is it the information you sent over is all that the crown is going to give.
- And do you still need to see Simon to take the finalise proof of evidence from him as in the email you sent when you made the conference for him to see his barrister you said you would like to see him before the date of seeing his barrister.

Lorraine

**321,**

Forward info

**322,**

Forward info

1  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**  
Gazebo case Remanded to Prison /  
**Page Numbers:** 323,324,325  
Gazebo Case!

3  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /  
**Page Numbers:** 3329  
Gazebo Case!

4  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /  
**Page Numbers:** 330,331  
Gazebo Case!

5  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /  
**Page Numbers:** 332  
Gazebo Case!

6  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /  
**Page Numbers:** 333,334  
Gazebo Case!

7  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /  
**Page Numbers:** 335  
Gazebo Case!

8  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /  
**Page Numbers:** 336,337  
Gazebo Case!

9  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: V**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /  
**Page Numbers:** 338  
Gazebo Case!

10  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /  
**Page Numbers:** 339  
Gazebo Case!

11  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /  
**Page Numbers:** 340,341,342  
Gazebo Case!

12

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 343,344

Gazebo Case!

13

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 345,346,347,348,

Gazebo Case!

15

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

151. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Bail variation /

**Page Numbers:** 350

Gazebo Case!

15

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

151. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Bail variation /

**Page Numbers:** 350,

**350,**

**Sent: 11 August 2013 14:05**



1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Gazebo case Remanded to Prison

/ Page Numbers: 323,324,325,

323,

**Subject: FW: Simon Cordell A5698CY**

**From: Lorraine Cordell**

**To: [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);**

**Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:06**

--

**From: JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]**

**Sent: 28 June 2013 14:14**

**To: Lorraine Cordell**

**Subject: Fwd.: Simon Cordell A5698CY**

➤ confirmation of bail grant from court

----- Forwarded message -----

**From: "Micheal" <[m.mckee@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:m.mckee@michaelcarrollandco.com)>**

**Date: Jun 28, 2013 1:27 PM**

**Subject: Fwd.: Simon Cordell A5698CY**

**To: <[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)>, <[josephinewardsolicitor@googlemail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@googlemail.com)>**

Please see the below.

➤ Do you want me to get in touch with Scrubs?

➤ It looks like they have listed his prison number.

Kind regards,

Micheal

----- Original Message -----

**From: "Matthews, Paula" <[Paula.Matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:Paula.Matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)>**

**To:**

**Date: 28 June 2013 at 13:15**

**Subject: Simon Cordell A5698CY**

To whom it may concern,

➤ Please find attached a copy of the Grant of Bail for Simon Cordell who is currently held at HMP Wormwood Scrubs.

324,

➤ The Release on bail is subject to the conditions set out in the order.

➤ Edmonton Police Station –

➤ Please be aware that Ms Lorraine Cordell will be attending your station in order to provide details of the Surety in the figure of £1000

➤ Please confirm receipt of this email.

Kind Regards

Paula Matthews | Court Clerk Crown Court at Southwark | 1 English Grounds | London | SE1 2HU

DX 39913 London Bridge South | Tel:020 7522 7234 Working

325,

Attachments

[CORDELL B20130035.pdf \(49.67 KB\)](#)

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 329

**Subject: FW: Simon Cordell**

**From: Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))**

**To: [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);**

**Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:07**

--

**From: JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]**

**Sent: 12 July 2013 18:28**

**To: Lorraine Cordell**

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- Hope this is it.
- I hate technology.

Regards

Josephine

Attachments

Att note

[10/07/2013.docx \(12.16 KB\)](#)

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 330,331,

**330.**

**Subject: FW:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday **16th July 2013**

**From:** Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:08

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 10:25

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday

**16th July 2013**

Lorraine

- It is a typo.

On **Jul 15, 2013 10:03 AM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Jose

- You made a mistake in this Simon was never given a bail notice from Woolwich he only had the one from the other court that gives him bail from the prison.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 15 July 2013 08:40

**To:** [listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk)

**Cc:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday **16th July 2013**

Dear Sir or Madam

- We act on behalf of our above-named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

**331.**

- Our client's matter was listed at Woolwich Crown Court for a preliminary hearing and an application to vary our client's bail conditions.
- The Learned Judge on that occasion refused to deal with the application to vary bail and raised issues regarding why the surety was not present.
- He removed the surety requirement and our understanding is that our client was admitted to bail on the same terms as before.
- A number of issues have now arisen as a result of this.
- Serco attended to insert an electronic tag, and this was never a condition of our client's bail when he was granted bail by Woolwich Crown Court but a curfew with a doorstep condition was imposed.
- Our client explained this to Serco who left.
- We clarified that this information was accurate by reviewing the advocate's attendance note from **10th July** and also receiving verbal confirmation from Mr Lemos to further verify this.

- When our client went to report to Edmonton Police Station in compliance with his bail conditions, he was arrested for breaching his bail in that he refused Serco entry to install the electronic monitoring equipment.
- This was on **13th July 2013** Fortunately; our client was able to produce a copy of the bail notice from Woolwich Crown Court and also a copy of the advocate's court attendance notes who attended on **13th July 2013**.
- He was released.

**96**

- Ms Cordell contacted Serco and advise Jo badge number 3072 of this development and Serco will now wait until **Tuesday 16th July 2013**
- to receive clarification from the court.
- Can you please list this case tomorrow? **16th July 2013** in order that matters can be clarified. We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter and we await clarification of this.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**5**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 332

**Subject:** FW: Simon's court attendance notes for **16th July 2013**

**From:** Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:09

--

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** **08 August 2013 11:21**

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon's court attendance notes for **16th July 2013**

Lorraine / Simon

- Please see the barrister's back sheet from the 16th July **2013**.
- This should have been forwarded onto you and would have been by me if it had been emailed to me in Bulgaria.
- Case workers are not allowed to send over any paperwork on any client file without prior authorisation from the Solicitor with conduct, namely me.
- I cannot criticise the case workers for this as I am pretty strict on this.
- If this had been emailed over to me in Bulgaria, then I would have emailed on it but as I was on annual leave the case workers viewed this as nonurgent as the Judge refused to vary the conditions.
- I am also emailing you the client care letter again which outlines the complaints procedure.

Regards

Josephine

- **PS** As indicated when the case papers are served and the secondary disclosure served then it may be possible to make a further attempt to vary bail but at this stage, I do not believe that the Court will entertain it.

**Attachments**

[Brief for the Defendant.pdf \(18.88 KB\)](#)

[Client Care Mags Letter.doc \(45.00 KB\)](#)

**6**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 333,334

**333.**

**Subject:** FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary conditions of bail at Woolwich Crown Court on **16th August 2013** if matter cannot be resolved and agreed

**From:** Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:11

--

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 11 August 2013 14:02

**To:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

[kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

Lorraine Cordell; Jay Lemos

**Cc:** [jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary conditions of bail at Woolwich Crown Court on **16th August 2013**

If matter cannot be resolved and agreed administratively

Dear Sir or Madam

- We continue to act on behalf of our above-named client under the terms of a legal representation order.
- Our client has instructed us to make an application to vary his bail conditions so that the reporting condition is removed in its entirety, and if an alternative bail condition is required then his mother is willing to stand surety **£1,000**.

**We attach the following:**

- 1) Reasons for application
  - 2) Notice of application for court to consider bail
  - 3) Map printed from internet supporting the distance from the client's address to the local police station
- We also add that £19.60 represents 40% of his benefits when they are reinstated, and this will cause our client financial hardship.
  - We would be grateful if you oppose this application that a representative is available for the application to be determined in Court on **16th August 2013**
  - We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**Attachments**

**334**

[APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.pdf \(69.81 KB\)](#)

[DOCUMENTATION IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL 110813.pdf \(81.43 KB\)](#)

[INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF VARYING THE BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.doc \(21.50 KB\)](#)

**7**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 335

**335**

**Subject:** FW: Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on **4th September 2013**

at 10am

**From:** Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:13

--

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 14 August 2013 11:27

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell; Anthony Clarke; Anthony Clarke;

[michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on **04th September 2013** at 10am

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

([josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk);

[anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com)

[tony.j.clark3@gmail.com](mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com);

[michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com);

**Date: Wednesday, 14 August 2013, 11:27**

Dear Simon

- Please find attached a copy of the transcript of your police interview.
- This arrived in the DX this morning.
- I am hoping to attend your mother's address on Friday evening **16th August 2013** at 4.30pm I will discuss all aspects of your case on that date and hope to be in a position to update you with regards to the application to remove the reporting condition.
- In the intervening period of time should you have any questions with regards to any of the matters contained in this letter then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

Regards

Josephine

**Attachments**

[NAE090813 ROTI PGS 7 - 29.pdf \(853.23 KB\)](#)

**8**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 336,337

**336.**

**Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 4th September 2013**

**From:** Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:13

--

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 14 August 2013 18:12

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell; [michael@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:michael@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on **4th September 2013**

Simon

- I have now read through all your case papers, interview transcript and unused material.

**Areas for discussion:**

- 1) Premises - need full address of where the rave took place
- 2) Any emails / Facebook notification of the rave / booking your sound system for the party / any invoices given
- 3) to the people hosting the party
- 4) Full contact details for Mohammed in order that I can take a statement confirming the sale to you
- 5) Receipt that Mohammed gave you, presumably this itemises the goods sold and date of purchase by you - pg. of your interview you make reference to leaving with your sound system and then being offered a job lot of chairs - need specifics on this see 5 below.
- 6) Please also read your bottom page 20 of your ROTI "I bought it of the person who put the 144 notices on both of them premises that was living there and was a registered squatter to the buildings.
- 7) What made you believe that Mohammed had a right to the property?
- 8) Where did he tell you he? got the property from?
- 9) I need confirmation of the date you purchased the items; the location where the sale took place
- 10) What made you believe that both premises were linked? For all intents and purposes, they appear to be separate buildings and entry to Unit 3 appears to have been gained through a hole in the wall not a natural doorway. This is criminal damage and therefore illegal.
- 11) Confirmation of where the Notices were displayed. Any photographs from the rave to back this up would be very useful
- 12) Neighbours who can confirm the date the gazebo was erected

**337**

- 13) More information on the hole in the wall - photographs would be helpful from rave photographs and also any persons who were living there in the Unit 3 squat

14) Recordings of conversation between you and police before entering the party. Any shoulder numbers of officers who attended the premises so we can request statements etc from them re damage.

15) What proof do you have that Unit 3 was not barricaded with wood as the Director alleges and the barricades were not simply moved prior to your arrival?

- Simon I will have a full discussion with you regarding the law etc on Friday but if you can concentrate on the above and collating the requested information.
- I will draft your defence case statement after I have taken a full proof of evidence (statement) from you.

Regards  
Josephine

9

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 338

**Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 09th September 2013 (Burglary)**

**From:** Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:14

--

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 04 September 2013 11:48

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Jay Lemos

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on **09th September 2013 (Burglary)**

Dear Lorraine / Simon / Jay

- Please find attached a copy of the court listing for **09th September 2013** in relation to the above case.

Regards  
Josephine

**Attachments**

[court listing for 09/09/2013.pdf \(11.63 KB\)](#)

10

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 339

**339**

**Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 09th September 2013**

**From:** Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 11 December 2013 22:15

--

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 04 September 2013 21:09

**To:** Jay Lemos; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on **09th September 2013**

Dear Jay / Simon / Lorraine

- Please see attached the amended defence case statement.

Regards  
Josephine

- PS Jay, Simon will sign this on Monday so can you please serve it direct to CPS and court and confirm this by email.

**Attachments**

[DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL.doc \(46.50 KB\)](#)

**11**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 340,341,342,

**340,**

**Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013** in Court 10 at 11am

**From:** Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:18

--

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 October 2013 19:56

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013** in Court 10 at 11am.

- Okay thanks Lorraine the date can be amended at court by the barrister
- Thanks for drawing my attention to it.

Regards

Josephine

Regards

Josephine

On **Oct 21, 2013 7:51 PM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Just gone over the document you sent over and on number 17 you made a mistake with the date.
- The Defendant denies entering as a trespasser the said premises.
- The Defendant accepts that his DNA may have been found on a can but that this may have been moved at any time during the night of the party on the **4th February 2013**
- The Defendant denies stealing from the premises and he denies causing any damage to the Premises It should be the **4th May 2013**
- Also, the link for the catalogue for focus is correct
  - 1) <http://web.archive.org/web/20110321003352>
  - 2) <http://diy.focusdiy.co.uk/nav/cat2/gazebos/cat/gardenbuildings/0>
- It does show the Harrogate Gazebo for the catalogue for focus and it's the website URL archive dated **11March 2011**
- It does take a little while to load from the archive servers but does load and you can see the items of gazebos that focus was selling on the **11 March 2011** you have to look down the list to see the Harrogate Gazebo information.
- If you load the URL and then right click on the page and select Save As in the menu you can save it to your computer also.
- The only thing I cannot find is the original receipt for Simon Gazebo that was at his home when the police come.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 October 2013 18:11

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013**

in Court 10 at 11am

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- your case has been listed tomorrow for a mention hearing.
- You are both welcome to attend if you so desire, but you are not required to attend, as you have not been able to provide me with the original receipt of internet link for the Focus catalogue, I edited the defence case statement accordingly.
- I now attach the amended defence case statement which I instructed your barrister to serve at tomorrow's hearing.



- This will trigger secondary disclosure in the case, and I will be hoping to offer you an appointment in four weeks' time for a conference with your barrister.
- If you have any further requests to vary your bail, then please provide me with adequate notice as the courts tend to want the CPS and solicitors to attempt to agree the variation administratively before resorting to the court.
- Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards  
Josephine

**342.**

**PS**

- Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office.

**12**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 343,344,

**343.**

**Subject:** FW: description of bar stool ads

**From:** Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:20

--

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [**Mail To:** [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:10

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** RE: description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

- I have no sorry about that.
- No problem I have attached it in a word doc this time.
- Please get back to me if you cannot view it.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centre com | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

(+356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

\* [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2013

5:02 PM

**To:** Vaughan Barnes

**Subject:** RE: description of bar stool ads

Hello Vaughan

- I got the email, but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again, but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

**From:** Vaughan Barnes [**Mail To:** [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu)]

**Sent:** 12 November 2013 16:00

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

- As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

Kind regards

**344.**

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centre com | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

(+356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

\* [vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu](mailto:vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu)

**Attachments**



**13**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 345,346,347,348

**345.**

**Subject: FW: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference**

**From:** Lorraine Cordell ([lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk))

**To:** [re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com);

**Date:** Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:20

--

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 03 December 2013 02:41

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference**

Hi Lorraine

- I am working through my emails slowly and by priority at the moment.
- I will endeavour to deal with this by Wednesday at the latest.
- I have been on duty all weekend and today I was in the police station from 9.30am until after midnight.
- I am aware of the documents being duplicated also.
- I will deal with each point you raise in due course.

Regards

Josephine

**On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 2:57 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:**

Hi Josey

- I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.
- There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb **2013** case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.
  - 1) Both the invoices from LiLo Leisure products ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May **2013** and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.

**346.**

- 2) On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice were not delivered to the address the parties were held in, in Feb **2013** and also May **2013** they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the parties were held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage?
- 3) space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
- 4) There has never been a list of items that Mr petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May **2013**
- 5) So far, we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb **2013**, and seeing at Mr petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that were taken
- 6) what was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb **2013** as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has listed from his insurance, they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May **2013**?
- 7) Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb **2013** claim out till after the claim in May **2013**?
- 8) Why did they only pay out after the May **2013** claim is it due that someone was arrested, and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon.
- 9) As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April **2013** yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet.
- 10) Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb **2013** and before May **2013**.
- 11) What was the costs of damage to the building in Feb **2013** and the value of the items taken?

12) What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken?

13) In short how could the property have been stolen in May 2013 if it had already been stolen in Feb 2013 as the invoice is the same?

14) Also, the damage to the building from Feb 2013 seems to have been added to the costs to the May 2013 but yet Simon has not been charged with the party in Feb 2013.

- It seems they have mixed both cases together and Simon is taking the blame for all of it within the costs.
- The Crown does need to give us all the information to the Feb 2013 case including pictures so we can see ourselves the damage to the building and they need to tell us if anyone was changed in Feb 2013 and what was the outcome.
- If the crown does not do this then this is an unfair trail they will be holding as to Simon case.
- Also, we need to see all the insurance claims to see the total costs of damage in Feb 2013 and also for the claim in May 2013 and the reasons as to why both cases have been linked together by the insurance company.
- Also, where is the insurance claim for the items within the warehouse that was meant to have been taken as so far, I can only see a claim for the damage to the building?
- Any claim would need to include a list of the items that were taken and would need to be replaced.
- Someone cannot just come up with a figure of a cost for items that were taken and so far, it seems as if that has what has been done in both cases Feb 2013 and May 2013.

**347.**

Lorraine / Simon

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 28 November 2013 19:54

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the email I just got it so will let Simon look over this tomorrow.
- Can I ask something the receipt from LiLo Leisure is that the receipt for the?
- 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 as it seems to be the same receipt.
- What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the 04/05/2013 and also, the same for the 16/02/2013
- someone can't say an amount in £s of damage and items were taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the 04/05/2013 and the 16/02/2013 all of the items listed on the receipts were taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts.
- There are also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to this so-called building on the 04/05/2013
- Which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.
- Also, there is no information as to really anything for the 16/02/2013
- And no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.
- There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from 16/02/2013
- so, show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.
- There is far too much data that is missing.
- And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?
- There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair trial without the full facts.

Lorraine

**348.**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 28 November 2013 17:30

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- please see the CPS response to our defence case statement.

- This was received in the DX today.
  - It does not advance our case.
  - I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on **12th December 2013** at 10am in Nexus Chambers.
  - He has personal appointments tomorrow and cannot as previously advise to a conference at **Croydon Crown Court.**
  - I therefore booked a fixed conference for **12th December 2013** at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.
  - Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.
  - Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.
- Regards  
Josephine

**12/12/2013**

1  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Isle Wight Case /  
**Page Numbers:** 351  
Gazebo Case!

1  
**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**  
Solicitors Miss Ward Isle Wight Case  
/ **Page Numbers:** 351  
**Date:** Thursday, 12 December 2013, 23:16  
Dear Simon / Mother!

- Please accept this email as confirmation that the conference will go ahead tomorrow with your barrister at Isleworth Crown Court at 12.30pm.
- If you any problems contacting the barrister at Isleworth Crown Court, please phone the office on 0208 365 9900 in order that one of the boys can telephone the barrister's clerk to ensure that he meets you.

Regards  
Josephine

**P.S:**

- I will have my mobile with me, but I am in and out of reception, so a text is probably better.

**13/12/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew  
The Gazebo Case!  
  
Working at Home doing?  
Court Case Defence Work!

**14/12/2013**

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew  
The Gazebo Case!  
  
Working at Home doing?  
Court Case Defence Work!

15/12/2012

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

16/12/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

152. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Meeting with barrister Simon Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 352,353,354,355

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

153. x2... Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Meeting with barrister Simon Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 356,357,358,359,360

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

152. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Meeting with barrister Simon Cordell

/ Page Numbers: 352,353,354,355,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 16 December 2013 12:43

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Meeting with barrister Simon Cordell

Hello Josey

➤ After Simon went to see the barrister on **13/12/2013** the barrister cannot do anything for Simon until at least **18/12/2013** as he is on a next case.

➤ He also did not know anything about Simon case and had not had any papers from you.

➤ He has asked Simon for some information here is the list Anything from **16/02/2013 case including all**

- 1) All Cads
- 2) List of items that were taken
- 3) List of all damage to building
- 4) And anything else to do with that case
- 5) All crime scene pictures
- 6) And an insurance claim

➤ He also said he will need the same for the **04/05/2013 case**

- 1) All Cads:
- 2) List of items that were taken:
- 3) List of damage to building:
- 4) And anything else for that case:
- 5) And any insurance claims: --

➤ As the invoices that have been given are here say due to the fact the guy would need proof that these items were still in fact inside the warehouse.

➤ He does not seem to understand when this went to court, and the judge said that we can't have this information.

➤ So, could you please talk with him and update him as to what has gone on at the court, as we did not know until last week that the judge had said this, we can't have this information. But at any case it seems the barrister needs updating about this case as he does not know anything and did not even understand why Simon had gone to see him when he was on a case already which was going to overrun till this week at some point, but not before Wednesday.

➤ And Simon case is in the WL from **16/12/2013.**

➤ From what we have found out when Simon called the insurance company the claim for the insurance that was put in was only for the building and no content. Simon called them on the **13/12/2013** and talked to someone as Nikki Diamond was not due back in the office until **16/12 2013.**

➤ The insurance company did tell |Simon both claims have been put into one as from what the lady could see who looked up the claim REF could see no one from the insurance saw the damage to the building until after **04/05/2013**

➤ which should not come down to Simon as Simon did not cause any damage on the build at any time. Insurance details

**353.**

- A. Cunningham Lindsey
- B. Person dealing with claim is Nikki Diamond claim ref 5005393
- C. Address: Aquila House, 35 London Rd, Redhill, Surrey RH1 1NJ
- D. Phone: 01737 784600
- E. Email: [nikki.diamond@cluk.com](mailto:nikki.diamond@cluk.com)

➤ They asked Simon to send anything over to them and if he had anything for the **Feb 2013 case** can he send any information over for this, and that Nikki Diamond would get it on Monday and to include a contact number that she can get hold of us.

➤ He also asked about 3rd party discloser and was told Nikki Diamond could deal with this.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

**354,**

“Forwarded Info”

**355,**

“Forwarded Info”

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

153. x2... Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Meeting with barrister Simon Cordell

/ **Page Numbers:** 356,357,358,359,360,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 16 December 2013 13:06

**To:** 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'

**Subject:** FW: Meeting with barrister Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Please still read the below email.
- But I also forgot to say that the barrister also said Simon needs to go over the tapes of his interview and highlight and mark anything that is wrong.
- Also, can I ask will the PNC check be updated as Simon has been found not guilty for at least 4 of the cases that show on there?
- So, his last case should show as 2009.

Lorraine

**357,**

“Forwarded Info”

**358,**

“Forwarded Info”

**359,**

“Forwarded Info”

**360,**

“Forwarded Info”

17/12/2013

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

154. x2. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Meeting with barrister Simon Cordell\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 361,362,363,364,365

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

155. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ FW\_ Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref

SLCGC\_NJD\_5005393) Re\_ Simon Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 366,367

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

157. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ FW\_ Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref

SLCGC\_NJD\_5005393) Re\_ Simon Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 368,369,370

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email’s Issue:**

218. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ FW\_ Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref

SLCGC\_NJD\_5005393) Re\_ Simon Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 371,372,373

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

154. x2. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Meeting with barrister Simon Cordell\_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 361,362,363,364,365,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 17 December 2013 11:02

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject: RE:** Meeting with barrister Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Can we get an update to Simon case please and as to what's going on with the barrister and if he can't do Simon case which Barrister Simon will be using, please?
- And any update to the emails I sent yesterday to you,

Lorraine / Simon

**362.**

"Forwarded Info"

**363.**

"Forwarded Info"

**364.**

"Forwarded Info"

**365.**

"Forwarded Info"

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

155. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ FW\_ Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC\_NJD\_5005393)

Re\_ Simon Cordell

/ **Page Numbers:** 366,367,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 17 December 2013 20:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re: FW:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

**Re:** Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

Are the insurance company aware that Simon is awaiting trial for burglary?

I assume you have disclosed this to them.

I would have to disclose this fact to them before requesting information and informer to do this I would require Simon's signed written consent.

The next stage is getting the case and listed for mention.

Any questions please email me direct.

Thanks

Josephine

On Dec 17, 2013 5:10 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Jossey

- This is the manager now dealing with the insurance claim Mr Patel put in for the building that the party was in.
- He is setting up a meeting with Mr Patel asking him to sign a form that would allow him to disclose any information to any person that has cause to see the claim.
- But not telling Mr Patel that he has spoken to us or would like a meeting with us.
- He said some other things also about the claim Mr Patel put in, he said that in Feb 2013 he did put a claim in and was asked by the insurance to do some things which Mr Patel did not do so the insurance closed the claim down and Mr Patel did not do anything else with that claim to say he wanted to keep it opened.
- Then after May 2013 Mr Patel again contacted the insurance and said there was a next claim. In the invoice Mr Patel has handed into the insurance it is all in one invoice for one claim.
- The Insurance Company also have not paid him out yet for the claim.
- He told me to contact the solicitor dealing with the case as he did not want to step on anyone feet and see about a meeting to be setup.
- Please see the below email from the Manger dealing with the insurance claim.

Lorraine

**Original Message From:**

[trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)

**Mail To:** [trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)

**Sent:** 17 December 2013 16:29

**367,**

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject: Re:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref: 5005393

Insurer's Claim Ref: 1849692

**Re:** R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

- My details as discussed.
- Please advise your solicitor.
- I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Commercial Special Investigator

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor, 3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

**Tel:** 0121 233 6765

**Fax:** 0845 425 2850

**Website:** [www.cunninghamlindsey.com](http://www.cunninghamlindsey.com)

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

157. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ FW\_ Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC\_NJD\_5005393) Re\_ Simon Cordell

/ **Page Numbers:** 368,369,370,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 17 December 2013 21:16

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE: FW:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

**Re:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- I told them that Simon was arrested due to DNA being found at the warehouse and has been charged and it is going to crown court
- so yes, they are aware Simon was arrested for the building as I told him Simon is getting done for all of the damages, and it seems from the files they have linked both cases of the **16 Feb 2013** and the **04 May 2013** but Simon was not charged with the case from the **16 Feb 2013**
- only with the case from the **04 May 2013.**
- And that Simon was not there on the **16 Feb 2013**
- and can prove this. I have even told them that 4 people were arrested on **16 Feb 2013**
- from what I can tell they don't seem to have the information as to even the person in the police who was dealing with the case so it seems that Mr Patel is not telling the insurance company a lot of the things they should know as when I was telling him about things in the case, he seemed to be very shocked and un aware of a lot of the things for **Feb 2013**
- And **May 2013.**
- But yes, he is very aware that Simon was arrested and that's why I made the call when I saw they had joined the insurance claim under one case for both dates.

Lorraine

**369,**

Forwarded Info

**370,**

Forwarded Info



5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

218. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ FW\_ Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC\_NJD\_5005393) Re\_ Simon Cordell

/ **Page Numbers:** 371,372,373,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 17 December 2013 21:27

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE: FW:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

**Re:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

➤ I will need Simon's permission to disclose information to the insurance company i.e., signed consent.

Regards

Josephine

On Dec 17, 2013 9:15 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- I told them that Simon was arrested due to DNA being found at the warehouse and has been charged and it is going to crown court
- so yes, they are aware Simon was arrested for the building as I told him Simon is getting done for all of the damages, and it seems from the files they have linked both cases of the **16 Feb 2013** and the **04 May 2013** but Simon was not charged with the case from the **16 Feb 2013**
- only with the case from the **04 May 2013**.
- And that Simon was not there on the **16 Feb 2013**
- and can prove this. I have even told them that 4 people were arrested on **16 Feb 2013**
- from what I can tell they don't seem to have the information as to even the person in the police who was dealing with the case so it seems that Mr Patel is not telling the insurance company a lot of the things they should know as when I was telling him about things in the case, he seemed to be very shocked and un aware of a lot of the things for **Feb 2013**
- And **May 2013**.
- But yes, he is very aware that Simon was arrested and that's why I made the call when I saw they had joined the insurance claim under one case for both dates.

Lorraine

372.

Forwarded Info

373.

Forwarded Info

**18/12/2013**

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 374

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

159. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court /

**Page Numbers:** 376

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ **Page Numbers:** 374

**Date: Wednesday, 18 December 2013, 16:56**

Dear Mother! & Simon,

➤ Simon's case will be listed for mention tomorrow morning court 7 not before 11am. I will update you tomorrow after the hearing.

Regards,

Josephine.

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

159. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court

/ **Page Numbers:** 376,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 18 December 2013 16:57

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine & Simon

➤ Simon's case will be listed for mention tomorrow morning court 7 not before 11am. I will update you tomorrow after the hearing.

Regards

Josephine

19/12/2013

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case /

**Page Numbers:** 377

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

160. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v\_ (14) /

**Page Numbers:** 378

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue: 01**

Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case

/ Page Numbers: 377

Date: Thursday, 19 December 2013, 18:47

Simon / Mother!

- The case was listed in court this morning but unfortunately the application to vary bail was not dealt with, so I asked for the case to be listed again on **23rd December 2013**
- With regards to the applications and also in relation to non-disclosure that the court have directed that the defence make a section 8, application and also an application for Third Party Disclosure.
- This has to be made by **16th January 2014**
- The prosecution must respond by **16th February 2014**
- If the defence has not yet got provided with disclosure, we need to list the case again after **16th February 2014**
- The case has now been placed in the warned list for the week commencing the **30th June 2014**
- I will update you regarding the application to vary bail.

Regards, Josephine.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

160. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Regina v\_ (14)

/ Page Numbers: 378,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 19 December 2013 18:47

To: Lorraine Cordell

Cc: too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to vary bail on **23rd December 2013**

Simon / Lorraine

- The case was listed in court this morning but unfortunately the application to vary bail was not dealt with, so I asked for the case to be listed again on **23rd December 2013**
- With regards to the applications and also in relation to non-disclosure that the court have directed that the defence make a section 8, application and also an application for Third Party Disclosure.
- This has to be made by **16th January 2014**
- The prosecution must respond by **16th February 2014**
- If the defence has not yet got provided with disclosure, we need to list the case again after **16th February 2014**
- The case has now been placed in the warned list for the week commencing the **30th June 2014**
- I will update you regarding the application to vary bail.

Regards

Josephine

20/12/2013

1<sup>st</sup> Curfew

The Gazebo Case!

Working at Home doing?

Court Case Defence Work!

<b>21/12/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>22/12/2013</b>	<p><u>1<sup>st</sup> Curfew</u> The Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>Working at Home doing?</u> Court Case Defence Work!</p>
<b>23/12/2013</b>	<p><u>1</u> <b><u>Gazebo Case, Bail Variation!</u></b> <b>App for a variation in bail “Granted over Christmas!”</b> 1st Curfew! + *7 Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew! + *7 <b><u>The Gazebo Case: --</u></b> <b><u>Arrest: 25/06/2013</u></b> <b>Arrest/Summons Ref:</b> 13/0000/00/625125U. <b>Name Charged:</b> CODELL, SIMON PAUL.</p>

1

**Gazebo Case, Bail Variation!**

**App for a variation in bail "Granted over Christmas!"**

1st Curfew! + \*7

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew! + \*7

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP

**14/07/2013**

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/2013**

07:20

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE)

**14/07/2013**

**Remand to Dates: --**

**25/06/2013: "Police Station"**

**26/06/2013: "Court & the World of Scrubs"**

**27/06/2013: "World of Scrubs"**

**28/06/2013: "Judge & Chambers "Granted Bail"**

**10/07/2013: "Bail Conditions"**

**16/07/2013: "Bail Conditions"**

**16/08/2013: "Bail Conditions"**

**09/09/2013: "Bail Conditions"**

**19/11/2013: "Bail Conditions"**

**20/11/2013: "Bail Conditions"**

**23/12/2013: "Bail Conditions"**

**08/04/2014: "Bail Conditions"**

**01/07/2014 "I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions"**

**Description:** REMANDED ON BAIL ON

**23/12/2013**

AT WOOLWICH.

**At:** CROWN NEXT APPEARING ON

**08/04/2014**

AT WOOLWICH.

**To Appear At:** CROWN 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**At:**

**08/04/2014**

**Owner:**

**BAIL ADDRESS:** 109 BURNCROFT AVENUE ENFIELD MIDDLESEX EN3 7JQ.

**Last Updated:**

**Condition 1:** CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT ABOVE CROWN COURT. PLEASE ENSURE CONDITIONS STILL APPLY BEFORE ANY ACTION TAKEN WITH RELEVANT CJU TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS.

**Condition 2:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF: 20:00 AND 06:00 WITH ELECTRONIC TAGGING.

**Condition 3:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION EACH: DAILY BETWEEN: 10:00 AND 12:00.

**Condition 4:** NOT TO ENTER LONDON BOROUGH OF SOUTHWARK.

1

**Woolwich Crown Court, vet again: --**

- The 23rd December 2013 and 24/12/2014
- A little while after I had to attend at Woolwich Crown Court, yet again, for the gazebo case, that took place on Bianca Road for my friend's Birthday party, for a court case mentioning, what got set, re the disclosed and also for an application to seen by the judge regarding varying the bail conditions got opposed against, as the application to vary the bail conditions got refused to get dealt with.
- After consultation with my solicitor and barrister that got achieved regarding what had occurred in the courtroom, my acting barrister then asked for the case to get listed again on 24th December 2013
- This case just did not want to end.
- Christmas was drawing near and the winter had settled in and I knew I had to get out of my flat from the torturous acts that members of my neighbours were indulging in towards me, so I reapplied with my mother to go away to visit family over the Christmas season.
- On the 24/12/2014 I went back to Woolwich Crown Court with a fresh application to vary my bail conditions for Christmas and New Year periods, so I could spend time with my family's members.
- I applied to go to Scotland with my family over the New Year and the application to vary bail had gotten granted by the Judge, so I could go.
- To be honest, I got shocked when the bail variation got granted but I smiled to be out, for the first time in a year.
- The judge on the day agreed to amend these three conditions.
  - A. **Number two;** Home Residence, to be @ 109 Burncroft Road, Enfield, EN3 7JQ.
  - B. **Number five;** Report daily to Edmonton Police between the hours of 1400—1600.
  - C. **Number, six;** Curfew 8pm — 6am this is to be a doorstep condition — I the Defendant should show myself to any officer upon their requests and with the judge doing this it meant that I still had a curfew off 8pm; till 6am and this was with a change of home address.

24/12/2013

1  
Gazebo Case  
Bail Variation!

1  
Gazebo Case

Bail Variation!

- On the 24/12/2014 I went back to Woolwich Crown Court with a fresh application to vary my bail conditions for Christmas and New Year periods, so I could spend time with my family's members.
- I applied to go to Scotland with my family over the New Year and the application to vary bail had gotten granted by the Judge, so I could go.
- To be honest, I got shocked when the bail variation got granted but I smiled to be out, for the first time in a year.
- The judge on the day agreed to amend these three conditions.
  - A. **Number two;** Home Residence, to be @ 109 Burncroft Road, Enfield, EN3 7JQ.
  - B. **Number five;** Report daily to Edmonton Police between the hours of 1400—1600.
  - C. **Number, six;** Curfew 8pm — 6am this is to be a doorstep condition — I the Defendant should show myself to any officer upon their requests and with the judge doing this it meant that I still had a curfew off 8pm; till 6am and this was with a change of home address.

25/12/2013

Gazebo Case  
Bail Variation!

26/12/2013

Gazebo Case  
Bail Variation!

27/12/2013	<u>Gazebo Case</u> Bail Variation!
28/12/2013	<u>Gazebo Case</u> Bail Variation!
29/12/2013	<u>Gazebo Case</u> Bail Variation!
30/12/2013	<u>Gazebo Case</u> Bail Variation!
31/12/2013	<u>1</u> <u>I was in Scotland</u> Bail conditions varied!
<p><u>1</u> <u>I was in Scotland</u> Bail conditions varied! <u>Let's say next.</u> I needed to explain to the judge that on the date of <b>31/12/2014</b></p> <p>—</p> <p><b>01/01/2014</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ I was in Scotland and had to leave early with my family to come back home and on the way as I entered London's streets; the police pulled me over in the early hours of the <b>01/01/2014</b> the time then was 05:55 Am, the reason I got pulled over got said to be for the normal accusations of the police force and that being of, No insurance again due to the error in the MID database.</li> <li>➤ Again, I told the police officer's that I got insured and there were also notes on the police system to prove this, but they still arrested me and seized my van.</li> <li>➤ I got arrested due to the police officers saying I breached my bail conditions and then as a consequence off their actions I got held at the police station and taken to court on the <b>01/01/2014</b></li> <li>➤ My mother also attended the courthouse on this incident and once the judge heard my explanation of what happened to me, in turn, me stating to the judge that I had not breached my bail conditions on this occasion; I was soon then after released to go back to my home.</li> <li>➤ After being released from being detained I had to travel back to the police car compound, so I could pick up my van, I recollect the day being the <b>03/01/2014</b> to my upset I once again needed to pay again to get my property released with no guilty offence taking place.</li> </ul>	
	<b>2014</b>
	January <u>Diary checked up to here!</u>
<b>01/01/2014</b>	<u>Time:0555Am Arrested</u>
<b>02/01/2014</b>	

03/01/2014

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

163. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court /

**Page Numbers:** 381

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

164. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court /

**Page Numbers:** 382

Gazebo Case!



1

**Noticing the Errors on?**

- My Criminal Record!
- Paying for PNC Record "Missing: --
- Because I noticed that there are errors on my criminal record for failing to surrender and other offences on my recorded that I never committed I and my mother attended to the courthouses that issued them in the beginning as I disputed the so-called guilty offences that were claimed to have taken place.
- The reason I noticed the errors in the first place other than I never committed them enrolled around the gazebo case files from Woolwich Crown court because I got remanded to prison in the start of the proceedings, for failing to surrender, being present on my criminal record.

2

**No insurance.**

- Had to pick up the van from the police compound, once again. I had to pay when I clearly had insurance!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

163. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court

/ **Page Numbers:** 381,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 02 January 2014 21:52

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court

Hi Josey

- Hope you had a Happy Christmas and New Year.
- And I hope 2014 gives you all you want.
- Also, I just wanted to get any updates as to if the section 8 application has been put in yet for Simon case and the application for Third Party Disclosure.

Lorraine

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

164. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court

/ **Page Numbers:** 382,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 03 January 2014 18:51

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: FW:** Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court

Hi Josey

- Just wanting to find out what was going on as I not heard from you about Simon case with the text, I sent you and the emails.
- I know this case has been hard work and if you feel you don't want to deal with this case then can you let us know so we can find a next company to deal with it.
- I know you got a lot of work on and are dealing with big cases so not sure if it's down to that why we have not heard from you.

Simon / Lorraine

04/01/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

37 JOSEPHINE WARDS\_ Re\_ Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court /

**Page Numbers:** 911

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

37 JOSEPHINE WARDS\_ Re\_ Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court

/ Page Numbers: 911

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 04 January 2014 00:40

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court

Lorraine

➤ I am still on annual leave and I return to work on Monday.

➤ The section 8 application has to be submitted by 14th January 2014. Happy New Year.

Josephine

On Thu, Jan 2, 2014 at 9:51 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

➤ Hope you had a Happy Christmas and New Year.

➤ And I hope 2014 gives you all you want.

➤ Also, I just wanted to get any updates as to if the section 8 application has been put in yet for Simon case and the application for Third Party Disclosure.

Lorraine

05/01/2014

06/01/2014

07/01/2014

08/01/2014

09/01/2014

10/01/2014

11/01/2014

12/01/2014

13/01/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

167. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Simon Cordell re insurance /

**Page Numbers:** 383,384,385,386,387

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

168. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell re insurance\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 388,389,390,391,392

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

169. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell re insurance\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 393,394,395,396,397

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

167. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Simon Cordell re insurance

/ Page Numbers: 383,384,385,386,387,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 13 January 2014 16:50

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell re insurance

Hi Lorraine

- Do you have an email for Nikki Diamond, and do they have an office in London?
- I will need a statement from Nikki Diamond re the photographs to have them admitted as evidence.

Regards

Josephine

On Jan 13, 2014 3:28 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- As said on the phone to you we had a meeting with the insurance man Mr. Trevor Allaway today, the meeting went well for both of us in the fact that information was given on both side and the Trevor Allaway said this will help both of us.
- There is some fact he told us and confirmed that with Nikki Diamond while here on the phone as Nikki Diamond was the main person dealing with the insurance claim before I talked to her on the phone some time ago about the claim Mr Patel & D Patel had put in.
- She then handed it over to Trevor Allaway.
- We have been told that the problem the insurance company had with the claim that was put in Feb 2013 was that they did not believe them. When taking out insurance you have to state the conduction of the property, they believe he did not do this correctly as when the damage was reported and Nikki Diamond went to see the property the damage and conduction to the property did no look correct at all.
- He also said that he is not insured for the contents in the building. He only has insurance for the building.
- The insurance company also said that it is down to the owner to keep the building in a conduction of repair which was not the case when Nikki Diamond went to see it on the 28/03/2013 you could see there was damage that was being claimed for that was done a lot earlier.
- And the building was not kept to a good state.
- There are 3 cases that have been put in total in damage on the invoice that was put in was under one invoice as Mr Petal told the insurance company, he could not see what damage had been done when this is why the case was joined together after he put the claim in on the 07/06/2013 the invoice was for a total cost of £40,000.
- The dates claims were made for are 16/02/2013 after the party
- 25/03/2013 a next claim was put in for the alarm.
- Which he was meant to have fixed but I sure he did not as there was a next break in on that date. Nikki Diamond then went to see the building for the 1st time on the 28/03/2013
- she took pictures of all the damage at this time.
- Then they put a next claim in on the 07/06/2013
- Now when Nikki Diamond went to the building on the 28/03/2013 and took pictures of the damage, they are the same as the pictures the police took of inside the building in May 2013 nothing had been done to that building the mess was still there where the stock was, and the list of damage they have for the earlier case is all the damage the roof the brick walls the graffiti, the front fore door, the alarm, the staircase and more was listed.
- This damage to this building was there when Nikki Diamond went to see the building on the 28/03/2013 so how could Simon have done any of this damage.
- Mr petal also never told the insurance company about the building being squatted he only said the building was broken into.
- There was more said but the end part is really we help them they help us and Mr Trevor Allaway said that we need to get Simon's solicitors to set a meeting with Nikki Diamond so a statement can be taken from Nikki Diamond about the claims.

- I asked him will she do this, and he replied yes, she will do a statement about the case for Simon. He also said he needs to go back and have a think about what to do with this claim as some of the things he was told by us.
  - So, can you please set up a meeting with Nikki Diamond to take a statement from her for Simon Case.
- Lorraine/Simon

**Original Message From:**

Lorraine Cordell [Mail To: [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 08 January 2014 14:18

**To:** Allaway, Trevor

**Subject: RE:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Dear Trevor Allaway

- After the call I made and spoke to you today a date has been set for a meeting on Monday **13/01/2014** at 11am I will give the address in email, so I know you got it
- I was also wondering as you said on the phone that we can see the complete claim file which is great news but what I was wondering is could you do a copy of the file so that we can keep a copy of it for our case.

23 Byron Terrace  
Edmonton  
London  
N9 7DG

Many thanks

Lorraine Cordell / Simon Cordell

**Original Message From:**

[trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com) [Mail To: [trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)]

**Sent:** 17 December 2013 16:29

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject: Re:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref: 5005393

Insurer's Claim Ref: 1849692

**Re:** R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

- My details as discussed.
- Please advise your solicitor.
- I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Commercial Special Investigator

**385.**

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor, 3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

**Tel:** 0121 233 6765

**Fax:** 0845 425 2850

**Website:** [www.cunninghamlindsey.com](http://www.cunninghamlindsey.com)

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed

Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex

**386.**

Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

**387.**

N/a

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

168. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell re insurance\_ (2)

/ **Page Numbers:** 388,389,390,391,392,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 January 2014 18:34

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell re insurance

Hi Jossey

➤ Yes, I forgot to add the information for her Nikki Diamond Cunningham and Lindsey

Aquila House,

35 London Rd,

Redhill,

Surrey

RH1 1NJ

➤ I am sure they do have a London address also;

➤ Nikki Diamond direct number is 07766785525 the office number is 01737 784600

➤ I called the office when I 1st contacted them and they give me Nikki mobile number

➤ I am sure I had her email but I can't find it now but the office or calling her you should be able to get it.

➤ Trevor Allaway said there would be no problems with the statements and pictures as it was direct information from the insurance company not the insurer.

➤ I am also sending over the to him the pictures that we got from the police as he would like Nikki Diamond to see them as they are the same mess as in her pictures which we saw today as he had a copy of them here in the file.

Lorraine/Simon

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 13 January 2014 16:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell re insurance

Hi Lorraine

➤ Do you have an email for Nikki Diamond, and do they have an office in London?

➤ I will need a statement from Nikki Diamond re the photographs to have them admitted as evidence.

Regards

Josephine

On **Jan 13, 2014 3:28 PM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

➤ As said on the phone to you we had a meeting with the insurance man Mr.

**389.**

- Trevor Allaway today, the meeting went well for both of us in the fact that information was given on both side and the Trevor Allaway said this will help both of us.
- There is some fact he told us and confirmed that with Nikki Diamond while here on the phone as Nikki Diamond was the main person dealing with the insurance claim before I talked to her on the phone some time ago about the claim Mr Patel & D Patel had put in.
- She then handed it over to Trevor Allaway.
- We have been told that the problem the insurance company had with the claim that was put in **Feb 2013** was that they did not believe them. When taking out insurance you have to state the conduction of the property, they believe he did not do this correctly as when the damage was reported and Nikki Diamond went to see the property the damage and conduction to the property did no look correct at all.
- He also said that he is not insured for the contents in the building. He only has insurance for the building.
- The insurance company also said that it is down to the owner to keep the building in a conduction of repair which was not the case when Nikki Diamond went to see it on the **28/03/2013** you could see there was damage that was being claimed for that was done a lot earlier.
- And the building was not kept to a good state.
- There are 3 cases that have been put in total in damage on the invoice that was put in was under one invoice as Mr Petal told the insurance company, he could not see what damage had been done when this is why the case was joined together after he put the claim in on the
- **07/06/2013** the invoice was for a total cost of £40,000.
- The dates claims were made for are **16/02/2013** after the party
- **25/03/2013** a next claim was put in for the alarm.
- Which he was meant to have fixed but I sure he did not as there was a next break in on that date. Nikki Diamond then went to see the building for the 1st time on the **28/03/2013**
- she took pictures of all the damage at this time.
- Then they put a next claim in on the **07/06/2013**
- Now when Nikki Diamond went to the building on the **28/03/2013** and took pictures of the damage, they are the same as the pictures the police took of inside the building in **May 2013** nothing had been done to that building the mess was still there where the stock was, and the list of damage they have for the earlier case is all the damage the roof the brick walls the graffiti, the front fore door, the alarm, the staircase and more was listed.
- This damage to this building was there when Nikki Diamond went to see the building on the **28/03/2013** so how could Simon have done any of this damage.
- Mr petal also never told the insurance company about the building being squatted he only said the building was broken into.

**390.**

- There was more said but the end part is really we help them they help us and Mr Trevor Allaway said that we need to get Simon's solicitors to set a meeting with Nikki Diamond so a statement can be taken from Nikki Diamond about the claims.
- I asked him will she do this, and he replied yes, she will do a statement about the case for Simon. He also said he needs to go back and have a think about what to do with this claim as some of the things he was told by us.
- So, can you please set up a meeting with Nikki Diamond to take a statement from her for Simon Case.

Lorraine/Simon

**Original Message From:**

Allaway, Trevor [Mail To: [Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com](mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com)]

**Sent:** 08 January 2014 15:53

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Hi

- I will discuss the claim with you,
- and I will have my file to hand.
- I cannot say whether or to what extent you may have copies of the file prior to our meeting and I would need my principals' consent.

Regards

Trevor Allaway  
Cunningham Lindsey  
1st Floor, 3160 Park Square  
Birmingham Business Park  
Solihull, B37 7YN  
**Tel Office** 0121 233 6765  
**Facsimile** 0845 425 2850  
email [trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)

**Original Message From:**

Lorraine Cordell [Mail To: [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 08 January 2014 14:18

**To:** Allaway, Trevor

**Subject: RE:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Dear Trevor Allaway

- After the call I made and spoke to you today a date has been set for a meeting on Monday **13/01/2014** at 11am

**391.**

- I will give the address in email, so I know you got it
- I was also wondering as you said on the phone that we can see the complete claim file which is great news but what I was wondering is could you do a copy of the file so that we can keep a copy of it for our case.

23 Byron Terrace  
Edmonton  
London  
N9 7DG

Many thanks

Lorraine Cordell / Simon Cordell

**Original Message From:**

[trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com) [Mail To: [trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)]

**Sent:** 17 December 2013 16:29

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject: Re:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref: 5005393

Insurer's Claim Ref: 1849692

**Re:** R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

- My details as discussed.
- Please advise your solicitor.
- I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway  
Commercial Special Investigator  
Cunningham Lindsey  
1st Floor, 3160 Park Square  
Birmingham Business Park  
Solihull, B37 7YN  
**Tel:** 0121 233 6765  
**Fax:** 0845 425 2850

**Website:** [www.cunninghamlindsey.com](http://www.cunninghamlindsey.com)

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.



**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**392.**

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone.

Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

169. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell re insurance\_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 393,394,395,396,397,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 January 2014 18:37

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE: Simon Cordell re insurance**

Hi Jossey

➤ Yes, I forgot to add the information for her Nikki Diamond Cunningham and Lindsey  
Aquila House,  
35 London Rd,  
Redhill,  
Surrey  
RH1 1NJ

➤ I am sure they do have a London address also;

➤ Nikki Diamond direct number is 07766785525 the office number is 01737 784600

➤ I called the office when I 1st contacted them and they give me Nikki mobile number

➤ I am sure I had her email but I can't find it now but the office or calling her you should be able to get it.

➤ Trevor Allaway said there would be no problems with the statements and pictures as it was direct information from the insurance company not the insurer.

➤ I am also sending over the to him the pictures that we got from the police as he would like Nikki Diamond to see them as they are the same mess as in her pictures which we saw today as he had a copy of them here in the file.

Lorraine/Simon

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 13 January 2014 16:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell



**Subject: Re: Simon Cordell re insurance**

Hi Lorraine

- Do you have an email for Nikki Diamond, and do they have an office in London?
- I will need a statement from Nikki Diamond re the photographs to have them admitted as evidence.

Regards  
Josephine

On **Jan 13, 2014 3:28 PM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

**394.**

On **Jan 13, 2014 3:28 PM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- As said on the phone to you we had a meeting with the insurance man Mr.

**389.**

- Trevor Allaway today, the meeting went well for both of us in the fact that information was given on both side and the Trevor Allaway said this will help both of us.
- There is some fact he told us and confirmed that with Nikki Diamond while here on the phone as Nikki Diamond was the main person dealing with the insurance claim before I talked to her on the phone some time ago about the claim Mr Patel & D Patel had put in.
- She then handed it over to Trevor Allaway.
- We have been told that the problem the insurance company had with the claim that was put in **Feb 2013** was that they did not believe them. When taking out insurance you have to state the conduction of the property, they believe he did not do this correctly as when the damage was reported and Nikki Diamond went to see the property the damage and conduction to the property did no look correct at all.
- He also said that he is not insured for the contents in the building. He only has insurance for the building.
- The insurance company also said that it is down to the owner to keep the building in a conduction of repair which was not the case when Nikki Diamond went to see it on the **28/03/2013** you could see there was damage that was being claimed for that was done a lot earlier.
- And the building was not kept to a good state.
- There are 3 cases that have been put in total in damage on the invoice that was put in was under one invoice as Mr Petal told the insurance company, he could not see what damage had been done when this is why the case was joined together after he put the claim in on the **07/06/2013** the invoice was for a total cost of £40,000.
- The dates claims were made for are **16/02/2013** after the party
- **25/03/2013** a next claim was put in for the alarm.
- Which he was meant to have fixed but I sure he did not as there was a next break in on that date. Nikki Diamond then went to see the building for the 1st time on the **28/03/2013**
- she took pictures of all the damage at this time.
- Then they put a next claim in on the **07/06/2013**
- Now when Nikki Diamond went to the building on the **28/03/2013** and took pictures of the damage, they are the same as the pictures the police took of inside the building in **May 2013** nothing had been done to that building the mess was still there where the stock was, and the list of damage they have for the earlier case is all the damage the roof the brick walls the graffiti, the front fore door, the alarm, the staircase and more was listed.
- This damage to this building was there when Nikki Diamond went to see the building on the **28/03/2013** so how could Simon have done any of this damage.
- Mr petal also never told the insurance company about the building being

**395.**

- squatted he only said the building was broken into.
- There was more said but the end part is really we help them they help us and Mr Trevor Allaway said that we need to get Simon's solicitors to set a meeting with Nikki Diamond so a statement can be taken from Nikki Diamond about the claims.
- I asked him will she do this, and he replied yes, she will do a statement about the case for Simon. He also said he needs to go back and have a think about what to do with this claim as some of the things he was told by us.

➤ So, can you please set up a meeting with Nikki Diamond to take a statement from her for Simon Case.

Lorraine/Simon

Lorraine/Simon

**Original Message From:**

Allaway, Trevor [Mail To: [Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com](mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com)]

Sent: 08 January 2014 15:53

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Hi

- I will discuss the claim with you,
- and I will have my file to hand.
- I cannot say whether or to what extent you may have copies of the file prior to our meeting and I would need my principals' consent.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor, 3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

Tel Office 0121 233 6765

Facsimile 0845 425 2850

email [trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)

**Original Message From:**

Lorraine Cordell [Mail To: [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 08 January 2014 14:18

To: Allaway, Trevor

Subject: RE: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Dear Trevor Allaway

- After the call I made and spoke to you today a date has been set for a meeting on Monday **13/01/2014** at 11am

**396.**

- I will give the address in email, so I know you got it
- I was also wondering as you said on the phone that we can see the complete claim file which is great news but what I was wondering is could you do a copy of the file so that we can keep a copy of it for our case.

23 Byron Terrace

Edmonton

London

N9 7DG

Many thanks

Lorraine Cordell / Simon Cordell

**Original Message From:**

[trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com) [Mail To: [trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)]

Sent: 17 December 2013 16:29

To: [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

Subject: Re: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref: 5005393

Insurer's Claim Ref: 1849692

Re: R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

- My details as discussed.
- Please advise your solicitor.
- I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Commercial Special Investigator

Cunningham Lindsey  
1st Floor, 3160 Park Square  
Birmingham Business Park  
Solihull, B37 7YN  
Tel: 0121 233 6765  
Fax: 0845 425 2850

Website: [www.cunninghamlindsey.com](http://www.cunninghamlindsey.com)

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this **397.**

message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and

Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

14/01/2014

15/01/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

170. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell re insurance /

**Page Numbers:** 398,399,400,401,402

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

170. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell re insurance

/ **Page Numbers:** 398,399,400,401,402,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 15 January 2014 15:35

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell re insurance

Hi Josey

➤ Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 13 January 2014 16:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell re insurance

Hi Lorraine

➤ Do you have an email for Nikki Diamond, and do they have an office in London?

➤ I will need a statement from Nikki Diamond re the photographs to have them admitted as evidence.

Regards

Josephine

On **Jan 13, 2014 3:28 PM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

➤ As said on the phone to you we had a meeting with the insurance man Mr.

➤ Trevor Allaway today, the meeting went well for both of us in the fact that information was given on both side and the Trevor Allaway said this will help both of us.

➤ There is some fact he told us and confirmed that with Nikki Diamond while here on the phone as Nikki Diamond was the main person dealing with the insurance claim before I talked to her on the phone some time ago about the claim Mr Patel & D Patel had put in.

➤ She then handed it over to Trevor Allaway.

➤ We have been told that the problem the insurance company had with the claim that was put in **Feb 2013** was that they did not believe them. When taking out insurance you have to state the conduction of the property, they believe he did not do this correctly as when the damage was reported and Nikki Diamond went to see the property the damage and conduction to the property did no look correct at all.

➤ He also said that he is not insured for the contents in the building. He only has insurance for the building.

➤ The insurance company also said that it is down to the owner to keep the building in a conduction of repair which was not the case when Nikki Diamond went to see it on the **28/03/2013**

**399.**

➤ you could see there was damage that was being claimed for that was done a lot earlier.

➤ And the building was not kept to a good state.

➤ There are 3 cases that have been put in total in damage on the invoice that was put in was under one invoice as Mr Petal told the insurance company, he could not see what damage had been done when this is why the case was joined together after he put the claim in on the

➤ **07/06/2013** the invoice was for a total cost of £40,000.

➤ The dates claims were made for are **16/02/2013** after the party

➤ **25/03/2013** a next claim was put in for the alarm.

➤ Which he was meant to have fixed but I sure he did not as there was a next break in on that date. Nikki Diamond then went to see the building for the 1st time on the **28/03/2013**

➤ she took pictures of all the damage at this time.

➤ Then they put a next claim in on the **07/06/2013**

➤ Now when Nikki Diamond went to the building on the **28/03/2013** and took pictures of the damage, they are the same as the pictures the police took of inside the building in **May 2013**

nothing had been done to that building the mess was still there where the stock was, and the list of damage they have for the earlier case is all the damage the roof the brick walls the graffiti, the front fore door, the alarm, the staircase and more was listed.

- This damage to this building was there when Nikki Diamond went to see the building on the **28/03/2013** so how could Simon have done any of this damage.
- Mr petal also never told the insurance company about the building being
- squatted he only said the building was broken into.
- There was more said but the end part is really we help them they help us and Mr Trevor Allaway said that we need to get Simon's solicitors to set a meeting with Nikki Diamond so a statement can be taken from Nikki Diamond about the claims.
- I asked him will she do this, and he replied yes, she will do a statement about the case for Simon. He also said he needs to go back and have a think about what to do with this claim as some of the things he was told by us.
- So, can you please set up a meeting with Nikki Diamond to take a statement from her for Simon Case.

Lorraine/Simon

**Original Message From:**

Allaway, Trevor [Mail To: [Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com](mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com)]

**400.**

**Sent:** 08 January 2014 15:53

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Hi

- I will discuss the claim with you,
- and I will have my file to hand.
- I cannot say whether or to what extent you may have copies of the file prior to our meeting and I would need my principals' consent.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor,3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

**Tel Office** 0121 233 6765

**Facsimile** 0845 425 2850

email [trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)

**Original Message From:**

Lorraine Cordell [Mail To: [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 08 January 2014 14:18

**To:** Allaway, Trevor

**Subject: RE:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Dear Trevor Allaway

- After the call I made and spoke to you today a date has been set for a meeting on Monday **13/01/2014** at 11am
- I will give the address in email, so I know you got it
- I was also wondering as you said on the phone that we can see the complete claim file which is great news but what I was wondering is could you do a copy of the file so that we can keep a copy of it for our case.

23 Byron Terrace

Edmonton

London

N9 7DG

Many thanks

Lorraine Cordell / Simon Cordell

**Original Message From:**

[trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)

[Mail To: [trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)]

**Sent:** 17 December 2013 16:29

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject: Re:** Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

**401.**

Cunningham Lindsey Ref: 5005393

Insurer's Claim Ref: 1849692

**Re:** R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

- My details as discussed.
- Please advise your solicitor.
- I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Commercial Special Investigator

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor, 3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

**Tel:** 0121 233 6765

**Fax:** 0845 425 2850

**Website:** [www.cunninghamlindsey.com](http://www.cunninghamlindsey.com)

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good

**402.**

practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

16/01/2014

17/01/2014

18/01/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

171. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case\_ (3) /

**Page Numbers:** 403

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

172. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case /

**Page Numbers:** 404

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

171. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case\_ (3)

/ **Page Numbers:** 403,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 18 January 2014 12:05

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell case

Hi Josey

➤ Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

172. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case

/ **Page Numbers:** 404,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 18 January 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

➤ Thank you for your email.

➤ As I advised you on Monday, I would arrange an appointment with Nikki this coming week for either myself or a colleague to take a statement.

➤ This is subject to her convenience.

➤ With regards to Third Party Disclosure, this now no longer applies as Nikki is willing, you say to disclose her file to us.

➤ If she is not, then we rely on Third Party Disclosure again.

➤ The Section 8 application has been requested from Jemi and I am awaiting his response.

➤ I will update you again next week with a confirmed time for the appointment with Nikki.

➤ I have been tied up for three days at Snaresbrook Crown Court and will be again on Monday and Tuesday of this week.

➤ I have a client who is facing a lengthy / possibly discretionary life sentence and the client is vulnerable.

➤ This requires me to attend court on a regular basis on short notice.

➤ There are still disclosure issues in that case, and I anticipate that the trial will start on Tuesday so I can turn my attention to all other outstanding cases.

➤ I hope this clarifies the above and I will of course confirm the date and time that we will be taking the statement from Nikki.

Regards

Josephine

On Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 12:04 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

➤ Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

19/01/2014

20/01/2014



21/01/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

173. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 405

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

174. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Simon Cordell case\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 406,407

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

175. JOSEPHINE WARD Simon Cordell bail application /

**Page Numbers:** 408

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

176. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 409,410

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

173. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case\_ (2)

/ Page Numbers: 405,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 21 January 2014 00:00

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell case

Hi Jossey

- Last week when we called you and Simon spoke to you, you said due to the statement we were told that Nikki diamond would do you told Simon there was a chance you could address his curfew for his birthday on the 26/01/2014 is there still a chance of this or will this not happen as I know you need to apply to the court to get this addressed.
- And Simon is wanting to know if this can still happen.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 18 January 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

- Thank you for your email.
- As I advised you on Monday, I would arrange an appointment with Nikki this coming week for either myself or a colleague to take a statement.
- This is subject to her convenience.
- With regards to Third Party Disclosure, this now no longer applies as Nikki is willing, you say to disclose her file to us.
- If she is not, then we rely on Third Party Disclosure again.
- The Section 8 application has been requested from Jemi and I am awaiting his response.
- I will update you again next week with a confirmed time for the appointment with Nikki.
- I have been tied up for three days at Snaresbrook Crown Court and will be again on Monday and Tuesday of this week.
- I have a client who is facing a lengthy / possibly discretionary life sentence and the client is vulnerable.
- This requires me to attend court on a regular basis on short notice.
- There are still disclosure issues in that case, and I anticipate that the trial will start on Tuesday so I can turn my attention to all other outstanding cases.
- I hope this clarifies the above and I will of course confirm the date and time that we will be taking the statement from Nikki.

Regards

Josephine

On Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 12:04 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

174. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Simon Cordell case\_ (1)

/ Page Numbers: 406,407,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 January 2014 07:22

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

- Please email me details of planned birthday celebration i.e., restaurant etc.

Regards  
Josephine

On **Jan 21, 2014 12:00 AM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Jossey

- Last week when we called you and Simon spoke to you, you said due to the statement we were told that Nikki diamond would do you told Simon there was a chance you could address his curfew for his birthday on the **26/01/2014** is there still a chance of this or will this not happen as I know you need to apply to the court to get this addressed.
- And Simon is wanting to know if this can still happen.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 18 January 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

- Thank you for your email.
- As I advised you on Monday, I would arrange an appointment with Nikki this coming week for either myself or a colleague to take a statement.
- This is subject to her convenience.
- With regards to Third Party Disclosure, this now no longer applies as Nikki is willing, you say to disclose her file to us.
- If she is not, then we rely on Third Party Disclosure again.
- The Section 8 application has been requested from Jemi and I am awaiting his response.
- I will update you again next week with a confirmed time for the appointment with Nikki.
- I have been tied up for three days at Snaresbrook Crown Court and will be again on Monday and Tuesday of this week.
- I have a client who is facing a lengthy / possibly discretionary life sentence and the client is vulnerable.
- This requires me to attend court on a regular basis on short notice.
- There are still disclosure issues in that case, and I anticipate that the trial will start on Tuesday so I can turn my attention to all other outstanding cases.
- I hope this clarifies the above and I will of course confirm the date and time that we will be taking the statement from Nikki.

Regards  
Josephine

**407,**

On **Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 12:04 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

175. JOSEPHINE WARD Simon Cordell bail application

/ **Page Numbers:** 408,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 January 2014 11:17

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Simon Cordell bail application

Lorraine / Simon

- Can you please confirm the birthday arrangements and where the celebration will be as I need to apply to vary Simon's bail?
- Can you please let me have this information by return email?

Thank you  
Josephine

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

176. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case\_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 409,410,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 21 January 2014 12:00

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell case

Hi Josey

- Simon will be going out for a meal on the night of the 25<sup>th</sup> in the evening and then going on to a club with his girlfriend which at this time he is trying to save his relationship with.
- They have not chosen yet where they are going for the meal or which club yet.
- But with the statement from Nikki Diamond being shown in court I sure the court will see that all the damage that they are saying Simon has done is not the case here as it was done before **May 2013**.
- I through this was the information that was going to be shown to the crown in order to show them their case is badly flawed.
- That's why I through you said to Simon if you get the statement and it says what we have said there should be no problem with the bail application for his birthday.
- That's why I passed the information over to you last week in order for the meeting to be setup with Nikki diamond, so you had the statement from her for the bail application.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 January 2014 07:22

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

- Please email me details of planned birthday celebration i.e., restaurant etc.

Regards

Josephine

On **Jan 21, 2014 12:00 AM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Jossey

- Last week when we called you and Simon spoke to you, you said due to the statement we were told that Nikki diamond would do you told Simon there was a chance you could address his curfew for his birthday on the **26/01/2014** is there still a chance of this or will this not happen as I know you need to apply to the court to get this addressed.
- And Simon is wanting to know if this can still happen.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 18 January 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

- Thank you for your email.
- As I advised you on Monday, I would arrange an appointment with Nikki this coming week for either myself or a colleague to take a statement.
- This is subject to her convenience.
- With regards to Third Party Disclosure, this now no longer applies as Nikki is willing, you say to disclose her file to us.
- If she is not, then we rely on Third Party Disclosure again.
- The Section 8 application has been requested from Jemi and I am awaiting his response.

**410.**

- I will update you again next week with a confirmed time for the appointment with Nikki.
- I have been tied up for three days at Snaresbrook Crown Court and will be again on Monday and Tuesday of this week.
- I have a client who is facing a lengthy / possibly discretionary life sentence and the client is vulnerable.

- This requires me to attend court on a regular basis on short notice.
- There are still disclosure issues in that case, and I anticipate that the trial will start on Tuesday so I can turn my attention to all other outstanding cases.
- I hope this clarifies the above and I will of course confirm the date and time that we will be taking the statement from Nikki.

Regards  
Josephine

On Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 12:04 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks  
Lorraine / Simon

**22/01/2014**

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

177. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 415,416,417

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

178. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell bail application /

**Page Numbers:** 418

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

177. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case\_ (2)

/ Page Numbers: 415,416,417,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 22 January 2014 13:14

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell case

Lorraine

- I need the specific places, restaurant, club etc otherwise I cannot make the bail variation application.
- A message has been left for Nikki Diamond to get back in touch with me so that we can arrange an appointment and I have not heard.
- Jemi is drafting the section 8 application at present.

Regards

Josephine

On **Tue, Jan 21, 2014 at 12:00 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

- Simon will be going out for a meal on the night of the 25<sup>th</sup> in the evening and then going on to a club with his girlfriend which at this time he is trying to save his relationship with.
- They have not chosen yet where they are going for the meal or which club yet.
- But with the statement from Nikki Diamond being shown in court I sure the court will see that all the damage that they are saying Simon has done is not the case here as it was done before **May 2013**.
- I through this was the information that was going to be shown to the crown in order to show them their case is badly flawed.
- That's why I through you said to Simon if you get the statement and it says what we have said there should be no problem with the bail application for his birthday.
- That's why I passed the information over to you last week in order for the meeting to be setup with Nikki diamond, so you had the statement from her for the bail application.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 January 2014 07:22

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

**416,**

- Please email me details of planned birthday celebration i.e., restaurant etc.

Regards

Josephine

On **Jan 21, 2014 12:00 AM**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Jossey

- Last week when we called you and Simon spoke to you, you said due to the statement we were told that Nikki diamond would do you told Simon there was a chance you could address his curfew for his birthday on the **26/01/2014** is there still a chance of this or will this not happen as I know you need to apply to the court to get this addressed.
- And Simon is wanting to know if this can still happen.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 18 January 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

- Thank you for your email.

- As I advised you on Monday, I would arrange an appointment with Nikki this coming week for either myself or a colleague to take a statement.
- This is subject to her convenience.
- With regards to Third Party Disclosure, this now no longer applies as Nikki is willing, you say to disclose her file to us.
- If she is not, then we rely on Third Party Disclosure again.
- The Section 8 application has been requested from Jemi and I am awaiting his response.
- I will update you again next week with a confirmed time for the appointment with Nikki.
- I have been tied up for three days at Snaresbrook Crown Court and will be again on Monday and Tuesday of this week.
- I have a client who is facing a lengthy / possibly discretionary life sentence and the client is vulnerable.
- This requires me to attend court on a regular basis on short notice.
- There are still disclosure issues in that case, and I anticipate that the trial will start on Tuesday so I can turn my attention to all other outstanding cases.
- I hope this clarifies the above and I will of course confirm the date and time that we will be taking the statement from Nikki.

Regards  
Josephine

On Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 12:04 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

**417.**

- Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

178. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell bail application

/ **Page Numbers:** 418,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 22 January 2014 15:39

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell bail application

Hi Josey

- Simon would like the bail application to put in as on the night of the **25/01/2014** he will be going around family homes with his girlfriend and then later in the evening he will be going out to dinner at Nando's near the Bank, he will then be going to a night club called Fabric 77A Charterhouse St London EC1M 6HJ and spending the early morning of the **26/01/2014** there, they are thinking also of maybe staying in a hotel after this local to the night club. As said on the phone due to the curfew nothing has been booked as yet, but after the court hears the application and Simon knows if he can get his birthday off, he can.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[Mail To:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 January 2014 11:17

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Simon Cordell bail application

Lorraine / Simon

- Can you please confirm the birthday arrangements and where the celebration will be as I need to apply to vary Simon's bail?
- Can you please let me have this information by return email?

Thank you

Josephine

23/01/2014

24/01/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

179. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case /

**Page Numbers:** 419

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

179. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell case

/ **Page Numbers:** 419,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 24 January 2014 16:58

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell case

Hi Jossey

➤ Can you let me know the outcome of the bail application for Simon birthday please?

Lorraine / Simon

25/01/2014

26/01/2014

27/01/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

180. JOSEPHINE WARD Regina v\_ (15) /

**Page Numbers:** 420

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

181. JOSEPHINE WARD Venice chair /

**Page Numbers:** 421

Gazebo Case!



1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

180. JOSEPHINE WARD Regina v\_ (15)

/ Page Numbers: 420,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 27 January 2014 11:02

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

**Attachments:** [Letter to insurance company.doc](#)

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Thank you for your email.
- I am writing to confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served.
- I am also attaching the letter that I am proposing to forward to the insurance company.
- There is a process that I must go through in order to secure an application for Third Party Disclosure and one of the processes is requesting the insurance files from the Loss Adjustors.
- I anticipate that they will be refused, and this will then form the basis of the Third-Party Disclosure application.
- I regret that I was unable to submit the application to vary your bail conditions for your birthday. This was due to a number of factors as follows:
- There is a procedure which must be satisfied, namely writing to the court and giving five clear days' notice.
- (Bail variations are different to applications to bail which must be heard within 24 hours if the request to list is made before 10am on the previous day.)
- This could not be done in this case as I was waiting confirmation of the dates and location of the restaurant, night club etc.
- The added difficulty is the attitude of the Court to previous bail applications and also to our defence case statement. Can you please let me know your views on the proposed letter to Miss Diamond?
- I would like to get this document emailed to her today so that I can then apply for the Third-Party Disclosure.
- Simon can you please attend my office on **Friday 31st January 2014** at 3pm so that I can finalise your proof of evidence.
- If this appointment is not convenient then please confirm this so that I can make alternative arrangements. I will forward the amended section 8 application in due course.

Regards

Josephine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

181. JOSEPHINE WARD Venice chair

/ Page Numbers: 421,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 27 January 2014 11:38

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Venice chair

Dear Simon

- I have checked the invoice / delivery note from LiLo Leisure Products and there is no reference to Venice chairs on this invoice.
- There is reference to Monaco chairs.
- I have raised this issue with Jemi, and I have asked him what he wishes to do tactically in relation to this matter.
- I state this for the following reason Mr Patel will have to give evidence in the witness box regarding his claims to the insurance company.
- He will also have to give evidence in relation to the statement that he made to the police in relation to the Venice chairs he alleged in his section 9 statement that he recognised.
- At present he has not produced an invoice confirming that he was ever in possession of the Venice chair.
- He will be asked about this when he is giving evidence.

- We will be seeking stock movement also as the goods were delivered on **19th January 2012** and allegedly stolen in **February 2013**
- We will be seeking confirmation of the movement of stock from the premises.
- I will also be emailing the CPS to make arrangements for the original invoice for the purchase of the gazebo seized from your rear garden to be examined and agreed prior to the trial.
- Please confirm that you are still in possession of the original invoice in order that I can do an addendum to your defence case statement.
- I will be requesting that the CPS take a detailed statement from Mr Patel together with invoices, delivery notes and stock movement from the premises at Unit 3 Horrison's Industrial Estate.

Regards  
Josephine

28/01/2014

29/01/2014

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

166. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (30) /

**Page Numbers:** 428,429,430

Gazebo Case!

["Moved from 27/01/2014 to here as file was in the wrong location!"](#)

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

183. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (5) /

**Page Numbers:** 422,423,424

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

184. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (31) /

**Page Numbers:** 425,426

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

185. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (16) /

**Page Numbers:** 427

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

166. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (30)

/ Page Numbers: 428,429,430,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 29 January 2014 16:20

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- In reply to the other email about the invoices we already know this is many mistakes, there is also mistakes as to the address on the invoices, all but one was del to an address off Marks Wholesale LTD  
East Building  
Canada water retails Park  
Surrey Quays Road  
Surrey Quays  
London  
SE16 2XD
- One of the pages of the invoice was del to a next address of  
Marks Wholesale LTD  
Unit 34  
Hatmerle Road  
Peckham  
London  
SE15 0SA
- The real address of the building is  
Unit 3 – 4 Haymerle Road  
London  
SE15 6SG
- There are no notes on the invoice to say there was any address problems which there should have been because Unit 34 Hatmerle Road, Peckham, London, SE15 0SA is not an address.

Simon / Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[Mail To:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 29 January 2014 15:57

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine / Simon

- Sorry to hear that you have been unwell.
  - The date of **30th April 2013** is not significant and does not add to the prosecution case.
  - This is the date preferred by the prosecution.
  - As you are aware the premises being checked and being secured by Mr Patel will be open to rigorous cross - examination.
  - The date used by me in my letter is the date specified in the indictment that is before the court.
  - I will amend my letter and specify that Simon was there to hire his equipment.
  - It would be helpful if Simon can bring to the meeting on Friday a copy of the invoice confirming this and also any company accounts that he has kept since the start of his company to show that he is in business.
  - The statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure.
  - I am in possession of the interview tape of Simon which you can listen to at my office.
  - I will see if Anthony can burn a copy so
- 429.
- that Simon can bring it to you.
  - I will update you once I receive confirmation from the insurance company.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Jan 29, 2014 at 3:32 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Sorry for the late reply to your email I have been very unwell; Simon can come for the meeting at your office on **Friday**.
- As for the letter there is some mistakes please see below.
- Between **30th April 2013**
- And **09th May 2013** having entered a building, namely Unit 3, Horrisons Industrial Estate as a trespasser stole therein a chair and a gazebo.
- The dates are wrong Mr Patel left the building at 14.00 on the **01st May 2013** and then did not return till **8th May 2013** at 10.30 so he knew the building was ok until the **01st May 2013** not sure why the **30th April 2013** has been put in.
- also, Simon was charged with Burglary and this is what we have told the insurance company he was charged with when we had the meeting.
- Our client has denied any involvement in the burglary matter but concedes that he was a DJ at a party hosted by persons who had established a legal squat at the commercial premises.
- Our client further contends that when he arrived at the premises in **May 2013** the wall had already been damaged and he also contends that this damage had been done at an earlier date back in **February 2013** when there was another private party.
- He was not a DJ at the party he was there due to Hiring his sound system out.
- Also, Simon still wants a statement from Nikki Diamond taken, as he feels if the statement was taken then this could help his case now in the fact if this was shown to the crown, they would have to look at this impact on the case,
- it would also help Simon case for her to stand up in court in front of the jury and say what went on within the claim that Mr Patel made.

Simon / Lorraine

**430.**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[Mail To:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 27 January 2014 11:02

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Thank you for your email.
- I am writing to confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served.
- I am also attaching the letter that I am proposing to forward to the insurance company.
- There is a process that I must go through in order to secure an application for Third Party Disclosure and one of the processes is requesting the insurance files from the Loss Adjustors.
- I anticipate that they will be refused, and this will then form the basis of the Third-Party Disclosure application.
- I regret that I was unable to submit the application to vary your bail conditions for your birthday. This was due to a number of factors as follows:
- There is a procedure which must be satisfied, namely writing to the court and giving five clear days' notice.
- (Bail variations are different to applications to bail which must be heard within 24 hours if the request to list is made before 10am on the previous day.)
- This could not be done in this case as I was waiting confirmation of the dates and location of the restaurant, night club etc.
- The added difficulty is the attitude of the Court to previous bail applications and also to our defence case statement. Can you please let me know your views on the proposed letter to Miss Diamond?
- I would like to get this document emailed to her today so that I can then apply for the Third-Party Disclosure.
- Simon can you please attend my office on **Friday 31st January 2014** at 3pm so that I can finalise your proof of evidence.
- If this appointment is not convenient then please confirm this so that I can make alternative arrangements. I will forward the amended section 8 application in due course.

Regards

Josephine

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

183. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (5)

/ Page Numbers: 422,423,424,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 29 January 2014 15:57

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine / Simon

- Sorry to hear that you have been unwell.
- The date of **30th April 2013** is not significant and does not add to the prosecution case.
- This is the date preferred by the prosecution.
- As you are aware the premises being checked and being secured by Mr Patel will be open to rigorous cross-examination.
- The date used by me in my letter is the date specified in the indictment that is before the court.
- I will amend my letter and specify that Simon was there to hire his equipment.
- It would be helpful if Simon can bring to the meeting on Friday a copy of the invoice confirming this and also any company accounts that he has kept since the start of his company to show that he is in business.
- The statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure.
- I am in possession of the interview tape of Simon which you can listen to at my office.
- I will see if Anthony can burn a copy so that Simon can bring it to you.
- I will update you once I receive confirmation from the insurance company.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Jan 29, 2014 at 3:32 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Sorry for the late reply to your email I have been very unwell; Simon can come for the meeting at your office on **Friday**.
- As for the letter there is some mistakes please see below.
- Between **30th April 2013**
- And **09th May 2013** having entered a building, namely Unit 3, Horrisons Industrial Estate as a trespasser stole therein a chair and a gazebo.
- The dates are wrong Mr Patel left the building at 14.00 on the **01st May 2013** and then did not return till **8th May 2013** at 10.30 so he knew the building was ok until the **01st May 2013** not sure why the **30th April 2013** has been put in.
- also, Simon was charged with Burglary and this is what we have told the insurance company he was charged with when we had the meeting.
- Our client has denied any involvement in the burglary matter but concedes that he was a DJ at

**423.**

- a party hosted by persons who had established a legal squat at the commercial premises.
- Our client further contends that when he arrived at the premises in **May 2013** the wall had already been damaged and he also contends that this damage had been done at an earlier date back in **February 2013** when there was another private party.
- He was not a DJ at the party he was there due to Hiring his sound system out.
- Also, Simon still wants a statement from Nikki Diamond taken, as he feels if the statement was taken then this could help his case now in the fact if this was shown to the crown, they would have to look at this impact on the case,
- it would also help Simon case for her to stand up in court in front of the jury and say what went on within the claim that Mr Patel made.

Simon / Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 27 January 2014 11:02

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Dear Simon / Lorraine

**424.**

- Thank you for your email.
- I am writing to confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served.
- I am also attaching the letter that I am proposing to forward to the insurance company.
- There is a process that I must go through in order to secure an application for Third Party Disclosure and one of the processes is requesting the insurance files from the Loss Adjustors.
- I anticipate that they will be refused, and this will then form the basis of the Third-Party Disclosure application.
- I regret that I was unable to submit the application to vary your bail conditions for your birthday. This was due to a number of factors as follows:
- There is a procedure which must be satisfied, namely writing to the court and giving five clear days' notice.
- (Bail variations are different to applications to bail which must be heard within 24 hours if the request to list is made before 10am on the previous day.)
- This could not be done in this case as I was waiting confirmation of the dates and location of the restaurant, night club etc.
- The added difficulty is the attitude of the Court to previous bail applications and also to our defence case statement. Can you please let me know your views on the proposed letter to Miss Diamond?
- I would like to get this document emailed to her today so that I can then apply for the Third-Party Disclosure.
- Simon can you please attend my office on **Friday 31st January 2014** at 3pm so that I can finalise your proof of evidence.
- If this appointment is not convenient then please confirm this so that I can make alternative arrangements. I will forward the amended section 8 application in due course.

Regards

Josephine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

184. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (31)

/ **Page Numbers:** 425,426,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 29 January 2014 15:33

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Sorry for the late reply to your email I have been very unwell; Simon can come for the meeting at your office on **Friday**.
- As for the letter there is some mistakes please see below.
- Between **30th April 2013**
- And **09th May 2013** having entered a building, namely Unit 3, Horrisons Industrial Estate as a trespasser stole therein a chair and a gazebo.
- The dates are wrong Mr Patel left the building at 14.00 on the **01st May 2013** and then did not return till **8th May 2013** at 10.30 so he knew the building was ok until the **01st May 2013** not sure why the **30th April 2013** has been put in.
- also, Simon was charged with Burglary and this is what we have told the insurance company he was charged with when we had the meeting.
- Our client has denied any involvement in the burglary matter but concedes that he was a DJ at a party hosted by persons who had established a legal squat at the commercial premises.
- Our client further contends that when he arrived at the premises in **May 2013** the wall had already been damaged and he also contends that this damage had been done at an earlier date back in **February 2013** when there was another private party.
- He was not a DJ at the party he was there due to Hiring his sound system out.
- Also, Simon still wants a statement from Nikki Diamond taken, as he feels if the statement was taken then this could help his case now in the fact if this was shown to the crown, they would have to look at this impact on the case,

- it would also help Simon case for her to stand up in court in front of the jury and say what went on within the claim that Mr Patel made.

Simon / Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 27 January 2014 11:02

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

**426.**

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Thank you for your email.
- I am writing to confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served.
- I am also attaching the letter that I am proposing to forward to the insurance company.
- There is a process that I must go through in order to secure an application for Third Party Disclosure and one of the processes is requesting the insurance files from the Loss Adjustors.
- I anticipate that they will be refused, and this will then form the basis of the Third-Party Disclosure application.
- I regret that I was unable to submit the application to vary your bail conditions for your birthday. This was due to a number of factors as follows:
- There is a procedure which must be satisfied, namely writing to the court and giving five clear days' notice.
- (Bail variations are different to applications to bail which must be heard within 24 hours if the request to list is made before 10am on the previous day.)
- This could not be done in this case as I was waiting confirmation of the dates and location of the restaurant, night club etc.
- The added difficulty is the attitude of the Court to previous bail applications and also to our defence case statement. Can you please let me know your views on the proposed letter to Miss Diamond?
- I would like to get this document emailed to her today so that I can then apply for the Third-Party Disclosure.
- Simon can you please attend my office on **Friday 31st January 2014** at 3pm so that I can finalise your proof of evidence.
- If this appointment is not convenient then please confirm this so that I can make alternative arrangements. I will forward the amended section 8 application in due course.

Regards

Josephine

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

185. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (16)

/ Page Numbers: 427,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 29 January 2014 16:09

**To:** nikki.diamond@cluk.com

**Cc:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court

**Attachments:** [Letter to insurance company approved draft.doc](#)

Dear Ms Diamond

- We act on behalf of our above-named client.
- We attach a copy of a letter in which we seek disclosure of a client's insurance claims.
- We appreciate the constraints of client confidentiality but would be grateful if you could confirm a willingness or otherwise to disclose so that we can then progress to the next stage of applying for an application for Third Party disclosure.
- We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO**

798 HIGH ROAD

TOTTENHAM

LONDON N17 0DH

TEL: 0208 365 9900  
FAX: 0208 365 0500

30/01/2014

31/01/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

161. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Simon's conference at office at 3pm 31st January 2014

**Page Numbers:** 431

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

161. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Simon's conference at office at 3pm 31st January 2014

/ **Page Numbers:** 431,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 31 January 2014 11:48

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Simon's conference at office at 3pm

**31st January 2014**

Simon / Lorraine

➤ I am sorry but I will have to re-arrange the conference for this afternoon as I have two clients in the Police Station.

➤ Can Simon please attend my office Tuesday afternoon **04th February 2014** at 2pm.

Regards

Josephine

February

01/02/2014



1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

186. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation /

**Page Numbers:** 432,433

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

187. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's case (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 434

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

188. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation /

**Page Numbers:** 435,436,437,438,439,  
440,441,442,443

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

189. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 444,445,446,447,448

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation Gazebo Case!

["Moved from 03/02/2014 to here as file was in the wrong location!"](#)

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

191. JOSEPHINE WARD\_ /

**Page Numbers:** 449,450

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation Gazebo Case!

["Moved from 03/02/2014 to here as file was in the wrong location!"](#)

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

186. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation

/ Page Numbers: 432,433,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 02 February 2014 14:31

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon Cordell application

- Further to transfer legal representation Simon Further to your telephone conversation with me today during which you have accused me of not representing you correctly and not defending your case properly you leave me with little choice but to list the case at Woolwich Crown Court in order that your legal representation order can be revoked.
- I am cancelling your 2pm conference with me for Tuesday **04th February 2014**
- I am not prepared to be subjected to threats about you blackening my name over Facebook.
- I work very hard for all my clients and my track record as a Criminal Defence Solicitors attests to that.
- I have represented you since you were a juvenile to the best of my abilities, and I have never not defended a client properly or tried my best for my client.
- You stated that during the telephone conversation that the Judge asked you to change your solicitors at the last bail hearing on Christmas Eve.
- As you are aware, I was on annual leave from **23rd December 2013**
- I made the bail variation as requested and you were not happy as the court did not suspend your curfew.
- That is the simple fact of the matter here.
- You also stated that during a conference with Jemi, the barrister that I appointed for you that he also advised you that I was a poor solicitor and not acting in your best interests.
- You only raised this today and I will most certainly be taking this accusation up with Jemi and his clerks.
- I asked you to confirm this in writing and you have refused to do this.
- I again ask you to confirm this in writing as Jemi will have to respond to this allegation.
- I will be asking Jemi and his clerks to comment on the allegations that you have made.
- You will of course appreciate that if Jemi does not agree with your accusations that he will probably refuse to represent you.
- You are accusing him of slandering my reputation by saying that Jemi says I am not representing you properly and that he advised you to change your solicitors.
- With regards to your complaint in relation to the bail variation you were asked in a number of emails to provide full details of your plans, venues etc and you did not do this instead you shouted down the phone and refused to give specific instructions.
- When you eventually did give the requested information, it was too late to apply to have the bail position varied.
- I suspect you know what the court's attitude to the requested variation would be in any event. With regards to the request for the original receipt I have to provide this to the court in an addendum to your defence case statement and the police will then make their enquiries.
- I am sorry that this position has arisen, but you have left me little choice in the matter.
- I wish you good luck with your case and I will confirm the date that your case is listed for the revocation of legal aid.
- I will aim from Tuesday or Wednesday of this coming week.
- Can you please confirm by return email the advice that Jemi gave you at your conference at Isleworth Crown Court and also please confirm why it took you so long to raise it with me?
- You telephoned me on a Sunday, reserved for emergency phone calls.
- You should have raised it back when you had the conference with Jemi.
- You have raised it now and I will deal with the accusations now.
- I await hearing from you by return email with regards to Jemi.

Regards

Josephine Ward

**433,**

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

187. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon's case (2)

/ **Page Numbers:** 434,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 02 February 2014 14:42

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon's case

Lorraine

- I am sorry that I am left with no choice but to apply to Woolwich Crown Court to have Simon's legal representation order revoked.
- I hope that this will not affect my friendship with you, Benjy, Dionne or Tyrone.
- This is not a personal decision or one that I have come to lightly.
- My professional integrity has been called in to question and slanderous threats made and I cannot condone that.
- Take care and I will chat you hopefully in the near future.

Regards

Josephine

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

188. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation

/ **Page Numbers:** 435,436,437,438,439,440,441,442,443,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 02 February 2014 23:58

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell application to transfer legal representation

Hi Lorraine

- It is 10.47pm.
- I do not have Simon's file at home with me.
- I will ask Jemi to respond to the allegations made by Simon re his conference on **13th December 2013.**
- At the same time, I will ask him and his clerks to respond to why the Christmas bail variation was not made on **19th December 2013.**
- As you are both aware, I was on annual leave until **06th January 2014.**
- Simon's case is listed for trial in **June 2014.**
- There is enough time to secure the information but there is a process.
- With regards to the section 8 application Jemi has been on notice that this has been required since before Christmas.
- A detailed defence case statement was submitted and as a result of this document and the Crown's failure to disclose the requested items that the Third-Party Disclosure arise as did the section 8 application.
- If the Insurance company believe Mr Patel has committed a fraud, then it is their decision whether he is prosecuted.
- Nikki Diamond has to confirm her unwillingness to disclose the file before I can apply for Third Party Disclosure.
- I cannot take a statement from her as part of her statement will require her to disclose and exhibit as part of that statement the original insurance claims and she cannot do this as client confidentiality arises.
- Re Simon's most recent request to vary bail he did not provide the information when requested.
- I have dealt with this point already as I have re the Third-Party Disclosure and the section 8 application.
- I am not prepared to have my professional judgement or integrity called into question.
- Simon threatened to blacken my name on Facebook Lorraine.
- I am reliant on instructions from my client re bail variations.
- I am reliant on Counsel drafting applications re section 8.
- I drafted Simon's original bail application and defence case statement well within the time limits.
- All other requests to vary bail I have made.

- Due to the number of bail variations Simon is aware of the process and trying to get the variations agreed administratively.
- He is also aware of the attitude if the Court to his case the issues regarding Simon's case when I am on leave, I have no control over.
- Simon himself caused problems in this case by
  - A. his behaviour on arrest
  - B. his comments to police when the police were at his house re the number of items bought as a job lot.
  - C. There was no evidence of this apart from what came from Simon himself.
  - D. The gazebo in his garden was easily dealt with as he had the original receipt
  - E. Simon ignoring legal advice in his interviews (e) Simon's inaccurate interpretation on the law on burglary and what squatters can and cannot do whilst squatting in a building.
- There is a risk that Simon will be convicted in this case and this is not through the fault of **Michael Carroll & Co** or my representation but through his own conduct on his arrest and at the police station.
- To try to blame other people for the position he finds himself in is very wrong.

**Simple questions to consider:**

- 1) Did the police know about or have any evidence re the job lot answer No until Simon himself revealed this?
- 2) Could Simon explain his DNA in a moveable object answer. Yes, and he would have achieved the same result as Naomi
- 3) Could police prove the Venice chair came from warehouse no. Information on this again came from Simon

**436.**

- 4) Is Mohammed likely to be a witness as to sale of the items? No because he will have to be advised to obtain independent legal advice as he could incriminate himself This was better left to cross examination at trial as too late then to produce invoices, but Simon obviously does not agree.
- This will be an example of Simon making a further tactical mistake as he did during the comments to police at his address and again in interview.
  - The Judge and prosecution will be influenced in making decisions in this case based on Simon's conduct before arrest, in interview and his previous convictions.
  - The point that Simon is missing is that a prima facie case exists, and this case will go to trial. Simon should reread his interview and his attitude in his interview where he effectively suggests it serves the owner of the premises right for having his premises burgled.
  - If Simon had not made such foolish comments when he was arrested and instead followed sound legal advice at the police station then we could have made representations to review the case but based on his admissions at his address, coupled with his interview this was impossible.
  - At the start of this case Simon was very much mistaken with regards to the law.
  - Tactics and timing are important in cases.
  - Simon does not want to allow Solicitors to make tactical decisions so the best of luck to him.
  - Once I get an email back from Jemi re the conference and section 8 application I will be listing this case to have the legal representation order revoked.
  - During the telephone conversation today Simon again was abusive, he questioned my professional integrity, my ability to defend my clients and he threatened to slander my name. I heard him loud and clear Lorraine.
  - All I can say is he has a very short memory.
  - I know exactly how many times I have represented Simon and how many of those cases have resulted in a charge or a conviction.
  - I am duty solicitor at court tomorrow and I will email Jemi in the morning.
  - I will request an urgent response from him, and I will then let you know when the case will be listed.

Kind regards

Josephine

--

Hi Josey

- Simon has asked me to write this email, so I am just writing what has been asked and the facts he is saying.

- As you are aware Simon is not great with emails or letters and replying to them due to his writing and spelling.
- Simon has said he is not treating you in anyway, yes, he did say he was going to put his papers on Facebook to ask people what they feel about his case.
- He would then be able to obtain feedback from the general public.
- He feels there have been many errors in his case, some of these errors he wants me to list below.

**437.**

- His case was listed for the warn list for the **16/12/2013**, this could not happen due to issues with the crown not giving the information over.
- You at this time wanted it to go to trial and the morning the trial started wanted to list information that was needed for Simon case.
- When Simon went to see his Barrister on the **13/12/2013** the barrister knew nothing about the case and asked Simon to explain what his case was about.
- While Simon was explaining his barrister told Simon not to rush, Simon showed the barrister the invoices for the case and the barrister said these invoices were here say, can he prove the items were even in the building at the time Simon was there.
- The Barrister asked where the disclosure, as what we showed him was rubbish.
- We had most of the case files with us that you had emailed to us.
- The Barrister asked has a section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure been put in, we told him no he said as soon as we get back get your solicitors to put a section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure in it should have been done already don't delay get it done today.
- Solicitors aren't doing the job properly get onto them.
- The Barrister asked when is this in court we said next week, to which he said I don't even know why you've been sent to me for this meeting as I'm on another case until Tuesday, Wednesday, so won't be able to represent you.
- The barrister also told me to get a copy of my interview tapes and go over them and mark any part that is wrong on the crown's paperwork.
- Simon had about a 20 min with his barrister before the barrister had to go back into court.
- When an application for a Section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure was put into the court on the **19/12/2013**
- There was a time given that Simon's solicitor had till the **16/01/2014** to submit the section 8 and the Third-Party Disclosure to the court.
- And that then the crown had until the **16/02/2014** to give the information that had been requested.
- If the crown failed to give the information the case would need to be relisted in court so this could be address. As of today's, date **02/02/2014**
- we still do not know if the section 8 and the Third-Party Disclosure has been given to the court. The last email from you on this subject was dated the **27/01/2014**
- where you told us that Jemi has drafted the section 8 applications that require minor amendments before it can be served.

**438.**

- As for the Third-Party Disclosure as of **29/01/2014**
- a letter to Nikki Diamond from the insurance company had just been sent over by yourself, I believe that this is the process that needs to happen before a Third-Party Disclosure application can be put in as you need it in writing that the insurance company is not willing to hand over the file without the Third-Party Disclosure.
- On the **13/12/2013**
- a call was made to Cunningham Lindsey where we talked to someone about the case for the insurance part of the claim, we were told that Nikki Diamond was not due back into the office until the **16/12/2013**.
- On the **16/12/2013** my mum called Cunningham Lindsey to talk to Nikki Diamond where we were told that Nikki Diamond was working from home that day and given Nikki Diamonds mobile number to call her.
- My mum then made a call to Nikki Diamond and we chatted about the case telling her what had happened in Simon case and what we believed had gone on.
- Nikki Diamond was concerned about what we had told her over the phone, and at this told us she needs to put this to her manager and could she take our phone number so that her or her manager could call us back.
- I give Nikki Diamond our contact information.

- On the **17/12/2013** my mum took a call from Trevor Allaway Commercial Special Investigator for Cunningham Lindsey my mum and Trevor Allaway talked for some time over the phone about the case at which point in asked that could he have a meeting with Simon to take a statement from him, he also said he did not want to step on Simon's solicitor feet and to please advise our solicitor as to what was going on and that he would like to have the meeting with Simon.
- After the called I Emailed you what had gone on and forwarded you a copy of the email Trevor Allaway had sent.
- You replied asking if the insurance company aware that Simon is awaiting trial for burglary.
- I replied yes; they were as I had disclosed this to them on the calls that were made.
- Nothing was done with this information so on the **08/01/2014** my mum made a call to Trevor Allaway to setup a meeting with him at my mum address this was set for the **13/01/2014** at 11am, when my mum spoke to Trevor Allaway, he told her that he will be being the complete file for the claim with him to the meeting.
- On the **13/01/2014** the meeting with Trevor Allaway went ahead.
- Where information was given by both parties.
- There was shock also on both sides about parts of information that was spoke about.
- Trevor Allaway even showed us pictures of which Nikki Diamond had taken on the **28/03/2014**, and was shocked to see the picture the police had taken for my case as they were the same pictures Mr Patel & D Patel had done nothing to the building and now, I was paying the price due to this.
- Trevor Allaway also made a call to Nikki Diamond to get dates for claims etc and to make sure he had all the facts correct.
- Nikki Diamond gave the dates of **439**.
- **17/02/2013** for the 1st claim **25/03/2013** for a 2nd claim **07/06/2013** for the 3rd claim
- We were shocked there was in fact a next claim we knew nothing for the **25/03/2013**,
- and also, the date for the last claim of the **07/06/2013**
- when in fact Simon case was for the **04/05/2013**
- We asked Trevor Allaway why the claim for **17/02/2013** and the **25/03/2013** was not paid out he said we did not believe the claim was real.
- He also said that when someone takes out insurance, they have to put down the condition of the property, and they also believed a lot of the damage to the building was from an earlier date.
- Trevor Allaway also said that to get out solicitors to go and get a statement from Nikki Diamond as she would be a creditable witness for Simon.
- All this was explained to you with an email that was sent to you on the **13/01/2014** by my mum and a phone call where I talked to you when you were on your way to the prison to see someone.
- I at this asked you also about his birthday and you said if Nikki Diamond could do a statement that said everything, I had said then there should be no problem for my birthday.
- My mum did get a next email from you that day asking for Nikki Diamond information as when the 1st email had been sent to you my mum had forgot to put it, my mum replied with the information for Nikki Diamond being mobile number and office address she could not at this point find Nikki Diamonds email address so this was not included.
- Emails was sent on the **15/01/2014** and the **18/01/2014** asking if a date had been setup for you to take the statement from Nikki Diamond and if the section 8 and the Third-Party Disclosure has been given to the court and for an update.
- You replied to this on the **18/01/2014**.
- On the **21/01/2014** my mum emailed you about the application for my curfew for my birthday, you replied to this asking for his plains for his birthday so you could put the application into the court.
- On the **22/01/2014** when I went to mums, she showed me the email I was upset with this due to the fact I did not see why I needed to give the locations as to where I was going.
- You said you had to have this to put the application in.
- My mum emailed you the details as to where I was going.
- As we had not heard anything, I believed the application had been put in and that it should have been heard on the **24/01/2014** my mum late on the **24/01/2014** emailed you and texted you to get an update as to the application.

➤ There was no reply to this from you until the 27/01/2014 where you told us, the application could not be put in due the notice you need to give the court.

440.

➤ You also in that email explained the process needed for the Third-Party Disclosure application. In which was the 1st time I knew a letter would be needed to be sent to the insurance company and they would need to refuse to hand over the files before you could go for the Third-Party Disclosure application through the court.

➤ And also give me an update as to the section 8 application that you could confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served.

➤ But you knew this was needed when the application was put into the court on the 19/12/2014

➤ when the application was heard for the section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure. So why was this only being address on 27/01/2014 when this then had already passed the date of the 16/01/2014 to hand the application into the court.

➤ I feel this delay will again make my case take longer as there is still going to be a time given by the court to the crown to reply to the applications.

➤ On the 29/01/2013 a reply was made by email from my mum.

➤ Explaining parts in the letter that you attached to the email you sent on the 27/01/2014 for Nikki Diamond about the discloser of the file was not correct and needed amended. She also wrote that I still wanted a statement taken from Nikki Diamond due to this helping my case.

➤ You replied to this email on the 29/01/2014 saying that, the statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance Company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure.

➤ I do feel this statement is 100% needed sooner not later as once this is shown to the crown I sure they will rethink their case on me.

➤ As I feel at this time this is an unfair trail due to how Mr Patel has used my case to be able to manipulate his insurance claim due to what has already been discussed with Trevor Allaway.

➤ And this is a clear beach in my human rights to have a fair trial.

➤ Also, on the 19/01/2013

➤ when the application went into the court a bail application was meant to have been done at the same time for my bail conditions over the holidays that where upcoming.

➤ For some reason the bail application was not heard so you relisted this for 23/12/2013 to be heard by the court, you sent a text to my mum after you found out the outcome of this bail application in which you said I was on an electric tag to my mum address, and that the curfew was not lifted that Serco would come to my mums address to install the tag there.

➤ I got very upset with hearing this as I for one reason I was never on an electric tag for this case from the start of it.

➤ I did try and call you and I got no reply so I called the court explained to the court the issues I had and was advised to call my solicitor and ask them to relist the case for the 24/12/2013 this would need to be done within the next 20 mins.

➤ I then called the office and explained this to someone in the office and was told I would get a call back.

➤ I did not get a call back but at around 21.47 that evening you texted my mum saying that Simon needed to be at Woolwich crown court at 09.45 on the 24/12/2013.

➤ On the 24/12/2013 I went to court and my mum come with me, when we got there, we were told the case was not listed before 11am.

➤ So, we waited at the court at around 10.50 as a barrister had not turned up for me my mum texted for to ask the name of the barrister that would be coming that we were outside court 7 waiting.

441.

➤ there was no reply to this text so at around 11.10 I made a call to the office and spoke to someone there that told me a barrister would not be attending, I was really upset with this but what could I say.

➤ I then saw the usher of the court and told her what was going on, she said why had the solicitors told me to come here and yet new there would not be a barrister and not tell me this beforehand, she told me she would talk to the crown who was in the court room and explain to him what was going on and then let me know what could be done.

➤ About 5 mins later she came out of the court room and told me that the crown was going to call it on and see what could be done.

- It was called into court and when the judge heard I did not have a barrister she asked the clerk of the court to call the office and see what was going on, which the clerk on the court did, when she got off the phone, she told the judge no one was coming to the court for me.
  - I stood up and tried to explain to the judge what had gone on.
  - My mum at this asked the judge if she could explain it and the judge said yes to come forward, she could hear what my mum was saying.
  - My mum explained to the judge about the 19/12/2013 and the 23/12/2013 the judge was at loss of what could be done as the rule that had been made on the 23/01/2014
  - she could not change as this would be dealing with a judicial review and she could not hear that. The crown then asked if he could speak to the judge and said that due to new evidence, she could hear it on them grounds.
  - The judge at that replied yes, she would like to hear this but I did not have a barrister she then went on to ask the barristers in the court room would they be willing to represent me and told them that I had legal aid and that it would be marked on the file.
  - One stood up and said yes, she would stand in. the judge then said to us to go outside with the barrister and speak to her and when ready it would go back into court.
  - We went out explained to the barrister what was going on.
  - She told us that even from what she had heard in court that I should really think about changing solicitors.
  - It went back into court and the judge heard the case and my bail was varied on the 01/01/2014 my mum again texted you due to an issue where I got arrested, she had tried to call the office but there was no answer of a voice mail message to give a number to call.
  - No one got back to my mum so when she got to court, she had to ask a solicitor that was on duty that day to help me.
  - He said he was not meant to as I had legal aid for the case already, but he would go and speak to me, he did in fact represent me in court that day.
  - On the 03/01/2014
  - I asked my mum sent you an email to ask if my case was too much for you as I knew you had a lot of work on.
  - You told me you were still on annual leave and I return to work on Monday.
  - On the 31/01/2014
  - I was due to have a meeting in the office which you had to cancel as you were dealing with other cases.
  - This was re booked for 04/01/2014 at 2pm.
  - I have called you many times and it has just gone to voice mail and you have not called me back.
  - I have also called the office about things I feel are wrong and told someone would call me back which has not happened.
  - I can prove this with statements from the phones that were used.
  - There are emails that have been sent to you and no reply to them emails and what I have asked to have been
  - given.
- 442.
- There was a break down before in this case as you are aware and I dropped the complaint.
  - Josey, I have used you as a solicitor for many years and don't just look at you as a solicitor you are a friend of the families.
  - All I want is for my case to be dealt with in a correct manner, which will lead me to have a speedy and fair trial, and I don't want to feel like I am being punished for a crime that I have not been convicted of.
  - And I feel with the statement from Nikki Diamond that would show somewhat to Mr Patel ways in how he has dealt with his insurance case in this matter that clearly is not correct all ready.
  - Could you please email back at the points that have been included in this email, and if you feel I am wrong on any points or the way in which I am feeling.

Simon

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardssolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardssolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 02 February 2014 14:31

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon Cordell application to transfer legal representation



Simon

- Further to your telephone conversation with me today during which you have accused me of not representing you correctly and not defending your case properly you leave me with little choice but to list the case at Woolwich Crown Court in order that your legal representation order can be revoked.
  - I am cancelling your 2pm conference with me for Tuesday **04th February 2014**.
  - I am not prepared to be subjected to threats about you blackening my name over Facebook.
  - I work very hard for all my clients and my track record as a Criminal Defence Solicitors attests to that.
  - I have represented you since you were a juvenile to the best of my abilities, and I have never not defended a client properly or tried my best for my client.
  - You stated that during the telephone conversation that the Judge asked you to change your solicitors at the last bail hearing on Christmas Eve.
  - As you are aware, I was on annual leave from **23rd December 2013**
  - I made the bail variation as requested and you were not happy as the court did not suspend your curfew.
  - That is the simple fact of the matter here.
  - You also stated that during a conference with Jemi, the barrister that I appointed for you that he also advised you that I was a
- 443.**
- poor solicitor and not acting in your best interests.
  - You only raised this today and I will most certainly be taking this accusation up with Jemi and his clerks.
  - I asked you to confirm this in writing and you have refused to do this.
  - I again ask you to confirm this in writing as Jemi will have to respond to this allegation.
  - I will be asking Jemi and his clerks to comment on the allegations that you have made.
  - You will of course appreciate that if Jemi does not agree with your accusations that he will probably refuse to represent you.
  - You are accusing him of slandering my reputation by saying that Jemi says I am not representing you properly and that he advised you to change your solicitors.
  - With regards to your complaint in relation to the bail variation you were asked in a number of emails to provide full details of your plans, venues etc and you did not do this instead you shouted down the phone and refused to give specific instructions.
  - When you eventually did give the requested information, it was too late to apply to have the bail position varied.
  - I suspect you know what the court's attitude to the requested variation would be in any event.
  - With regards to the request for the original receipt I have to provide this to the court in an addendum to your defence case statement and the police will then make their enquiries.
  - I am sorry that this position has arisen, but you have left me little choice in the matter.
  - I wish you good luck with your case and I will confirm the date that your case is listed for the revocation of legal aid.
  - I will aim from Tuesday or Wednesday of this coming week.
  - Can you please confirm by return email the advice that Jemi gave you at your conference at Isleworth Crown Court and also please confirm why it took you so long to raise it with me?
  - You telephoned me on a Sunday, reserved for emergency phone calls.
  - You should have raised it back when you had the conference with Jemi.
  - You have raised it now and I will deal with the accusations now.
  - I await hearing from you by return email with regards to Jemi.

Regards

Josephine Ward

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS**

**1**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

189. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation\_ (1)

/ Page Numbers: 444,445,446,447,448,

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: **02** February 2014 22:32

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: **RE: Simon Cordell application**

to transfer legal representation

Hi Josey

Simon has asked me to write this email, so I am just writing what has been asked and the facts he is saying.

As you are aware Simon is not great with emails or letters and replying to them due to his writing and spelling. Simon has said he is not treating you in anyway, yes, he did say he was going to put his papers on Facebook ton ask people what they feel about his case. He would then be able to obtain feedback from the general public. He feels there have been many errors in his case, some of these errors he wants me to list below. His case was listed for the warn list for the **16/12/2013**, this could not happen due to issues with the crown not giving the information over. You at this time wanted it to go to trial and the morning the trail started wanted to list information that was needed for Simon case. When Simon went to see his Barrister on the **13/12/2013** the barrister new nothing about the case and asked Simon to explain what his case was about. While Simon was explaining his barrister told Simon not to rush, Simon showed the barrister the invoices for the case and the barrister said these invoices were here say, can he prove the items were even in the building at the time Simon was there. The Barrister asked where the disclosure, as what we showed him was rubbish. We had most of the case files with us that you had emailed to us. The Barrister asked has a section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure been put in, we told him no he said as soon as we get back get your solicitors to put a section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure in it should have been done already don't delay get it done today. Solicitors aren't doing the job properly get onto them. The Barrister asked when is this in court we said next week, to which he said I don't even know why you've been sent to me for this meeting as I'm on another case until Tuesday, Wednesday, so won't be able to represent you. The barrister also told me to get a copy of my interview tapes and go over them and mark any part that is wrong on the crown's paperwork. Simon had about a 20 min with his barrister before the barrister had to go back into court. When an application for a Section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure was put into the court on the **19/12/2013**

There was a time given that Simon's solicitor had till the **16/01/2014**

to submit the section 8 and the Third-Party Disclosure to the court. And that then the crown had until the **16/02/2014**

to give the information that had been requested. If the crown failed to give the information the case would need to be relisted in court so this could be address. As of today's, date **02/02/2014**

we still do not know if the section 8 and the Third-Party Disclosure has been given to the court. The last email from you on this subject was dated the **27/01/2014**

where you told us that Jemi has drafted the section 8 applications that require minor amendments before it can be served. As for the Third-Party Disclosure as of **29/01/2014**

a letter to Nikki Diamond from the insurance company had just been sent over by yourself, I believe that this is the process that needs to happen before a Third-Party Disclosure application can be put in as you need it in writing that the insurance company is not willing to hand over the file without the Third-Party Disclosure. On the **13/12/2013**

a call was made to Cunningham Lindsey where we talked to someone about the case for the insurance part of the claim, we were told that Nikki Diamond was not due back into the office until the **16/12/2013**.

On the

**16/12/2013**

my mum called Cunningham Lindsey to talk to Nikki Diamond where we were told that Nikki Diamond was working from home that day and given Nikki Diamonds mobile number to call her.

**445.**

My mum then made a call to Nikki Diamond and we chatted about the case telling her what had happened in Simon case and what we believed had gone on. Nikki Diamond was concerned about what we had told her over the phone, and at this told us she needs to put this to her

manager and could she take our phone number so that her or her manager could call us back. I give Nikki Diamond our contact information. On the

**17/12/2013**

my mum took a call from Trevor Allaway Commercial Special Investigator for Cunningham Lindsey my mum and Trevor Allaway talked for some time over the phone about the case at which point in asked that could he have a meeting with Simon to take a statement from him, he also said he did not want to step on Simon's solicitor feet and to please advise our solicitor as to what was going on and that he would like to have the meeting with Simon. After the called I Emailed you what had gone on and forwarded you a copy of the email Trevor Allaway had sent. You replied asking if the insurance company aware that Simon is awaiting trial for burglary. I replied yes; they were as I had disclosed this to them on the calls that were made. Nothing was done with this information so on the

**08/01/2014**

my mum made a call to Trevor Allaway to setup a meeting with him at my mum address this was set for the

**13/01/2014**

at 11am, when my mum spoke to Trevor Allaway, he told her that he will be being the complete file for the claim with him to the meeting. On the

**13/01/2014**

the meeting with Trevor Allaway went ahead. Where information was given by both parties. There was shock also on both sides about parts of information that was spoke about. Trevor Allaway even showed us pictures of which Nikki Diamond had taken on the

**28/03/2014**

and was shocked to see the picture the police had taken for my case as they were the same pictures Mr Patel & D Patel had done nothing to the building and now, I was paying the price due to this. Trevor Allaway also made a call to Nikki Diamond to get dates for claims etc and to make sure he had all the facts correct. Nikki Diamond gave the dates of

**17/02/2013**

for the 1st claim

**25/03/2013**

for a 2nd claim

**07/06/2013**

for the 3rd claim

We were shocked there was in fact a next claim we knew nothing for the

**25/03/2013,**

and also, the date for the last claim of the

**07/06/2013**

when in fact Simon case was for the

**04/05/2013**

We asked Trevor Allaway why the claim for

**17/02/2013**

and the

**25/03/2013**

was not paid out he said we did not believe the claim was real. He also said that when someone takes out insurance, they have to put down the condition of the property, and they also believed a lot of the damage to the building was from an earlier date. Trevor Allaway also said that to get out solicitors to go and get a statement from Nikki Diamond as she would be a creditable witness for Simon. All this was explained to you with an email that was sent to you on the

**13/01/2014**

by my mum and a phone call where I talked to you when you were on your way to the prison to see someone. I at this asked you also about his birthday and you said if Nikki Diamond could do a statement that said everything, I had said then there should be no problem for my birthday. My mum did get a next email from you that day asking for Nikki Diamond information as when the 1st email had been sent to you my mum had forgot to put it, my mum replied with the information for Nikki Diamond being mobile number and office address she could not at this point find Nikki Diamonds email address so this was not included. Emails was sent on the

**15/01/2014**

and the

**18/01/2014**

asking if a date had been setup for you to take the statement from Nikki Diamond and if the section 8 and the Third-Party Disclosure has been given to the court and for an update. You replied to this on the

**18/01/2014.**

On the

**21/01/2014**

my mum emailed you about the application for my curfew for my birthday, you replied to this asking for his plans for his birthday so you could put the application into the court. On the

**22/01/2014**

when I went to mums, she showed me the email I was upset with this due to the fact I did not see why I needed to give the locations as to where I was going. You said you had to have this to put the application in. My mum emailed you the details as to where I was going. As we had not heard anything, I believed the application had been put in and that it should have been heard on the

**24/01/2014**

my mum late on the

**24/01/2014**

emailed you and texted you to get an update as to the

**446.**

application. There was no reply to this from you until the

**27/01/2014**

where you told us, the application could not be put in due the notice you need to give the court. You also in that email explained the process needed for the Third-Party Disclosure application. In which was the 1st time I knew a letter would be needed to be sent to the insurance company and they would need to refuse to hand over the files before you could go for the Third-Party Disclosure application through the court. And also give me an update as to the section 8 application that you could confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served. But you knew this was needed when the application was put into the court on the

**19/12/2014**

when the application was heard for the section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure. So why was this only being address on

**27/01/2014,**

when this then had already passed the date of the

**16/01/2014**

to hand the application into the court.

I feel this delay will again make my case take longer as there is still going to be a time given by the court to the crown to reply to the applications. On the

**29/01/2013**

a reply was made by email from my mum. Explaining parts in the letter that you attached to the email you sent on the

**27/01/2014**

for Nikki Diamond about the discloser of the file was not correct and needed amended. She also wrote that I still wanted a statement taken from Nikki Diamond due to this helping my case. You replied to this email on the

**29/01/2014**

saying that, the statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance Company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure. I do feel this statement is 100% needed sooner not later as once this is shown to the crown I sure they will rethink their case on me. As I feel at this time this is an unfair trial due to how Mr Patel has used my case to be able to manipulate his insurance claim due to what has already been discussed with Trevor Allaway. And this is a clear beach in my human rights to have a fair trial.

Also, on the

**19/01/2013**

when the application went into the court a bail application was meant to have been done at the same time for my bail conditions over the holidays that where upcoming. For some reason the bail application was not heard so you relisted this for

**23/12/2013**

to be heard by the court, you sent a text to my mum after you found out the outcome of this bail application in which you said I was on an electric tag to my mum address, and that the curfew

was not lifted that Serco would come to my mums address to install the tag there. I got very upset with hearing this as I for one reason I was never on an electric tag for this case from the start of it. I did try and call you and I got no reply so I called the court explained to the court the issues I had and was advised to call my solicitor and ask them to relist the case for the

**24/12/2013**

this would need to be done within the next 20 mins. I then called the office and explained this to someone in the office and was told I would get a call back. I did not get a call back but at around 21.47 that evening you texted my mum saying that Simon needed to be at Woolwich crown court at 09.45 on the

**24/12/2013.**

On the

**24/12/2013**

I went to court and my mum come with me, when we got there, we were told the case was not listed before 11am. So, we waited at the court at around 10.50 as a barrister had not turned up for me my mum texted for to ask the name of the barrister that would be coming that we were outside court 7 waiting, there was no reply to this text so at around 11.10 I made a call to the office and spoke to someone there that told me a barrister would not be attending, I was really upset with this but what could I say. I then saw the usher of the court and told her what was going on, she said why had the solicitors told me to come here and yet new there would not be a barrister and not tell me this beforehand, she told me she would talk to the crown who was in the court room and explain to him what was going on and then let me know what could be done. About 5 mins later she came out of the court room and told me that the crown was going to call it on and see what could be done. It was called into court and when the judge heard I did not have a barrister she asked the clerk of the court to call the office and see what was going on, which the clerk on the court did, when she got off the phone, she told the judge no one was coming to the court for me. I stood up and tried to explain to the judge what had gone on. My mum at this asked the judge if she could explain it and the judge said yes to come forward, she could hear what my mum was saying. My mum explained to the judge about the

**19/12/2013**

and the

**23/12.2013**

the judge was at loss of what could be done as the rule that had been made on the

**23/01/2014**

she could not change as this would be dealing with a judicial review and she could not hear that. The crown then asked if he could speak to the judge and said that due to new evidence, she could hear it on them grounds. The judge at that replied yes, she would like to hear this, but I did not have a barrister she then went on to ask the

**447.**

barristers in the court room would they be willing to represent me and told them that I had legal aid and that it would be marked on the file. One stood up and said yes, she would stand in. the judge then said to us to go outside with the barrister and speak to her and when ready it would go back into court. We went out explained to the barrister what was going on. She told us that even from what she had heard in court that I should really think about changing solicitors. It went back into court and the judge heard the case and my bail was varied on the

**01/01/2014**

my mum again texted you due to an issue where I got arrested, she had tried to call the office but there was no answer of a voice mail message to give a number to call. No one got back to my mum so when she got to court, she had to ask a solicitor that was on duty that day to help me. He said he was not meant to as I had legal aid for the case already, but he would go and speak to me, he did in fact represent me in court that day. On the

**03/01/2014**

I asked my mum sent you an email to ask if my case was too much for you as I knew you had a lot of work on. You told me you were still on annual leave and I return to work on Monday. On the

**31/01/2014**

I was due to have a meeting in the office which you had to cancel as you were dealing with other cases. This was re booked for

**04/01/2014**

at 2pm. I have called you many times and it has just gone to voice mail and you have not called me back. I have also called the office about things I feel are wrong and told someone would call

me back which has not happened. I can prove this with statements from the phones that were used. There are emails that have been sent to you and no reply to them emails and what I have asked have been given. There was a break down before in this case as you are aware and I dropped the complaint. Josey, I have used you as a solicitor for many years and don't just look at you as a solicitor you are a friend of the families. All I want is for my case to be dealt with in a correct manner, which will lead me to have a speedy and fair trial, and I don't want to feel like I am being punished for a crime that I have not been convicted of. And I feel with the statement from Nikki Diamond that would show somewhat to Mr Patel ways in how he has dealt with his insurance case in this matter that clearly is not correct all ready. Could you please email back at the points that have been included in this email, and if you feel I am wrong on any points or the way in which I am feeling.

Simon

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 02 February 2014 14:31

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon Cordell application

to transfer legal representation

Simon

- Further to your telephone conversation with me today during which you have accused me of not representing you correctly and not defending your case properly you leave me with little choice but to list the case at Woolwich Crown Court in order that your legal representation order can be revoked.
- I am cancelling your 2pm conference with me for Tuesday **04th February 2014**.
- I am not prepared to be subjected to threats about you blackening my name over Facebook.
- I work very hard for all my clients and my track record as a Criminal Defence Solicitors attests to that.
- I have represented you since you were a juvenile to the best of my abilities, and I have never not defended a client properly or tried my best for my client.
- You stated that during the telephone conversation that the Judge asked you to change your solicitors at **448**,
  - the last bail hearing on Christmas Eve.
  - As you are aware, I was on annual leave from **23rd December 2013**
  - I made the bail variation as requested and you were not happy as the court did not suspend your curfew.
  - That is the simple fact of the matter here.
  - You also stated that during a conference with Jemi, the barrister that I appointed for you that he also advised you that I was a
    - poor solicitor and not acting in your best interests.
    - You only raised this today and I will most certainly be taking this accusation up with Jemi and his clerks.
    - I asked you to confirm this in writing and you have refused to do this.
    - I again ask you to confirm this in writing as Jemi will have to respond to this allegation.
    - I will be asking Jemi and his clerks to comment on the allegations that you have made.
    - You will of course appreciate that if Jemi does not agree with your accusations that he will probably refuse to represent you.
    - You are accusing him of slandering my reputation by saying that Jemi says I am not representing you properly and that he advised you to change your solicitors.
    - With regards to your complaint in relation to the bail variation you were asked in a number of emails to provide full details of your plans, venues etc and you did not do this instead you shouted down the phone and refused to give specific instructions.
    - When you eventually did give the requested information, it was too late to apply to have the bail position varied.
    - I suspect you know what the court's attitude to the requested variation would be in any event.
    - With regards to the request for the original receipt I have to provide this to the court in an addendum to your defence case statement and the police will then make their enquiries.
    - I am sorry that this position has arisen, but you have left me little choice in the matter.
    - I wish you good luck with your case and I will confirm the date that your case is listed for the revocation of legal aid.

- I will aim from Tuesday or Wednesday of this coming week.
- Can you please confirm by return email the advice that Jemi gave you at your conference at Isleworth Crown Court and also please confirm why it took you so long to raise it with me?
- You telephoned me on a Sunday, reserved for emergency phone calls.
- You should have raised it back when you had the conference with Jemi.
- You have raised it now and I will deal with the accusations now.
- I await hearing from you by return email with regards to Jemi.

Regards

Josephine Ward

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS**

**03/02/2014**

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

192. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation /

**Page Numbers:** 451,452,453,454,455,456,457,458,459

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation  
Gazebo Case!

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

193. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon Cordell\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 460

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation  
Gazebo Case!

**5**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

19 JOSEPHINE Ward\_ Simon Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 68

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation  
Gazebo Case!

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

191. JOSEPHINE WARD\_

/ Page Numbers: 449,450,451

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 03 February 2014 23:51

To: Lorraine Cordell

Hi Josey

- Lorraine as a matter of respect to you I have responded to the most recent email now that I have some time.
- My replies to Simon are in bold.
- I accept that Simon believes his comments before being arrested are not damaging to his case and he believes that his interview is well thought out and legally reasoned.
- Unfortunately, I do not agree with Simon's belief that his comments and interview are not damaging to the case - they are what made the difference in this case.
- Thank you for the reply to Simon's email, Simon has asked me to write this email for him.
- The section of my email that was sent to you yesterday that address Jemi and the meeting we had come from my friend Katie who did attend the meeting with Jemi with me, so new what was said and I used her words as to what she heard at the meeting as to what Jemi said to me.
- If needed Katie could confirm this so can my mum as she was the one writing the email for me that was sent yesterday.
- Could you also please send me a copy as to what you have sent over to Jemi by email and his reply to your email?  
**A.**
- Simon / Lorraine I have emailed the email I sent to Jemi and his response.
- This was based on the conversation and accusations made by Simon on the telephone **Sunday 2nd February 2014.**
- With regards to Jemi's non-availability. Jemi was booked for Simon's case and his jury was out and at risk of over running.
- You were advised of this fact at the time.
- What does happen sometimes is that once speeches are done in a case the barrister can get an alternative barrister to baby sit the case.
- Also, Simon's case was in the warned list, not given a fixture so there was no guarantee that the case would be listed.
- The case Jemi was dealing with at the time should have been a five-day case but it over ran, I agree the case is now listed for the warned list for the week commencing **30th June 2014**
- As you are aware on the **19/12/2013** when my mum got the email from you about my case about the application that was put to the court that day, when my mum got the email, she was very worried due to the date for the listing for the new trial date, so she herself called you before she had even showed me the email.
- She knew the new trial date would cause a delay in my case by 6 months or more.
- she asked you some things on the phone on the **19/01/2014** one being if we got the information from the crown could the date of the **30/06/2014** be taken sooner.
- You replied once we get the information form the crown and Third-Party Disclosure it could be listed back in court and there maybe was a chance to being the date forward for the hearing, this is the information she told me.  
**B.**
- Yes, Simon I agree I did say this to your mother.
- I said there was a chance and I did not put it any higher than that.
- It is this that is upsetting me so much as the longer it takes to put the applications into the court the longer it will take to get the information that is needed in my case.
- As you know I am on bail conditions that have stopped me doing anything for my business that I have been setting up for many years now.
- In fact, I have lost a lot of business due to the bail conditions I am on.
- I do not think it is right that a judge to say to me that I can sub contract my business out.
- I am not talking here a small amount of money for my sound system or any of my equipment, if it was lost or damaged it could take a long time in which to recover the costs.



- This is why when my equipment is hired, I also go with it due to me being able to look after it and make sure they don't red line my equipment or it is damaged or taken, I myself take care of it.
- And I do feel my human rights are being violated by the court and crown not allowing me to do my work.
- The longer it takes this case to go to court for trial is the longer my business cannot run this is why I am so upset that things are not being put in on time to the court.
- I have spent many years getting to where I am today

450.

within my business and due to this case, my business is has suffered.

**C.**

- I have no control over Crown Court Judge's decisions re bail.
- They apply the Bail Act and the objections under this legislation in determining whether an individual should be given bail.
- You were originally refused bail due to fears that you would commit further offences and fail to surrender. I secured you bail, and I made this application out of office hours so you did not spend any longer in custody than what was necessary.
- I have made all requested bail variations with the exception of the one for your birthday as you did not feel you needed to provide me with the specifics of the variation and instead chose to argue with me about the rights of the Crown Court to require this information.
- Yes, I agree my case is in the warned list for the week of the **30/06/2014** but would like the information well before this date so that maybe the trial can be taken forward.
- I would also like it sooner than later so the facts as to how Mr Patel has done this can be shown to the crown.

**D.**

- As stated to your mother when the information from the insurance company is disclosed whether voluntarily or by Third Party Disclosure the Crown Prosecution Service would have been invited to review the evidence.
- I do not have this information.
- I did request this information in my defence case statement as it is information in Mr Patel's possession and the prosecution could have asked him for this.
- Likewise, the first burglary this should have been disclosed to us under normal disclosure rules, but the court has insisted on a section 8 application for this.
- As for what I said at my home and at police station is not the issue here I know what I said and was being honest as I did not think I had done anything wrong.
- I did buy the items from Mohammed in good faith.
- (I asked you for details of Mohammed with a view to taking a witness statement.
- I also advised you that he would have to be advised to seek independent legal representation to avoid self incrimination.
- Never provided) For it to have been a job lot of 5 of each or just 1 gazebo, and 1 chair which was found at my address I think the police would have taken the same said outcome to this case.
- They wanted someone for this case, and I was that person.
- This can be shown by any information we have asked for not being given they still have not even given the CAD reports for that day in truth that there were other police at the scene and let me in the building knowing I was there to hire my sound system for a private party that night as I explained this to them when I got there.
- Let alone how many times they attended the building on that evening even down to the council attending with the police due to noise.
- There is also the case as to when I turned up at the building and the police was already there, how is it when they did their checks, they did not see that a Burglary had happened on that building on the **16/02/2013** and let us enter the building knowing this as really, they would have got that report back on their checks that evening Which in my eyes does show a cover up as where are the reports as it is to do directly with my case.
- The DC dealing with my case also dealt with the other case on **16/02/2013**
- so, would also been aware of the condition of the building after the **16/02/2013** pictures were taken for the case of the **16/02/2013**, so, he would have been well aware the pictures taken for my case was the same so how could he then charge me with all the damage to the building?

➤ Where is the information that the police should have asked Mr Patel as to him securing the building after the **16/02/2013**?

**E.**

➤ This is a matter for cross examination and the officer in witness box where he does not have any room to manoeuvre.

➤ Yes, I am upset as I do feel I have been setup by the police and they by doing this have allowed Mr Patel to use my case to make his claim to the insurance company go ahead was this planned from the start and yes, I have asked this to myself many times and others I have spoken to have said the same said thing.

**F.**

➤ Simon based on the forensic evidence recovered at the scene the police had reasonable grounds to arrest you for this offence.

➤ So yes, I want my trial sooner than later as I want to get on with my life and my business and yes, I do understand I could be found guilty for this case but until I do, I should be able to run my business.

➤ And I don't think I am asking too much here.

**451**

➤ I believe also that it could be taken to the high court for a judicial review about my bail condition, but I was never made aware of this.

➤ Seeing as the crown court attitude in this case has never been good as you have put it.

➤ And that the court is unwilling to see that I need to work or face losing my business.

**G.**

➤ The bail conditions imposed by the Court are not unreasonable.

➤ They are imposed to prevent the commission of further offences and failure to surrender.

➤ I have never tried to blame other people for the position I find myself in, what I am saying is I don't feel as if things are being done in a timely manner.

➤ If you just look at the Third-Party Disclosure, if you knew that you had to write to Nikki Diamond and get her to say in writing her unwillingness to disclose the file before you could then apply for the Third-Party Disclosure, why did you not write the letter back in **Dec 2013** after the application was put into the court on the **19/12/2013** or after you come back from annual leave, due to knowing that you needed this before the **16/01/2014** that the judge had said.

**H.**

➤ The information that forms part of Third-Party Disclosure was originally requested in your defence case statement.

➤ Your trial is now scheduled for **June 2014** and yes, in an ideal world I should have sent a letter to Nikki Diamond and the insurance company.

➤ Nikkii Diamond has to refuse to give the information first of all before the Court will even entertain an application for Third Party Disclosure and then the Court could direct the information to be brought to court for the trial.

➤ This is what happens in Social Services cases by way of example.

➤ We would request paper copies, failing that then a statement from Nikki Diamond.

➤ If the insurance file is served, then Nikki Diamond is not required as a witness as the insurance claims and reports can be introduced under the hearsay rules. I do understand you were waiting for Jemi to do the write up for the section 8 and that was out of your control.

➤ But the Third-Party Disclosure was not.

➤ Also why was it when we told you about getting a statement from Nikki Diamond did you not say then you had to wait until the Third-Party Disclosure was given as she would not be able to do a statement without client confidentiality arising which would have caused issues.

➤ You told me on the phone that if you got the statement from Nikki Diamond then the bail condition change, I wanted for my birthday should not be a problem.

**I.**

➤ I said it was worth a try.

➤ I did not put it any higher than this.

➤ I do not recall saying it would not be a problem but words to the effect that it would be worth a try.

➤ We are covering old ground here as I was also reliant on specific information that you refused to give as you felt the court had no right to know your movements.

➤ Can you also keep me updated as to the date for court so I can attend?

**J.**

➤ No problem I am hoping to resolve this matter once I speak to Michael Carroll tomorrow in the afternoon so I will be asking for a hearing on either Thursday or Friday of this week.

Simon

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

192. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation  
/ **Page Numbers:** 451,452,453,454,455,456,457,458,459,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 03 February 2014 18:51

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the reply to Simon's email, Simon has asked me to write this email for him.
- The section of my email that was sent to you yesterday that address Jemi and the meeting we had come from my friend Katie who did attend the meeting with Jemi with me, so new what was said and I used her words as to what she heard at the meeting as to what Jemi said to me.
- If needed Katie could confirm this so can my mum as she was the one writing the email for me that was sent yesterday.
- Could you also please send me a copy as to what you have sent over to Jemi by email and his reply to your email?
- I agree the case is now listed for the warned list for the week commencing **30th June 2014**.
- As you are aware on the **19/12/2013**
- when my mum got the email from you about my case about the application that was put to the court that day, when my mum got the email, she was very worried due to the date for the listing for the new trial date, so she herself called you before she had even showed me the email.
- She knew the new trial date would cause a delay in my case by 6 months or more.
- she asked you some things on the phone on the **19/01/2014**
- one being if we got the information from the crown could the date of the **30/06/2014** be taken sooner.
- You replied once we get the information form the crown and Third-Party Disclosure it could be listed back in court and there maybe was a chance to being the date forward for the hearing, this is the information she told me.
- It is this that is upsetting me so much as the longer it takes to put the applications into the court the longer it will take to get the information that is needed in my case.
- As you know I am on bail conditions that have stopped me doing anything for my business that I have been setting up for many years now.
- In fact, I have lost a lot of business due to the bail conditions I am on.
- I do not think it is right that a judge to say to me that I can sub contract my business out, I am not talking here a small amount of money for my sound system or any of my equipment, if it was lost or damaged it could take a long time in which to recover the costs.
- This is why when my equipment is hired, I also go with it due to me being able to look after it and make sure they don't red line my equipment or it is damage or taken, I myself take care of it. And I do feel my human rights are being violated by the court and crown not allowing me to do my work.
- The longer it takes this case to go to court for trial is the longer my business cannot run this is why I am so upset that things are not being put in on time to the court.
- I have spent many years getting to where I am today within my business and due to this case, my business is has suffered.
- Yes, I agree my case is in the warned list for the week of the **30/06/2014** but would like the information well before this date so that maybe the trial can be taken forward.
- I would also like it sooner than later so the facts as to how Mr Patel has done this can be shown to the crown.
- As for what I said at my home and at police station is not the issue here I knows what I said and was being honest as I did not think I had done anything wrong.
- I did buy the items from Mohammed in good faith.
- For it to have been a job lot of 5 of each or just 1 gazebo, and 1 chair which was found at my address I think the police would have taken the same said outcome to this case.
- They wanted someone for this case and I was that person.

- **This can be shown by any information we** have asked for not being given they still have not even given the CAD reports for that day in truth that there were other police at the scene and let me in the building knowing I was there to hire my sound system for a private party that night as I explained this to them when I got there.
- Let alone how many times they attended the building on that evening even down to the council attending with the police due to noise.
- There is also the case as to when I turned up at the building and the police was already there, how is it when they did their checks, they did not see that a Burglary had happened on that building on the **16/02/2013** and let us
- **452,**
- enter the building knowing this as really, they would have got that report back on their checks that evening Which in my eyes does show a cover up as where are the reports as it is to do directly with my case.
- The DC dealing with my case also dealt with the other case on **16/02/2013**
- so, would also been aware of the condition of the building after the **16/02/2013** pictures were taken for the case of the **16/02/2013**, so, he would have been well aware the pictures taken for my case was the same so how could he then charge me with all the damage to the building?
- Where is the information that the police should have asked Mr Patel as to him securing the building after the **16/02/2013**?
- Yes, I am upset as I do feel I have been setup by the police and they by doing this have allowed Mr Patel to use my case to make his claim to the insurance company go ahead was this planned from the start and yes, I have asked this to myself many times and others I have spoken to have said the same said thing.
- So yes, I want my trail sooner than later as I want to get on with my life and my business and yes, I do understand I could be found guilty for this case but until I do, I should be able to run my business.
- **And I don't think I am asking too much here.**
- **I believe also that it could be taken to the high court for a judicial review about my bail condition, but I was never be made aware of this.**
- **Seeing as the crown court attitude in this case has never been good as you have put it.**
- **And that the court is unwilling to see that I need to work or face losing my business.**
- **I have never tried to blame other people for the position I find myself in, what I am saying is I don't feel as if things are being done in a timely manner.**
- **If you just look at the Third-Party Disclosure**, if you knew that you had to write to Nikki Diamond and get her to say in writing her unwillingness to disclose the file before you could then apply for the Third-Party Disclosure, why did you not write the letter back in **Dec 2013** after the application was put into the court on the **19/12/2013** or after you come back from annual leave, due to knowing that you needed this before the **16/01/2014** that the judge had said.
- I do understand you were waiting for Jemi to do the write up for the section 8 and that was out of your control.
- But the Third-Party Disclosure was not.
- Also why was it when we told you about getting a statement from Nikki Diamond did you not say then you had to wait until the Third-Party
- Disclosure was given as she would not be able to do a statement without client confidentiality arising which would have caused issues.
- You told me on the phone that if you got the statement from Nikki Diamond then the bail condition change, I wanted for my birthday should not be a problem.
- Can you also keep me updated as to the date for court so I can attend?

Simon

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 02 February 2014 23:58

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE: Simon Cordell application**  
to transfer legal representation

Hi Lorraine

➤ It is 10.47pm.

➤ I do not have Simon's file at home with me.

- I will ask Jemi to respond to the allegations made by Simon re his conference on **13th December 2013**.
- At the same time, I will ask him and his clerks to respond to why the Christmas bail variation was not made on **19th December 2013**.
- As you are both aware, I was on annual leave until **06th January 2014**.
- Simon's case is listed for trial in **June 2014**.
- There is enough time to secure the information but there is a process.

**453.**

- With regards to the section 8 application Jemi has been on notice that this has been required since before Christmas.
- A detailed defence case statement was submitted and as a result of this document and the Crown's failure to disclose the requested items that the Third-Party Disclosure arise as did the section 8 application.
- If the Insurance company believe Mr Patel has committed a fraud, then it is their decision whether he is prosecuted.
- Nikki Diamond has to confirm her unwillingness to disclose the file before I can apply for Third Party Disclosure.
- I cannot take a statement from her as part of her statement will require her to disclose and exhibit as part of that statement the original insurance claims and she cannot do this as client confidentiality arises.
- Re Simon's most recent request to vary bail he did not provide the information when requested.
- I have dealt with this point already as I have re the Third-Party Disclosure and the section 8 application.
- I am not prepared to have my professional judgement or integrity called into question.
- Simon threatened to blacken my name on Facebook Lorraine.
- I am reliant on instructions from my client re bail variations.
- I am reliant on Counsel drafting applications re section 8.
- I drafted Simon's original bail application and defence case statement well within the time limits.
- All other requests to vary bail I have made.
- Due to the number of bail variations Simon is aware of the process and trying to get the variations agreed administratively.
- He is also aware of the attitude if the Court to his case the issues regarding Simon's case when I am on leave, I have no control over.
- Simon himself caused problems in this case by
  - A.** his behaviour on arrest
  - B.** his comments to police when the police were at his house re the number of items bought as a job lot.
  - A.** There was no evidence of this apart from what came from Simon himself.
  - B.** The gazebo in his garden was easily dealt with as he had the original receipt
  - C.** Simon ignoring legal advice in his interviews (e) Simon's inaccurate interpretation on the law on burglary and what squatters can and cannot do whilst squatting in a building.
- There is a risk that Simon will be convicted in this case and this is not through the fault of **Michael Carroll & Co** or my representation but through his own conduct on his arrest and at the police station.
- To try to blame other people for the position he finds himself in is very wrong.

**Simple questions to consider:**

- 5) Did the police know about or have any evidence re the job lot answer No until Simon himself revealed this?
  - 6) Could Simon explain his DNA in a moveable object answer. Yes, and he would have achieved the same result as Naomi
  - 7) Could police prove the Venice chair came from warehouse no. Information on this again came from Simon
  - 8) Is Mohammed likely to be a witness as to sale of the items? No because he will have to be advised to obtain independent legal advice as he could incriminate himself This was better left to cross examination at trial as too late then to produce invoices, but Simon obviously does not agree.
- This will be an example of Simon making a further tactical mistake as he did during the comments to police at his address and again in interview.

➤ The Judge and prosecution will be influenced in making decisions in this case based on Simon's conduct before arrest, in interview and his previous convictions.

The point that Simon is missing is that a prima facie case exists, and this case will go to trial. Simon should reread his interview and his attitude in his interview where he effectively suggests it serves the owner of the premises right

**454.**

➤ for having his premises burgled.

➤ If Simon had not made such foolish comments when he was arrested and instead followed sound legal advice at the police station then we could have made representations to review the case but based on his admissions at his address, coupled with his interview this was impossible.

➤ At the start of this case Simon was very much mistaken with regards to the law.

➤ Tactics and timing are important in cases.

➤ Simon does not want to allow Solicitors to make tactical decisions so the best of luck to him.

➤ Once I get an email back from Jemi re the conference and section 8 application I will be listing this case to have the legal representation order revoked.

➤ During the telephone conversation today Simon again was abusive, he questioned my professional integrity, my ability to defend my clients and he threatened to slander my name. I heard him loud and clear Lorraine.

➤ All I can say is he has a very short memory.

➤ I know exactly how many times I have represented Simon and how many of those cases have resulted in a charge or a conviction.

➤ I am duty solicitor at court tomorrow and I will email Jemi in the morning.

➤ I will request an urgent response from him, and I will then let you know when the case will be listed.

Kind regards

Josephine

Kind regards

Josephine

--

Hi Josey

➤ Simon has asked me to write this email, so I am just writing what has been asked and the facts he is saying.

➤ As you are aware Simon is not great with emails or letters and replying to them due to his writing and spelling.

➤ Simon has said he is not treating you in anyway, yes, he did say he was going to put his papers on Facebook to ask people what they feel about his case.

➤ He would then be able to obtain feedback from the general public.

➤ He feels there have been many errors in his case, some of these errors he wants me to list below.

➤ His case was listed for the warn list for the **16/12/2013**, this could not happen due to issues with the crown not giving the information over.

➤ You at this time wanted it to go to trial and the morning the trial started wanted to list information that was needed for Simon case.

➤ When Simon went to see his Barrister on the **13/12/2013** the barrister knew nothing about the case and asked Simon to explain what his case was about.

➤ While Simon was explaining his barrister told Simon not to rush, Simon showed the barrister the invoices for the case and the barrister said these invoices were here say, can he prove the items were even in the building at the time Simon was there.

➤ The Barrister asked where the disclosure, as what we showed him was rubbish.

➤ We had most of the case files with us that you had emailed to us.

➤ The Barrister asked has a section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure been put in, we told him no he said as soon as we get back get your solicitors to put a section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure in it should have been done already don't delay get it done today.

➤ Solicitors aren't doing the job properly get onto them.

➤ The Barrister asked when is this in court we said next week, to which he said I don't even know why you've been sent to me for this meeting as I'm on another case until Tuesday, Wednesday, so won't be able to represent you.

➤ The barrister also told me to get a copy of my interview tapes and go over them and mark any part that is wrong on the crown's paperwork.

- Simon had about a 20 min with his barrister before the barrister had to go back into court.
- 455.**
- When an application for a Section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure was put into the court on the **19/12/2013**
- There was a time given that Simon's solicitor had till the **16/01/2014** to submit the section 8 and the Third-Party Disclosure to the court.
- And that then the crown had until the **16/02/2014** to give the information that had been requested.
- If the crown failed to give the information the case would need to be relisted in court so this could be address. As of today's, date **02/02/2014**
- we still do not know if the section 8 and the Third-Party Disclosure has been given to the court. The last email from you on this subject was dated the **27/01/2014**
- where you told us that Jemi has drafted the section 8 applications that require minor amendments before it can be served.
- As for the Third-Party Disclosure as of **29/01/2014**
- a letter to Nikki Diamond from the insurance company had just been sent over by yourself, I believe that this is the process that needs to happen before a Third-Party Disclosure application can be put in as you need it in writing that the insurance company is not willing to hand over the file without the Third-Party Disclosure.
- On the **13/12/2013**
- a call was made to Cunningham Lindsey where we talked to someone about the case for the insurance part of the claim, we were told that Nikki Diamond was not due back into the office until the **16/12/2013**.
- On the **16/12/2013** my mum called Cunningham Lindsey to talk to Nikki Diamond where we were told that Nikki Diamond was working from home that day and given Nikki Diamonds mobile number to call her.
- My mum then made a call to Nikki Diamond and we chatted about the case telling her what had happened in Simon case and what we believed had gone on.
- Nikki Diamond was concerned about what we had told her over the phone, and at this told us she needs to put this to her manager and could she take our phone number so that her or her manager could call us back.
- I give Nikki Diamond our contact information.
- On the **17/12/2013** my mum took a call from Trevor Allaway Commercial Special Investigator for Cunningham Lindsey my mum and Trevor Allaway talked for some time over the phone about the case at which point in asked that could he have a meeting with Simon to take a statement from him, he also said he did not want to step on Simon's solicitor feet and to please advise our solicitor as to what was going on and that he would like to have the meeting with Simon.
- After the called I Emailed you what had gone on and forwarded you a copy of the email Trevor Allaway had sent.
- You replied asking if the insurance company aware that Simon is awaiting trial for burglary.
- I replied yes; they were as I had disclosed this to them on the calls that were made.
- Nothing was done with this information so on the **08/01/2014** my mum made a call to Trevor Allaway to setup a meeting with him at my mum address this was set for the **13/01/2014** at 11am, when my mum spoke to Trevor Allaway, he told her that he will be being the complete file for the claim with him to the meeting.
- On the **13/01/2014** the meeting with Trevor Allaway went ahead.
- Where information was given by both parties.
- There was shock also on both sides about parts of information that was spoke about.
- Trevor Allaway even showed us pictures of which Nikki Diamond had taken on the **28/03/2014**, and was shocked to see the picture the police had taken for my case as they were the same pictures Mr Patel & D Patel had done nothing to the building and now, I was paying the price due to this.
- Trevor Allaway also made a call to Nikki Diamond to get dates for claims etc and to make sure he had all the facts correct.
- Nikki Diamond gave the dates of
- **17/02/2013** for the 1st claim **25/03/2013** for a 2nd claim **07/06/2013** for the 3rd claim
- We were shocked there was in fact a next claim we knew nothing for the **25/03/2013**,
- and also, the date for the last claim of the **07/06/2013**
- when in fact Simon case was for the **04/05/2013**

➤ We asked Trevor Allaway why the claim for **17/02/2013** and the **25/03/2013** was not paid out he said we did not believe the claim was real.

**456.**

- He also said that when someone takes out insurance, they have to put down the condition of the property, and they also believed a lot of the damage to the building was from an earlier date.
- Trevor Allaway also said that to get out solicitors to go and get a statement from Nikki Diamond as she would be a creditable witness for Simon.
- All this was explained to you with an email that was sent to you on the **13/01/2014** by my mum and a phone call where I talked to you when you were on your way to the prison to see someone.
- I at this asked you also about his birthday and you said if Nikki Diamond could do a statement that said everything, I had said then there should be no problem for my birthday.
- My mum did get a next email from you that day asking for Nikki Diamond information as when the 1st email had been sent to you my mum had forgot to put it, my mum replied with the information for Nikki Diamond being mobile number and office address she could not at this point find Nikki Diamonds email address so this was not included.
- Emails was sent on the **15/01/2014** and the **18/01/2014** asking if a date had been setup for you to take the statement from Nikki Diamond and if the section 8 and the Third-Party Disclosure has been given to the court and for an update.
- You replied to this on the **18/01/2014**.
- On the **21/01/2014** my mum emailed you about the application for my curfew for my birthday, you replied to this asking for his plains for his birthday so you could put the application into the court.
- On the **22/01/2014** when I went to mums, she showed me the email I was upset with this due to the fact I did not see why I needed to give the locations as to where I was going.
- You said you had to have this to put the application in.
- My mum emailed you the details as to where I was going.
- As we had not heard anything, I believed the application had been put in and that it should have been heard on the **24/01/2014** my mum late on the **24/01/2014** emailed you and texted you to get an update as to the application.
- There was no reply to this from you until the **27/01/2014** where you told us, the application could not be put in due the notice you need to give the court.
- You also in that email explained the process needed for the Third-Party Disclosure application. In which was the 1st time I knew a letter would be needed to be sent to the insurance company and they would need to refuse to hand over the files before you could go for the Third-Party Disclosure application through the court.
- And also give me an update as to the section 8 application that you could confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served.
- But you knew this was needed when the application was put into the court on the **19/12/2014**
- when the application was heard for the section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure. So why was this only being address on **27/01/2014** when this then had already passed the date of the **16/01/2014** to hand the application into the court.
- I feel this delay will again make my case take longer as there is still going to be a time given by the court to the crown to reply to the applications.
- On the **29/01/2013** a reply was made by email from my mum.
- Explaining parts in the letter that you attached to the email you sent on the **27/01/2014** for Nikki Diamond about the discloser of the file was not correct and needed amended. She also wrote that I still wanted a statement taken from Nikki Diamond due to this helping my case.
- You replied to this email on the **29/01/2014** saying that, the statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance Company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure.
- I do feel this statement is 100% needed sooner not later as once this is shown to the crown I sure they will rethink their case on me.
- As I feel at this time this is an unfair trail due to how Mr Patel has used my case to be able to manipulate his insurance claim due to what has already been discussed with Trevor Allaway.
- And this is a clear beach in my human rights to have a fair trial.
- Also, on the **19/01/2013**
- when the application went into the court a bail application was meant to have been done at the same time for my bail conditions over the holidays that where upcoming.



- For some reason the bail application was not heard so you relisted this for **23/12/2013** to be heard by the court, you sent a text to my mum after you found out the outcome of this bail application in which you said I was on an electric tag to my mum address, and that the curfew was not lifted that Serco would come to my mums address to install the tag there.
- I got very upset with hearing this as I for one reason I was never on an electric tag for this case from the start of it.

I did try and call you and I got no reply so I called the court explained to the court the issues I had and was

**457.**

- advised to call my solicitor and ask them to relist the case for the **24/12/2013** this would need to be done within the next 20 mins.
- I then called the office and explained this to someone in the office and was told I would get a call back.
- I did not get a call back but at around 21.47 that evening you texted my mum saying that Simon needed to be at Woolwich crown court at 09.45 on the **24/12/2013**.
- On the **24/12/2013** I went to court and my mum come with me, when we got there, we were told the case was not listed before 11am.
- So, we waited at the court at around 10.50 as a barrister had not turned up for me my mum texted for to ask the name of the barrister that would be coming that we were outside court 7 waiting, there was no reply to this text so at around 11.10 I made a call to the office and spoke to someone there that told me a barrister would not be attending, I was really upset with this but what could I say.
- I then saw the usher of the court and told her what was going on, she said why had the solicitors told me to come here and yet new there would not be a barrister and not tell me this beforehand, she told me she would talk to the crown who was in the court room and explain to him what was going on and then let me know what could be done.
- About 5 mins later she came out of the court room and told me that the crown was going to call it on and see what could be done.
- It was called into court and when the judge heard I did not have a barrister she asked the clerk of the court to call the office and see what was going on, which the clerk on the court did, when she got off the phone, she told the judge no one was coming to the court for me.
- I stood up and tried to explain to the judge what had gone on.
- My mum at this asked the judge if she could explain it and the judge said yes to come forward, she could hear what my mum was saying.
- My mum explained to the judge about the **19/12/2013** and the **23/12/2013** the judge was at loss of what could be done as the rule that had been made on the **23/01/2014**
- she could not change as this would be dealing with a judicial review and she could not hear that. The crown then asked if he could speak to the judge and said that due to new evidence, she could hear it on them grounds.
- The judge at that replied yes, she would like to hear this but I did not have a barrister she then went on to ask the barristers in the court room would they be willing to represent me and told them that I had legal aid and that it would be marked on the file.
- One stood up and said yes, she would stand in. the judge then said to us to go outside with the barrister and speak to her and when ready it would go back into court.
- We went out explained to the barrister what was going on.
- She told us that even from what she had heard in court that I should really think about changing solicitors.
- It went back into court and the judge heard the case and my bail was varied on the **01/01/2014** my mum again texted you due to an issue where I got arrested, she had tried to call the office but there was no answer of a voice mail message to give a number to call.
- No one got back to my mum so when she got to court, she had to ask a solicitor that was on duty that day to help me.
- He said he was not meant to as I had legal aid for the case already, but he would go and speak to me, he did in fact represent me in court that day.
- On the **03/01/2014**
- I asked my mum sent you an email to ask if my case was too much for you as I knew you had a lot of work on.
- You told me you were still on annual leave and I return to work on Monday.
- On the **31/01/2014**

- I was due to have a meeting in the office which you had to cancel as you were dealing with other cases.
- This was re booked for **04/01/2014** at 2pm.
- I have called you many times and it has just gone to voice mail and you have not called me back.
- I have also called the office about things I feel are wrong and told someone would call me back which has not happened.
- I can prove this with statements from the phones that were used.
- There are emails that have been sent to you and no reply to them emails and what I have asked to have been
- given.
- There was a break down before in this case as you are aware and I dropped the complaint.
- Josey, I have used you as a solicitor for many years and don't just look at you as a solicitor you are a friend of the families.
- All I want is for my case to be dealt with in a correct manner, which will lead me to have a speedy and fair trial, and I don't want to feel like I am being punished for a crime that I have not been convicted of.
- And I feel with the statement from Nikki Diamond that would show somewhat to Mr Patel ways in how he has dealt with his insurance case in this matter that clearly is not correct all ready.
- Could you please email back at the points that have been included in this email, and if you feel I am wrong on

**458.**

any points or the way in which I am feeling.

Simon

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 02 February 2014 14:31

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon Cordell application to transfer legal representation

Simon

- Further to transfer legal representation Simon Further to your telephone conversation with me today during which you have accused me of not representing you correctly and not defending your case properly you leave me with little choice but to list the case at Woolwich Crown Court in order that your legal representation order can be revoked.
- I am cancelling your 2pm conference with me for Tuesday **04th February 2014**
- I am not prepared to be subjected to threats about you blackening my name over Facebook.
- I work very hard for all my clients and my track record as a Criminal Defence Solicitors attests to that.
- I have represented you since you were a juvenile to the best of my abilities, and I have never not defended a client properly or tried my best for my client.
- You stated that during the telephone conversation that the Judge asked you to change your solicitors at the last bail hearing on Christmas Eve.
- As you are aware, I was on annual leave from **23rd December 2013**
- I made the bail variation as requested and you were not happy as the court did not suspend your curfew.
- That is the simple fact of the matter here.
- You also stated that during a conference with Jemi, the barrister that I appointed for you that he also advised you that I was a poor solicitor and not acting in your best interests.
- You only raised this today and I will most certainly be taking this accusation up with Jemi and his clerks.
- I asked you to confirm this in writing and you have refused to do this.
- I again ask you to confirm this in writing as Jemi will have to respond to this allegation.
- I will be asking Jemi and his clerks to comment on the allegations that you have made.
- You will of course appreciate that if Jemi does not agree with your accusations that he will probably refuse to represent you.
- You are accusing him of slandering my reputation by saying that Jemi says I am not representing you properly and that he advised you to change your solicitors.

- With regards to your complaint in relation to the bail variation you were asked in a number of emails to provide full details of your plans, venues etc and you did not do this instead you shouted down the phone and refused to give specific instructions.
- When you eventually did give the requested information, it was too late to apply to have the bail position varied.
- I suspect you know what the court's attitude to the requested variation would be in any event. With regards to the request for the original receipt I have to provide this to the court in an addendum to your defence case statement and the police will then make their enquiries.
- I am sorry that this position has arisen, but you have left me little choice in the matter.
- I wish you good luck with your case and I will confirm the date that your case is listed for the revocation of legal aid.
- I will aim from Tuesday or Wednesday of this coming week.
- Can you please confirm by return email the advice that Jemi gave you at your conference at Isleworth Crown Court and also please confirm why it took you so long to raise it with me?
- You telephoned me on a Sunday, reserved for emergency phone calls.
- You should have raised it back when you had the conference with Jemi.
- You have raised it now and I will deal with the

**459.**

accusations now.

- I await hearing from you by return email with regards to Jemi.

Regards

Josephine Ward

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS**

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

193. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon Cordell\_ (2)

/ Page Numbers: 460,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 03 February 2014 23:02

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- I am forwarding below Jemi's reply.
- I received this at 1.11pm.
- I am hoping to speak to Michael Carroll tomorrow afternoon in order to get his authorisation to apply to apply to court to get the legal representation order revoked.
- I will of course confirm the listing in due course.

Regards

Josephine

- Utter nonsense, in every particular save that I could not do his case as then listed.
- Josie, you know Simon has ADHDO and can get particularly anxious.
- I know that makes hard work, but I seem to be able to cooperate with him.
- If you wish, I'll call him and see if we can extend that cooperation and respect to all his representatives.

Jemi

Sent from my iPhone

**5**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

19 JOSEPHINE Ward\_ Simon Cordell

/ Page Numbers: 68

**Sent:** 03 February 2014 22:57

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Fwd.: Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

- This is the email that I sent to Jemi this morning.
- I have been at court all day and then at Edmonton Police Station until 9pm and so I could not reply before now.

Regards

Josephine

**Forwarded message from:**

JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

**Date:** Mon, Feb 3, 2014 at 10:39 AM

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

**To:** "J. B. AkinOlugbade"

<[j.akinolugbade@nexuschambers.com](mailto:j.akinolugbade@nexuschambers.com)>

Del Edgeler

<[Del.Edgeler@nexuschambers.com](mailto:Del.Edgeler@nexuschambers.com)>

Hi Jemi

➤ Mr Cordell telephoned my office and stated that he discussed his case with him on 13/12/2013

**He made the following allegations:**

- 1) You told him you could not do his case as you were dealing with a murder and you did not know
- 2) why we had instructed you or why you were having a conference
- 3) We had not forwarded any case papers to you
- 4) We should have put in the section 8 application and Third-Party Disclosure application and because we had not done this the client states that you advised him, we were not taking his case seriously and you recommended he change solicitors.
- 5) I do not for one minute believe the accusations made but if you can please just confirm the position before I apply to court to revoke the legal representation order.
- 6) If you can respond as a matter of urgency, I would be grateful and please forward the amended section 8 application so he can represent himself.

Thank you.

Josephine

04/02/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

194. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon Cordell\_ (3) /

**Page Numbers:** 461

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

195. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (62) /

**Page Numbers:** 462

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

196. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Simon Cordell /

**Page Numbers:** 463,464,465

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

194. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Simon Cordell\_ (3)

/ Page Numbers: 461,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 03 February 2014 23:04

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

➤ By way of update, I am still awaiting the amended section 8 application from Jemi and no reply from Nikki Diamond to the email that I sent her.

Regards

Josephine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

195. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (62)

/ Page Numbers: 462,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 04 February 2014 01:41

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

➤ Simon has asked me to email you and ask if his legal aid is to be revoked can it be transferred to a new solicitor rather than you revoke it.

➤ Simon has asked me to say he has stayed with **Michael Carroll & Co** since he was 14 years old and feels also that due this case being dealt with emails for the 1st time it has highlighted some of the problems that have been sent in the emails before, such as things not being put in time as said by the judge.

➤ And all he has asked is for his case to be dealt with in a timely and professional manner.

➤ At no time did he say anything about your professional integrity and made slanderous threats towards you as a person.

➤ As for what has been said from yourself to Jemi and Jemi reply I feel you have taken my words out of context and not used what you asked me for which was an email with a statement of what was said.

Simon.

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 03 February 2014 23:02

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

➤ I am forwarding below Jemi's reply.

➤ I received this at 1.11pm.

➤ I am hoping to speak to Michael Carroll tomorrow afternoon in order to get his authorisation to apply to apply to court to get the legal representation order revoked.

➤ I will of course confirm the listing in due course.

Regards

Josephine

➤ Utter nonsense, in every particular save that I could not do his case as then listed.

➤ Josie, you know Simon has ADHDO and can get particularly anxious.

➤ I know that makes hard work, but I seem to be able to cooperate with him.

➤ If you wish, I'll call him and see if we can extend that cooperation and respect to all his representatives.

Jemi Sent from my iPhone

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

196. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Simon Cordell

/ Page Numbers: 463,464,465,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 04 February 2014 07:48

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- Simon was very clear in what he said on the phone re his meeting with Jemi.
- I did not misinterpret or misquote what he said.
- He also told me I was a bad solicitor and he did make the threat to publicise how I was dealing with him on Facebook because I clearly heard you tell him "No you are not".
- My interpretation of you saying this is that you knew he had gone too far by the threats he had issued.
- "All that he wants is to be dealt with in a timely and professional manner" really Lorraine, but you state Simon is not casting aspersions on my professional integrity.
- Re the timely manner Simon's main complaint is that his bail was not varied again specific instructions not provided when requested and Third-Party Disclosure.
- The trial is in June.
- Both the Third-Party Disclosure and section 8 application would be dealt with at the same time.
- I started dealing with Simon when he was 16. I observed Michael when Simon was accused of committing a robbery in the lifts at Edmonton Green. Simon was wearing a yellow coat and you a navy and cream ski type coat.
- A care worker was in the interview with him also.
- Units I think so nothing wrong with my recollection.
- Identification parades took place.
- I recall the police sending him a birthday card shortly after that to mark the occasion of his 17th birthday so he would not require an appropriate adult.
- Simon has been represented by Michael Carroll & Co and me personally always to a high standard.
- My track record as a criminal defence solicitor attest to this.
- In 15 years, I have successfully defended a number of very serious cases.
- I know how to make correct tactical decisions for clients.
- I should not have to defend my reputation to either Simon or you as you both know very well from past experiences how hard I try for people I am perfectly within my rights to ask for legal representation to be revoked in this case but because of the length of time I have known both you and Simon I will suggest to the court a transfer of legal representation on the ground of breakdown in relationship and the client alleging breakdown in trust and confidence in solicitor.
- I am at HMP Belmarsh this morning I will try to speak to Michael Carroll on the phone so he can authorise this.
- Best of luck to both you and Simon.
- You have all the case papers already.
- Anything you do not have copies of email me in a list.
- Please confirm details of the solicitor who you wish to transfer the case over to at your earliest convenience.

Josephine

On Feb 4, 2014 1:41 AM, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Simon has asked me to email you and ask if his legal aid is to be revoked can it be transferred to a new solicitor rather than you revoke it.
- Simon has asked me to say he has stayed with **Michael Carroll & Co** since he was 14 years old and feels also that due this case being dealt with emails for the 1st time it has highlighted some of the problems that have been sent in the emails before, such as things not being put in time as said by the judge.

**464.**

- And all he has asked is for his case to be dealt with in a timely and professional manner.
- At no time did he say anything about your professional integrity and made slanderous threats towards you as a person.

➤ As for what has been said from yourself to Jemi and Jemi reply I feel you have taken my words out of context and not used what you asked me for which was an email with a statement of what was said.

Simon.

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 03 February 2014 23:02

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- I am forwarding below Jemi's reply.
- I received this at 1.11pm.
- I am hoping to speak to Michael Carroll tomorrow afternoon in order to get his authorisation to apply to apply to court to get the legal representation order revoked.
- I will of course confirm the listing in due course.

Regards

Josephine

- Utter nonsense, in every particular save that I could not do his case as then listed.

**465.**

- Josie, you know Simon has ADHDO and can get particularly anxious.
- I know that makes hard work, but I seem to be able to cooperate with him.
- If you wish, I'll call him and see if we can extend that cooperation and respect to all his representatives.

Jemi Sent from my iPhone

**05/02/2014**

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

197. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Request for mobile telephone number /

**Page Numbers:** 466,

[Tyrone](#)

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation  
Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

197. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Request for mobile telephone number

/ **Page Numbers:** 466,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 February 2014 20:21

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Request for mobile telephone number

Lorraine

- I have lost all my telephone contacts as my smart phone has died.
- Can you please email me your telephone number and Tyrone's please?
- I remember your old number 0796 but cannot remember the other one or your house number.
- I will hopefully list the case next week.
- Can you confirm the new Solicitor details at your earliest convenience?
- I have also asked Jemi he can still do the case, but you will probably have to tell the new solicitors that he is your preferred barrister.
- If you give them my contact details, I can email over all the information etc.

Regards

Josephine

**06/02/2014**

**07/02/2014**

**08/02/2014**

**09/02/2014**

10/02/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

198. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Request for mobile telephone number\_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 467

Tyrone

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation  
Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

198. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Request for mobile telephone number\_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 467,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 10 February 2014 12:15

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Request for mobile telephone number

Hi Josey

- Sorry it's taken me a while to reply I have not been well,
- my home phone number is 0208 and my other mob is 078073
- Tyrone mob is 075726, as for the new Solicitor details Simon is seeing a Solicitor today so is not sure yet if they will take the case on until after the meeting.
- If they are going to do so I will send over later today the information.
- Also, I am contacting the City of London Magistrates court today due to the failure to surrender for his bail, as you are aware that this should not be on Simon PNC and I have spoken to you before about this many times, and the court a long while back and they said they was going to put it right and have not done so.
- You have always said not to worry about this on his PNC, yet they are using this so to impose more bail conditions on this case due to failure to surrender.
- I am going to try and get this sorted as they are holding this against Simon and have done so more than once so I do feel this does needs addressing and not left any longer.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:** [josephinewardssolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardssolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 February 2014 20:21

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Request for mobile telephone number

Lorraine

- I have lost all my telephone contacts as my smart phone has died.
- Can you please email me your telephone number and Tyrone's please?
- I remember your old number 0796 but cannot remember the other one or your house number.
- I will hopefully list the case next week.
- Can you confirm the new Solicitor details at your earliest convenience?
- I have also asked Jemi he can still do the case, but you will probably have to tell the new solicitors that he is your preferred barrister.
- If you give them my contact details, I can email over all the information etc.

Regards

Josephine



11/02/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

199. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Request for mobile telephone number /

**Page Numbers:** 468,469

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation  
Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

200. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Request for mobile telephone number /

**Page Numbers:** 470,471

Simon's Solicitor complaint - Application to transfer legal representation  
Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

199. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Request for mobile telephone number

/ Page Numbers: 468,469,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 11 February 2014 10:06

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Request for mobile telephone number

Hi Lorraine

➤ Can you please confirm the details of Simon's new solicitor so that I can apply to get his case listed so that the transfer can proceed?

Regards

Josephine

**PS**

➤ Thanks for the contact details of yourself and Tyrone

On **Mon, Feb 10, 2014 at 12:14 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Sorry it's taken me a while to reply I have not been well,
- my home phone number is 0208 and my other mob is 078073
- Tyrone mob is 075726, as for the new Solicitor details Simon is seeing a Solicitor today so is not sure yet if they will take the case on until after the meeting.
- If they are going to do so I will send over later today the information.
- Also, I am contacting the City of London Magistrates court today due to the failure to surrender for his bail, as you are aware that this should not be on Simon PNC and I have spoken to you before about this many times, and the court a long while back and they said they was going to put it right and have not done so.
- You have always said not to worry about this on his PNC, yet they are using this so to impose more bail conditions on this case due to failure to surrender.
- I am going to try and get this sorted as they are holding this against Simon and have done so more than once so I do feel this does needs addressing and not left any longer.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 February 2014 20:21

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Request for mobile telephone number

Lorraine

**469,**

- I have lost all my telephone contacts as my smart phone has died.
- Can you please email me your telephone number and Tyrone's please?
- I remember your old number 0796 but cannot remember the other one or your house number.
- I will hopefully list the case next week.
- Can you confirm the new Solicitor details at your earliest convenience?
- I have also asked Jemi he can still do the case, but you will probably have to tell the new solicitors that he is your preferred barrister.
- If you give them my contact details, I can email over all the information etc.

Regards

Josephine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

200. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Request for mobile telephone number

/ Page Numbers: 470,471,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 11 February 2014 17:47

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Request for mobile telephone number

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the email, Simon went to see the solicitor yesterday and due to how far the case is they would not take it over he has a next meeting tomorrow with a next solicitor. Until he gets a solicitor, I cannot give you the details.
- I will update you tomorrow if he can't get a solicitor, he will deal with it himself in court.
- Also, if Jemi has done the section 8 can you email that over and have you had a reply from Nikki Diamond as of yet.
- As Simon wants to get them into the court as soon as possible as it is already well past the date the judge said he will hand them in his self if needed.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 11 February 2014 10:06

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Request for mobile telephone number

Hi Lorraine

- Can you please confirm the details of Simon's new solicitor so that I can apply to get his case listed so that the transfer can proceed?

Regards

Josephine

**PS**

- Thanks for the contact details of yourself and Tyrone

On **Mon, Feb 10, 2014 at 12:14 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Sorry it's taken me a while to reply I have not been well,
- my home phone number is 0208 and my other mob is 078073
- Tyrone mob is 075726, as for the new Solicitor details Simon is seeing a Solicitor today so is not sure yet if they will take the case on until after the meeting.
- If they are going to do so I will send over later today the information.
- Also, I am contacting the City of London Magistrates court today due to the failure to surrender for his bail, as you are aware that this should not be on Simon PNC and I have spoken to you before about this many times, and the court a long while back and they said they was going to put it right and have not done so.
- You have always said not to worry about this on his PNC, yet they are using this so to impose more bail conditions on this case due to failure to surrender.
- I am going to try and get this sorted as they are holding this against Simon and have done so more than once so I do feel this does needs addressing and not left any longer.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 February 2014 20:21

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Request for mobile telephone number

**471.**

Lorraine

- I have lost all my telephone contacts as my smart phone has died.
- Can you please email me your telephone number and Tyrone's please?
- I remember your old number 0796 but cannot remember the other one or your house number.
- I will hopefully list the case next week.
- Can you confirm the new Solicitor details at your earliest convenience?
- I have also asked Jemi he can still do the case, but you will probably have to tell the new solicitors that he is your preferred barrister.
- If you give them my contact details, I can email over all the information etc.

Regards

Josephine

1

**Errors on my PNC Record: --**

On the  
12/02/2014  
Criminal PNC  
Doctors

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

202. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (6) /  
**Page Numbers:** 476,477  
Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

204. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (7) /  
**Page Numbers:** 478,479,480  
Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

205. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (17) /  
**Page Numbers:** 481  
Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

206. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (18) /  
**Page Numbers:** 482  
Gazebo Case!

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

207. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (29) /  
**Page Numbers:** 483  
Gazebo Case!

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

208. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (28) /  
**Page Numbers:** 484  
Gazebo Case!

8

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

209. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (8) /  
**Page Numbers:** 485,486,487  
Gazebo Case!

9

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

210. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell error on record /  
**Page Numbers:** 488  
Criminal PNC

10

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

211. JOSEPHINE WARD Legal Representation /  
**Page Numbers:** 489

Gazebo Case!

**11**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

212. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (27) /

**Page Numbers:** 490,491

Gazebo Case!

**12**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

213. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (26) /

**Page Numbers:** 492,493,494

Gazebo Case!

**13**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

214. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (25) /

**Page Numbers:** 495,496,497

Gazebo Case!

**14**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

23 JOSEPHINE Ward\_ Regina v Simon Cordell CL ref 5005393 (Patel) /

**Page Numbers:** 73,74,75

Gazebo Case!

1

**Errors on my PNC Record: --**

- On the 12/02/2014 Emails again got sent to [westminster.gov@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:westminster.gov@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk) regarding failing to surrender on my PNC, criminal Record.
- Westminster sent the memorandum of conviction via an email after my request to the courthouse;
- I received this email on the 17/02/2014 not too long after us paying for it.
- When I received the email, I acknowledged that it demonstrated what I claimed all about and that it was in error.
- The failing to surrender got dismissed by the court a long time beforehand, so it should have never of been placed on my PNC record and this error on my criminal record is why I got sent to prison, due to no fault of my own.
- I know it should not be on a copy of my Police Record, stating that I got found guilty of this offence. In understanding this error and knowing that many more were on my record to which I disputed knowledge about I continued in my search for answers;
- Then on the 19/02/2014
- more Email's got sent to another courthouse such as Highbury Corner Magistrates Court, to have all my PNC records checked, for cases that were heard by Enfield Court which Edmonton Police dealt with.
- This took time to address as there where a list of Records I wanted checked.
- While waiting for my replies from the courthouses regarding my criminal recorded being in error so I felt depressed because of all the ongoing in my life so I attend to the local **doctors** to explain how the police court case and bail conditions deterred, my life away from being in harmony.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

202. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (6)

/ Page Numbers: 476,477,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 12 February 2014 12:17

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: **Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Attachments: [SECTION 8 APPLICATION.pdf](#)

Hi Lorraine

- Trevor Allaway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably. If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose.
- We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either the file from the insurance company or the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.
- The section 8 application was sent off today.
- I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not, so I amended it and sent it off.
- Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial.
- He is still booked to do the case, but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a weeklong case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this.
- He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case.
- Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case.
- The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pretrial conference, but their diaries change, and this affects their availability.
- I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allaway.
- Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party?
- Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

**477.**

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**
- The listing indicates that you must attend.
- Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation?
- If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.
- I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister.

**The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:**

- 1) Disclosure from Insurance company
  - 2) Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
  - 3) Bad character skeleton argument to oppose Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.
- In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.
  - I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allaway.
  - If you have any further questions, then please do not hesitate to email me.
  - I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

204. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (7)

/ Page Numbers: 478,479,480,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 15:04

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

- I have just returned from lunch.
- Tell Simon that is fine.
- I do not want to fall out with either him or you.
- He is hard work but I am still willing to represent him.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 1:56 PM, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35.
- Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write this to you.

- If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer.
- As he said the other day, he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.
- If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30, he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 12:17

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

- Trevor Allaway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably.
- If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he

**479.**

should disclose.

- We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either the file from the insurance company or the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.
- The section 8 application was sent off today.
- I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not, so I amended it and sent it off.
- Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial.
- He is still booked to do the case, but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a weeklong case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this.
- He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case.
- Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case.
- The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pretrial conference, but their diaries change, and this affects their availability.
- I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allaway.
- Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party?
- Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**
- The listing indicates that you must attend.
- Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation?
- If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

**480.**



- I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister.

**The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:**

- 1) Disclosure from Insurance company
  - 2) Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
  - 3) Bad character skeleton argument to oppose Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.
- In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.
  - I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allaway.
  - If you have any further questions, then please do not hesitate to email me.
  - I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards  
Josephine

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

205. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (17)

/ Page Numbers: 481

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:43

**To:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)  
[listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk);

Lorraine Cordell; J.B. AkinOlugbade

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014

**Attachments:** [SECTION 8 APPLICATION.pdf](#)

Dear Sir or Madam

- We continue to act on behalf of our above-named client under the terms of a legal representation order.
- We attach a copy of the section 8 application in relation to this matter.
- We apologise for the delay in serving this document.
- We await the disclosure in due course.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**5**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

206. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (18)

/ Page Numbers: 482,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**
- The listing indicates that you must attend.
- Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation?
- If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.
- I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister.

**The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:**

- 1) Disclosure from Insurance company

- 2) Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
  - 3) Bad character skeleton argument to oppose Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.
- In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.
  - I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allaway.
  - If you have any further questions, then please do not hesitate to email me.
  - I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards  
Josephine

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

207. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (29)

/ Page Numbers: 483,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 12:00

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allaway.
- Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party?
- Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[Mail To:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**
- The listing indicates that you must attend.
- Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation?
- If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.
- I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister.

**The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:**

- 1) Disclosure from Insurance company
  - 2) Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
  - 3) Bad character skeleton argument to oppose Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.
- In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.
  - I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allaway.
  - If you have any further questions, then please do not hesitate to email me.
  - I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards  
Josephine

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

208. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (28)

/ Page Numbers: 484,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 12:04

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allaway. Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party?
- Really not sure what it means. I also not sure what you mean about the Barrister if you revoke due to the how far this case has gone and no other solicitors will take the case on due to this does this mean Simon can still have Jemi as his barrister even if he doesn't have a solicitor?
- Sorry for the double email I forgot to add the part about the barrister in the last email.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[Mail To:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**
- The listing indicates that you must attend.
- Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation?
- If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.
- I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister.

**The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:**

- 1) Disclosure from Insurance company
  - 2) Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
  - 3) Bad character skeleton argument to oppose Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.
- In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.
  - I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allaway.
  - If you have any further questions, then please do not hesitate to email me.
  - I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

**8**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

209. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (8)

/ **Page Numbers:** 485,486,487,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 17:38

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

- Just so that we are both clear Simons wants me to continue to represent him.
- Please confirm.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 5:24 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:  
Hi Josey

➤ Simon said thank you.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 15:04

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

- I have just returned from lunch.
- Tell Simon that is fine.
- I do not want to fall out with either him or you.
- He is hard work but I am still willing to represent him.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 1:56 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35.
- Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write

**486.**

this to you.

- If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer.
- As he said the other day, he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.
- If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30, he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 12:17

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

- Trevor Allaway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably.
- If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose.
- We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either the file from the insurance company or the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.
- The section 8 application was sent off today.
- I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not, so I amended it and sent it off.
- Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial.
- He is still booked to do the case, but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a weeklong case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this.
- He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case.
- Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case.
- The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pretrial conference, but their diaries change, and this affects their availability.
- I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

**487.**

On **Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allaway.
- Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party?
- Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**
- The listing indicates that you must attend.
- Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation?
- If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.
- I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister.

**The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:**

- 1) Disclosure from Insurance company
  - 2) Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
  - 3) Bad character skeleton argument to oppose Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.
- In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.
  - I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allaway.
  - If you have any further questions, then please do not hesitate to email me.
  - I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

**10**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

211. JOSEPHINE WARD Legal Representation

/ **Page Numbers:** 489,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 13:12

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Legal Representation

Lorraine

- Just by way of update, neither Jemi nor any other Counsel will deal with Simon's case without a solicitor being instructed.

Regards

Josephine

**11**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

212. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (27)

/ **Page Numbers:** 490,491,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 13:56

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35.

- Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write this to you.
  - If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer.
  - As he said the other day, he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.
  - If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30, he would be grateful.
- Simon / Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 12:17

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

- Trevor Allaway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably.
- If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose.
- We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either the file from the insurance company or the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.
- The section 8 application was sent off today.
- I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not, so I amended it and sent it off.
- Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial.
- He is still booked to do the case, but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a weeklong case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this.
- He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case.
- Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case.
- The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pretrial conference, but their diaries change, and this affects their availability.
- I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:  
**491,**

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allaway.
- Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party?
- Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**
- The listing indicates that you must attend.
- Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation?
- If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

- I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister.

**The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:**

- 1) Disclosure from Insurance company
  - 2) Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
  - 3) Bad character skeleton argument to oppose Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.
- In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.
  - I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allaway.
  - If you have any further questions, then please do not hesitate to email me.
  - I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards  
Josephine

**12**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

213. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (26)

/ Page Numbers: 492,493,494,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 17:25

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Josey

- Simon said thank you.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 15:04

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

- I have just returned from lunch.
- Tell Simon that is fine.
- I do not want to fall out with either him or you.
- He is hard work but I am still willing to represent him.

Regards  
Josephine

**On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 1:56 PM,** Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35.
- Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write this to you.
- If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer.
- As he said the other day, he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.
- If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30, he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 12:17

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

- Trevor Allaway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably.



- If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose.

We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either the file from the insurance company or the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure

**493.**

- kicks in again.
- The section 8 application was sent off today.
- I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not, so I amended it and sent it off.
- Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial.
- He is still booked to do the case, but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a weeklong case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this.
- He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case.
- Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case.
- The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pretrial conference, but their diaries change, and this affects their availability.
- I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allaway.
- Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party?
- Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**
- The listing indicates that you must attend.
- Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors?
- in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation.
- If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.
- I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister.

**The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:**

- 1) Disclosure from Insurance company
  - 2) Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
  - 3) Bad character skeleton argument to oppose Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character. In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.
- I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr. Trevor Allaway.
  - If

**494.**

you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me.



➤ I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards  
Josephine

**13**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

214. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (25)

/ **Page Numbers:** 495,496,497,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 18:03

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on Hi Josey

➤ Yes, that is what he wants for you to continue to represent him.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 17:38

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on Hi Lorraine

➤ Just so that we are both clear Simons wants me to continue to represent him.

➤ Please confirm.

Regards  
Josephine

**On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 5:24 PM,** Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

➤ Simon said thank you.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 15:04

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on Hi Lorraine

➤ I have just returned from lunch.

➤ Tell Simon that is fine.

➤ I do not want to fall out with either him or you.

➤ He is hard work but I am still willing to represent him.

Regards  
Josephine

**On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 1:56 PM,** Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

➤ Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35.

➤ Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write this to you.

**496.**

➤ If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer.

➤ As he said the other day, he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.

➤ If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30, he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 12:17

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on**

Hi Lorraine

- Trevor Allaway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably.
- If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose.
- We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either the file from the insurance company or the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.
- The section 8 application was sent off today.
- I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not, so I amended it and sent it off.
- Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial.
- He is still booked to do the case, but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a weeklong case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this.
- He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case.
- Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case.
- The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pretrial conference, but their diaries change, and this affects their availability.
- I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allaway.
- Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party?
- Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:50

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **497.**

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**
- The listing indicates that you must attend.
- Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors?
- in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation.
- If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.
- I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister.

**The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:**

- 1) Disclosure from Insurance company
  - 2) Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
  - 3) Bad character skeleton argument to oppose Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character. In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.
- I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr. Trevor Allaway.
  - If you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me.

➤ I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards  
Josephine

**14**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

23 JOSEPHINE Ward\_Regina v Simon Cordell CL ref 5005393 (Patel)

/ **Page Numbers:** 73,74,75

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 12 February 2014 11:43

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Fwd.: Regina v Simon Cordell CL ref 5005393 (Patel)

**Attachments:** [STATEMENT PATEL PART 2.pdf](#); [INDICTMENT AND PATEL](#)

[STATEMENT PT1.pdf](#)

Lorraine / Simon

➤ Please see forwarded email that I sent to Mr Trevor Allaway.

Regards  
Josephine

**Forwarded message from:**

JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

**Date:** Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:38 AM

**Subject: Re:** Regina v Simon Cordell CL ref 5005393 (Patel)

**To:** "Allaway, Trevor" <[Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com](mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com)>

Dear Mr Allaway

- Thank you for your email.
- This case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**
- It is my understanding that you have been contacted directly by Mr Simon Cordell and Miss Lorraine Cordell in relation to ongoing legal proceedings.
- Thank you for the indication that an application under section 35 of the Data Protection Act 1998 would be viewed favourably.
- We make this application under section 35 of the Data Protection Act 1998 to request details of all insurance claims submitted by Mr Rakesh Patel in relation to a burglary committed at Unit 3 Horrisons Industrial Estate.
- Mr Patel alleged in a section 9 statement to the Metropolitan Police that a burglary was committed between **01st May 2013 And 08th May 2013**
- He alleges that goods to the value of **£8220** were stolen and damage to the walls estimated to be between £8,000 £10,000.
- Our client disputes involvement in the burglary but states that he did hire out his sound equipment in order for a private party to place arranged by persons legally squatting at the premises.
- Mr Cordell was forensically linked to the premises by DNA on a can of drink.
- Mr Cordell gave an explanation for this in that he stated that he oversaw the persons using his equipment.
- Mr Cordell has stated that when he arrived damage had already been caused and he has produced Facebook pictures purporting to be of the alleged premises.
- It is our understanding that you are in possession of photographs from previous burglaries at the same premises and the damage cited by Mr Patel in his statement to the police actually relates to damage already caused during a previous burglary and not **May 2013** as Mr Patel alleges.
- We rely on paragraph section 35 (2) which states:
  - A.** Personal data are exempt from the nondisclosure provisions where the disclosure is necessary
  - B.** for the purposes of, or in connection with, any legal proceedings (including prospective legal proceedings), or

**74.**

for the purpose of obtaining legal advice, or is otherwise necessary for the purposes of establishing, exercising or defending legal rights.

- Mr Cordell faces a trial at Woolwich Crown Court in respect of an allegation of burglary.
- Mr Cordell contests this and alleges that he is being singled out and blamed for offences committed on earlier dates.
- He also denies causing the damage and alleges that this was caused on an earlier date.
- It is crucial to Mr Cordell's defence that any evidence that supports the fact that previous burglaries were committed, and extensive damage caused be disclosed in order that Mr Cordell can defend his legal rights.
- Any claims for stolen stock and any invoices submitted are also requested as old invoices have been submitted as part of these proceedings to support the value of the goods stolen.
- We would be grateful if the above could be disclosed as a matter of urgency.
- If you cannot disclose this information, then please confirm this in order that we can apply to the Court for a Third-Party Disclosure summons.
- We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO**

PS: We attach a copy of the statements made by Mr Patel in these proceedings and also a copy of the indictment which confirms the charge against Mr Cordell.

On **Tue, Feb 11, 2014 at 11:44 AM**, Allaway, Trevor

<[Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com](mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com)> wrote:

Dear Sirs

- We note your request for copies of our file.
- Please make a section 35 DPA request and this will be viewed favourably.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor,3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

**Tel Office** 0121 233 6765

**Facsimile** 0845 425 2850

email [trevor.allaway@cluk.com](mailto:trevor.allaway@cluk.com)

**75**

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

**13/02/2014**

**1**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

216. Lorraine Cordell \_RE Simon Cordell Thank You for the help /

**Page Numbers:** 501

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

216. Lorraine Cordell \_RE Simon Cordell Thank You for the help

/ **Page Numbers:** 501,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 February 2014 14:24

**To:** '[jakinolugbade@nexuschambers.com](mailto:jakinolugbade@nexuschambers.com)'

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell Thank You for the help

Hi Jemi

- Thank you for the section 8 you done for me and the understanding as to me getting very anxious about this case it has really got me more than anyone understands.
- I have sorted out with Josey the disagreement we had, and she is still representing me.
- I am hoping that you can still do my case, and I am looking forward to a meeting with you when Josey sets this up before the trial date.
- I am building up a list of things I would like to ask you, and I hope that we can get time to go over them all.

Many Thanks

Simon.

14/02/2014

15/02/2014

16/02/2014

17/02/2014

1

**Paying for My:**

PNC record "Missing"

Criminal PNC

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

217. Westminster /

**Page Numbers:** 502,503

Criminal PNC

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

219. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Outcome for fail to surrender /

**Page Numbers:** 504

Criminal PNC

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

165. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Outcome for fail to surrender /

**Page Numbers:** 505,506

Criminal PNC

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

220. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (19) /

**Page Numbers:** 507

Gazebo Case!

1

**Paying for My;**

PNC record "Missing"

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

**217. Westminster**

/ Page Numbers: 502,503,

From: [westminster.gov.uk](mailto:westminster.gov.uk)

[[westminster.gov@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:westminster.gov@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)]

Sent: 17 February 2014 14:24

To: '[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell error on record.

Attachments: DOC002.PDF

Good afternoon Ms Cordell

➤ I attach the memorandum of conviction from the case of Simon Cordell heard on 03.03.2008.

Regards

Wendy Morgan

Applications Department

Westminster Magistrates' Court

From: westminster.mc

Sent: 12 February 2014 13:03

To: [westminster.gov.uk](mailto:westminster.gov.uk)

Subject: FW: Simon Cordell error on record.

From: Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 12 February 2014 12:45

To: westminster.mc

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell error on record.

To Whom It May Concern:

➤ I am writing this email after a call that was made to the City of London Magistrate Court on the 10/02/2014 about a list that is on my PNC that is incorrect.

➤ I was told to write this email including as much information as I could to get this updated.

➤ I have contacted you a few times before about this Error on my PCN and was told that you would get it updated but as of now this has never been done.

➤ I was told on the 10/02/2014

➤ when I called due to the date that it would be down to the police to update this.

➤ You would have only forwarded the information to the police to get this updated.

➤ The Error is for a case that was heard on the 03/03/2008 at the City of London Magistrate Court, for failing to surrender to custody at appointed time, on the 25/01/2008.

➤ The error is that I did not go to go but my mum called the court and a sick note was handed to the court and the case was dismissed, so should not be on my record, this has caused me problems with bail on a few times and this is why calls have been made before to the court, at this time it has been causing me problems again with a case I am at Woolwich Crown court for.

➤ I have attached the page for the PNC print out the police did for the case at Woolwich crown court that I am on bail now for.

➤ This print out was done by the police on or around the 26 June 2013 for the Woolwich case.

➤ I do feel this is causing me problems with my bail and I am therefore asking for this to be dealt with as fast as possible in order that I can show the court this should in fact not be on my record. I was told on the 10/02/2014

➤ when I called that if I ask for a court transcript that this could be done faster than updating the PNC records and I would be able to show the Judge at Woolwich crown court

**503.**

➤ the court transcript for the case that is on my file in error and that should be enough to prove that it should not be on my record.

➤ I am there for asking for the court transcript to be sent to me if there are costs for this, please email me back with the cost for this to be sent to me and I will get this paid to the court.

- Please see attached file for the page in my PNC record which is there in error I have highlighted the case.
- If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

DOB [REDACTED]

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes. This email (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return email. Internet email is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by email. This email (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. Email monitoring / blocking software may be used, and email content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding emails and their contents. The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

219. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Outcome for fail to surrender

/ **Page Numbers:** 504,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 17 February 2014 15:13

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Outcome for fail to surrender

**Attachments:** [My Email to Court.pdf](#);

[City of London Court Receipt.pdf](#);

[City of London Court outcome fail to surrender.pdf](#)

Hi Josey

- I just been emailed from the court the outcome of the city of London case fail to surrender as you will see from attached copy that has been sent over to me today from the court this case was dismissed.
- I had to pay £5.00 to get this from the court so I will email you the copy of the receipt also and the email I sent to court to get this dealt with I will send this over so you have copies of it.
- So, on Simon bail for this case there should be no fail to surrender, as he has never failed to surrender to the police or the court.
- Also, there are some other things on the PNC that I want to look into as there are some other errors, I am sure, but I wanted to get this one dealt with 1st as this was causing a problem.
- Also, Simon has looked over the section 8 and there are some errors with the dates Jemi put March and not May in 2 places also he put in section 2, 21. arrested win connections I think that should have been with and not win.
- Not sure if you corrected this with the one you sent over to the court.
- Also is it 9.30am Simon needs to be at court tomorrow can you let me know please.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

165. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ Outcome for fail to surrender

/ **Page Numbers:** 505,506,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 17 February 2014 17:53

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Outcome for fail to surrender

Hi Lorraine

- Thank you for the information.
- I have forwarded this to the barrister, Andy Locke who is covering the hearing tomorrow.
- I asked for the case to be removed from the list for two weeks as I have yet to hear back from the Insurance company and the prosecution, but the court went ahead and listed it.
- Simon must attend by 11am.
- His case is fourth on so if he arrives at court for 10.30am he will be in plenty of time.
- I will send a chaser email to the Trevor Allaway this evening.
- He is in court 7.
- If the insurance file and the disclosure from the police comes back favourably then coupled with the confirmation that Simon has no convictions for failing to surrender, I will try again to get his bail conditions relaxed but I stress I can only do this once I am in receipt of the disclosure and this will be dependent on what the disclosure reveals.
- I can then compile all the case papers for the defence and assess whether we can make a request for the prosecution to review the prosecution against Simon.
- I think that they will proceed regardless but I will try once I am in receipt of all the information and if this information assists the defence and undermines the prosecution.
- I will arrange an appointment with Simon for this weekend / early next week and I hope to have some disclosure before the.
- Any questions please do not hesitate to come back to me.

Regards

Josephine

On **Mon, Feb 17, 2014 at 3:12 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- I just been emailed from the court the outcome of the city of London case fail to surrender as you will see from attached copy that has been sent over to me today from the court this case was dismissed.
- I had to pay £5.00 to get this from the court so I will email you the copy of the receipt also and the email I sent to court to get this dealt with I will send this over so you have copies of it.
- So, on Simon bail for this case there should be no fail to surrender, as he has never failed to surrender to the police or the court.
- Also, there are some other things on the PNC that I want to look into as there are some other errors, I am sure, but I wanted to get this one dealt with 1st as this was causing a problem.

**506.**

- Also, Simon has looked over the section 8 and there are some errors with the dates Jemi put March and not May in 2 places also he put in section 2, 21. arrested win connections I think that should have been with and not win.
- Not sure if you corrected this with the one you sent over to the court.
- Also is it 9.30am Simon needs to be at court tomorrow can you let me know please.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

220. JOSEPHINE Ward\_Regina v\_ (19)

/ Page Numbers: 507,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 17 February 2014 17:57

**To:** Allaway, Trevor; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Andrew Locke

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on **18th February 2014**

Dear Mr Allaway

- Further to my email of **12th February 2014**
- can you please confirm whether a decision has yet been made as to the release of the Patel file?



➤ I await hearing from you and any update in advance of tomorrow's hearing would be appreciated.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS**

**18/02/2014**

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

285. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Fwd.\_ Regina v\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 508,509

Gazebo Case!

My Company Too Smooth!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

285. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Fwd.\_ Regina v\_ (2)

/ Page Numbers: 508,509,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 18 February 2014 11:41

**To:** Andrew Locke; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Del Edgeler; J.B. AkinOlugbade

**Subject:** Fwd.: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

**18th February 2014**

Dear All

➤ Please see response that I received from the insurance company.

Regards

Josephine

**Forwarded message from:**

Allaway, Trevor <[Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com](mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com)>

**Date:** Tue, Feb 18, 2014 at 10:09 AM

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

**18th February 2014**

**To:** JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Dear Sirs

➤ We will pass the relevant copies to you as soon as possible but please bear in mind that as loss adjusters we are already working under considerable pressure.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor,3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

**Tel Office** 0121 233 6765

**Facsimile** 0845 425 2850

email [Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com](mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com)

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 17 February 2014 17:57

**To:** Allaway, Trevor; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Andrew Locke

**509.**

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

**18th February 2014**

Dear Mr Allaway

➤ Further to my email of **12th February 2014**

➤ can you please confirm whether a decision has yet been made as to the release of the Patel file?

➤ I await hearing from you and any update in advance of tomorrow's hearing would be appreciated.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS**

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed

Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

19/02/2014

1  
**Email got sent about**  
PNC "Missing"  
Criminal PNC

1

**Email got sent about**

PNC "Missing"

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 19 February 2014 13:36

**To:** GL-HCORNERMCENQ

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

109 Burncroft Road

Enfield

Middlesex

EN3 7JQ

18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

- I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around **25/06/2013**
- I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.
- I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see

**516.**

- them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases.
- I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.
- If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.
- If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on **March 2014** and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.
- Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.

**517.**

"Forwarded image!"

**518.**

"Forwarded image!"

**519.**

"Forwarded image!"

**520.**

Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB [REDACTED]

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes. This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage, or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail. This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded, and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

**521.**

N/a

20/02/2014

Criminal PNC

21/02/2014

Criminal PNC

2

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

226. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (20) /

**Page Numbers:** 537

Gazebo Case!

2

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

226. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (20)

/ **Page Numbers:** 537,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 21 February 2014 13:14

**To:** too smooth; Lorraine Cordell; J.B. AkinOlugbade

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention on 4th March 2014 at Woolwich Crown Court

**Attachments:** [INSURANCE FILE.pdf](#)

Dear Simon / Lorraine / Jemi

- I refer to the above matter.
- Please see attached a copy of the insurance file.
- I will require the police disclosure before tackling the issue of bail again and I hope to be in possession of this before the **04th March 2014**
- I will email a copy of the insurance file to the CPS also to further bolster the argument about disclosure of all police files in relation to the earlier two break in / burglaries.
- You will all not doubt note the insurance quotes and the names of the company providing the quotes, the amounts quoted, and the damage being caused before **May 2013**
- I do not see any evidence of any money paid out to repair the wall and I will ask the insurance company if Mr Patel has provided any that this was done or the cost.
- It is referred to in email, but no quote is attached or indeed the date the work was undertaken.
- The photographs provided by the insurance company do not assist as they do not show the damage to the internal walls but the police files for the first two offences may well confirm that the damage is identical.
- This and the links from Facebook.
- As you are aware of, I do not receive the police disclosure by the **04th March 2014** then I will have to make a further application for the case to be listed for lack of disclosure.
- Should you have any questions re the documents forwarded then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards  
Josephine

22/02/2014

23/02/2014

24/02/2014

25/02/2014

3

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

231. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell Doctors /

**Page Numbers:** 542

Gazebo Case!

Doctors!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

231. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell Doctors

/ **Page Numbers:** 542,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 25 February 2014 11:01

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: Simon Cordell Doctors

**Attachments:** [Meds-from-doctor.pdf](#)

Hi Josey

➤ Simon has just come from the doctors; his doctor is referring him to the hospital and has started him on tablets please see attached.

➤ His doctor said if you need a letter then can you write to them.

Lorraine

26/02/2014

3

**The Doctor's Folder / pub Book Issue: 1!**

**From:** JJRI S WARREN

DRJTHOMAS

DRD ABIDOYE

NIGHTINGALE HOUSE SURGERY

Thank you for seeing this 33-year-old Afro-Caribbean man.

**Page Numbers:** 9

**The Doctor's Folder / pub Book Issue: 1!****From:** JJRI S WARREN

DRJTHOMAS

DRD ABIDOYE

NIGHTINGALE HOUSE SURGERY

Thank you for seeing this 33-year-old Afro-Caribbean man.

**Page Numbers:** 9

28/02/2014 10:00 02688059994

NIGHTINGALE SURGERY

page 02/03

JJRI S WARREN DRJTHOMAS DRD ABIDOYE

NIGHTINGALE HOUSE SURGERY 1-3 NIGHTINGALE ROAD EDMONTON

LONDON N9 BAI Tel: 0208 805 9997 Fax: 0208805

[www.nightingalehousesurgery.nhs.uk](http://www.nightingalehousesurgery.nhs.uk)

26 February 2014

DA/KM/12444

PRIVATE &amp; CONFIDENTIAL

Consultant Psychiatrist Enfield Mental Health Triage Team

Chase Farm Hospital URGENT

Dear Doctor

Re: Mr. Simon Cordell DOB: 26-Jan.,1981 NHS No: 434 0961671

109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield. Middlesex. EN3 7JQ Tel No: [REDACTED]

- Thank you for seeing this 33-year-old Afro-Caribbean man.
- He gives a history of symptoms of anxiety and depression, which have been on-going for a few months.
- His symptoms have [progressively got worse](#) and he has been having some suicidal thoughts, although he has not made any concrete plans to do anything.
- He says that the only reason he has not acted on these suicidal thoughts is because of his ex-patient and family.
- He is currently involved with the criminal justice system and is -currently - [on probation](#).
- He is under curfew and [there have been imposed- restrictions on his movements](#).
- He says he is finding it very [difficult to cope with the conditions of his - sentence, mainly the confinement to his home](#).
- [He says that his relationship with is partner appears to have broken down because of what he describes as the tough - conditions](#) of his probation.
- He continues to feel very low and anxious when he is [confined- to his home](#). –
- He is having difficulty sleeping.
- He has had problems with depression in the past and was referred to the psychiatric services in **2012**.
- He has agreed to commence anti-depressant medication today in the form of Sertraline 50mg once daily.
- He requested some sleeping tablets and I have given him 10 tablets! of Zopiclone 3.75mg 1-2 tabs nocte to be used Infrequently.

27/02/2014

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

234. Josephine Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (9) /

**Page Numbers:** 545

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

235. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Unit 3 – 4 Horrison Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15 /

**Page Numbers:** 546

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

236. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (24) /

**Page Numbers:** 547

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

237. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Update for Simon case /

**Page Numbers:** 548

Gazebo Case!



1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

234. Josephine Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (9)

/ Page Numbers: 545,

**From:** Josephine Ward [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 28 February 2014 17:13

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

- Nothing from CPS so will be asking for case to be listed on Tuesday.
- I sent you what I received from the insurance company.
- Three claims.
- Have forwarded to CPS and court and stated we are entitled to earlier crime reports etc.
- Have a nice weekend

Regards

Josephine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

**Sent:** 28/02/2014 15:39

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Has there been any update from the crown as of yet?
- Simon is really not good and I am getting more and more worried about him.

Lorraine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

235. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Unit 3 – 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15

/ Page Numbers: 546,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 28 February 2014 12:22

**To:** '[accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk)'

**Subject: RE:** Unit 3 - 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15

MR. Simon Paul Cordell

109 Burncroft Road

Enfield

Middlesex

EN3 7JQ

18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

- Noise and Nuisance Team I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.
- The dates of information I am asking for is for the 16/02/2013 To 17/02/2013
- Also 04/05/2013 To 05/05/2013
- At Unit 3 - 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.
- I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that were put in place for this address.
- Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Also, ID. any police on these dates with names of police officers that were involved with the said noise at this address and times that any people had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.
- If there is a cost for this information, then can you please update of the cost via email.
- Also, if there is any information that cannot be given can you also, please update me as to what information is being withheld?

Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 28 February 2014 12:22

**To:** 'accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk'

**Subject: RE:** Unit 3 - 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15

MR. Simon Paul Cordell

109 Burncroft Road

Enfield

Middlesex

EN3 7JQ

**18/02/2014**

To Whom It May Concern:

- Noise and Nuisance Team I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.
- The dates of information I am asking for is for the **16/02/2013 To 17/02/2013**
- Also **04/05/2013 To 05/05/2013**
- At Unit 3 - 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.
- I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that were put in place for this address.
- Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Also, ID. any police on these dates with names of police officers that were involved with the said noise at this address and times that any people had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.
- If there is a cost for this information, then can you please update of the cost via email.
- Also, if there is any information that cannot be given can you also, please update me as to what information is being withheld?

Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

236. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (24)

/ **Page Numbers:** 547,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 28 February 2014 15:40

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Has there been any update from the crown as of yet?
- Simon is really not good and I am getting more and more worried about him.

Lorraine

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

237. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Update for Simon case

/ **Page Numbers:** 548,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 28 April 2014 17:54

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Update for Simon case

Hi Josey

- Can you let me know if when Simon case will be listed as we have not heard anything?
- Has the CPS sent anything else over if not we were thinking the pressure needs to be kept on the police and CPS for the discloser so was thinking it would have been better to have gone back to court by now so the judge can see what's going on?
- I know the CPS asked for more time and said they would have the information over to you by the end of business day on the **14/04/2014** this did not happen and again they asked for more time which has again passed and they have not given the information over, is the judge going to be made aware of this as they had enough time already to give over the information that was asked for.

- They have now not done what the judge asked 4 times, and you have also given them 2 more extensions and still nothing.
- And I do think the judge needs to be made aware of this fact.
- Can we have an update as to what is going on, I know when I last talked to you on the 24/04/2014 you said you was going to put into the court on the 29/04/2014 so, I was thinking it should have already been listed for yesterday or today but have not heard anything.

Lorraine

	March
01/03/2014	
02/03/2014	
03/03/2014	<p><u>4</u>  <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b>            242. Lorraine Cordell _Re_ Regina v_ (23) /  <b>Page Numbers:</b> 575            Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>5</u>  <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b>            243. JOSEPHINE Ward _Regina v_ (21) /  <b>Page Numbers:</b> 576            Gazebo Case!</p> <p><u>6</u>  <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b>            244. JOSEPHINE WARD _REGINA V_ (22) /  <b>Page Numbers:</b> 577            Gazebo Case!</p>

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

242. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (23)

/ Page Numbers: 575,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 03 March 2014 14:30

**To:** 'Josephine Ward'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Can you let me know what time court is for tomorrow as Simon wants to go?
- I have told him he does not need to go till the 18th, but he is so unstable he wants to be there tomorrow; he has been to the doctors due to his health.
- He wants his bail address by the court.
- There are some parts that should be looked at for failing to surrender which should now be dropped as he has never failed to surrender to the court or police.

Lorraine

**From:** Josephine Ward [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 28 February 2014 17:13

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

- Nothing from CPS so will be asking for case to be listed on Tuesday.
- I sent you what I received from the insurance company.
- Three claims.
- Have forwarded to CPS and court and stated we are entitled to earlier crime reports etc.
- Have a nice weekend

Regards

Josephine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

**Sent:** 28/ 02/ 2014 15:39

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Has there been any update from the crown as of yet?
- Simon is really not good and I am getting more and more worried about him.

Lorraine

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

243. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (21)

/ Page Numbers: 576,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 03 March 2014 16:18

**To:** [listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk)

[croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk);

J.B. AkinOlugbade;

Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention defence request as no response to section 8 disclosure application

Dear Sir or Madam

**RE:** T20130649

We refer to the above matter.

- We request that the case be listed for mention on Wednesday **05th March 2014**
- as we have not yet had a response to our disclosure request in our section 8 application.
- Please list this case on **05th March 2014** as this date is convenient for our trial counsel.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

244. JOSEPHINE WARD \_REGINA V\_ (22)

/ **Page Numbers:** 577,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 03 March 2014 16:20

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL FOR MENTION ON

**05TH MARCH 2014**

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- I have requested that the case be listed for mention for nondisclosure as Jemi is available on this date.
- I will confirm the listing tomorrow.
- If Simon can obtain a letter from the Doctor as to his anxiety levels being affected as a result of the curfew, we could make a further application but would require medical evidence in support.

Regards

Josephine

**04/03/2014**

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

247. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Unit 3 – 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15 /

**Page Numbers:** 599,600

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

248. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ REGINA V\_ (22) /

**Page Numbers:** 601

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

246. GL-HCORNERMCENQ\_RE\_ Simon Cordell Errors on Cases\_ (3)

/ **Page Numbers:** 588,589,590,591,592,593,594,595,596,597,598,

**From:** GLHCORNERMCENQ

[[glhcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:glhcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 04 March 2014 15:23

**To:** 'Lorraine Cordell'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

- Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week;
- however, we will not have them for tomorrow morning.
- We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday.
- If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

**Tel:** 0207506 3100

**Fax:** 0870 739 5768

email: [GLHCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:GLHCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 04 March 2014 15:08

**To:** GLHCORNERMCENQ

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 03 March 2014 14:39

**To:** 'GLHCORNERMCENQ'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

- After your email dated the 21/02/2014
- I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014
- as this is what it said in the email.
- As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I 589.
- PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

**From:** GLHCORNERMCENQ

[Mail To: [glhcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:glhcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 03 March 2014 13:48

**To:** 'Lorraine Cordell'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

- Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow.
- We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

**Tel:** 0207506

3100

**Fax:** 0870 739 5768

email: [GLHCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:GLHCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 03 March 2014 13:22

**To:** GLHCORNERMCENQ

**Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.**

To Whom It May Concern:

➤ I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data, I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

**From:** GLHCORNERMCENQ

[Mail To: [glhcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:glhcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 21 February 2014 16:06

**To:** 'Lorraine Cordell'

**Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.**

Dear Mr Cordell,

➤ The records you request are at another court.

➤ We will begin searching for them next week when the court is

**590,591,592,593,594,595,596.**

Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB 2

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes. This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail. This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents. The **original** of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes. This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes. This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

**597.**

N/a

**598.**

N/a

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

247. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Unit 3 – 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15

/ Page Numbers: 599,600,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 04 March 2014 15:16

**To:** [accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk)'

**Subject: RE: RE:** Unit 3 – 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15

To Whom It May Concern: **Noise and Nuisance Team**

➤ I was wondering if there was any update to the below email, and if the information could be done under the freedom of information act.

➤ If it cannot can you please confirm.

Regards

Simon Cordell

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [**Mail To:**[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 28 February 2014 12:22

**To:** 'accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk'

**Subject: RE:** Unit 3 – 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15

MR. Simon Paul Cordell

109 Burncroft Road

Enfield

Middlesex

EN3 7JQ

**18/02/2014**

➤ Noise and Nuisance Team I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.

➤ The dates of information I am asking for is for the **16/02/2013 To 17/02/2013**

➤ Also **04/05/2013 To 05/05/2013**

➤ At Unit 3 - 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.

➤ I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that were put in place for this address.

➤ Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

➤ Also, ID. any police on these dates with names of police officers that were involved with the said noise at this address and times that any people had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

➤ Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.

**600.**

➤ If there is a cost for this information, then can you please update of the cost via email.

➤ Also, if there is any information that cannot be given can you also, please update me as to what information is being withheld?

Yours faithfully

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

248. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ REGINA V\_ (22)

/ Page Numbers: 601,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 04 March 2014 18:48

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL FOR MENTION ON 5TH MARCH 2014**

Hi Josey

➤ Have you heard anything form the CPS today as I know it was there last day today?

➤ Or is it at court tomorrow if so, can you let me know the time as Simon wants to go.

➤ Also, Simon's doctor said that his solicitor would need to write to them to ask for a letter.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 03 March 2014 16:20

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL FOR MENTION ON 5TH MARCH 2014**

Dear Simon / Lorraine



- I have requested that the case be listed for mention for nondisclosure as Jemi is available on this date.
- I will confirm the listing tomorrow.
- If Simon can obtain a letter from the Doctor as to his anxiety levels being affected as a result of the curfew, we could make a further application but would require medical evidence in support.

Regards  
Josephine

05/03/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

249. Community Safety Enforcement Acknowledgement to Information request –  
Ref 375981 (ref\_ 375981) /

**Page Numbers:** 602,603

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

250. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ REGINA V\_ (21) /

**Page Numbers:** 604

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

251. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Acknowledgement to Information request –  
Ref 375981 (ref\_ 375981) /

**Page Numbers:** 605,606

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

252. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (10) /

**Page Numbers:** 607,608

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

253. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (23) /

**Page Numbers:** 609

Gazebo Case!

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

254. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (20) /

**Page Numbers:** 610

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

249. Community Safety Enforcement Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 375981  
(ref\_ 375981)

/ **Page Numbers:** 602,603,

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement [[environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 11:41

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Acknowledgement to Information request Ref  
375981

(ref: 375981)

Southwark Council Information request

**Our reference:** 375981

Dear Mr Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 375981

- Thank you for your request for information that was received on 28 February 2014 in which you requested:
- I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.
- The dates of information I am asking for is for the 16/02/2013 To 17/02/2013,
- Also 04/05/2013 to 05/05/2013
- At Unit 3 ' 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.
- I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that were put in place for this address.
- Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Also, "id" any police on these dates with names of police officers that were involved with the said noise at this address and times that any people had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.
- If there is a cost for this information, then can you please update of the cost via email.
- Also, if there is any information that cannot be given can you also, please update me as to what information is being withheld?
- Your request is being dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and you will receive a response within 20 working days of the date that we received your request.
- The Freedom of Information Act 2000 defines a number of exemptions that may restrict the release of the requested information.
- There will be an assessment and if any of the exemption's categories apply then the information may not be released.
- You will be informed if this is the case, including your rights of appeal.
- The information provided will be in English, normal font size.
- If you require alternative formats, e.g., other language, audio, large print, etc.
- please notify us.
- If we are unable to provide you with the information requested, we will notify you of this together with the reason(s) why and details of how you may appeal (if appropriate).

**603.**

- Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the: Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity

to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

250. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ REGINA V\_ (21)

/ **Page Numbers:** 604,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 10:44

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL**

Hi Josey

➤ Here is the email for the council you asked me to send over

[accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk)

➤ When I called them, they told me to mark it for the Noise and Nuisance Team

Lorraine

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

251. Lorraine Cordell \_FW\_ Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 375981 (ref\_375981)

/ **Page Numbers:** 605,606,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 11:57

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject: FW: Acknowledgement to Information request Ref 375981 (ref: 375981)**

Hi Josey

➤ This is the reply I just had for the freedom of information act I put into the council you may note that they have given a new email to the one I used to submit the request see below email I used.

[accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk)

➤ They have also given a ref for my request so maybe you can use this in your email to them.

Lorraine

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement [Mail

**To:** [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 11:41

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Acknowledgement to Information request Ref 375981 (ref: 375981)

Southwark Council Information request

**Our reference:** 375981

Dear Mr Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 375981

➤ Thank you for your request for information that was received on 28 **February 2014** in which you requested:

➤ I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.

➤ The dates of information I am asking for is for the **16/02/2013 To 17/02/2013,**

➤ Also **04/05/2013 to 05/05/2013**

➤ At Unit 3 ' 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.

➤ I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that were put in place for this address.

➤ Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

- Also, "id" any police on these dates with names of police officers that were involved with the said noise at this address and times that any people had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.
- If there is a cost for this information, then can you please update of the cost via email.
- Also, if there is any information that cannot be given can you also, please update me as to what information is being withheld?

**606.**

- Your request is being dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and you will receive a response within 20 working days of the date that we received your request.
- The Freedom of Information Act 2000 defines a number of exemptions that may restrict the release of the requested information.
- There will be an assessment and if any of the exemption's categories apply then the information may not be released.
- You will be informed if this is the case, including your rights of appeal.
- The information provided will be in English, normal font size.
- If you require alternative formats, e.g., other language, audio, large print, etc. please notify us.
- If we are unable to provide you with the information requested, we will notify you of this together with the reason(s) why and details of how you may appeal (if appropriate).
- Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the: Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

252. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (10)

/ **Page Numbers:** 607,608,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 20:46

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Lorraine

- Jemi will be attending himself.
- The case is listed at 2pm in court 12,
- I think. Simon is more than welcome to attend.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Mar 5, 2014 at 8:25 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend.
- Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?

- I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over.
- They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb 2013 with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb 2013.
- So really had them red-handed and still done nothing.
- And NFA the people they did arrest.
- Josey, they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information. Again, they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb 2013.
- Again, they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May 2013 and let the party carry on knowing all this information.
- This is clearly a setup.
- Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

**608.**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [Josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:Josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure.
- Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.
- I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.
- I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

**5**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

253. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (23)

/ Page Numbers: 609,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

**Attachments:** [20140305144814.pdf](#)

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure.
- Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.
- I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.
- I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

**6**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

254. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (20)

/ Page Numbers: 610,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 20:25

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

- Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend.
- Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?
- I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over.

- They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb 2013 with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb 2013.
- So really had them red-handed and still done nothing.
- And NFA the people they did arrest.
- Josey, they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information. Again, they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb 2013.
- Again, they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May 2013 and let the party carry on knowing all this information.
- This is clearly a setup.
- Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure.
- Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.
- I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.
- I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

06/03/2014

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

256. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (19) /

**Page Numbers:** 622,623

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

256. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (19)

/ Page Numbers: 622,623,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 06 March 2014 13:00

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014 Hi Josey

- Simon is not going to attend court today which I do think is best as he is very unstable at this time.
- Have you had a chance to go over the discloser yet and with this can an application be put in for Simon bail conditions to be looked at as soon as possible due to how unwell he is at this time?

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 20:46

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Lorraine

- Jemi will be attending himself.
- The case is listed at 2pm in court 12,
- I think. Simon is more than welcome to attend.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Mar 5, 2014 at 8:25 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend.
- Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?
- I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over.
- They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb 2013 with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb 2013.
- So really had them red-handed and still done nothing.
- And NFA the people they did arrest.
- Josey, they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information. Again, they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb 2013.
- Again, they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May 2013 and let the party carry on knowing all this information.
- This is clearly a setup.
- Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

**623.**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure.
- Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.
- I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.
- I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 06 March 2014 13:00

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

- Simon is not going to attend court today which I do think is best as he is very unstable at this time.
- Have you had a chance to go over the discloser yet and with this can an application be put in for Simon bail conditions to be looked at as soon as possible due to how unwell he is at this time?

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 20:46

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Lorraine

- Jemi will be attending himself.
- The case is listed at 2pm in court 12,
- I think. Simon is more than welcome to attend.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Mar 5, 2014 at 8:25 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

- Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend.
- Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?
- I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over.
- They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb 2013 with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb 2013.
- So really had them red-handed and still done nothing.
- And NFA the people they did arrest.
- Josey, they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information. Again, they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb 2013.
- Again, they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May 2013 and let the party carry on knowing all this information.
- This is clearly a setup.
- Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

**623.**

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure.
- Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.
- I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.
- I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine



07/03/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

257. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (18)

/ **Page Numbers:** 624,625,626

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

258. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Ref SLCGC\_NJD\_5005393

/ **Page Numbers:** 627

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

257. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (18)

/ Page Numbers: 624,625,626,

From: Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

Sent: 07 March 2014 00:39

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: **RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014**

Hi Josey

- If at all possible, can you confirm what happened in court today by email.
- Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?
- Also, if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?
- If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.
- There are still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.
- There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.
- If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed, will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?
- I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.
- If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this.
- The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.
- The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him. The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.
- How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building?
- How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more than once?
- And also, on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data.
- As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there were people living there.
- They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of their van due to him hitting a girl which a 999 call was made.
- The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they were trying to get the guy's shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.
- The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.
- Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address?
- As if you look in the information, we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road, we said the party was on.
- The case for Simon the police is saying Haymerle Road.
- Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.
- Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

625,626.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

258. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Ref SLCGC\_NJD\_5005393

/ **Page Numbers:** 627,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 07 March 2014 13:15

**To:** [nikki.diamond@cluk.com](mailto:nikki.diamond@cluk.com)'

**Subject:** RE: Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393

**Attachments:** [IMG\\_3862.JPG](#);

[IMG\\_3851.JPG](#);

[IMG\\_3852.JPG](#);

[IMG\\_3853.JPG](#);

[IMG\\_3854.JPG](#);

[IMG\\_3855.JPG](#);

[IMG\\_3856.JPG](#);

[IMG\\_3857.JPG](#)

Dear Nikki Diamond

- I am writing this letter to you after Trevor Allaway sent us the file for Mr Patel, due to my son Simon Cordell being charged by the police.
- When looking at the Pictures which were sent with the file I was wondering if you had any other pictures then the 3 that was sent to us.
- Maybe ones that show the damage to the wall, and maybe ones that are in colour if at all possible.
- From the Pictures we got from the police re the case of my son there does not seem to be any that show the damage to a wall.
- The problem is my son never went into that part of the warehouse so from the picture the police have given it is not clear at all.
- And the 3 pictures that Trevor Allaway sent in the file he cannot see any damage to any walls.
- In 2 of the pictures the police have sent there is what the police have said is a concealed passageway which they think is how the warehouse was accessed.
- But to me there seems to be no way access could have been gotten this way.
- Please see IMG3853 and IMG3854 I know you have been in the warehouse yourself and noted the layout of the warehouse that was included with the file that has been sent over to us. Is there any way if you do not have any more pictures you can mark on your layout where the wall was damaged inside the warehouse?
- I know this is asking a lot but if you could help at all I would be most grateful.

Many Thanks

Lorraine Cordell

**08/03/2014**

**09/03/2014**

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

259. Lorraine Cordell\_ FW\_ Regina v\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 628,629,630

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

259. Lorraine Cordell\_ FW\_ Regina v\_ (1)

/ Page Numbers: 628,629,630,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 09 March 2014 16:32

**To:** 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'

**Subject: FW:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

- An update to the email below.
- The police called here late last night I was thinking they had come to tell me Simon was dead.
- The police have put Simon on their vulnerable list due to how bad he has got, he is even beaching his skin because he thinks the police are doing this due to his colour, he has been doing this for the last weeks but it only come out this weekend.
- He has started over the last weeks locking himself away not letting anyone see him which is really not a good thing.
- Saturday he even told Katie not to come any more which really is the last person he lets in.
- This really has to be listed for court this week as he is getting worse.
- I want to write a letter to the judge about what is going on would he read this at a bail application and not let it be read out in court as there will be very private things in it.
- Can you give me an update to the below email and this one as to what can be done, I am trying to keep him as claim as I can till, he has to see the psychiatrist but it is very hard and this is making things worse on my heath which you know already is not good.
- I would also like to put a complaint in about this and how the police have handled this whole case.

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [**Mail To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 07 March 2014 00:39

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

- If at all possible, can you confirm what happened in court today by email.
- Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?
- Also, if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?
- If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.
- There are still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.
- There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.
- If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed, will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?
- I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.
- If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this.
- The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.

629.

- The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him. The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.

- How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building?
- How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more than once?
- And also, on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data.
- As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there were people living there.
- They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of their van due to him hitting a girl which a 999 call was made.
- The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they were trying to get the guy's shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.
- The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.
- Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address?
- As if you look in the information, we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road, we said the party was on.
- The case for Simon the police is saying Haymerle Road.
- Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.
- Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 20:46

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Lorraine

- Jemi will be attending himself.
- The case is listed at 2pm in court 12,
- I think. Simon is more than welcome to attend.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Mar 5, 2014 at 8:25 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend.
- Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?
- I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over.
- They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb **630,**
- **2013** with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb **2013.**
- So really had them red-handed and still done nothing.
- And NFA the people they did arrest.
- Josey, they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information. Again, they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb **2013.**
- Again, they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May **2013** and let the party carry on knowing all this information.
- This is clearly a setup.
- Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure.
- Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.
- I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February **2014** matter.
- I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards  
Josephine

**10/03/2014**

**11/03/2014**

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

262. Lorraine Cordell \_Fwd.\_ Regina v /

**Page Numbers:** 654,655,656

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

262. Lorraine Cordell \_Fwd.\_ Regina v

/ Page Numbers: 654,655,656,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 10 March 2014 22:18

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject: FW:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

- Simon date has been moved forward to see DR Jarvis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP to tomorrow morning at 9.30am.
- Also can you please give us an update to the below emails as Simon would like to fully understand what went on in court last week as to the discloser and if any more discloser has been asked for, he wants to know if you will be trying to get the discloser from the pictures to the Feb 2013 case and if you will be trying to get the information as to what inspector went to the building before the party started and more times on the night, and the invoices as to what stock was there before Feb 2013 after Feb 2013 and before May 2013. as he really is not 100% sure what he is being charged with as to what damage and the amount of stock he was meant to have taken.
- And also, to know what can be done with his bail conditions if he cannot get a letter from the DR right away, he is scared as if a new Dr they may not give him a letter right away until they have had more sessions?

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [**Mail To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 09 March 2014 16:32

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject: FW:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

- An update to the email below.
- The police called here late last night I was thinking they had come to tell me Simon was dead.
- The police have put Simon on their vulnerable list due to how bad he has got, he is even beaching his skin because he thinks the police are doing this due to his colour, he has been doing this for the last weeks but it only come out this weekend.
- He has started over the last weeks locking himself away not letting anyone see him which is really not a good thing.
- Saturday he even told Katie not to come any more which really is the last person he lets in.
- This really has to be listed for court this week as he is getting worse.
- I want to write a letter to the judge about what is going on would he read this at a bail application and not let it be read out in court as there will be very private things in it.
- Can you give me an update to the below email and this one as to what can be done, I am trying to keep him as claim as I can till, he has to see the psychiatrist but it is very hard and this is making things worse on my heath which you know already is not good.
- I would also like to put a complaint in about this and how the police have handled this whole case.

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [**Mail To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 07 March 2014 00:39

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

**655.**

- If at all possible, can you confirm what happened in court today by email.
- Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?
- Also, if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?

- If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July **2014** for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July **2014** if the bail is not addressed.
- There are still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.
- There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.
- If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed, will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?
- I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.
- If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this.
- The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.
- The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him. The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.
- How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building?
- How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more than once?
- And also, on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data.
- As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there were people living there.
- They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of their van due to him hitting a girl which a 999 call was made.
- The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they were trying to get the guy's shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.
- The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb **2013** case where are all the statements for this case.
- Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address?
- As if you look in the information, we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road, we said the party was on.
- The case for Simon the police is saying Haymerle Road.
- Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.
- Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 20:46

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Lorraine

**656.**

- Jemi will be attending himself.
- The case is listed at 2pm in court 12,
- I think. Simon is more than welcome to attend.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Mar 5, 2014 at 8:25 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend.



- Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?
- I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over.
- They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb **630,**
- **2013** with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb **2013.**
- So really had them red-handed and still done nothing.
- And NFA the people they did arrest.
- Josey, they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information. Again, they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb **2013.**
- Again, they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May **2013** and let the party carry on knowing all this information.
- This is clearly a setup.
- Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 05 March 2014 17:09

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure.
- Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.
- I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February **2014** matter.
- I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

12/03/2014

13/03/2014

**Criminal PNC**

**6**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

269. Community Safety Enforcement Response to Information request - ref 375981 (ref\_ 375981) /

**Page Numbers:** 726,727

Gazebo Case!

**9**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

272. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (17) /

**Page Numbers:** 759,760,761

Gazebo Case!

6

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

269. Community Safety Enforcement Response to Information request - ref 375981 (ref\_375981)

/ **Page Numbers:** 726,727,

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement [[environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 March 2014 13:20

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Response to Information request ref 375981 (ref: 375981)

Southwark Council Information request

**Our reference:** 375981

Dear Mr Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 375981

- Thank you for your request for information that was received on 28 February 2014 in which you requested:
- I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.
- The dates of information I am asking for is for the 16/02/2013 to 17/02/2013.
- Also 04/05/2013 to 05/05/2013
- At Unit 3 ' 4 Horrison's Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.
- I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that were put in place for this address.
- Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Also, "id" any police on these dates with names of police officers that were involved with the said noise at this address and times that any people had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.
- If there is a cost for this information, then can you please update of the cost via email.
- Also, if there is any information that cannot be given can you also, please update me as to what information is being withheld?
- I did notice an error in my email with regards to the address due to Horrison's Industrial Estate being around 2 roads you may have the data for address Horrison's Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15 or Horrison's Industrial Estate, Bianca Road, SE15.
- The information that you requested is below The Council does not have any noise records for the dates you have requested under either of the addresses supplied.
- You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting.
- Any other reuse, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder.

727.

- You may apply for permission to reuse this information by submitting a request to you can find details on these arrangements at
- <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>.
- Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at:
- <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.
- If you have any queries or concerns, then please contact us using the above details.
- Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:
- Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

9

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

272. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (17)

/ Page Numbers: 759,760,761,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 March 2014 12:34

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

➤ Simon has asked me to email you again to ask if you can reply to the below emails there is still points, he would like addressed.

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 10 March 2014 22:18

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject: FW:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

➤ Simon date has been moved forward to see DR Jarvis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP to tomorrow morning at 9.30am.

➤ Also can you please give us an update to the below emails as Simon would like to fully understand what went on in court last week as to the discloser and if any more discloser has been asked for, he wants to know if you will be trying to get the discloser from the pictures to the Feb 2013 case and if you will be trying to get the information as to what inspector went to the building before the party started and more times on the night, and the invoices as to what stock was there before Feb 2013 after Feb 2013 and before May 2013. as he really is not 100% sure what he is being charged with as to what damage and the amount of stock he was meant to have taken.

➤ And also, to know what can be done with his bail conditions if he cannot get a letter from the DR right away, he is scared as it a new Dr they may not give him a letter right away until they have had more sessions?

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 09 March 2014 16:32

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject: FW:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

➤ An update to the email below.

➤ The police called here late last night I was thinking they had come to tell me Simon was dead.

➤ The police have put Simon on their vulnerable list due to how bad he has got, he is even beaching his skin because he thinks the police are doing this due to his colour, he has been doing this for the last weeks but it only come out this weekend.

➤ He has started over the last weeks locking himself away not letting anyone see him which is really not a good thing.

- Saturday he even told Katie not to come any more which really is the last person he lets in.
- This really has to be listed for court this week as he is getting worse.
- I want to write a letter to the judge about what is going on would he read this at a bail application and not let it be read out in court as there will be very private things in it.
- Can you give me an update to the below email and this one as to what can be done, I am trying to keep him as claim as I can till, he has to see the psychiatrist but it is very hard and this is making things worse on my health

**760.**

which you know already is not good.

- I would also like to put a complaint in about this and how the police have handled this whole case.

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 07 March 2014 00:39

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

- If at all possible, can you confirm what happened in court today by email.
- Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?
- Also, if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?
- If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.
- There are still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.
- There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.
- If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed, will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?
- I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.
- If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this.
- The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.
- The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him. The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.
- How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building?
- How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more than once?
- And also, on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data.
- As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there were people living there.
- They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of their van due to him hitting a girl which a 999 call was made.
- The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they were trying to get the guy's shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.
- The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.
- Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address?

- As if you look in the information, we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road, we said the party was on.
  - The case for Simon the police is saying Haymerle Road.
  - Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.
- 761,**
- Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

14/03/2014

15/03/2014

16/03/2014

17/03/2014

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

273. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (16) /

**Page Numbers:** 762,763,764

Gazebo Case!

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

273. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (16)

/ Page Numbers: 762,763,764,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 17 March 2014 14:27

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell 18/02/2014

Hi Josey

- Would it be possible for Simon to get an update as to what will go on in court tomorrow, and who will be attending for him will it be Jemi?
- Also, will the court be hearing a bail application tomorrow and if not, can it be put in for it to be heard tomorrow?
- Update to Simon cases for Enfield Court which is on his PNC I have the list from Enfield Court which has took them 3 weeks to do as there were so many cases on the PNC.
- I have tried to call you many times and sent a few texts since I got the list from the court last Thursday so that I could go over the records, but I have not heard from you.
- From looking at the list there are 5 cases that need to come off Simon record for the Enfield cases.
- There is also an issue I have with one case which will need looking into but I think I have to get my records form that date and see if it is the same case which I think it was as I can only remember one case that was ever at St. Alfred's Church right next to my house.
- When Simon, Tyrone, and me was arrested also at the church by me.
- If you remember you took Tyrone case away from mine and Simon due to Tyrone age and his case was heard on its own and Simon and mine was heard together Tyrone went to prison for this case and me and Simon got a not Guilty.
- There are also 2 other records that they missed out of the list I put in so I need to address this also.
- So, with the other case for City of London for failing to surrender that will be 6 cases that are not correct on his PNC record.
- And that is without the one I have an issue with for St. Alfred's Church by me, and the other 2 cases they forgot to check.
- It's not that the records are wrong it is the case that the court does not have any records at all for these cases, and the lady at the court showed me what she had to look at to get the records which is very large books with each court listing within them for every case heard at court so how is it so many cases do not have any records at the court yet they are on Simon PNC record I just do not understand.
- 2 are in fact Burglary's,
- 2 are for class B drugs,
- 1 is for Taking motor vehicle,
- Update for DR Jervis psychiatrist after him seeing Simon on the **11/03/2014**
- he has said he will be writing a report to Simon GP and a letter for Simon so he can give it to you, I have spoken to him today but due to a backlog the report has not gone out yet so we will not have that for tomorrow at court I do not think but Simon still wants a bail application for tomorrow if that is possible.
- Simon has also said he does still want the 999 calls that was made on the day of the party he hires his sound system out to; he does still want the name of the Inspector and any others police officers that attended the building on **04/05/2013**
- **05/05/2013** The picture that the police took of the damaged wall and showed him that picture in interview.
- And the pictures for the **16/02/2013** that the police took.
- If there is any reason that you or Jemi feel that this information is not needed, can you, please update us to the reason as Simon does not understand why these would not be needed for his case.
- Also, Simon has asked did you put the letter into the council as you said you would do for the person that attended the building on the **16/02/2013 17/02/2013** and the **04/05/2013 05/05/2013** as I said to you, I have put a freedom of information act into them, they did reply they did not have any information but I have written back to them saying there has to be a record and I am waiting a reply from them.

➤ Can you also please let us know what time Simon has to be in court tomorrow?

Lorraine / Simon

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 March 2014 12:34

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

**763.**

- Simon has asked me to email you again to ask if you can reply to the below emails there is still points, he would like addressed.

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 10 March 2014 22:18

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject:** FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

- Simon date has been moved forward to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP to tomorrow morning at 9.30am.
- Also can you please give us an update to the below emails as Simon would like to fully understand what went on in court last week as to the discloser and if any more discloser has been asked for, he wants to know if you will be trying to get the discloser from the pictures to the Feb 2013 case and if you will be trying to get the information as to what inspector went to the building before the party started and more times on the night, and the invoices as to what stock was there before Feb 2013 after Feb 2013 and before May 2013. as he really is not 100% sure what he is being charged with as to what damage and the amount of stock he was meant to have taken.
- And also, to know what can be done with his bail conditions if he cannot get a letter from the DR right away, he is scared as it a new Dr they may not give him a letter right away until they have had more sessions?

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 09 March 2014 16:32

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject:** FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

- An update to the email below.
- The police called here late last night I was thinking they had come to tell me Simon was dead.
- The police have put Simon on their vulnerable list due to how bad he has got, he is even beaching his skin because he thinks the police are doing this due to his colour, he has been doing this for the last weeks but it only come out this weekend.
- He has started over the last weeks locking himself away not letting anyone see him which is really not a good thing.
- Saturday he even told Katie not to come any more which really is the last person he lets in.
- This really has to be listed for court this week as he is getting worse.
- I want to write a letter to the judge about what is going on would he read this at a bail application and not let it be read out in court as there will be very private things in it.
- Can you give me an update to the below email and this one as to what can be done, I am trying to keep him as claim as I can till, he has to see the psychiatrist but it is very hard and this is making things worse on my health

**760.**

which you know already is not good.

➤ I would also like to put a complaint in about this and how the police have handled this whole case.

Lorraine

**764.**

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 07 March 2014 00:39

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

- If at all possible, can you confirm what happened in court today by email.
- Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?
- Also, if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?
- If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.
- There are still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.
- There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.
- If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed, will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?
- I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.
- If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this.
- The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.
- The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him. The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.
- How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building?
- How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more than once?
- And also, on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data.
- As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there were people living there.
- They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of their van due to him hitting a girl which a 999 call was made.
- The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they were trying to get the guy's shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.
- The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.
- Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address?
- As if you look in the information, we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road, we said the party was on.
- The case for Simon the police is saying Haymerle Road.
- Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.
- Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine



18/03/2014

19/03/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

274. Page, John \_FW\_ Response to Information request - ref 375981 (ref\_ 375981)

**Page Numbers:** 769,770,771,772

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

275. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (15) /

**Page Numbers:** 773,774,775,776

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

274. Page, John \_FW\_ Response to Information request - ref 375981 (ref\_ 375981)

/ Page Numbers: 769,770,771,772,

**From:** Page, John [[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)]

**Sent:** 19 March 2014 10:34

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject: FW:** Response to Information request ref 375981 (ref: 375981)

Dear Mr Cordell

➤ Your email dated **13/03/2014** has already been booked in as a new Freedom of Information request and we hoped to have a response to you within the next few days.

Regards

John Page

Quality Manager.

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[Mail To:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 19 March 2014 10:28

**To:** Environment Communications

**Subject: RE:** Response to Information request ref 375981 (ref: 375981)

To Whom It May Concern:

➤ I am writing this letter to find out if there are any updates to the below email, I sent on the  
➤ **13/03/2014** due to the reply you sent to me saying there was not information as to the dates I had given in my request under the freedom information act.

Regards

Simon Cordell

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[Mail To:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 March 2014 14:05

**To:** 'Community Safety Enforcement'

**Subject: RE:** Response to Information request ref 375981 (ref: 375981)

To Whom It May Concern:

➤ I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.  
➤ I know on the **16/02/2013 17/02/2013** a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse I also know that a noise abatement order was put in place on the warehouse on this date.  
➤ It is also fact that on the **04/05/2013 05/05/2013** a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire their sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the **Feb 2013** due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.  
➤ The person that Hires their sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited, he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person

**770.**

➤ who had hired their sound system out there was be back throughout the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set?  
➤ Therefore, I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.  
➤ I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.  
➤ I know this as fast as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the  
➤ **May 2013**

- and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.
- If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me, can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier, I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.

Regards  
Simon Cordell

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement [Mail  
**To:** [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)  
**Sent:** 13 March 2014 13:20  
**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)  
**Subject:** Response to Information request ref  
375981 (ref: 375981)  
Southwark Council Information  
Request Our **reference:** 375981  
Dear Mr Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 375981

- Thank you for your request for information that was received on 28 February 2014 in which you requested:
- I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.
- The dates of information I am asking for is for the 16/02/2013 to 17/02/2013,
- Also 04/05/2013 to 05/05/2013
- At Unit 3 ' 4 Horrison's Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.
- I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that were put in place for this address.
- Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Also, "id" any police on these dates with names of police officers that were involved with the said noise at this address and times that any people had to attend the address from the

**771.**

- Noise and Nuisance Team.
- Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.
- If there is a cost for this information, then can you please update of the cost via email.
- Also, if there is any information that cannot be given can you also, please update me as to what information is being withheld?
- I did notice an error in my email with regards to the address due to Horrison's Industrial Estate being around 2 roads you may have the data for address Horrison's Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15 or Horrison's Industrial Estate, Bianca Road, SE15.
- The information that you requested is below The Council does not have any noise records for the dates you have requested under either of the addresses supplied.
- You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting.
- Any other reuse, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder.
- You may apply for permission to reuse this information by submitting a request to you can find details on these arrangements at
- <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>.
- Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at:  
<http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.
- If you have any queries or concerns, then please contact us using the above details.
- Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:
- Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

772.

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent. The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

275. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (15)

/ Page Numbers: 773,774,775,776,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 19 March 2014 13:45

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell 18/02/2014

Hi Josey

- I am sure you are aware what happened at court yesterday with Simon.
- Due to the judge telling us to get out of court due to Simon, could we get an update as to what was said and the full outcome?
- Also, can you give us an update to the bail application that has been asked for?
- And if possible, did you look over what I said about the Enfield court records, if you need the PNC, I have marked out with mistakes please let me know.

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [**Mail To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 17 March 2014 14:27

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell 18/02/2014

Hi Josey

- Would it be possible for Simon to get an update as to what will go on in court tomorrow, and who will be attending for him will it be Jemi?
- Also, will the court be hearing a bail application tomorrow and if not, can it be put in for it to be heard tomorrow?
- Update to Simon cases for Enfield Court which is on his PNC I have the list from Enfield Court which has took them 3 weeks to do as there were so many cases on the PNC.
- I have tried to call you many times and sent a few texts since I got the list from the court last Thursday so that I could go over the records, but I have not heard from you.
- From looking at the list there are 5 cases that need to come off Simon record for the Enfield cases.
- There is also an issue I have with one case which will need looking into but I think I have to get my records form that date and see if it is the same case which I think it was as I can only remember one case that was ever at St. Alfred's Church right next to my house.
- When Simon, Tyrone, and me was arrested also at the church by me.
- If you remember you took Tyrone case away from mine and Simon due to Tyrone age and his case was heard on its own and Simon and mine was heard together Tyrone went to prison for this case and me and Simon got a not Guilty.

- There are also 2 other records that they missed out of the list I put in so I need to address this also.
- So, with the other case for City of London for failing to surrender that will be 6 cases that are not correct on his PNC record.
- And that is without the one I have an issue with for St. Alfred's Church by me, and the other 2 cases they forgot to check.
- It's not that the records are wrong it is the case that the court does not have any records at all for these cases, and the lady at the court showed me what she had to look at to get the records which is very large books with each court listing within them for every case heard at court so how is it so many cases do not have any records at the court yet they are on Simon PNC record I just do not understand.
- 2 are in fact Burglary's,
- 2 are for class B drugs,
- 1 is for Taking motor vehicle,
- Update for DR Jervis psychiatrist after him seeing Simon on the **11/03/2014**
- he has said he will be writing a report to Simon GP and a letter for Simon so he can give it to you, I have spoken to him today but due to a backlog the report has not gone out yet so we will not have that for tomorrow at court I do not think but Simon still wants a bail application for tomorrow if that is possible.
- Simon has also said he does still want the 999 calls that was made on the day of the party he hires his sound system out to; he does still want the name of the Inspector and any others police officers that attended the building on **04/05/2013**
- **05/05/2013** The picture that the police took of the damaged wall and showed him that picture in interview.
- And the pictures for the **16/02/2013** that the police took.
- If there is any reason that you or Jemi feel that this information is not needed, can you, please update us to the reason as Simon does not understand why these would not be needed for his case.

**774.**

- Also, Simon has asked did you put the letter into the council as you said you would do for the person that attended the building on the **16/02/2013 17/02/2013** and the **04/05/2013 05/05/2013** as I said to you, I have put a freedom of information act into them, they did reply they did not have any information but I have written back to them saying there has to be a record and I am waiting a reply from them.
- Can you also please let us know what time Simon has to be in court tomorrow?

Lorraine / Simon

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 13 March 2014 12:34

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

- Simon has asked me to email you again to ask if you can reply to the below emails there is still points, he would like addressed.

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 10 March 2014 22:18

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject:** FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

- Simon date has been moved forward to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP to tomorrow morning at 9.30am.
- Also can you please give us an update to the below emails as Simon would like to fully understand what went on in court last week as to the discloser and if any more discloser has been asked for, he wants to know if you will be trying to get the discloser from the pictures to the Feb 2013 case and if you will be trying to get the information as to what inspector

went to the building before the party started and more times on the night, and the invoices as to what stock was there before Feb 2013 after Feb 2013 and before May 2013. as he really is not 100% sure what he is being charged with as to what damage and the amount of stock he was meant to have taken.

- And also, to know what can be done with his bail conditions if he cannot get a letter from the DR right away, he is scared as it a new Dr they may not give him a letter right away until they have had more sessions?

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 09 March 2014 16:32

**To:** '[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)'

**Subject:** FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

- An update to the email below.
- The police called here late last night I was thinking they had come to tell me Simon was dead.
- The police have put Simon on their vulnerable list due to how bad he has got, he is even beaching his skin because he thinks the police are doing this due to his colour, he has been doing this for the last weeks but it only come out

**775.**

- this weekend.
- He has started over the last weeks locking himself away not letting anyone see him which is really not a good thing.
- Saturday he even told Katie not to come any more which really is the last person he lets in.
- This really has to be listed for court this week as he is getting worse.
- I want to write a letter to the judge about what is going on would he read this at a bail application and not let it be read out in court as there will be very private things in it.
- Can you give me an update to the below email and this one as to what can be done, I am trying to keep him as claim as I can till, he has to see the psychiatrist but it is very hard and this is making things worse on my health which you know already is not good.
- I would also like to put a complaint in about this and how the police have handled this whole case.

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [Mail To:[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 07 March 2014 00:39

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues

**06th March 2014**

Hi Josey

- If at all possible, can you confirm what happened in court today by email.
- Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?
- Also, if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?
- If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.
- There are still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.
- There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.
- If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed, will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?

- I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.
- If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this.
- The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.
- The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him. The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.
- How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building?
- How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more than once?
- And also, on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data.
- As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there were people living there.
- They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of their van due to him hitting a girl which a

**776.**

999 call was made.

- The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they were trying to get the guy's shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.
- The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.
- Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address?
- As if you look in the information, we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road, we said the party was on.
- The case for Simon the police is saying Haymerle Road.
- Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.
- Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

**20/03/2014**

**21/03/2014**

**22/03/2014**

Diary done up to here for sure

**23/03/2014**

24/03/2014

**1**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

156. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ FW\_ Response to Information request –  
Ref 380680 (ref\_ 380680) /

**Page Numbers:** 903,904,905,906,907

Gazebo Case!

**2**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

278. Lorraine Cordell \_Fwd.\_ Response to Information request –  
Ref 380680 (ref\_ 380680) \_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 781,782,783,784

Gazebo Case!

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

276. Community Safety Enforcement Response to Information request - Ref  
380680 (ref\_ 380680)

**Page Numbers:** 777,778,779,780

Gazebo Case!



1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

156. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Re\_ FW\_ Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref\_380680)

/ **Page Numbers:** 903,904,905,906,907,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 24 March 2014 22:12

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re: FW:** Response to Information request Ref

**380680 (ref: 380680)**

Hi Lorraine

➤ I have been out on an attempted murder last night and most of today and this evening I have been highlighting raw call data for the barrister to minimise my client's knowledge in a conspiracy to supply firearms case presently going to trial.

➤ I will call you tomorrow in the afternoon to discuss.

Regards

Josephine

**On Mon, Mar 24, 2014 at 8:33 PM,** Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

➤ Please see the email I got for Southwark council.

➤ It seems they even they had problems due to the address but after I give them the updated email for my request for Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ they found the information it also seems they knew about the party for

04/05/2013

05/05/2014

➤ before it had even happened as they got information on the

01/05/2013

➤ that a party was going to take place on the

04/05/2013

➤ so, they went to the building on the

01/05/2013

➤ and spoke to the squatters on this date which proves it was already being squatted before Simon went to the building on the

04/05/2013.

➤ Simon always through the council told him that the Noise Abatement Notice was put on the building in the

Feb 2013

➤ but as you can see it was put in place on the

03/05/2013

➤ But I wonder who give the council the information on the

01/05/2013

➤ about the party for the

04/05/2013

05/05/2014

➤ it must have been someone that the council would have believed could it have been the police?

➤ It also does show the police was there but that the council did not take their IDs which does not help but it does show the police was there. Also is there any update from Jemi about the 18/03/2014

➤ for court as to what was said, and the bail application?

Lorraine

**904.**

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement

**Mail To:** [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)

**Sent:** 24 March 2014 15:43

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Response to Information request Ref

**380680 (ref: 380680)**

Southwark Council Information

request

Our **reference:** 380680

Dear Mr Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 380680

- Thank you for your request for information that was received on **13 March 2014**
- in which you requested:
- I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.
- I know on the **16/02/2013 17/02/2013** a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse
- I also know that a noise abatement order was put in place on the warehouse on this date.
- It is also fact that on the **04/05/2013 05/05/2013** a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire their sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the **Feb 2013** due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.
- The person that Hires their sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited, he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person who had hire their sound system out there was be back throughout the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.
- **Therefore,** I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.
- I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.
- I know this is a fact, as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the **May 2013** and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.
- If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me, can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier, I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.
- The information that you requested is below on your original Freedom of Information request ref 375981, a search was made on the address you gave as Unit 3, 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road Se15 6SD for the dates **16 -17 February 2013.**
- I can confirm that no records were recorded under that particular address.
- This request has requested 'Bianca Road' as a search criterion, and in addition to the **16-17 February 2013,** also gives new dates of **4-5 May 2013.**
- All Calls (Service Requests) received **16-17 February 2013**
- Our records show 3 service requests were received regarding noise from 'the street' Bianca Road on the **17th February 2013** at:
  - A. 05:37hrs
  - B. 07:50hrs
  - C. 07:53hrs
- The first service request was received outside of our operational hours.
- With regards to the other two service requests, the officers rang the clients at 08:15hrs and 08:25hrs respectively.
- The first client informed the Officer that the music was no longer bothering them, however, the other client asked for the officers to visit.
- Two officers visited the client at 08:45hrs and assessed the level of noise received at the client's premises.
- No nuisance was witnessed; however, they advised the client to call back should the level rise.
- No further calls were received, and no Abatement Notice was served on that date.
- All Calls (Service Requests) received **45 May 2013**
- According to our records no service requests were received regarding this premises on these dates.

- Noise Abatement Notice On **01 May 2013** the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**.
- In response officers visited the site on **01 May 2013** and established that the 'old sausage factory' in Bianca Road was being squatted.
- Officers spoke to the squatters who were residing there, and whilst they did not substantiate the claim, they did not deny it either. Officers felt that should the rave go ahead it would likely cause a nuisance to nearby residents.
- In a proactive attempt to address this, on **03 May 2013**
- Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring.
- Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.
- In addition to the above, Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment.
- Actions on the **4-5 May 2013**
- The Noise Team made several visits at the following times: 01:37hrs 2 officers noted that the rave had started; they contacted Southwark Police to enquire whether there were sufficient resources to shut it down (should a nuisance be witnessed), and were informed that this would be unlikely.
- They also spoke to the organisers of the rave and reminded them that the Notice was in place.
- The organisers reduced the volume to a low level. 03:50hrs The Noise team Unit Manager also visited the site along with the Police who were attached to the Council's Night-time Economy Team. She also spoke to the organisers, who stated that they would reduce the volume further on request.
- No Police ID numbers were taken or recorded. 11:47hrs 2 officers visited the premises, but no nuisance witnessed. 16:53hrs Same 2 offices visited with nothing being witnessed.
- Reports Written No reports were written on the events for both dates.
- You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting.
- Any other reuse, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder.
- You may apply for permission to reuse this information by submitting a request to [accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk); you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>.
- Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>
- If you have any queries or concerns, then please contact us using the above details. Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:
- Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

278. Lorraine Cordell \_Fwd.\_ Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref\_ 380680) \_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 781,782,783,784,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 24 March 2014 20:34

**To:** 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'

**Subject: FW:** Response to Information request Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Hi Josey

- Please see the email I got for Southwark council.
- It seems they even they had problems due to the address but after I give them the updated email for my request for Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ they found the information it also seems they knew about the party for  
**04/05/2013**  
**05/05/2014**
- before it had even happened as they got information on the  
**01/05/2013**
- that a party was going to take place on the  
**04/05/2013**
- so, they went to the building on the  
**01/05/2013**
- and spoke to the squatters on this date which proves it was already being squatted before Simon went to the building on the  
**04/05/2013.**
- Simon always through the council told him that the Noise Abatement Notice was put on the building in the  
**Feb 2013**
- but as you can see it was put in place on the  
**03/05/2013**
- But I wonder who give the council the information on the  
**01/05/2013**
- about the party for the  
**04/05/2013**  
**05/05/2014**
- it must have been someone that the council would have believed could it have been the police?
- It also does show the police was there but that the council did not take their IDs which does not help but it does show the police was there. Also is there any update from Jemi about the  
**18/03/2014**
- for court as to what was said, and the bail application?

Lorraine

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement

**Mail To:**[environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)

**Sent:** 24 March 2014 15:43

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Response to Information request Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Southwark Council Information request

**Our reference:** 380680

Dear Mr Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 380680

- Thank you for your request for information that was received on **13 March 2014**
- in which you requested:
- I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.
- I know on the **16/02/2013** **17/02/2013** a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse
- I also know that a noise abatement order was put in place on the warehouse on this date.

- It is also fact that on the **04/05/2013** a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire their sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the **Feb 2013** due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.
  - The person that Hires their sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited, he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person who had hire their sound system out there was be back throughout the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.
  - **Therefore**, I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.
  - I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.
  - I know this is a fact, as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the **May 2013** and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.
  - If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me, can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier, I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.
  - The information that you requested is below on your original Freedom of Information request ref 375981, a search was made on the address you gave as Unit 3, 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road Se15 6SD for the dates **16 -17 February 2013**.
  - I can confirm that no records were recorded under that particular address.
  - This request has requested 'Bianca Road' as a search criterion, and in addition to the **16-17 February 2013**, also gives new dates of **4-5 May 2013**.
  - All Calls (Service Requests) received **16-17 February 2013**
  - Our records show 3 service requests were received regarding noise from 'the street' Bianca Road on the **17th February 2013** at:
    - D. 05:37hrs
    - E. 07:50hrs
    - F. 07:53hrs
  - The first service request was received outside of our operational hours.
  - With regards to the other two service requests, the officers rang the clients at 08:15hrs and 08:25hrs respectively.
  - The first client informed the Officer that the music was no longer bothering them, however, the other client asked for the officers to visit.
  - Two officers visited the client at 08:45hrs and assessed the level of noise received at the client's premises.
  - No nuisance was witnessed; however, they advised the client to call back should the level rise.
  - No further calls were received, and no Abatement Notice was served
- 783,**  
on that date.
- All Calls (Service Requests) received **45 May 2013**
  - According to our records no service requests were received regarding this premises on these dates.
  - Noise Abatement Notice On **01 May 2013** the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**.
  - In response officers visited the site on **01 May 2013** and established that the 'old sausage factory' in Bianca Road was being squatted.
  - Officers spoke to the squatters who were residing there, and whilst they did not substantiate the claim, they did not deny it either. Officers felt that should the rave go ahead it would likely cause a nuisance to nearby residents.
  - In a proactive attempt to address this, on **03 May 2013**
  - Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring.
  - Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.

- In addition to the above, Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment.
- Actions on the **4-5 May 2013**
- The Noise Team made several visits at the following times: 01:37hrs 2 officers noted that the rave had started; they contacted Southwark Police to enquire whether there were sufficient resources to shut it down (should a nuisance be witnessed), and were informed that this would be unlikely.
- They also spoke to the organisers of the rave and reminded them that the Notice was in place.
- The organisers reduced the volume to a low level. 03:50hrs The Noise team Unit Manager also visited the site along with the Police who were attached to the Council's Night-time Economy Team. She also spoke to the organisers, who stated that they would reduce the volume further on request.
- No Police ID numbers were taken or recorded. 11:47hrs 2 officers visited the premises, but no nuisance witnessed. 16:53hrs Same 2 offices visited with nothing being witnessed.
- Reports Written No reports were written on the events for both dates.
- You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting.
- Any other reuse, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder.
- You may apply for permission to reuse this information by submitting a request to [accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk); you can find details on these arrangements at

**784.**

<http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>.

- Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at:  
<http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>
- If you have any queries or concerns, then please contact us using the above details. Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:
- Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

276. Community Safety Enforcement Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref 380680)

/ Page Numbers: 777,778,779,780,

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement [[environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 24 March 2014 15:43

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Response to Information request Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Southwark Council Information

request

Our **reference:** 380680

Dear Mr Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 380680

- Thank you for your request for information that was received on **13 March 2014**
- in which you requested:
- I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.
- I know on the **16/02/2013 17/02/2013** a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse
- I also know that a noise abatement order was put in place on the warehouse on this date.
- It is also fact that on the **04/05/2013 05/05/2013** a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire their sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the **Feb 2013** due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.
- The person that Hires their sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited, he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person who had hire their sound system out there was be back throughout the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.
- **Therefore**, I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.
- I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.
- I know this is a fact, as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the **May 2013** and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.
- If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me, can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier, I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.
- The information that you requested is below on your original Freedom of Information request ref 375981, a search was made on the

**778.**

- address you gave as Unit 3, 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road Se15 6SD for the dates **16 -17 February 2013.**
- I can confirm that no records were recorded under that particular address.
- This request has requested 'Bianca Road' as a search criterion, and in addition to the **16-17 February 2013**, also gives new dates of **4-5 May 2013.**
- All Calls (Service Requests) received **16-17 February 2013**
- Our records show 3 service requests were received regarding noise from 'the street' Bianca Road on the **17th February 2013** at:
  - A. 05:37hrs
  - B. 07:50hrs
  - C. 07:53hrs
- The first service request was received outside of our operational hours.
- With regards to the other two service requests, the officers rang the clients at 08:15hrs and 08:25hrs respectively.
- The first client informed the Officer that the music was no longer bothering them, however, the other client asked for the officers to visit.
- Two officers visited the client at 08:45hrs and assessed the level of noise received at the client's premises.
- No nuisance was witnessed; however, they advised the client to call back should the level rise.
- No further calls were received, and no Abatement Notice was served on that date.
- All Calls (Service Requests) received **45 May 2013**
- According to our records no service requests were received regarding this premises on these dates.



- Noise Abatement Notice On **01 May 2013** the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**.
- In response officers visited the site on **01 May 2013** and established that the 'old sausage factory' in Bianca Road was being squatted.
- Officers spoke to the squatters who were residing there, and whilst they did not substantiate the claim, they did not deny it either. Officers felt that should the rave go ahead it would likely cause a nuisance to nearby residents.
- In a proactive attempt to address this, on **03 May 2013**
- Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring.
- Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.
- In addition to the above, Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment.
- Actions on the **4-5 May 2013**
- The Noise Team made several visits at the following times: 01:37hrs 2 officers noted that the rave had started; they contacted Southwark Police to

#### **779.**

- enquire whether there were sufficient resources to shut it down (should a nuisance be witnessed), and were informed that this would be unlikely.
- They also spoke to the organisers of the rave and reminded them that the Notice was in place.
- The organisers reduced the volume to a low level. 03:50hrs The Noise team Unit Manager also visited the site along with the Police who were attached to the Council's Night-time Economy Team. She also spoke to the organisers, who stated that they would reduce the volume further on request.
- No Police ID numbers were taken or recorded. 11:47hrs 2 officers visited the premises, but no nuisance witnessed. 16:53hrs Same 2 offices visited with nothing being witnessed.
- Reports Written No reports were written on the events for both dates.
- You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting.
- Any other reuse, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder.
- You may apply for permission to reuse this information by submitting a request to [accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk); you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>.
- Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>
- If you have any queries or concerns, then please contact us using the above details. Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:
- Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

#### **780.**

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of



Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

**25/03/2014**

**1**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

279. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Doctors Report /

**Page Numbers:** 785,786,787,788,789

Gazebo Case!

Doctors!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

279. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Doctors Report

/ **Page Numbers:** 785,786,787,788,789,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 25 March 2014 10:34

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Doctors Report

**Attachments:** [Dr Jarvis.pdf](#)

Hi Josey

➤ Please see attached report for doctor

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 24 March 2014 22:12

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re: FW:** Response to Information request Ref

380680 (ref: 380680)

Hi Lorraine

➤ I have been out on an attempted murder last night and most of today and this evening I have been highlighting raw call data for the barrister to minimise my client's knowledge in a conspiracy to supply firearms case presently going to trial.

➤ I will call you tomorrow in the afternoon to discuss.

Regards

Josephine

On **Mon, Mar 24, 2014 at 8:33 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

➤ Please see the email I got for Southwark council.

➤ It seems they even they had problems due to the address but after I give them the updated email for my request for Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ they found the information it also seems they knew about the party for

**04/05/2013**

**05/05/2014**

➤ before it had even happened as they got information on the

**01/05/2013**

➤ that a party was going to take place on the

**04/05/2013**

➤ so, they went to the building on the

**01/05/2013**

➤ and spoke to the squatters on this date which proves it was already being squatted before Simon went to the building on the

**04/05/2013.**

➤ Simon always through the council told him that the Noise Abatement Notice was put on the building in the

**Feb 2013**

➤ but as you can see it was put in place on the

**03/05/2013**

➤ But I wonder who give the council the information on the

**01/05/2013**

➤ about the party for the

**04/05/2013**

**05/05/2014**

➤ it must have been someone that the council would have believed could it have been the police?

➤ It also does show the police was there but that the council did not take their IDs which does not help but it does show the police was there. Also is there any update from Jemi about the

**18/03/2014**

➤ for court as to what was said, and the bail application?

Lorraine <b>786,787,788,789,</b>	
<b>26/03/2014</b>	
<b>27/03/2014</b>	
<b>28/03/2014</b>	
<b>29/03/2014</b>	<p><u>1</u>  <b>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</b>  280. JOSEPHINE Ward _Regina v_ (24) /  <b>Page Numbers:</b> 790  Gazebo Case!</p>
<p><u>1</u>  <b>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</b>  280. JOSEPHINE Ward _Regina v_ (24)  / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 790,  <b>From:</b> JOSEPHINE WARD [<a href="mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com">josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com</a>]  <b>Sent:</b> 29 March 2014 19:44  <b>To:</b> Lorraine Cordell  <b>Subject:</b> Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court  <b>Attachments:</b> <a href="#">Cordell PTR 18.03.141.docx</a>  Lorraine  ➤ Please see court note attached.  Josephine</p>	
<b>30/03/2014</b>	
<b>31/03/2014</b>	
	<b>April</b>
<b>01/04/2014</b>	<p><u>1</u>  <b>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</b>  281. Lorraine Cordell _Fwd._ Response to Information request –  Ref 380680 (ref_ 380680) /  <b>Page Numbers:</b> 793,794,795,796,797  Gazebo Case!</p>

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

281. Lorraine Cordell \_Fwd.\_ Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref\_ 380680)

/ **Page Numbers:** 793,794,795,796,797,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 01 April 2014 11:07

**To:** '[john.page@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:john.page@southwark.gov.uk)'

**Cc:** '[environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)'

**Subject: FW:** Response to Information request Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Dear John Page

- I wrote you the update email below after I got your email with the information, I had asked for in the freedom of information act.
- Can you please give me an update to tell me if the information below I asked for is being dealt with please?

Regards

Simon Cordell

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [**Mail To:**[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 27 March 2014 13:32

**To:** 'Community Safety Enforcement'

**Subject: RE:** Response to Information request Ref [380680 \(ref: 380680\)](#)

Dear John Page

- Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.
- I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was any way I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.
- You say in the information that "on **01/05/ 2013** the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave
- which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04/05/2013.**"
- Is there any way we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?
- Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04/05/2013** if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?
- Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04/05/2013**, and if so, what was the police officers' details?
- On the **01/05/2013**
- when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so, could we have the police officers' details?
- On the **03/05/2013**
- Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring.
- Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.
- Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers' details that attended to serve the said notice? Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers' details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?
- If this information can be done under the same freedom information act, I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you.
- Could you please update me as to this request?

Regards

Simon Cordell

**794.795.796.797.**

02/04/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

282. Community Safety Enforcement Acknowledgement to Information request –  
Ref 385573 (ref\_ 385573) /

**Page Numbers:** 798,799,800

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

283. JOSEPHINE WARD Appointment with Simon /

**Page Numbers:** 801

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

282. Community Safety Enforcement Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref\_ 385573)

/ **Page Numbers:** 798,799,800,

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement [[environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 02 April 2014 09:07

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Acknowledgement to Information request Ref **385573 (ref: 385573)**

Southwark Council Information request

**Our reference:** 385573

Dear Ms Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 385573

- Thank you for your request for information that was received on **27 March 2014**
- in which you requested:
- Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.
- I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was any way I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.
- You say in the information that "on **01 May 2013** the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013.**"
- **Is there any way we could get** the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?
- Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?
- Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**, and if so, what was the police officers' details?
- On the **1st May 2013** when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so, could we have the police officers' details?
- On the **03rd May 2013** Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the **799.**
- Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.
- Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers' details that attended to serve the said notice?
- Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers' details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?
- If this information can be done under the same freedom information act, I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you.
- Could you please update me as to this request?
- Your request is being dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and you will receive a response within 20 working days of the date that we received your request.
- The Freedom of Information Act 2000 defines a number of exemptions that may restrict the release of the requested information.
- There will be an assessment and if any of the exemption's categories apply then the information may not be released.
- You will be informed if this is the case, including your rights of appeal.
- The information provided will be in English, normal font size.
- If you require alternative formats, e.g., other language, audio, large print, etc.
- please notify us.

- If we are unable to provide you with the information requested, we will notify you of this together with the reason(s) why and details of how you may appeal (if appropriate).
- Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the: **Information Commissioner's Office**

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately.

If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person.

To do so may be unlawful.

Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of

**800.**

Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

283. JOSEPHINE WARD Appointment with Simon

/ **Page Numbers:** 801,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 02 April 2014 18:16

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Appointment with Simon

Lorraine

- Monday is the earliest I will be able to make.
- Rape case major complications re disclosure.
- Judge has summed up.
- Closing speeches firearms case and half-time submission GBH so I am waiting verdicts now. I am flying to see Sean tonight as I have not been home for nearly two weeks.
- Stressful 10 days pls bear with me.
- Will have no distractions Monday.
- I do not like cancelling meetings but there were issues with the three cases this week and I had to give them my full attention as lowest sentence could be able 68 yrs., firearms case 1012 yrs. and the rape potentially life imprisonment.
- Submissions to prosecution and bail app will be done Monday regardless of how long it takes.

Regards

Josephine

03/04/2014

04/04/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

284. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon-Cordell-Information /

**Page Numbers:** 802

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

284. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon-Cordell-Information

/ **Page Numbers:** 802,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 04 April 2014 12:58

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell Information

**Attachments:** [PNC Edited.pdf](#);

[Freedom Information Act Email to Council.pdf](#);

[City of London Court All.pdf](#);

[Dr Jarvis.pdf](#);

[Enfield Cases I Asked For.pdf](#);

[Enfield Court Emails.pdf](#);

[Enfield Court List.pdf](#)

Hi Josey

- Here is the information you asked for.
- Also, I noticed something funny about the CPS discloser that was sent on **18/03/2014** on the 2nd page is saying about Miss Pursey and that she was arrested for burglary in **May 2013** and interviewed.
- How can this been when the DNA never come back till **mid-June 2013** and Miss Pursey circulations papers was not complete until the **26.06/2013** and it was pending her arrest.
- If you need anything else, please let me know

Lorraine

05/04/2014

06/04/2014

07/04/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

286. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (11) /

**Page Numbers:** 803,804,805

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

287. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Representations to review case /

**Page Numbers:** 806

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

288. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (14) /

**Page Numbers:** 807,808

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

289. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (13) /

**Page Numbers:** 809,810

Gazebo Case!



1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

286. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (11)

/ Page Numbers: 803,804,805,

Sent: 07 April 2014 14:29

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014

Lorraine

- The previous conviction discrepancies will be highlighted counsel to counsel between the prosecution and the defence.
- Tactically we have to withhold certain information in particular the email from the council.
- I have referred to the noise abatement officer attending and alluded to police also being in attendance.
- The CPS is the organisation that makes the decision on continuing the prosecution and if the police are failing to investigate and we provide written representations with evidence in support then the prosecution may review on the grounds that there is no realistic prospect of conviction.
- This is the most sensible approach.
- As advised earlier once my headache clears, I will be drafting detailed representations with a view to the CPS reviewing the prosecution in this case.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 1:53 PM,

Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Sorry to hear you are ill I hope you feel better soon.
- I notice that nothing is in there for the bail application for the PNC and the failing to surrender that should not be on his record.
- Which he does have bail condition set for failing to surrender.
- And can the issues about his PNC be given in court tomorrow without it being in the bail application as I do feel the judge should be told about these errors on Simon record as there is also more than one error and these did have a deciding factor towards his bail condition in this case.
- And are we showing the court the email from the council which does show the police was aware of the party before 04/05/2013 and that it was being squatted before Simon had even attended the building?
- I was thinking that all this information would have been needed to show the judge how bad this case really is.
- Will it be Jemi that will attend court tomorrow?

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**804,**

Sent: 07 April 2014 13:33

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Fwd.: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014

FYI

Forwarded

message from:

JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Date: Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 9:53 AM

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court

8th April 2014

To: [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk),

[listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk),

"J.B. AkinOlugbade"

[j.akinolugbade@nexuschambers.com](mailto:j.akinolugbade@nexuschambers.com)>

Dear Sir or Madam

- We refer to the above matter.

- This case was last mentioned in court on 18th March 2014 and on that occasion the Learned Judge made a number of directions.
- Statements and invoices regarding the repair of the wall to be served by 21st March 2014
- Not Served Statements from council to be served by 29th March 2014
- Not served We attach a copy of the insurance file that we received from Mr Patel's insurance company and note will no doubt be taken of the dates of the previous break ins and the damage caused on previous dates prior to May 2013
- You will also no doubt note that there were no invoices submitted for any repairs during that period of time and also the delay in the Patel's responding to the insurance claim.
- It has always been our client's case that the damage caused to the wall and inside the building had already been committed before he hired out his sound system for the private party.
- Our client has always disputed causing the damage or having any knowledge as to how this damage was caused.
- We further raise that other suspect forensically linked to the earlier offences were released with no further action been taken against them.
- Mr Cordell has always maintained that police officers attended with the noise abatement officers, but we are yet to be provided with the officer's contact details or indeed the reason for their attendance.
- Clearly the issue is why did the Police allow a party to go ahead if persons present were damaging a wall and removing property.
- Mr Cordell feels like he is being singled out and made a scapegoat in this case.
- He denies involvement in the burglary and this allegation is having an adverse effect not only on his business but also on his mental health.
- We attach a copy of a letter confirming his medical diagnosis from his GP.

**805.**

- We respectfully request that the curfew condition be removed in its entirety as the curfew of having an adverse effect on Mr Cordell's mental wellbeing.
- We request that the bail variation be addressed tomorrow at the pretrial review hearing.
- Please confirm the listing at your earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**2**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

287. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Representations to review case

/ **Page Numbers:** 806,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 07 April 2014 13:36

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Representations to review case

Lorraine

- Once my head clears, I am hoping to draft the representations to review the prosecution.
- I want to wait to see what tomorrow reveals in terms of the Court's attitude.

Regards

Josephine

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

288. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (14)

/ **Page Numbers:** 807,808,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 07 April 2014 13:54

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court

**08th April 2014**

Hi Josey

- Sorry to hear you are ill I hope you feel better soon.
- I notice that nothing is in there for the bail application for the PNC and the failing to surrender that should not be on his record.
- Which he does have bail condition set for failing to surrender.

- And can the issues about his PNC be given in court tomorrow without it being in the bail application as I do feel the judge should be told about these errors on Simon record as there is also more than one error and these did have a deciding factor towards his bail condition in this case.
- And are we showing the court the email from the council which does show the police was aware of the party before **04/05/2013** and that it was being squatted before Simon had even attended the building?
- I was thinking that all this information would have been needed to show the judge how bad this case really is.
- Will it be Jemi that will attend court tomorrow?

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 07 April 2014 13:33

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Fwd.: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court  
**08th April 2014**

FYI

Forwarded

**message from:**

JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

**Date:** Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 9:53 AM

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014

**To:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)  
[listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk),

"J.B. AkinOlugbade"

[J.akinolugbade@nexuschambers.com](mailto:J.akinolugbade@nexuschambers.com)

Dear Sir or Madam

- We refer to the above matter.
- This case was last mentioned in court on 18th March 2014 and on that occasion the Learned Judge made a number of directions.
- Statements and invoices regarding the repair of the wall to be served by **21st March 2014**
- Not Served Statements from council to be served by **29th March 2014**
- Not served We attach a copy of the insurance file that we received from Mr Patel's insurance company and note will no doubt be taken of the dates of the previous break ins and the damage caused on previous dates prior to **May 2013**
- You will also no doubt note that there were no invoices submitted for any repairs during that period of time and also the delay in the Patel's responding to the insurance claim.
- It has always been our client's case that the damage caused to the wall and inside the building had already been committed before he hired out his sound system for the private party.
- Our client has always disputed causing the damage or having any knowledge as to how this damage was caused.
- We further raise that other suspect forensically linked to the earlier offences were released with no further action been taken against them.
- Mr Cordell has always maintained that police officers

**808.**

- attended with the noise abatement officers, but we are yet to be provided with the officer's contact details or indeed the reason for their attendance.
- Clearly the issue is why did the Police allow a party to go ahead if persons present were damaging a wall and removing property.
- Mr Cordell feels like he is being singled out and made a scapegoat in this case.
- He denies involvement in the burglary and this allegation is having an adverse effect not only on his business but also on his mental health.
- We attach a copy of a letter confirming his medical diagnosis from his GP.
- We respectfully request that the curfew condition be removed in its entirety as the curfew of having an adverse effect on Mr Cordell's mental wellbeing.
- We request that the bail variation be addressed tomorrow at the pretrial review hearing.
- Please confirm the listing at your earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

289. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (13)

/ **Page Numbers:** 809,810,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 07 April 2014 19:05

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 08th April 2014**

Hi Josey

➤ Hope you are feeling better Is there an update as to if Simon needs to be at court tomorrow and what time court is and if it will be Jemi?

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 07 April 2014 14:29

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 08th April 2014**

Lorraine

- The previous conviction discrepancies will be highlighted counsel to counsel between the prosecution and the defence.
- Tactically we have to withhold certain information in particular the email from the council.
- I have referred to the noise abatement officer attending and alluded to police also being in attendance.
- The CPS is the organisation that makes the decision on continuing the prosecution and if the police are failing to investigate and we provide written representations with evidence in support then the prosecution may review on the grounds that there is no realistic prospect of conviction.
- This is the most sensible approach.
- As advised earlier once my headache clears, I will be drafting detailed representations with a view to the CPS reviewing the prosecution in this case.

Regards

Josephine

On **Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 1:53 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Sorry to hear you are ill I hope you feel better soon.
- I notice that nothing is in there for the bail application for the PNC and the failing to surrender that should not be on his record.
- Which he does have bail condition set for failing to surrender.
- And can the issues about his PNC be given in court tomorrow without it being in the bail application as I do feel the judge should be told about these errors on Simon record as there is also more than one error and these did have a deciding factor towards his bail condition in this case.
- And are we showing the court the email from the council which does show the police was aware of the party before **04/05/2013** and that it was being squatted before Simon had even attended the building?
- I was thinking that all this information would have been needed to show the judge how bad this case really is.
- Will it be Jemi that will attend court tomorrow?

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**810.**

**Sent:** 07 April 2014 13:33

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Fwd.: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014**  
FYI

**Forwarded message from:**

JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

**Date:** Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 9:53 AM

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court **8th April 2014**

**To:** [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

[listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk),

"J.B. AkinOlugbade"

[J.akinolugbade@nexuschambers.com](mailto:J.akinolugbade@nexuschambers.com)

Dear Sir or Madam

- We refer to the above matter.
- This case was last mentioned in court on 18th March **2014** and on that occasion the Learned Judge made a number of directions.
- Statements and invoices regarding the repair of the wall to be served by **21st March 2014**
- Not Served Statements from council to be served by **29th March 2014**
- Not served We attach a copy of the insurance file that we received from Mr Patel's insurance company and note will no doubt be taken of the dates of the previous break ins and the damage caused on previous dates prior to **May 2013**
- You will also no doubt note that there were no invoices submitted for any repairs during that period of time and also the delay in the Patel's responding to the insurance claim.
- It has always been our client's case that the damage caused to the wall and inside the building had already been committed before he hired out his sound system for the private party.
- Our client has always disputed causing the damage or having any knowledge as to how this damage was caused.
- We further raise that other suspect forensically linked to the earlier offences were released with no further action been taken against them.
- Mr Cordell has always maintained that police officers
- attended with the noise abatement officers, but we are yet to be provided with the officer's contact details or indeed the reason for their attendance.
- Clearly the issue is why did the Police allow a party to go ahead if persons present were damaging a wall and removing property.
- Mr Cordell feels like he is being singled out and made a scapegoat in this case.
- He denies involvement in the burglary and this allegation is having an adverse effect not only on his business but also on his mental health.
- We attach a copy of a letter confirming his medical diagnosis from his GP.
- We respectfully request that the curfew condition be removed in its entirety as the curfew of having an adverse effect on Mr Cordell's mental wellbeing.
- We request that the bail variation be addressed tomorrow at the pretrial review hearing.
- Please confirm the listing at your earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**“Bail Conditions”**

Gazebo Case, Bail Variation!

2**App for a variation in bail “Refused!”****“Refused!”**

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**The Gazebo Case: --****Arrest: 25/06/2013****Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.**Date of Birth:****Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP 14/07/13.**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON**25/06/2013**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS**25/06/2013****Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE) 14/07/13.**Remand to Dates: --**

- 1) **25/06/2013:** “Police Station”
  - 2) **26/06/2013:** “Court & the World of Scrubs”
  - 3) **27/06/2013:** “World of Scrubs”
  - 4) **28/06/2013:** “Judge & Chambers “Granted Bail”
  - 5) **10/07/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
  - 6) **16/07/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
  - 7) **16/08/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
  - 8) **09/09/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
  - 9) **19/11/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
  - 10) **20/11/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
  - 11) **23/12/2013:** “Bail Conditions”
  - 12) **08/04/2014: “Bail Conditions”**
  - 13) **01/07/2014:** “I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions”
    - A. **Video of Interview:** “Yes”; Link
    - B. **Correspondents & Case Files;** “Yes” Link
    - C. **Bail Conditions: --**
    - D. **Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.
    - E. **Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.
    - F. **Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.
    - G. **Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.
    - H. **Condition 5: OTHER:** \*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF
    - I. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\*
    - J. **Condition 6:** \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO POLICE\*\*\*\*\*
- **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.
  - **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.
  - **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.
  - **At:** **WOOLWICH CROWN.**

➤ Owner: 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

09/04/2014

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

291. Josephine Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (12) /

**Page Numbers:** 815,816

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

298. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Fwd.\_ March 2013 invoices /

**Page Numbers:** 817,818

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

293. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (25) /

**Page Numbers:** 819

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

294. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (12) /

**Page Numbers:** 820,821

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

291. Josephine Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (12)

/ Page Numbers: 815,816,

**From:** Josephine Ward [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 10 April 2014 07:54

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list  
Lorraine

- I have flagged up all the issues that are relevant.
- I will wait for a response from the insurance company.
- The invoices do look dodgy, but the insurance company can put pressure on Mr Patel.
- I am trying tactically to flag up the issues.
- Once Mr Allaway confirms never received the invoices he will undoubtedly dig further and hopefully this will bear fruit.
- The point you raise about paying invoices is not relevant and does not take us any further.
- The point to be made is
  - A. how many estimates obtained
  - B. when were they submitted?
- The missing invoice number will also be picked up by the insurance company but the main point we have to establish is when submitted.
- They appear to be backdated as not in original insurance file but I need insurance company to verify this before taking the matter any further.
- You know the old saying about giving a person enough rope.
- You have to wait, bide your time.
- I aim to do representations to CPS hopefully before weekend.
- As stated previously if no statement from noise abatement officer, then I will get the case listed again with DC Mayhew to attend.
- He can explain why all statements with Mr Patel telephone statements.
- I am also going to ask for statement from manager of warehouse as to damage and also the so-called missing crime report.

Regards

Josephine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

**Sent:** 09/ 04/ 2014 23:44

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

- Hi Josey
- I have noted things also can you also ask how Mr Patel paid these invoices?
- Not sure if that can be done and when I last spoke with Mr Allaway, he had been trying to get a face-to-face meeting with Mr Patel each time he called to try and sort it someone picked the phone up and said Mr Patel was on holiday.
- He also did not believe this and also also said that the invoiced that had been sent in was not real ones he knew they were fishy.
- Also due to the x3 claims Nikki went to the warehouse on the 28/03/2013 after the 2nd breaking on the 25/03/2013 and from the file Mr Patel did not even tell her that he had fixed the wall and paid for it on the 21/03/2014 and he must have known already that on the 29/03/2013 the same company was coming to fix the wall again.
- Nikki was there on the 28/03/2013 taking pictures.
- So why did he not tell her this then?
- Also, he said he had to leave the warehouse as the insurance company wanted to take pictures.
- Yet Nikki I am sure only went to the warehouse once and that was after the 2nd breaking on the 25/03/2013
- Also looking at the invoices they don't even have an invoice number.
- There no costing for anything on them.
- I would like the invoices checked and I mean really checked the right person could tell when these invoices were printed.



- It's also very funny that the same said part of the wall was damaged 3 times if they had fixed it correctly it would have been much harder as it was new why was the same said part of the wall now done 3 times.
- And yet also in the file for the March claim Mr Patel only told the insurance company about the alarm there was no wall said about in the March claim.
- I have not had time yet to look at all the statements, but I will most likely have more to say and so will Simon.
- Also **£600.00** to fix the said wall and yet he is saying to the police **£8000 to £10,000** for the damage to the building?

**816.**

- And Mr Patel did not do stock take from **Feb 2013** case none was also done in **March 2013**
- Nor **May 2013** yet for the **May 2013** case he can come up with a cost of around **£8220.**
- I will read over the rest of this later I only just really looked at it a very little did not even read Mr Patel statement fully and I have seen this.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 09 April 2014 18:19

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- I refer to the above matter.
- I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only.
- I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.
- I attach a copy of a Notice of Additional Evidence dated **08th April 2014**
- You will no doubt note the discrepancies in the statement of DC Mayhew dated **03rd April 2014**
- For clarification if there is no statement from the noise abatement officer by **10th April 2014**
- I will be listing the case for mention.
- The statement of DC Mayhew referring to the Inspector who attended on the date of the scene the day of the illegal rave is inaccurate.
- Inspector Mc Millen's statement refers to attending the scene of a reported burglary and he notes that there were no squatters signs up.
- I will therefore need Simon to deal with this in his proof exhibiting extracts of his Facebook page which shows the notices etc.
- I will be asking for the statements of the officers who attended with the noise abatement officers and all updates on the CAD system.
- I am asking for a copy of the CD of photographs from the SOCO from February 2013 referred to in the statement of Paul Whittaker.
- In relation to the invoices served also dated **01st March 2013**
- And **29th March 2013** these were absent from the insurer's file of papers and reference was made to the second break in, in the report of Nikki Diamond.
- I will email Trevor Allaway to request confirmation as to whether the two invoices were ever sent to the insurance company and if so when.
- The bottom of the invoice also refers to an optional extra and there is no proof that Mr Patel ever paid for the damage to be repaired.
- The other point is that it seems a very long way for a West London builder to travel for **£500** worth of work.
- I am going to ask whether the Patel's from Hounslow are related whether by family or business or whether Rakesh Patel or Deven Patel have any interests in this company.
- I will ask the insurance company if they have any knowledge of any connection between the Patel insurance clients and Patel the builders.
- I will also ask the CPS to ask Mr Patel for copies of any alternative quotes and a similar question will be posed to the insurance company.
- If you have any questions re the above, can you please email me as I am in and out of the office quite a lot this week.

Regards

Josephine

**PS**

I will CC you in on the email to Trevor All-day from the insurance company.

**2**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

298. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Fwd.\_ March 2013 invoices

/ Page Numbers: 817,818,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 09 April 2014 19:05

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Fwd.: March 2013 invoices

Attachments: [insurance invoices March 2013.pdf](#)

Lorraine / Simon

➤ Please see email sent to the insurance company re Simon's case.

Regards

Josephine

**Forwarded message from:**

JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Date: Wed, Apr 9, 2014 at 7:03 PM

Subject: March 2013 invoices

To: "Allaway, Trevor" [Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com](mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com)>

Dear Mr Allaway

➤ I refer to my previous emails.

➤ I am attaching copies of two invoices received from the CPS in relation to the case against our client.

➤ You kindly provided me with a copy of your insurance file pursuant to a section 35 application and in that disclosure, there were no invoices dated **01st March 2013** or **29th March 2013**.

➤ Can you please confirm by return email whether you were provided with a copy of the invoices in **2013** and if so on what date?

➤ Please also confirm whether you were provided with alternative quotations from any other companies in relation to the damage in **February 2013** and **March 2013**

➤ If you were not then please confirming this also.

➤ If no invoices were provided last year, can you, please confirm whether you know of any connection between your client and the Building Company Patel Construction.

➤ The invoices refer to optional extras amounting to **£4500** plus VAT but no invoice has been provided to confirm that this work was ever carried out which begs the question as to whether the premises were ever actually secured and whether the damage was ever remedied as claimed. We are very concerned as to how did the damage jumped from **£600** with an optional extra to **£4,500** plus VAT and finally to a claim in the region of **£40,000** from an estimate sent to the insurance company in **September 2013**

➤ Mr Rakesh Patel in his statement dated **10th March 2014** (telephone statement) refers to his manager reporting the second break into police but not having a crime reference number.

➤ We will be asking for a statement from the manager to be obtained via the police as this would undoubtedly list the damage caused etc We would be grateful if the above could be confirmed. Our client is facing a charge of burglary based on damage caused.

➤ The case is listed in the warned list for trial in **June 2014**

➤ We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours sincerely

Josephine Ward

**818.**

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO**

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

293. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (25)

/ Page Numbers: 819,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 09 April 2014 18:19

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

**Attachments:** [NAE 080414.pdf](#)

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- I refer to the above matter.
- I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only.
- I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.
- I attach a copy of a Notice of Additional Evidence dated **08th April 2014**
- You will no doubt note the discrepancies in the statement of DC Mayhew dated **03rd April 2014**
- For clarification if there is no statement from the noise abatement officer by **10th April 2014**
- I will be listing the case for mention.
- The statement of DC Mayhew referring to the Inspector who attended on the date of the scene the day of the illegal rave is inaccurate.
- Inspector Mc Millen's statement refers to attending the scene of a reported burglary and he notes that there were no squatters signs up.
- I will therefore need Simon to deal with this in his proof exhibiting extracts of his Facebook page which shows the notices etc.
- I will be asking for the statements of the officers who attended with the noise abatement officers and all updates on the CAD system.
- I am asking for a copy of the CD of photographs from the SOCO from February **2013** referred to in the statement of Paul Whittaker.
- In relation to the invoices served also dated **01st March 2013**
- And **29th March 2013** these were absent from the insurer's file of papers and reference was made to the second break in, in the report of Nikki Diamond.
- I will email Trevor Allaway to request confirmation as to whether the two invoices were ever sent to the insurance company and if so when.
- The bottom of the invoice also refers to an optional extra and there is no proof that Mr Patel ever paid for the damage to be repaired.
- The other point is that it seems a very long way for a West London builder to travel for **£500** worth of work.
- I am going to ask whether the Patel's from Hounslow are related whether by family or business or whether Rakesh Patel or Deven Patel have any interests in this company.
- I will ask the insurance company if they have any knowledge of any connection between the Patel insurance clients and Patel the builders.
- I will also ask the CPS to ask Mr Patel for copies of any alternative quotes and a similar question will be posed to the insurance company.
- If you have any questions re the above, can you please email me as I am in and out of the office quite a lot this week.

Regards

Josephine

**PS**

I will CC you in on the email to Trevor All-day from the insurance company.

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

294. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (12)

/ **Page Numbers:** 820,821,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 09 April 2014 23:44

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

Hi Josey

- Hi Josey
- I have noted things also can you also ask how Mr Patel paid these invoices?
- Not sure if that can be done and when I last spoke with Mr Allaway, he had been trying to get a face-to-face meeting with Mr Patel each time he called to try and sort it someone picked the phone up and said Mr Patel was on holiday.

- He also did not believe this and also said that the invoices that had been sent in was not real ones he knew they were fishy.
- Also due to the x3 claims Nikki went to the warehouse on the **28/03/2013** after the 2nd breaking on the **25/03/2013** and from the file Mr Patel did not even tell her that he had fixed the wall and paid for it on the **21/03/2014** and he must have known already that on the **29/03/2013** the same company was coming to fix the wall again.
- Nikki was there on the **28/03/2013** taking pictures.
- So why did he not tell her this then?
- Also, he said he had to leave the warehouse as the insurance company wanted to take pictures.
- Yet Nikki I am sure only went to the warehouse once and that was after the 2nd breaking on the **25/03/2013**
- Also looking at the invoices they don't even have an invoice number.
- There no costing for anything on them.
- I would like the invoices checked and I mean really checked the right person could tell when these invoices were printed.
- It's also very funny that the same said part of the wall was damaged 3 times if they had fixed it correctly it would have been much harder as it was new why was the same said part of the wall now done 3 times.
- And yet also in the file for the March claim Mr Patel only told the insurance company about the alarm there was no wall said about in the March claim.
- I have not had time yet to look at all the statements, but I will most likely have more to say and so will Simon.
- Also **£600.00** to fix the said wall and yet he is saying to the police **£8000** to **£10,000** for the damage to the building?
- And Mr Patel did not do stock take from **Feb 2013** case none was also done in **March 2013**
- Nor **May 2013** yet for the **May 2013** case he can come up with a cost of around **£8220**.
- I will read over the rest of this later I only just really looked at it a very little did not even read Mr Patel statement fully and I have seen this.

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 09 April 2014 18:19

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- I refer to the above matter.
- I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only.
- I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.
- I attach a copy of a Notice of Additional Evidence dated **08th April 2014**
- You will no doubt note the discrepancies in the statement of DC Mayhew dated **03rd April 2014**
- For clarification if there is no statement from the noise abatement officer by **10th April 2014**
- I will be listing the case for mention.
- The statement of DC Mayhew referring to the Inspector who attended on **821**,
- the date of the scene the day of the illegal rave is inaccurate.
- Inspector Mc Millen's statement refers to attending the scene of a reported burglary and he notes that there were no squatters signs up.
- I will therefore need Simon to deal with this in his proof exhibiting extracts of his Facebook page which shows the notices etc.
- I will be asking for the statements of the officers who attended with the noise abatement officers and all updates on the CAD system.
- I am asking for a copy of the CD of photographs from the SOCO from February 2013 referred to in the statement of Paul Whittaker.
- In relation to the invoices served also dated **01st March 2013**
- And **29th March 2013** these were absent from the insurer's file of papers and reference was made to the second break in, in the report of Nikki Diamond.

- I will email Trevor Allaway to request confirmation as to whether the two invoices were ever sent to the insurance company and if so when.
- The bottom of the invoice also refers to an optional extra and there is no proof that Mr Patel ever paid for the damage to be repaired.
- The other point is that it seems a very long way for a West London builder to travel for **£500** worth of work.
- I am going to ask whether the Patel's from Hounslow are related whether by family or business or whether Rakesh Patel or Deven Patel have any interests in this company.
- I will ask the insurance company if they have any knowledge of any connection between the Patel insurance clients and Patel the builders.
- I will also ask the CPS to ask Mr Patel for copies of any alternative quotes and a similar question will be posed to the insurance company.
- If you have any questions re the above, can you please email me as I am in and out of the office quite a lot this week.

Regards  
Josephine

**PS**

I will CC you in on the email to Trevor All-day from the insurance company.

**10/04/2014**

**Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.**

**2**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

295. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Bail Conditions

/ **Page Numbers:** 822,823

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

295. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Bail Conditions

/ Page Numbers: 822,823,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 10 April 2014 19:03

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Bail Conditions

**Attachments:** NewBail08042014.pdf

Hi Josey

- As to what was said about the bail condition below "I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only.
- I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion."
- I went to the police station and got a copy as to what was sent over to them, please see attached. Also, I spoke to Woolwich myself on phone and they said 3 things were listed.
- They also said really the judge should have read all bail conditions out, and not just said your bail conditions are now to reside at address known to court.
- So, can this be addressed and ask them to hear the recorded tape of what was in fact said or even ask the judge

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 09 April 2014 18:19

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

Dear Simon / Lorraine

- I refer to the above matter.
- I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only.
- I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.
- I attach a copy of a Notice of Additional Evidence dated **08th April 2014**
- You will no doubt note the discrepancies in the statement of DC Mayhew dated **03rd April 2014**
- For clarification if there is no statement from the noise abatement officer by **10th April 2014**
- I will be listing the case for mention.
- The statement of DC Mayhew referring to the Inspector who attended on the date of the scene the day of the illegal rave is inaccurate.
- Inspector Mc Millen's statement refers to attending the scene of a reported burglary and he notes that there were no squatters signs up.
- I will therefore need Simon to deal with this in his proof exhibiting extracts of his Facebook page which shows the notices etc.
- I will be asking for the statements of the officers who attended with the noise abatement officers and all updates on the CAD system.
- I am asking for a copy of the CD of photographs from the SOCO from February **2013** referred to in the statement of Paul Whittaker.

**823.**

- In relation to the invoices served also dated **01st March 2013**
- And **29th March 2013** these were absent from the insurer's file of papers and reference was made to the second break in, in the report of Nikki Diamond.
- I will email Trevor Allaway to request confirmation as to whether the two invoices were ever sent to the insurance company and if so when.
- The bottom of the invoice also refers to an optional extra and there is no proof that Mr Patel ever paid for the damage to be repaired.
- The other point is that it seems a very long way for a West London builder to travel for **£500** worth of work.
- I am going to ask whether the Patel's from Hounslow are related whether by family or business or whether Rakesh Patel or Deven Patel have any interests in this company.

- I will ask the insurance company if they have any knowledge of any connection between the Patel insurance clients and Patel the builders.
- I will also ask the CPS to ask Mr Patel for copies of any alternative quotes and a similar question will be posed to the insurance company.
- If you have any questions re the above, can you please email me as I am in and out of the office quite a lot this week.

Regards  
Josephine

**PS**

I will CC you in on the email to Trevor All-day from the insurance company.

**11/04/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

297. Josephine Ward \_Fwd.\_ March 2013 invoices /

**Page Numbers:** 824,825

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

201. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Fwd.\_ March 2013 invoices\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 826,827,828

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

297. Josephine Ward \_Fwd.\_ March 2013 invoices

/ Page Numbers: 824,825,

**From:** Josephine Ward [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 11 April 2014 03:11

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: FW:** March 2013 invoices

**From:** Allaway, Trevor

**Sent:** 10/04/2014 11:13

**To:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Subject: RE:** March 2013 invoices

Dear Ms Ward

- I have checked my file and there are no copies or mention of these invoices.
- I have spoken to Nikki Diamond and she has no recollection of ever having seen the documents which I described to her.
- I hope this helps.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor,3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

Tel Office 0121 233 6765

Facsimile 0845 425 2850

Email: [Trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com](mailto:Trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com)

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [Mail To:[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 09 April 2014 19:04

**To:** Allaway, Trevor

**Subject:** March 2013 invoices

Dear Mr Allaway

- I refer to my previous emails.
- I am attaching copies of two invoices received from the CPS in relation to the case against our client.
- You kindly provided me with a copy of your insurance file pursuant to a section 35 application and in that disclosure, there were no invoices dated **01st March 2013** or **29th March 2013**.
- Can you please confirm by return email whether you were provided with a copy of the invoices in **2013** and if so on what date?
- Please also confirm whether you were provided with alternative quotations from any other companies in relation to the damage in **February 2013** and **March 2013**
- If you were not then please confirming this also.
- If no invoices were provided last year, can you, please confirm whether you know of any connection between your client and the Building Company Patel Construction.
- The invoices refer to optional extras amounting to **£4500** plus VAT but no invoice has been provided to confirm that this work was ever carried out which begs the question as to whether the premises were ever actually secured and whether the damage was ever remedied as claimed. We are very concerned as to how did the damage jumped from **£600** with an optional extra to **£4,500** plus VAT and finally to a claim in the region of **£40,000** from an estimate sent to the insurance company in **September 2013**
- Mr Rakesh Patel in his statement dated **10th March 2014** (telephone statement) refers to his manager reporting the second break into police but not having a crime reference number.
- We will be asking for a statement from the manager to be obtained via the police as this would undoubtedly list the damage caused etc

**825.**

- We would be grateful if the above could be confirmed. Our client is facing a charge of burglary based on damage caused.



- The case is listed in the warned list for trial in **June 2014**
- We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours sincerely  
Josephine Ward

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO**

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

201. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Fwd.\_ March **2013** invoices\_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 826,827,828,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD  
[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 11 April **2014** 03:27

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Fwd.: March **2013** invoices

FYI

**Forwarded message from:** Allaway, Trevor <[Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com](mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cluk.com)>

**Date:** Thu, Apr 10, **2014** at 11:13 AM

**Subject:** RE: March **2013** invoices

**To:** JOSEPHINE WARD <[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)>

Dear Ms Ward

- I have checked my file and there are no copies or mention of these invoices.
- I have spoken to Nikki Diamond and she has no recollection of ever having seen the documents which I described to her.

I hope this helps.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor,3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

Tel Office 0121 233 6765

Facsimile 0845 425 2850

Email: [Trevor.allaway@cl-uk.coM](mailto:Trevor.allaway@cl-uk.coM)

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 09 April **2014** 19:04

**To:** Allaway, Trevor

**Subject:** March **2013** invoices

Dear Mr Allaway

- I refer to my previous emails.
- I am attaching copies of two invoices received from the CPS in relation to the case against our client.

You kindly provided me with a copy of your insurance file pursuant to a section 35 application and in that disclosure, there were no invoices dated **01st March 2013** or **29th**

**827.**

➤ **March 2013.**

- Can you please confirm by return email whether you were provided with a copy of the invoices in **2013** and if so on what date?
- Please also confirm whether you were provided with alternative quotations from any other companies in relation to the damage in **February 2013** and **March 2013**
- If you were not then please confirming this also.
- If no invoices were provided last year, can you, please confirm whether you know of any connection between your client and the Building Company Patel Construction.
- The invoices refer to optional extras amounting to **£4500** plus VAT but no invoice has been provided to confirm that this work was ever carried out which begs the question as to whether the premises were ever actually secured and whether the damage was ever remedied as claimed. We are very concerned as to how did the damage jumped from **£600** with an optional extra to **£4,500** plus VAT and finally to a claim in the region of **£40,000** from an estimate sent to the insurance company in **September 2013**
- Mr Rakesh Patel in his statement dated **10th March 2014** (telephone statement) refers to his manager reporting the second break into police but not having a crime reference number.
- We will be asking for a statement from the manager to be obtained via the police as this would undoubtedly list the damage caused etc

**825.**

- We would be grateful if the above could be confirmed. Our client is facing a charge of burglary based on damage caused.
- The case is listed in the warned list for trial in **June 2014**
- We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours sincerely

Josephine Ward

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO**

**Confidentiality:** This email and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error, you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

**Viruses:** Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

**Security:** Please be aware in communicating with us by email that internet email by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium. This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non-business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility. Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire

**828.**

RG1 1AX. **VAT No: 724 3766 27.**

<b>12/04/2014</b>	<b><u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u></b>
-------------------	---

<b>13/04/2014</b>	<b><u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u></b>
-------------------	---

<b>14/04/2014</b>	<b><u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u></b>
-------------------	---

15/04/2014

Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

300. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Acknowledgement to Information request –  
Ref 385573 (ref\_ 385573) /

**Page Numbers:** 830,831,832

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

301. Page, John Out of Office Autoreply\_ Acknowledgement to Information  
request –

Ref 385573 (ref\_ 385 /

**Page Numbers:** 833

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

302. Page, John \_ RE\_ Acknowledgement to Information request –  
Ref 385573 (ref\_ 385573) /

**Page Numbers:** 834,835,836

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

303. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Response to Information request –  
Ref 380680 (ref\_ 380680) /

**Page Numbers:** 837,838,839,840,841

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

300. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref\_385573)

/ **Page Numbers:** 830,831,832,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 15 April 2014 10:05

**To:** '[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)'

**Subject: RE:** Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Dear John

- After the information I got on the **24/03/2014**
- ref number 380680
- I asked for some more information to the data that had been given, you put this under a new
- ref number of 385573
- I was wondering if there was any update.
- And also worried that was all of the email sent over so they could track which information was given so they knew what I was asking about.

Simon Cordell

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement

[**Mail To:** [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 02 April 2014 09:07

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Acknowledgement to Information request –

**Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)**

Southwark Council - Information request

**Our reference:** 385573

Dear Ms Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 385573

- Thank you for your request for information that was received on **27 March 2014**
- in which you requested: Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.
- I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was any way I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.
- You say in the information that “on **01 May 2013** the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013.**”
- Is there any way we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?
- Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013** if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?

**831.**

- Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013** and if so, what was the police officers' details?
- On the **01st May 2013** when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so, could we have the police officers' details?
- On the **03rd May 2013** Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring.
- Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.
- Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers' details that attended to serve the said notice? Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding

unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers' details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

- If this information can be done under the same freedom information act, I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you.
- Could you please update me as to this request?
- Your request is being dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and you will receive a response within 20 working days of the date that we received your request.
- The Freedom of Information Act 2000 defines a number of exemptions that may restrict the release of the requested information.
- There will be an assessment and if any of the exemption's categories apply then the information may not be released.
- You will be informed if this is the case, including your rights of appeal.
- The information provided will be in English, normal font size.
- If you require alternative formats, e.g., other language, audio, large print, etc. please notify us.
- If we are unable to provide you with the information requested, we will notify you of this together with the reason(s) why and details of how you may appeal (if appropriate).
- Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:
- Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

**832.**

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

301. Page, John Out of Office Autoreply\_ Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref\_ 385

/ **Page Numbers:** 833,

**From:** Page, John [[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)]

**Sent:** 15 April 2014 10:06

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Out of Office Autoreply: Acknowledgement to Information request [Ref 385573 \(ref: 385573\)](#)

- I am on annual leave on Thursday 10th April, returning to the office on Tuesday
- **22 April 2014.**
- I will check my emails from time to time.
- If you need me in an emergency, please call my mobile 07515
- The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.
- If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately.

- If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person.
- To do so may be unlawful.
- Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

302. Page, John \_ RE\_ Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref\_ 385573)

/ **Page Numbers:** 834,835,836,

**From:** Page, John [[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)]

**Sent:** 15 April 2014 10:10

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Acknowledgement to Information request Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Dear Mr Cordell

- The information you have requested is being prepared.
- Under the freedom of information Act 2000 the Council has 20 working days from the date of your request for a response and we do intend to reply to you within that time period.
- yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager.

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[Mail To:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 15 April 2014 10:05

**To:** Page, John

**Subject: RE:** Acknowledgement to Information request Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Dear John

- After the information I got on the **24/03/2014**
- ref number 380680
- I asked for some more information to the data that had been given, you put this under a new
- ref number of 385573
- I was wondering if there was any update.
- And also worried that was all of the email sent over so they could track which information was given so they knew what I was asking about.

Simon Cordell

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement

**Mail To:**[environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)

**Sent:** 02 April 2014 09:07

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Acknowledgement to Information request Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Southwark Council Information request

**Our reference:** 385573

Dear Ms Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 385573

- Thank you for your request for information that was received on **27 March 2014**
- in which you requested: Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.
- I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was any way I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.

**835.**

- You say in the information that “on **01 May 2013** the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013.**”

- Is there any way we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?
- Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013** if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?
- Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013** and if so, what was the police officers' details?
- On the **01st May 2013** when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so, could we have the police officers' details?
- On the **03rd May 2013** Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring.
- Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.
- Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers' details that attended to serve the said notice? Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers' details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?
- If this information can be done under the same freedom information act, I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you.
- Could you please update me as to this request?
- Your request is being dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and you will receive a response within 20 working days of the date that we received your request.
- The Freedom of Information Act 2000 defines a number of exemptions that may restrict the release of the requested information.
- There will be an assessment and if any of the exemption's categories apply then the information may not be released.
- You will be informed if this is the case, including your rights of appeal.
- The information provided will be in English, normal font size.
- If you require alternative

**836.**

- formats, e.g., other language, audio, large print, etc.
- please notify us.
- If we are unable to provide you with the information requested, we will notify you of this together with the reason(s) why and details of how you may appeal (if appropriate).
- Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:
- Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent. The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and



are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

303. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref\_ 380680)

/ **Page Numbers:** 837,838,839,840,841,

**Sent:** 15 April 2014 10:14

**To:** '[environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)'

**Subject: RE:** Response to Information request Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

To Whom It May Concern:

- After the information I got on the **24/03/2014**
- ref number 380680
- I asked for some more information to the data that had been given, you put this under a new
- ref number of 385573
- I was wondering if there was any update.
- And also worried that was all of the email sent over so they could track which information was given so they knew what I was asking about.

Regards

Simon Cordell

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [**Mail To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 27 March 2014 13:32

**To:** 'Community Safety Enforcement'

**Subject: RE:** Response to Information request Ref [380680 \(ref: 380680\)](#)

Dear John Page

- Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.
- I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was any way I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.
- You say in the information that "on **01/05/ 2013** the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave
- which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04/05/2013.**"
- Is there any way we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?
- Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04/05/2013** if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?
- Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04/05/2013**, and if so, what was the police officers' details?
- On the **01/05/2013**
- when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so, could we have the police officers' details?
- On the **03/05/2013**
- Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring.
- Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.
- Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers' details that attended to serve the said notice? Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding



unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers' details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

- If this information can be done under the same freedom information act, I would be grateful, if you would need a

**838.**

section 35 DPA I can forward this to you.

- Could you please update me as to this request?

Regards

Simon Cordell

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement [Mail

**To:** [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 24 March 2014 15:43

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Response to Information request Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Southwark Council Information

request

**Our reference:** 380680

Dear Mr Cordell

**Re:** Your request for information: 380680

- Thank you for your request for information that was received on **13 March 2014**
- in which you requested:
- I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.
- I know on the **16/02/2013** **17/02/2013** a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse
- I also know that a noise abatement order was put in place on the warehouse on this date.
- It is also fact that on the **04/05/2013** **05/05/2013** a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire their sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the **Feb 2013** due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.
- The person that Hires their sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited, he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person who had hire their sound system out there was be back throughout the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.
- **Therefore**, I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.
- I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.
- I know this is a fact, as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the **May 2013** and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.

**839.**

- If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me, can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier, I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.
- The information that you requested is below on your original Freedom of Information request ref 375981, a search was made on the address you gave as Unit 3, 4 Horrison Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road Se15 6SD for the dates **16 -17 February 2013**.
- I can confirm that no records were recorded under that particular address.
- This request has requested 'Bianca Road' as a search criterion, and in addition to the **16-17 February 2013**, also gives new dates of **4-5 May 2013**.
- All Calls (Service Requests) received **16-17 February 2013**
- Our records show 3 service requests were received regarding noise from 'the street' Bianca Road on the **17th February 2013** at:  
G. 05:37hrs

H. 07:50hrs

I. 07:53hrs

- The first service request was received outside of our operational hours.
- With regards to the other two service requests, the officers rang the clients at 08:15hrs and 08:25hrs respectively.
- The first client informed the Officer that the music was no longer bothering them, however, the other client asked for the officers to visit.
- Two officers visited the client at 08:45hrs and assessed the level of noise received at the client's premises.
- No nuisance was witnessed; however, they advised the client to call back should the level rise.
- No further calls were received, and no Abatement Notice was served on that date.
- All Calls (Service Requests) received 45 **May 2013**
- According to our records no service requests were received regarding this premises on these dates.
- Noise Abatement Notice On **01 May 2013** the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**.
- In response officers visited the site on **01 May 2013** and established that the 'old sausage factory' in Bianca Road was being squatted.
- Officers spoke to the squatters who were residing there, and whilst they did not substantiate the claim, they did not deny it either. Officers felt that should the rave go ahead it would likely cause a nuisance to nearby residents.
- In a proactive attempt to address this, on **03 May 2013**
- Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring.
- Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.
- In addition to the above, Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a **840**.
- warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment.
- Actions on the **4-5 May 2013**
- The Noise Team made several visits at the following times: 01:37hrs 2 officers noted that the rave had started; they contacted Southwark Police to enquire whether there were sufficient resources to shut it down (should a nuisance be witnessed), and were informed that this would be unlikely.
- They also spoke to the organisers of the rave and reminded them that the Notice was in place.
- The organisers reduced the volume to a low level. 03:50hrs The Noise team Unit Manager also visited the site along with the Police who were attached to the Council's Night-time Economy Team. She also spoke to the organisers, who stated that they would reduce the volume further on request.
- No Police ID numbers were taken or recorded. 11:47hrs 2 officers visited the premises, but no nuisance witnessed. 16:53hrs Same 2 offices visited with nothing being witnessed.
- Reports Written No reports were written on the events for both dates.
- You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting.
- Any other reuse, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder.
- You may apply for permission to reuse this information by submitting a request to [accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk); you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>.
- Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>
- If you have any queries or concerns, then please contact us using the above details. Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:
- Information Commissioner's Office

**841**

John Page

Quality Manager

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

16/04/2014	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
17/04/2014	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
18/04/2014	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
19/04/2014	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
20/04/2014	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
21/04/2014	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
22/04/2014	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>  <u>1</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 306. Community Safety Enforcement Response to Information request – Ref 385573 (ref_ 385573) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 843,844,845,846 Gazebo Case!  <u>2</u> <b><u>The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:</u></b> 307. Lorraine Cordell _Fwd._ Response to Information request – Ref 385573 (ref_ 385573) / <b>Page Numbers:</b> 847,848,849,850 Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

306. Community Safety Enforcement Response to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref\_385573)

/ **Page Numbers:** 843,844,845,846,

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement [[environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 22 April 2014 13:46

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Response to Information request - [Ref 385573](#)  
[\(ref: 385573\)](#)

**Attachments:** [Appendix 1.pdf](#); [Appendix 2.pdf](#)

**Southwark Council** - Information request

**Our reference:** 385573

Dear Mr Cordell,

**Re:** Your request for information: 385573

- I am writing further to your request for information dated **27 March 2014**
- to advise you that we have now completed our search for the information you requested:
- Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.
- I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was any way I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.
- You say in the information that "on **01 May 2013** the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013.**"
- Is there any way we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?
- Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?
- Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**, and if so, what was the police officers' details?
- On the **01st May 2013** when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so, could we have the police officers' details?

**844.**

- On the **03rd May 2013**
- Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring.
- Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.
- Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers' details that attended to serve the said notice?
- Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers' details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?
- If this information can be done under the same freedom information act, I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you.
- Could you please update me as to this request?
- The information that can be disclosed is below.

**Question:**

- Is there any way we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?
- Answer: The identity of any person making a service request to the Council is kept anonymous.

**Question:**

- Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**,

➤ if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?

**Answer:**

➤ It was not the Police who informed the Council.

**Question:**

➤ Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**, and if so, what was the police officers' details?

**Answer:**

➤ The Council's Noise Team contacted the Police through the Police Control room; no officer contact details were required.

**Question:**

➤ On the **01st May 2013** when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so, could we have the police officers' details?

**Answer:**

➤ This information is not held by the Council.

➤ The Metropolitan Police may be able provide details.

**Question:**

➤ On the **03rd May 2013** Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring.

➤ Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.

➤ Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers' details that attended to serve the said notice?

**Answer:**

➤ This Notice was served by the Noise Team and no Police officers would need to attend.

➤ See Appendix 1

**845.**

**Question:**

➤ Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers' details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

**Answer:**

➤ See Appendix 2 attached Under section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, third party personal data pertaining to the names, email addresses and telephone numbers of junior council officers, has been redacted.

➤ In accordance with section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 this letter acts as a refusal notice for the information which we are not disclosing.

➤ You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting.

➤ Any other reuse, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder.

➤ You may apply for permission to reuse this information by submitting a request to [accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk); you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>

➤ Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>

➤ If you are unhappy with the service you have received in relation to your request and wish to make a complaint or request a review of our decision, you should write to the:

➤ Corporate Freedom of Information Officer

Governance team (2nd floor)

PO Box 64529

London. SE1 5LX

Email: [accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk)

If you are not content with the outcome your appeal, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Generally, the Information Commissioner cannot make a decision

unless you have first exhausted our internal appeal procedure and you should contact him within 2 months of the outcome of your internal appeal Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

**Telephone:** 0303 123 1113

Internet: [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk)

**846,**

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

[John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK](mailto:John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK)

The email you received, and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email, they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

307. Lorraine Cordell \_Fwd.\_ Response to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref\_ 385573)

/ **Page Numbers:** 847,848,849,850,

**Sent:** 22 April 2014 22:28

**To:** 'Josephine Ward'

**Subject: FW:** Response to Information request Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

**Attachments:** [Appendix 1.pdf](#);

[Appendix 2.pdf](#)

Hi Jossey

- Here is the email that has been sent to me today from the council.
- Please also see the attached files that were served on the occupiers of the building before Simon went there on the **04/05/2013**, as you will see the council are saying that the police did not give them the information on the **01/05/2013** and cannot give us the details of the person or persons that did give them the information.
- But you will also note that the council did pass the information onto the police.
- Also, could we get an update on as to what has been going on have the CPS sent the pictures yet of **Feb 2013**, also have they sent anything over from the council.
- Also, when is this going to be listed for court if you have not had any information from the CPS, and just an update to anything else that has gone on with Simon Case.

Lorraine

**From:** Community Safety Enforcement [Mail To: [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk)]

**Sent:** 22 April 2014 13:46

**To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Subject:** Response to Information request Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Southwark Council Information request

**Our reference:** 385573

Dear Mr Cordell,

**Re:** Your request for information: 385573

- I am writing further to your request for information dated **27 March 2014** to advise you that we have now completed our search for the information you requested: Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.
- I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was any way I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.
- You say in the information that “on **01 May 2013**
- the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013.**”
- Is there any way we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?
- Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the **04 May 2013**, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?
- Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and?

**848,849,850.**

<b>23/04/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>24/04/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>25/04/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>26/04/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>27/04/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>28/04/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>29/04/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>30/04/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
	May
<b>01/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>02/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>03/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>04/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>04/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>06/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>07/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>08/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>09/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>10/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
<b>11/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>

12/05/2014

Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

1

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

308. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Case\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 853

Gazebo Case!

1

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

308. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Case\_ (2)

/ **Page Numbers:** 853,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 May 2014 10:45

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Case

Hi Josey

- Simon has asked can his case be listed for court this week about the non-discloser he said the CPS has had long enough and still have not given over what they were meant to.
- This was last in court on the **08/04/2014** and the judge give until the **11/04/2014** for the CPS to give the discloser over which still has not happened the Judge said that if the discloser was not given it was meant to be re listed back in court.
- The CPS asked for more time which you gave them and they still have done nothing, so Simon wants it listed in court so this can be told to the judge.

Lorraine

13/05/2014

Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

14/05/2014

Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

15/05/2014

Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

3

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

304. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Case (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 856

Gazebo Case!



3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

304. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Case (2)

/ Page Numbers: 856,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 15 May 2014 18:31

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Case

Hi Josey

➤ Is there any update to the below email can you let me know please?

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [**Mail To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 12 May 2014 10:45

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Case

Hi Josey

- Simon has asked can his case be listed for court this week about the non-discloser he said the CPS has had long enough and still have not given over what they were meant to.
- This was last in court on the **08/04/2014** and the judge give until the **11/04/2014** for the CPS to give the discloser over which still has not happened the Judge said that if the discloser was not given it was meant to be re listed back in court.
- The CPS asked for more time which you gave them and they still have done nothing, so Simon wants it listed in court so this can be told to the judge.

**16/05/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**17/05/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**18/05/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**19/05/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**Dairy striped up to here for sure**

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

311. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (26) /

**Page Numbers:** 858,859

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

312. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (27) /

**Page Numbers:** 860

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

313. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (28) /

**Page Numbers:** 861

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

311. JOSEPHINE Ward\_Regina v\_ (26)

/ Page Numbers: 858,859,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 19 May 2014 17:22

To: [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

[listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk);

J.B. AkinOlugbade;

Lorraine Cordell; too smooth;

Del Edgeler;

[Mark.Tomlinson@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:Mark.Tomlinson@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on Wednesday

**21st May 2014**

Dear Sir or Madam

- We continue to act on behalf of Mr Simon Cordell in relation to an allegation of burglary.
- As you are aware this case was in court on **08th April 2014** for a mention hearing before his Honour Judge Shorrock.
- On that occasion the Learned Judge made directions as to the service of crime scene photographs from **February 2014** (as referred to in the statement of Paul Michael Whittaker dated **25th March 2014**).
- There are 14 photographs referred to in the statement, but we have not been provided with an album containing the pictures of indeed a photograph.
- In addition, we have not been served with the statement from the Council regarding the noise abatement.
- We will forward under separate cover copies of a section 80 noise abatement notice and a notice prohibiting the sale of alcohol at the planned party in Bianca Road that Mr Cordell's mother secured from the Council under a section 35 application.
- It appears as though there is confusion as to the venue address and indeed the venue referred to in the noise abatement notice (Bianca Road) and the alleged burgled premises appear to be one and the same albeit the burglary is charged under the address of Unit 34 Haymerle Road, Peckham, London, SE15 6SA as opposed to Bianca Road.
- We also request that the prosecution clarify specifically what the particulars of the charge is against Mr Cordell as in the advance information he was charged with loss of stock to the value of **£8220**.
- Mr Patel in his statement dated **08th May 2013** refers to loss and also damage.
- The damage is estimated at **£8,000 £10,000**.
- In the case papers there is reference to a burglary and the items being stolen being a gazebo and also a chair.
- With regards to the gazebo Mr Cordell will bring to court a copy of an invoice that he has confirming that he purchased this item and therefore this could not have come from any burglary.
- In addition, the invoices provided in the case papers do not have listed the chair seized from Mr Cordell's home address.
- The chair listed on the invoices provided by Mr Patel refer to Monaco multi position chairs whereas the chair removed from Mr Cordell's' address is a Venice chair.
- The photograph provided is of a Venice chair and not a Monaco chair.
- We seek clarification as to the particulars of the burglary charge as if the charge is to be amended to damage caused.
- There have to date been three burglaries at the premises, one in **February 2013**, one in **March 2013** and one in **May 2013**.
- We know from the insurance documents that Nikki Diamond visited the site on **28th February 2013** and updated the broker on **02nd April 2013** damage being described as access holes being knocked through in the walls to gain access and an additional two access points were discovered. reference is made to damage to the rook also.
- There is also a comment made that there is no way to differentiate which damage was caused when.

- We know that there are crime scene photographs in relation to the **February 2013** offence and these need to be compared to the crime scene photograph in relation to the **May 2013** offence.
- We also request that the officer in the case clarify the position with regards to the invoices and the date when they were obtained from Mr Patel.
- The invoices that we are specifically making reference to are the ones dated 1st **March 2013** and **29th March 2013** which itemise identical damage.
- The optional extras recommended are identical also.
- Mr Trevor Allaway from the Insurance company confirmed that he never received the invoices / estimates from Mr Patel and he checked with Nikki Diamond whether she had seen the invoices and she stated that they had not been provided to the insurance company.
- Indeed, from the insurance file there is an email from [Simone Sail man](#) requesting repair quotations from [Deven Patel](#) (dated **24th April 2013**) but the invoices provided by Mr Patel to the police are clearly dated **01st March 2013** And **29th March 2013**
- Our query is why were these not **859.**
- provided to the insurance company?
- We request the date that these invoices were provided to the police.
- The estimate itemising the damage dated **05th September 2013** appears to correspond to damage itemised in a telephone call to the insurance broker by Nicola Diamond on **02nd April 2013** at 15:04:00 with additions.
- The estimate for this damage is **£39420.00.**
- Mr Patel in his statement dated **08th May 2013** estimates the damage as being between **£8,000 £ 10,000.**
- Yet the invoice dated **05th September 2013** substantially increases the estimate by some **£30,000.**
- The invoices dated in March only refer top rebricking one wall at a cost of **£600** yet Nicola Diamond refers to at least three entry points.
- The statement of Mr Rakesh Patel dated **10th March 2014** gives us cause for concern as he has produced two invoices that never appear to have been provided to his insurance company.
- He also makes reference to his manager reporting the burglary but not been given a crime reference.
- We request that a statement be taken from this Manager and in particular that the Manager confirms the damage that was caused and the value of the stock stolen and how this amount was reconciled to stock in the warehouse.
- We are also concerned as police attended on the night of the raves in **May 2013** and the damage to the wall would have been apparent to the police.
- In addition, we find it bizarre that there were no additional police patrols in the area on the night of a rave.
- Our client is adamant that police officers attended on the night in question and were powerless to stop the rave / private party from taking place because there were people squatting inside the premises and notices on the walls clearly stating that the premises were being used as legal squats.
- The police officers who attended on the night of the rave / private party can confirm that there was damage already in existence and also that the premises were a legal squat.
- This would contradict the statement of Inspector Mc Millan dated **31st March 2014** who stated that there were no obvious notices / signs indicating squatters' rights?
- We therefore request that the officer in the case make enquiries in relation to the Bianca Road address as referred to in the section 80 notices.
- We request that this case be listed for mention on Wednesday **21st May 2014** in order that the above can be clarified and also that our client's bail conditions are clarified, in particular our client seeks the return of his passport.
- We await the confirmation of the listing in due course.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

312. JOSEPHINE Ward\_Regina v\_ (27)

/ Page Numbers: 860,

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 19 May 2014 17:24

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention on **21st May 2014** at Woolwich Crown Court  
Simon / Lorraine

- Please ensure that you bring the invoice for your gazebo to court on Wednesday 2014
- and also, the link confirming that the gazebos were on sale elsewhere, which effectively contradicts the statement of Mr Patel.
- I will confirm the listing in due course. Please do not hesitate to email me with any further enquiries.

Regards  
Josephine

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

313. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Regina v\_ (28)

/ Page Numbers: 861

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

Sent: 19 May 2014 17:33

To: [croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk)  
[listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk);

J.B. AkinOlugbade;

Lorraine Cordell

too smooth

[MarkTomlinson@cps.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:MarkTomlinson@cps.gsi.gov.uk)

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention on  
**21st May 2014**

Attachments: [Appendix 1.pdf](#)

[Appendix 2.pdf](#)

Dear Sirs

- Please see the notices from the Council re noise abatement at Bianca Road as referred to in our earlier email.
- Please marry up to the earlier email.

Yours faithfully

**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

**20/05/2014**

**Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.**

**Bromley Court!**

**21/05/2014**

**Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.**

**1**  
**Bail conditions got changed**

1st case gazebo!

**1**  
**Bail conditions got changed**

1st case gazebo!

This day a hearing took place and the Judge removed some of the bail conditions for the wool which crown court case.

22/05/2014

Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

[My Bail conditions got changed 1st case gazebo!](#)

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

318. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (13) /

**Page Numbers:** 867

Gazebo Case!

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

319. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (11) /

**Page Numbers:** 868

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

318. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (13)

/ **Page Numbers:** 867,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD [[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)]

**Sent:** 22 May 2014 19:02

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention on **21st May 2014**

Lorraine

- As discussed yesterday when I receive the information from the barrister, I will arrange a meeting with Simon.
- I have asked for it to be placed in the DX and I can confirm that it has not yet been received, hopefully tomorrow.
- With regards to the bail conditions, I am awaiting on the barrister's back sheet to clarify the above.
- I will send a chaser email to Chambers now.

Regards

Josephine

On **Thu, May 22, 2014 at 6:21 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

- Is there any update to what happened in court yesterday and have you got the files yet?
- Also, about Simon bail conditions.

Regards

Lorraine

5

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

319. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Regina v\_ (11)

/ **Page Numbers:** 868,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 22 May 2014 18:22

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention on **21st May 2014**

Hi Josey

- Is there any update to what happened in court yesterday and have you got the files yet?
- Also, about Simon bail conditions.

Regards

Lorraine

23/05/2014

Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

1

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

292. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Fwd.\_ CORDELL /

**Page Numbers:** 869,870

Gazebo Case!

2

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

322. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ CORDELL\_ (4) /

**Page Numbers:** 871,872

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

292. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Fwd.\_ CORDELL

/ Page Numbers: 869,870,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 19:49

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Fwd.: CORDELL

**Attachments:** [doc06299020140523092249.pdf](#)

Hi Simon / Lorraine

- I am forwarding Counsel's back sheet from the mention hearing.
- The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared, and he lifted the curfew.
- I will seek clarification of this point.
- I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.
- The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.
- Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

**Forwarded message from:**

Irram Khan <[Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com](mailto:Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com)>

**Date:** Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM

**Subject:** CORDELL

**To:** "[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)>

**Original Message From:**

[kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Mail To:** [kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 09:23

**To:** Irram Khan

**Subject:** TASKalfa300i [00:c0:ee: 78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your

**870,**

copy from your system. Please consider the environment do you really need to print this email?

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

322. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ CORDELL\_ (4)

/ Page Numbers: 871,872,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 23:23

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: CORDELL

Hi Josey

➤ Thank you for the reply the OIC needs to contact Edmonton police station and put a release on Simon passport as that is where it is.

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 19:49

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Fwd.: CORDELL

Hi Simon / Lorraine

- I am forwarding Counsel's back sheet from the mention hearing.
- The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared, and he lifted the curfew.
- I will seek clarification of this point.
- I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.
- The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.
- Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

**Forwarded message from:**

Irram Khan

[Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com](mailto:Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com)

**Date:** Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM

**Subject:** CORDELL

**To:** "[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Original Message From:**

[kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Mail To:** [kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 09:23

**To:** Irram Khan

**Subject:**

TASKalfa300i [00:c0:ee: 78:77:de]

**872,**

This

email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).



Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment do you really need to print this email?

**24/05/2014**

**Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.**

**1**

**My Bail conditions got changed**

1st case gazebo!

**2**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

323. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ CORDELL\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 873,874

Gazebo Case!

1

**My Bail conditions got changed**

1st case gazebo!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

323. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ CORDELL\_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 873,874,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 24 May 2014 00:55

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE: CORDELL**

Hi Lorraine

➤ I will email court to request verification that his **passport can be restored**. I will then email it to you.

Regards

Josephine

On 23 May 2014 23:23, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

➤ Thank you for the reply the OIC needs to contact Edmonton police station and put a release on Simon passport as that is where it is.

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 19:49

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Fwd.: CORDELL

Hi Simon / Lorraine

➤ I am forwarding Counsel's back sheet from the mention hearing.

➤ The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared, and he lifted the curfew.

➤ I will seek clarification of this point.

➤ I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.

➤ The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.

**874.**

➤ Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

Forwarded

**message from:**

Irram Khan

[Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com](mailto:Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com)

**Date:** Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM

**Subject:** CORDELL

**To:** "[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

Original

**Message From:**

[kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Mail To:** [kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 09:23

**To:** Irram Khan

**Subject:** TASKalfa300i [00:c0:ee: 78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment do you really need to print this email?

<b>25/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
-------------------	--

<b>26/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
-------------------	--

<b>27/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
-------------------	--

<b>28/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
-------------------	--

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

324. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ CORDELL\_ (3) /

**Page Numbers:** 875,876

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

325. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ CORDELL\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 877,878,879

Gazebo Case!

4

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

147. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ CORDELL\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 61,62,63

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

324. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ CORDELL\_ (3)

/ Page Numbers: 875,876,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 28 May 2014 16:29

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE: CORDELL**

Hi Josey

- I called the OIC today and told him where Simon Passport was, so he sent an email over to them to release the passport.
- Simon has collected it now, so he has it back.
- So, it's now just to confirm the signing on each Sunday and not to go into Southwark council left to confirm which as far as the judge said he is not meant to be doing this.
- Can you also give me an update as to if you had anything from the DX yet?
- As the OIC also said there is a statement from Nikki Diamond that was handed over at court last week, on the phone today.
- But I sure Nikki Diamond was meant to be Simon witness along with Trevor Allaway.

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 24 May 2014 00:55

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE: CORDELL**

Hi Lorraine

- I will email court to request verification that his **passport can be restored**. I will then email it to you.

Regards

Josephine

On **23 May 2014 23:23**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the reply the OIC needs to contact Edmonton police station and put a release on Simon passport as that is where it is.

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 19:49

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject: Fwd.: CORDELL**

Hi Simon / Lorraine

- I am forwarding Counsel's back sheet from the mention hearing.
- The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared, and he lifted the curfew.
- I will seek clarification of this point.
- I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.

**876.**

- The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.
- Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

**Forwarded message from:**

Irram Khan

[Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com](mailto:Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com)

**Date:** Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM

**Subject:** CORDELL

**To:** "[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Original Message From:**

[kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Mail To:** [kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 09:23

**To:** Irram Khan

**Subject:** TASK alfa300i [00:c0:ee: 78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment do you really need to print this email?

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

325. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ CORDELL\_ (2)

/ **Page Numbers:** 877,878,879,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 29 May 2014 11:01

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject:** RE: CORDELL

Hi Josey

➤ I hope you have a great holiday, and yes, the week commencing the 09/06/2014 should be fine.

➤ Is there anyway if the pictures and files come over in the DX before then can they be emailed to us before as Simon would like to see them as soon as possible,

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 28 May 2014 17:24

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** RE: CORDELL

Lorraine

- 1) I am still waiting for the documents and photographs served on Counsel at the hearing.
- 2) There is no property in a witness so police can take statements from Nike Diamond and Trevor Allaway.
- 3) I am still waiting CPS to confirm if burglary charge damage based or items stolen value
- 4) Is Simon available to attend office week commencing 9th June 2014 as I am now on annual leave (but still contactable by email / text)

5) CPS reviewing lawyer wrote and asked if only witness DC Mayhew. Will be clarifying that this is not the case by fax as waiting noise abatement and police who attended Bianca Road. I will forward a copy of fax to you by email.

Josephine

On **28 May 2014 16:29**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- I called the OIC today and told him where Simon Passport was, so he sent an email over to them to release the passport.
- Simon has collected it now, so he has it back.
- So, it's now just to confirm the signing on each Sunday and not to go into Southwark council left to confirm which as far as the judge said he is not meant to be doing this.
- Can you also give me an update as to if you had anything from the DX yet?
- As the OIC also said there is a statement from Nikki Diamond that was handed over at court last week, on the phone today.

But I sure Nikki Diamond was meant to be Simon witness along with Trevor Allaway

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE

**878.**

**Subject: RE: CORDELL**

Hi Lorraine

- I will email court to request verification that his **passport can be restored**. I will then email it to you.

Regards

Josephine

On **23 May 2014 23:23**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Thank you for the reply the OIC needs to contact Edmonton police station and put a release on Simon passport as that is where it is.

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 19:49

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Fwd.: CORDELL

Hi Simon / Lorraine

- I am forwarding Counsel's back sheet from the mention hearing.
- The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared, and he lifted the curfew.
- I will seek clarification of this point.
- I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.
- The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.
- Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

**Forwarded message from:**

Irram Khan

[Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com](mailto:Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com)

**Date:** Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM

**Subject:** CORDELL

To: "[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)  
[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)  
[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)  
[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Original Message From:**

[kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Mail To:** [kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Sent:** 23

**879,**

**To:** Irram Khan

**Subject:** TASK alfa 300i [00:c0:ee: 78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

**4**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

147. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ CORDELL\_ (2)

/ Page Numbers: 61,62,63

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 28 May 2014 17:24

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** RE: CORDELL

Lorraine

- 1) I am still waiting for the documents and photographs served on Counsel at the hearing.
- 2) There is no property in a witness so police can take statements from Nike Diamond and Trevor Allaway.
- 3) I am still waiting CPS to confirm if burglary charge damage based on items stolen value
- 4) Is Simon available to attend office week commencing 9th June 2014 as I am now on annual leave (but still contactable by email / text)
- 5) CPS reviewing lawyer wrote and asked if only witness DC Mayhew. Will be clarifying that this is not the case by fax as waiting noise abatement and police who attended Bianca Road. I will forward a copy of fax to you by email.

Josephine

On 28 May 2014 16:29, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- I called the OIC today and told him where Simon Passport was, so he sent an email over to them to release the passport.
- Simon has collected it now, so he has it back.
- So, it's now just to confirm the signing on each Sunday and not to go into Southwark council left to confirm which as far as the judge said he is not meant to be doing this.
- Can you also give me an update as to if you had anything from the DX yet?
- As the OIC also said there is a statement from Nikki Diamond that was handed over at court last week, on the phone today.

But I sure Nikki Diamond was meant to be Simon witness along with Trevor Allaway

Regards

Lorraine

**From:**

**62,**

**Subject: RE: CORDELL**

Hi Lorraine

➤ I will email court to request verification that his **passport can be restored**. I will then email it to you.

Regards

Josephine

On **23 May 2014 23:23**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

➤ Thank you for the reply the OIC needs to contact Edmonton police station and put a release on Simon passport as that is where it is.

Regards

Lorraine

**From: JOSEPHINE WARD**

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 19:49

**To:** Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

**Subject:** Fwd.: CORDELL

Hi Simon / Lorraine

- I am forwarding Counsel's back sheet from the mention hearing.
- The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared, and he lifted the curfew.
- I will seek clarification of this point.
- I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.
- The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.
- Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

**63**

Kind regards

Josephine

**Forwarded message from:**

Irram Khan

[Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com](mailto:Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com)

**Date:** Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM

**Subject:** CORDELL

**To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

[josie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

**Original Message From:**

[kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Mail To:** [kyocera@nexuschambers.com](mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com)

**Sent:** 23 May 2014 09:23

**To:** Irram Khan

**Subject:** TASKalfa300i [00:c0:ee: 78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment do you really need to print this email?



This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead, please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to

[info@nexuschambers.com](mailto:info@nexuschambers.com).

Please then delete your copy from your system. Please consider the environment do you really need to print this email?

<b>29/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
-------------------	--

<b>30/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
-------------------	--

<b>31/05/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
-------------------	--

	June
--	------

<b>01/06/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
-------------------	--

<b>02/06/2014</b>	<u>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</u>
-------------------	--

<b>03/06/2014</b>	<u><b>Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.</b></u>
-------------------	---

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

326. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (61) /

**Page Numbers:** 880

Gazebo Case!

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

330. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (1) /

**Page Numbers:** 884

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

326. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (61)

/ **Page Numbers:** 880,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 02 June 2014 20:06

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office?
- If not, can you re email the barrister to get them sent over, please

Regards

Lorraine

7

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

330. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (1)

/ **Page Numbers:** 884,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 03 June 2014 22:47

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX.
- I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received, and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On **Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office?
- If not, can you re email the barrister to get them sent over, please

Regards

Lorraine

**04/06/2014**

**Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.**

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

331. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (60) /

**Page Numbers:** 885

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

331. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (60)

/ **Page Numbers:** 885,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 03 June 2014 23:43

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

I know you are annual leave and not in a position to check the DX.

But I was thinking that the office would keep you updated on your cases as to if anything did come in the DX.

I will call the office tomorrow and ask if anything has come in and if not, could an email be sent to the barrister as it been 13 days since this went to court and she has had the information, If the barrister had sent the DX, you asked for you should have had it the next day.

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 03 June 2014 22:47

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX.
- I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received, and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On **Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office?
- If not, can you re email the barrister to get them sent over, please

Regards

Lorraine

**05/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**06/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**07/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**08/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**09/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**10/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

334. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (59) /

**Page Numbers:** 888

Gazebo Case!

3

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

334. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (59)

/ **Page Numbers:** 888,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 10 June 2014 10:23

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Would it be possible to let us know if anything has come in the DX for Simon case and if anything has come to send it over by email, please?

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 03 June 2014 22:47

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX.
- I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received, and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On **Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office?
- If not, can you re email the barrister to get them sent over, please

Regards

Lorraine

**11/06/2014**

**Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.**

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

337. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (2) /

**Page Numbers:** 892,893

Gazebo Case!

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

337. JOSEPHINE Ward \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (2)

/ Page Numbers: 892,893,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 11 June 2014 21:47

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

- I am now in possession of the crime scene photographs which appear to show a much tidier warehouse.
- The CPS have still not confirmed whether the burglary is based on damage or loss.
- If loss, then Simon is covered in terms of the receipts.
- If damage then Mr Patel, Nikki Diamond etc will all have to attend court as will the warehouse manager.
- I do not have a car at the moment as I had an accident in May so my ability to do late visits is significantly impacted.
- Is Simon likely to be available Friday afternoon.
- I am at Milton Keynes in the morning and should be back in London for 2pm or alternatively what about Saturday.
- Please let me know which is the more convenient.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Jun 11, 2014 at 9:03 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Would it be possible to get an update as to Simon case and the DX papers and pictures?
- Also, you said you wanted a meeting with Simon this week is there an update on this?

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

**Mail To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 10 June 2014 10:23

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Would it be possible to let us know if anything has come in the DX for Simon case and if anything has come to send it over by email, please?

**893,**

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 03 June 2014 22:47

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX.
- I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received, and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On **Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office?
- If not, can you re email the barrister to get them sent over, please

Regards  
Lorraine

12/06/2014

Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

1

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

338. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (58) /

**Page Numbers:** 894

Gazebo Case!

2

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

339. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Simon Cordell\_ (3) /

**Page Numbers:** 895,896,897

Gazebo Case!

3

The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:

340. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (57) /

**Page Numbers:** 898,899

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

338. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (58)

/ Page Numbers: 894,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 11 June 2014 21:03

**To:** 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Would it be possible to get an update as to Simon case and the DX papers and pictures?
- Also, you said you wanted a meeting with Simon this week is there an update on this?

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

**Mail To:**[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 10 June 2014 10:23

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Would it be possible to let us know if anything has come in the DX for Simon case and if anything has come to send it over by email, please?

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:**[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 03 June 2014 22:47

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX.
- I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received, and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On **Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office?
- If not, can you re email the barrister to get them sent over, please

Regards

Lorraine

2

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

339. JOSEPHINE WARD \_RE\_ Simon Cordell\_ (3)

/ Page Numbers: 895,896,897,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 12 June 2014 11:35

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

- I will try and send over this afternoon as I am between legal visits.

Regards

Josephine

On **12 Jun 2014 07:56**, "Lorraine Cordell" <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

➤ Just got your email so I will confirm with Simon today which day is best for him and let you know today. Is there any way you can email the pictures over to us so he can look at them?

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 11 June 2014 21:47

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

- I am now in possession of the crime scene photographs which appear to show a much tidier warehouse.
- The CPS have still not confirmed whether the burglary is based on damage or loss.
- If loss, then Simon is covered in terms of the receipts.
- If damage then Mr Patel, Nikki Diamond etc will all have to attend court as will the warehouse manager.
- I do not have a car at the moment as I had an accident in May so my ability to do late visits is significantly impacted.

**896.**

- Is Simon likely to be available Friday afternoon.
- I am at Milton Keynes in the morning and should be back in London for 2pm or alternatively what about Saturday.
- Please let me know which is the more convenient.

Regards

Josephine

On **Wed, Jun 11, 2014 at 9:03 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Would it be possible to get an update as to Simon case and the DX papers and pictures?
- Also, you said you wanted a meeting with Simon this week is there an update on this?

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

**Mail To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 10 June 2014 10:23

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Would it be possible to let us know if anything has come in the DX for Simon case and if anything has come to send it over by email, please?

Regards

**897.**

Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 03 June 2014 22:47

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX.
- I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received, and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On **Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey



- Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office?
- If not, can you re email the barrister to get them sent over, please

Regards  
Lorraine

**3**

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

340. Lorraine Cordell \_Re\_ Simon Cordell\_ (57)

/ **Page Numbers:** 898,899,

**From:** Lorraine Cordell  
[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 12 June 2014 07:57

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

- Just got your email so I will confirm with Simon today which day is best for him and let you know today. Is there any way you can email the pictures over to us so he can look at them?

Regards  
Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 11 June 2014 21:47

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

- I am now in possession of the crime scene photographs which appear to show a much tidier warehouse.
- The CPS have still not confirmed whether the burglary is based on damage or loss.
- If loss, then Simon is covered in terms of the receipts.
- If damage then Mr Patel, Nikki Diamond etc will all have to attend court as will the warehouse manager.
- I do not have a car at the moment as I had an accident in May so my ability to do late visits is significantly impacted.
- Is Simon likely to be available Friday afternoon.
- I am at Milton Keynes in the morning and should be back in London for 2pm or alternatively what about Saturday.
- Please let me know which is the more convenient.

Regards  
Josephine

On **Wed, Jun 11, 2014 at 9:03 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> **wrote:**

Hi Josey

- Would it be possible to get an update as to Simon case and the DX papers and pictures?
- Also, you said you wanted a meeting with Simon this week is there an update on this?

Regards  
Lorraine

**From:** Lorraine Cordell

**Mail To:** [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

**Sent:** 10 June 2014 10:23

**To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

**Subject: RE:** Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

**899,**

- Would it be possible to let us know if anything has come in the DX for Simon case and if anything has come to send it over by email, please?

Regards  
Lorraine

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

**Mail To:** [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 03 June 2014 22:47

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject: Re:** Simon Cordell

Lorraine

- I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX.
- I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received, and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On **Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM**, Lorraine Cordell <[lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)> wrote:

Hi Josey

- Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office?
- If not, can you re email the barrister to get them sent over, please

Regards

Lorraine

**13/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**14/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**15/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**16/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**17/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**18/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**19/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**20/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**21/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**22/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**23/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**24/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**25/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**26/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**27/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**28/06/2014** Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

190. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Fwd.\_ Simon Cordell A5698CY /

**Page Numbers:** 908,909

Gazebo Case!

1

**The Enfield Gov / Email's Issue:**

190. JOSEPHINE WARD \_Fwd.\_ Simon Cordell A5698CY

/ Page Numbers: 908,909,

**From:** JOSEPHINE WARD

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

**Sent:** 28 June 2013 14:14

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** Fwd.: Simon Cordell A5698CY

**Attachments:** [CORDELL B20130035.pdf](#)

➤ confirmation of bail grant from court

-----Forwarded message -----

**From:** "Micheal" <[m.mckee@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:m.mckee@michaelcarrollandco.com)>

**Date:** Jun 28, 2013 1:27 PM

**Subject:** Fwd.: Simon Cordell A5698CY

**To:** <[iosie@michaelcarrollandco.com](mailto:iosie@michaelcarrollandco.com)

[josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com](mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

Please see the below. Do you want me to get in touch with Scrubs?

It looks like they have listed his prison number.

Kind regards, Micheal

-----Original Message -----

**From:** "Matthews, Paula"

[Paula.Matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:Paula.Matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

**To:**

**Date:** 28 June 2013 at 13:15

**Subject:** Simon Cordell A5698CY

To whom it may concern,

Please find attached a copy of the Grant of Bail for Simon Cordell who is currently held at HMP Wormwood Scrubs.

The Release on bail is subject to the conditions set out in the order. Edmonton Police Station -

Please be aware that Ms Lorraine Cordell will be attending your station in order to [provide details of the Surety in the figure of £1000](#) Please confirm receipt of this email.

Kind Regards

Paula Matthews | Court Clerk

Crown Court at Southwark | 1 English Grounds | London | SE1 2HU DX 39913 London Bridge

South Tel:020 7522 7234 Working pattern Tuesday to Friday

[paula.matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:paula.matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else.

Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail. This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded, and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not

**909,**

broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents. The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

**29/06/2014** | Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**30/06/2014** | Now subject to a condition of residence bail conditions only.

**July**

01/07/2014

**"I won the Case,  
No more Bail 28/08/2013  
il Conditions"**

2

**App for a variation in bail "Refused!"**

**"Refused!"**

1st Curfew!

Police Court Case Gazebo / 1st Curfew!

**The Gazebo Case: --**

**Arrest: 25/06/2013**

**Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125U.

**Name Charged:** CODELL, SIMON PAUL.

**Date of Birth:**

**Fingerprint Status:** CONFIRMED 01FP 14/07/13.

**DNA Status:** NOT TAKEN.

**Process Stage:** ARRESTED ON

**25/06/2013**

07:20.

**Arresting Officer:** 01 MS

**25/06/2013**

**Report Owner:** MAYHEW/DC/204182/MD.

**Prosecuting Agent:** 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)

**Last Updated:** CPS (CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE) 14/07/13.

**Remand to Dates: --**

1) **25/06/2013:** "Police Station"

2) **26/06/2013:** "Court & the World of Scrubs"

3) **27/06/2013:** "World of Scrubs"

4) **28/06/2013:** "Judge & Chambers "Granted Bail"

5) **10/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

6) **16/07/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

7) **16/08/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

8) **09/09/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

9) **19/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

10) **20/11/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

11) **23/12/2013:** "Bail Conditions"

12) **08/04/2014:** "Bail Conditions"

13) **01/07/2014: "I won the Case, No more Bail Conditions"**

**A. Video of Interview:** "Yes"; Link

**B. Correspondents & Case Files;** "Yes" Link

**C. Bail Conditions: --**

**D. Condition 1:** BAIL CONDITIONS APPLY UNTIL NEXT APPEARANCE AT WOOLWICH CROWN COURT, BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION PLEASE, ENSURE CONDITIONS ARE STILL OUTSTANDING WITH THE RELEVANT CJU.

**E. Condition 2:** TO LIVE AND SLEEP EACH NIGHT AT BAIL ADDRESS ABOVE.

**F. Condition 3:** TO OBSERVE A CURFEW BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 20:00 AND 06:00 EACH DAY. WITH ELECTRONIC TAG.

**G. Condition 4:** TO REPORT TO EDMONTON POLICE STATION BETWEEN 14:00 AND 16:00 EVERY DAY.

**H. Condition 5: OTHER: \*\*\*\*\*NOT TO ENTER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF**

**I. SOUTHWARK \*\*\*\*\***

**J. Condition 6: \*\*\*\*\*PASSPORT REMAINS SURRENDERED TO POLICE\*\*\*\*\***

➤ **Description:** REMANDED IN CUSTODY ON 26/06/13.

➤ **At:** AT SOUTH LONDON MAGISTRATES.

➤ **To Appear At:** NEXT APPEARING ON 10/07/13.

➤ **At:** WOOLWICH CROWN.

	➤ <b>Owner:</b> 01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE.)
<b>02/07/2014</b>	<u>1</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>This day</u></b>  The trial took place: --  Gazebo Case!</li> </ul>
<u>1</u> <b><u>This day</u></b> The trial took place: -- ➤ The Woolwich Crown Court case for the accusations of theft of a garden gazebo, that got said to have got stolen from; Bianca Road from the day of my friends Birthday party, on this day the trial took place that got set and I got Found Not Guilty, before the trial even started. ➤ The Judge dismissed the case and found me Not Guilty because I did nothing wrong. ➤ I got freed from the rest of the police bail conditions but I lost my partner because of the case by this time.	
<b>03/07/2014</b>	
<b>04/07/2014</b>	
<b>05/07/2014</b>	
<b>06/07/2014</b>	
<b>07/07/2014</b>	
<b>08/07/2014</b>	
<b>09/07/2014</b>	
<b>10/07/2014</b>	
<b>11/07/2014</b>	
<b>12/07/2014</b>	
<b>13/07/2014</b>	
<b>14/07/2014</b>	
<b>15/07/2014</b>	
<b>16/07/2014</b>	
<b>17/07/2014</b>	
<b>18/07/2014</b>	
<b>19/07/2014</b>	
<b>20/07/2014</b>	
<b>21/07/2014</b>	
<b>22/07/2014</b>	
<b>23/07/2014</b>	
<b>24/07/2014</b>	
<b>25/07/2014</b>	
<b>26/07/2014</b>	
<b>27/07/2014</b>	
<b>28/07/2014</b>	
<b>29/07/2014</b>	
<b>30/07/2014</b>	
<b>31/07/2014</b>	
	<b>August</b>
<b>01/08/2014</b>	
<b>02/08/2014</b>	
<b>03/08/2014</b>	
<b>04/08/2014</b>	

05/08/2014	
06/08/2014	
07/08/2014	
08/08/2014	
09/08/2014	
10/08/2014	
11/08/2014	
12/08/2014	
13/08/2014	
14/08/2014	
15/08/2014	
16/08/2014	
17/08/2014	
18/08/2014	
19/08/2014	
20/08/2014	
21/08/2014	
22/08/2014	
23/08/2014	
24/08/2014	
25/08/2014	
26/08/2014	
27/08/2014	
28/08/2014	
29/08/2014	
30/08/2014	
31/08/2014	
	September
01/09/2014	
02/09/2014	
03/09/2014	
04/09/2014	
05/09/2014	
06/09/2014	
07/09/2014	
08/09/2014	
09/09/2014	
10/09/2014	
11/09/2014	
12/09/2014	
13/09/2014	
14/09/2014	
15/09/2014	
16/09/2014	
17/09/2014	
18/09/2014	
19/09/2014	
20/09/2014	
21/09/2014	
22/09/2014	
23/09/2014	
24/09/2014	

<b>25/09/2014</b>	
<b>26/09/2014</b>	
<b>27/09/2014</b>	
<b>28/09/2014</b>	
<b>29/09/2014</b>	
<b>30/09/2014</b>	
	<b>October</b>
<b>01/08/2014</b>	
<b>02/10/2014</b>	
<b>03/10/2014</b>	
<b>04/10/2014</b>	
<b>04/10/2014</b>	
<b>06/10/2014</b>	
<b>07/10/2014</b>	
<b>08/10/2014</b>	
<b>09/10/2014</b>	
<b>10/10/2014</b>	
<b>11/10/2014</b>	
<b>12/10/2014</b>	
<b>13/10/2014</b>	
<b>14/10/2014</b>	
<b>15/10/2014</b>	
<b>16/10/2014</b>	
<b>17/10/2014</b>	
<b>18/10/2014</b>	
<b>19/10/2014</b>	
<b>20/10/2014</b>	
<b>21/10/2014</b>	
<b>22/10/2014</b>	
<b>23/10/2014</b>	
<b>24/10/2014</b>	
<b>25/10/2014</b>	
<b>26/10/2014</b>	
<b>27/10/2014</b>	
<b>28/10/2014</b>	
<b>29/10/2014</b>	
<b>30/10/2014</b>	
<b>31/10/2014</b>	
	<b>November</b>
<b>01/11/2014</b>	
<b>02/11/2014</b>	
<b>03/11/2014</b>	
<b>04/11/2014</b>	
<b>05/11/2014</b>	
<b>06/11/2014</b>	
<b>07/11/2014</b>	
<b>08/11/2014</b>	
<b>09/11/2014</b>	
<b>10/11/2014</b>	
<b>11/11/2014</b>	
<b>12/11/2014</b>	
<b>13/11/2014</b>	

14/11/2014	
15/11/2014	
16/11/2014	
17/11/2014	
18/11/2014	
19/11/2014	
20/11/2014	
21/11/2014	
22/11/2014	
23/11/2014	
24/11/2014	
25/11/2014	
26/11/2014	
27/11/2014	
28/11/2014	
29/11/2014	
30/11/2014	
	December
01/12/2014	
02/12/2014	
03/12/2014	
04/12/2014	
05/12/2014	
06/12/2014	
07/12/2014	
08/12/2014	
09/12/2014	
10/12/2014	
11/12/2014	
12/12/2014	
13/12/2014	
14/12/2014	
15/12/2014	
16/12/2014	
17/12/2014	
18/12/2014	
19/12/2014	
20/12/2014	
21/12/2014	
22/12/2014	
23/12/2014	
24/12/2014	
25/12/2014	
26/12/2014	
27/12/2014	
28/12/2014	
29/12/2014	
30/12/2014	
31/12/2014	
	2015
	January
01/01/2015	



02/01/2015	
03/01/2015	
04/01/2015	
05/01/2015	
06/01/2015	
07/01/2015	
08/01/2015	
09/01/2015	
10/01/2015	
11/01/2015	
12/01/2015	
13/01/2015	
14/01/2015	
15/01/2015	
16/01/2015	
17/01/2015	
18/01/2015	
19/01/2015	
20/01/2015	
21/01/2015	
22/01/2015	
23/01/2015	
24/01/2015	
25/01/2015	
26/01/2015	
27/01/2015	
28/01/2015	
29/01/2015	
30/01/2015	
31/01/2015	
	February
01/02/2015	
02/02/2015	
03/02/2015	
04/02/2015	
05/02/2015	
06/02/2015	
07/02/2015	
08/02/2015	
09/02/2015	
10/02/2015	
11/02/2015	
12/02/2015	
13/02/2015	
14/02/2015	
15/02/2015	
16/02/2015	
17/02/2015	
18/02/2015	
19/02/2015	
20/02/2015	
21/02/2015	

22/02/2015	
23/02/2015	
24/02/2015	
25/02/2015	
26/02/2015	
27/02/2015	
28/02/2015	
	March
01/03/2015	
02/03/2015	
03/03/2015	
04/03/2015	
05/03/2015	
06/03/2015	
07/03/2015	
08/03/2015	
09/03/2015	
10/03/2015	
11/03/2015	
12/03/2015	
13/03/2015	
14/03/2015	
15/03/2015	
16/03/2015	
17/03/2015	
18/03/2015	
19/03/2015	
20/03/2015	
21/03/2015	
22/03/2015	
23/03/2015	
24/03/2015	
25/03/2015	
26/03/2015	
27/03/2015	
28/03/2015	
29/03/2015	
30/03/2015	
31/03/2015	
	April
01/04/2015	
02/04/2015	
03/04/2015	
04/04/2015	
05/04/2015	
06/04/2015	
07/04/2015	
08/04/2015	
09/04/2015	
10/04/2015	
11/04/2015	
12/04/2015	

13/04/2015	
14/04/2015	
15/04/2015	
16/04/2015	
17/04/2015	
18/04/2015	
19/04/2015	
20/04/2015	
21/04/2015	
22/04/2015	
23/04/2015	
24/04/2015	
25/04/2015	
26/04/2015	
27/04/2015	
28/04/2015	
29/04/2015	
30/04/2015	
	May
01/05/2015	
02/05/2015	
03/05/2015	
04/05/2015	
04/05/2015	
06/05/2015	
07/05/2015	
08/05/2015	
09/05/2015	
10/05/2015	
11/05/2015	
12/05/2015	
13/05/2015	
14/05/2015	
15/05/2015	
16/05/2015	
17/05/2015	
18/05/2015	
19/05/2015	
20/05/2015	
21/05/2015	
22/05/2015	
23/05/2015	
24/05/2015	
25/05/2015	
26/05/2015	
27/05/2015	
28/05/2015	
29/05/2015	
30/05/2015	
31/05/2015	
	June
01/06/2015	

02/06/2015	
03/06/2015	
04/06/2015	
05/06/2015	
06/06/2015	
07/06/2015	
08/06/2015	
09/06/2015	
10/06/2015	
11/06/2015	
12/06/2015	
13/06/2015	
14/06/2015	
15/06/2015	
16/06/2015	
17/06/2015	
18/06/2015	
19/06/2015	
20/06/2015	
21/06/2015	
22/06/2015	
23/06/2015	
24/06/2015	
25/06/2015	
26/06/2015	
27/06/2015	
28/06/2015	
29/06/2015	
30/06/2015	
	July
01/07/2015	
02/07/2015	
03/07/2015	
04/07/2015	
05/07/2015	
06/07/2015	
07/07/2015	
08/07/2015	
09/07/2015	
10/07/2015	
11/07/2015	
12/07/2015	
13/07/2015	
14/07/2015	
15/07/2015	
16/07/2015	
17/07/2015	
18/07/2015	
19/07/2015	
20/07/2015	
21/07/2015	
22/07/2015	

<b>23/07/2015</b>	
<b>24/07/2015</b>	
<b>25/07/2015</b>	
<b>26/07/2015</b>	
<b>27/07/2015</b>	
<b>28/07/2015</b>	
<b>29/07/2015</b>	
<b>30/07/2015</b>	
<b>31/07/2015</b>	
	<b>August</b>
<b>01/08/2015</b>	
<b>02/08/2015</b>	
<b>03/08/2015</b>	
<b>04/08/2015</b>	
<b>05/08/2015</b>	
<b>06/08/2015</b>	
<b>07/08/2015</b>	
<b>08/08/2015</b>	
<b>09/08/2015</b>	
<b>10/08/2015</b>	
<b>11/08/2015</b>	
<b>12/08/2015</b>	
<b>13/08/2015</b>	
<b>14/08/2015</b>	
<b>15/08/2015</b>	
<b>16/08/2015</b>	
<b>17/08/2015</b>	
<b>18/08/2015</b>	
<b>19/08/2015</b>	
<b>20/08/2015</b>	
<b>21/08/2015</b>	
<b>22/08/2015</b>	
<b>23/08/2015</b>	
<b>24/08/2015</b>	
<b>25/08/2015</b>	
<b>26/08/2015</b>	
<b>27/08/2015</b>	
<b>28/08/2015</b>	
<b>29/08/2015</b>	
<b>30/08/2015</b>	
<b>31/08/2015</b>	
	<b>September</b>
<b>01/09/2015</b>	
<b>02/09/2015</b>	
<b>03/09/2015</b>	
<b>04/09/2015</b>	
<b>05/09/2015</b>	
<b>06/09/2015</b>	
<b>07/09/2015</b>	
<b>08/09/2015</b>	
<b>09/09/2015</b>	
<b>10/09/2015</b>	

<b>11/09/2015</b>	
<b>12/09/2015</b>	
<b>13/09/2015</b>	
<b>14/09/2015</b>	
<b>15/09/2015</b>	
<b>16/09/2015</b>	
<b>17/09/2015</b>	
<b>18/09/2015</b>	
<b>19/09/2015</b>	
<b>20/09/2015</b>	
<b>21/09/2015</b>	
<b>22/09/2015</b>	
<b>23/09/2015</b>	
<b>24/09/2015</b>	
<b>25/09/2015</b>	
<b>26/09/2015</b>	
<b>27/09/2015</b>	
<b>28/09/2015</b>	
<b>29/09/2015</b>	
<b>30/09/2015</b>	
	<b>October</b>
<b>01/10/2015</b>	
<b>02/10/2015</b>	
<b>03/10/2015</b>	
<b>04/10/2015</b>	
<b>04/10/2015</b>	
<b>06/10/2015</b>	
<b>07/10/2015</b>	
<b>08/10/2015</b>	
<b>09/10/2015</b>	
<b>10/10/2015</b>	
<b>11/10/2015</b>	
<b>12/10/2015</b>	
<b>13/10/2015</b>	
<b>14/10/2015</b>	
<b>15/10/2015</b>	
<b>16/10/2015</b>	
<b>17/10/2015</b>	
<b>18/10/2015</b>	
<b>19/10/2015</b>	
<b>20/10/2015</b>	
<b>21/10/2015</b>	
<b>22/10/2015</b>	
<b>23/10/2015</b>	
<b>24/10/2015</b>	
<b>25/10/2015</b>	
<b>26/10/2015</b>	
<b>27/10/2015</b>	
<b>28/10/2015</b>	
<b>29/10/2015</b>	
<b>30/10/2015</b>	
<b>31/10/2015</b>	

	November
01/11/2015	
02/11/2015	
03/11/2015	
04/11/2015	
05/11/2015	
06/11/2015	
07/11/2015	
08/11/2015	
09/11/2015	
10/11/2015	
11/11/2015	
12/11/2015	
13/11/2015	
14/11/2015	
15/11/2015	
16/11/2015	
17/11/2015	
18/11/2015	
19/11/2015	
20/11/2015	
21/11/2015	
22/11/2015	
23/11/2015	
24/11/2015	
25/11/2015	
26/11/2015	
27/11/2015	
28/11/2015	
29/11/2015	
30/11/2015	
	December
01/12/2015	
02/12/2015	
03/12/2015	
04/12/2015	
05/12/2015	
06/12/2015	
07/12/2015	
08/12/2015	
09/12/2015	
10/12/2015	
11/12/2015	
12/12/2015	
13/12/2015	
14/12/2015	
15/12/2015	
16/12/2015	
17/12/2015	
18/12/2015	
19/12/2015	
20/12/2015	

<b>21/12/2015</b>	
<b>22/12/2015</b>	
<b>23/12/2015</b>	
<b>24/12/2015</b>	
<b>25/12/2015</b>	
<b>26/12/2015</b>	
<b>27/12/2015</b>	
<b>28/12/2015</b>	
<b>29/12/2015</b>	
<b>30/12/2015</b>	
<b>31/12/2015</b>	
	<b>2016</b>
	<b>January</b>
<b>01/01/2016</b>	
<b>02/01/2016</b>	
<b>03/01/2016</b>	
<b>04/01/2016</b>	
<b>05/01/2016</b>	
<b>06/01/2016</b>	
<b>07/01/2016</b>	
<b>08/01/2016</b>	
<b>09/01/2016</b>	
<b>10/01/2016</b>	
<b>11/01/2016</b>	
<b>12/01/2016</b>	
<b>13/01/2016</b>	
<b>14/01/2016</b>	
<b>15/01/2016</b>	
<b>16/01/2016</b>	
<b>17/01/2016</b>	
<b>18/01/2016</b>	
<b>19/01/2016</b>	
<b>20/01/2016</b>	
<b>21/01/2016</b>	
<b>22/01/2016</b>	
<b>23/01/2016</b>	
<b>24/01/2016</b>	
<b>25/01/2016</b>	
<b>26/01/2016</b>	
<b>27/01/2016</b>	
<b>28/01/2016</b>	
<b>29/01/2016</b>	
<b>30/01/2016</b>	
<b>31/01/2016</b>	
	<b>February</b>
<b>01/02/2016</b>	
<b>02/02/2016</b>	
<b>03/02/2016</b>	
<b>04/02/2016</b>	
<b>05/02/2016</b>	
<b>06/02/2016</b>	
<b>07/02/2016</b>	



08/02/2016	
09/02/2016	
10/02/2016	
11/02/2016	
12/02/2016	
13/02/2016	
14/02/2016	
15/02/2016	
16/02/2016	
17/02/2016	
18/02/2016	
19/02/2016	
20/02/2016	
21/02/2016	
22/02/2016	
23/02/2016	
24/02/2016	
25/02/2016	
26/02/2016	
27/02/2016	
28/02/2016	
	March
01/03/2016	
02/03/2016	
03/03/2016	
04/03/2016	
05/03/2016	
06/03/2016	
07/03/2016	
08/03/2016	
09/03/2016	
10/03/2016	
11/03/2016	
12/03/2016	
13/03/2016	
14/03/2016	
15/03/2016	
16/03/2016	
17/03/2016	
18/03/2016	
19/03/2016	
20/03/2016	
21/03/2016	
22/03/2016	
23/03/2016	
24/03/2016	
25/03/2016	
26/03/2016	
27/03/2016	
28/03/2016	
29/03/2016	
30/03/2016	

31/03/2016	
	April
01/04/2016	
02/04/2016	
03/04/2016	
04/04/2016	
05/04/2016	
06/04/2016	
07/04/2016	
08/04/2016	
09/04/2016	
10/04/2016	
11/04/2016	
12/04/2016	
13/04/2016	
14/04/2016	
15/04/2016	
16/04/2016	
17/04/2016	
18/04/2016	
19/04/2016	
20/04/2016	
21/04/2016	
22/04/2016	
23/04/2016	
24/04/2016	
25/04/2016	
26/04/2016	
27/04/2016	
28/04/2016	
29/04/2016	
30/04/2016	
	May
01/05/2016	
02/05/2016	
03/05/2016	
04/05/2016	
04/05/2016	
06/05/2016	
07/05/2016	
08/05/2016	
09/05/2016	
10/05/2016	
11/05/2016	
12/05/2016	
13/05/2016	
14/05/2016	
15/05/2016	
16/05/2016	
17/05/2016	
18/05/2016	
19/05/2016	

<b>20/05/2016</b>	
<b>21/05/2016</b>	
<b>22/05/2016</b>	
<b>23/05/2016</b>	
<b>24/05/2016</b>	
<b>25/05/2016</b>	
<b>26/05/2016</b>	
<b>27/05/2016</b>	
<b>28/05/2016</b>	
<b>29/05/2016</b>	
<b>30/05/2016</b>	
<b>31/05/2016</b>	
	<b>June</b>
<b>01/06/2016</b>	
<b>02/06/2016</b>	
<b>03/06/2016</b>	
<b>04/06/2016</b>	
<b>05/06/2016</b>	
<b>06/06/2016</b>	
<b>07/06/2016</b>	
<b>08/06/2016</b>	
<b>09/06/2016</b>	
<b>10/06/2016</b>	
<b>11/06/2016</b>	
<b>12/06/2016</b>	
<b>13/06/2016</b>	
<b>14/06/2016</b>	
<b>15/06/2016</b>	
<b>16/06/2016</b>	
<b>17/06/2016</b>	
<b>18/06/2016</b>	
<b>19/06/2016</b>	
<b>20/06/2016</b>	
<b>21/06/2016</b>	
<b>22/06/2016</b>	
<b>23/06/2016</b>	
<b>24/06/2016</b>	
<b>25/06/2016</b>	
<b>26/06/2016</b>	
<b>27/06/2016</b>	
<b>28/06/2016</b>	
<b>29/06/2016</b>	
<b>30/06/2016</b>	
	<b>July</b>
<b>01/07/2016</b>	
<b>02/07/2016</b>	
<b>03/07/2016</b>	
<b>04/07/2016</b>	
<b>05/07/2016</b>	
<b>06/07/2016</b>	
<b>07/07/2016</b>	
<b>08/07/2016</b>	

09/07/2016	
10/07/2016	
11/07/2016	
12/07/2016	
13/07/2016	
14/07/2016	
15/07/2016	
16/07/2016	
17/07/2016	
18/07/2016	
19/07/2016	
20/07/2016	
21/07/2016	
22/07/2016	
23/07/2016	
24/07/2016	
25/07/2016	
26/07/2016	
27/07/2016	
28/07/2016	
29/07/2016	
30/07/2016	
31/07/2016	
	August
01/08/2016	
02/08/2016	
03/08/2016	
04/08/2016	
05/08/2016	
06/08/2016	
07/08/2016	
08/08/2016	
09/08/2016	
10/08/2016	
11/08/2016	
12/08/2016	
13/08/2016	
14/08/2016	
15/08/2016	
16/08/2016	
17/08/2016	
18/08/2016	
19/08/2016	
20/08/2016	
21/08/2016	
22/08/2016	
23/08/2016	
24/08/2016	
25/08/2016	
26/08/2016	
27/08/2016	
28/08/2016	

<b>29/08/2016</b>	
<b>30/08/2016</b>	
<b>31/08/2016</b>	
	<b>September</b>
<b>01/09/2016</b>	
<b>02/09/2016</b>	
<b>03/09/2016</b>	
<b>04/09/2016</b>	
<b>05/09/2016</b>	
<b>06/09/2016</b>	
<b>07/09/2016</b>	
<b>08/09/2016</b>	
<b>09/09/2016</b>	
<b>10/09/2016</b>	
<b>11/09/2016</b>	
<b>12/09/2016</b>	
<b>13/09/2016</b>	
<b>14/09/2016</b>	
<b>15/09/2016</b>	
<b>16/09/2016</b>	
<b>17/09/2016</b>	
<b>18/09/2016</b>	
<b>19/09/2016</b>	
<b>20/09/2016</b>	
<b>21/09/2016</b>	
<b>22/09/2016</b>	
<b>23/09/2016</b>	
<b>24/09/2016</b>	
<b>25/09/2016</b>	
<b>26/09/2016</b>	
<b>27/09/2016</b>	
<b>28/09/2016</b>	
<b>29/09/2016</b>	
<b>30/09/2016</b>	
	<b>October</b>
<b>01/10/2016</b>	
<b>02/10/2016</b>	
<b>03/10/2016</b>	
<b>04/10/2016</b>	
<b>04/10/2016</b>	
<b>06/10/2016</b>	
<b>07/10/2016</b>	
<b>08/10/2016</b>	
<b>09/10/2016</b>	
<b>10/10/2016</b>	
<b>11/10/2016</b>	
<b>12/10/2016</b>	
<b>13/10/2016</b>	
<b>14/10/2016</b>	
<b>15/10/2016</b>	
<b>16/10/2016</b>	
<b>17/10/2016</b>	

<b>18/10/2016</b>	
<b>19/10/2016</b>	
<b>20/10/2016</b>	
<b>21/10/2016</b>	
<b>22/10/2016</b>	
<b>23/10/2016</b>	
<b>24/10/2016</b>	
<b>25/10/2016</b>	
<b>26/10/2016</b>	
<b>27/10/2016</b>	
<b>28/10/2016</b>	
<b>29/10/2016</b>	
<b>30/10/2016</b>	
<b>31/10/2016</b>	
	<b>November</b>
<b>01/11/2016</b>	
<b>02/11/2016</b>	
<b>03/11/2016</b>	
<b>04/11/2016</b>	
<b>05/11/2016</b>	
<b>06/11/2016</b>	
<b>07/11/2016</b>	
<b>08/11/2016</b>	
<b>09/11/2016</b>	
<b>10/11/2016</b>	
<b>11/11/2016</b>	
<b>12/11/2016</b>	
<b>13/11/2016</b>	
<b>14/11/2016</b>	
<b>15/11/2016</b>	
<b>16/11/2016</b>	
<b>17/11/2016</b>	
<b>18/11/2016</b>	
<b>19/11/2016</b>	
<b>20/11/2016</b>	
<b>21/11/2016</b>	
<b>22/11/2016</b>	
<b>23/11/2016</b>	
<b>24/11/2016</b>	
<b>25/11/2016</b>	
<b>26/11/2016</b>	
<b>27/11/2016</b>	
<b>28/11/2016</b>	
<b>29/11/2016</b>	
<b>30/11/2016</b>	
	<b>December</b>
<b>01/12/2016</b>	
<b>02/12/2016</b>	
<b>03/12/2016</b>	
<b>04/12/2016</b>	
<b>05/12/2016</b>	
<b>06/12/2016</b>	

<b>07/12/2016</b>	
<b>08/12/2016</b>	
<b>09/12/2016</b>	
<b>10/12/2016</b>	
<b>11/12/2016</b>	
<b>12/12/2016</b>	
<b>13/12/2016</b>	
<b>14/12/2016</b>	
<b>15/12/2016</b>	
<b>16/12/2016</b>	
<b>17/12/2016</b>	
<b>18/12/2016</b>	
<b>19/12/2016</b>	
<b>20/12/2016</b>	
<b>21/12/2016</b>	
<b>22/12/2016</b>	
<b>23/12/2016</b>	
<b>24/12/2016</b>	
<b>25/12/2016</b>	
<b>26/12/2016</b>	
<b>27/12/2016</b>	
<b>28/12/2016</b>	
<b>29/12/2016</b>	
<b>30/12/2016</b>	
<b>31/12/2016</b>	
	<b>2017</b>
	<b>January</b>
<b>01/06/2017</b>	
<b>02/01/2017</b>	
<b>03/01/2017</b>	
<b>04/01/2017</b>	
<b>05/01/2017</b>	
<b>06/01/2017</b>	
<b>07/01/2017</b>	
<b>08/01/2017</b>	
<b>09/01/2017</b>	
<b>10/01/2017</b>	
<b>11/01/2017</b>	
<b>12/01/2017</b>	
<b>13/01/2017</b>	
<b>14/01/2017</b>	
<b>15/01/2017</b>	
<b>16/01/2017</b>	
<b>17/01/2017</b>	
<b>18/01/2017</b>	
<b>19/01/2017</b>	
<b>20/01/2017</b>	
<b>21/01/2017</b>	
<b>22/01/2017</b>	
<b>23/01/2017</b>	
<b>24/01/2017</b>	
<b>25/01/2017</b>	

26/01/2017	
27/01/2017	
28/01/2017	
29/01/2017	
30/01/2017	
31/01/2017	
	<b>February</b>
01/02/2017	
02/02/2017	
03/02/2017	
04/02/2017	
05/02/2017	
06/02/2017	
07/02/2017	
08/02/2017	
09/02/2017	
10/02/2017	
11/02/2017	
12/02/2017	
13/02/2017	
14/02/2017	
15/02/2017	
16/02/2017	
17/02/2017	
18/02/2017	
19/02/2017	
20/02/2017	
21/02/2017	
22/02/2017	
23/02/2017	
24/02/2017	
25/02/2017	
26/02/2017	
27/02/2017	
28/02/2017	
	<b>March</b>
01/03/2017	
02/03/2017	
03/03/2017	
04/03/2017	
05/03/2017	
06/03/2017	
07/03/2017	
08/03/2017	
09/03/2017	
10/03/2017	
11/03/2017	
12/03/2017	
13/03/2017	
14/03/2017	
15/03/2017	
16/03/2017	



17/03/2017	
18/03/2017	
19/03/2017	
20/03/2017	
21/03/2017	
22/03/2017	
23/03/2017	
24/03/2017	
25/03/2017	
26/03/2017	
27/03/2017	
28/03/2017	
29/03/2017	
30/03/2017	
31/03/2017	
	April
01/04/2017	
02/04/2017	
03/04/2017	
04/04/2017	
05/04/2017	
06/04/2017	
07/04/2017	
08/04/2017	
09/04/2017	
10/04/2017	
11/04/2017	
12/04/2017	
13/04/2017	
14/04/2017	
15/04/2017	
16/04/2017	
17/04/2017	
18/04/2017	
19/04/2017	
20/04/2017	
21/04/2017	
22/04/2017	
23/04/2017	
24/04/2017	
25/04/2017	
26/04/2017	
27/04/2017	
28/04/2017	
29/04/2017	
30/04/2017	
	May
01/05/2017	
02/05/2017	
03/05/2017	
04/05/2017	
04/05/2017	

06/05/2017	
07/05/2017	
08/05/2017	
09/05/2017	
10/05/2017	
11/05/2017	
12/05/2017	
13/05/2017	
14/05/2017	
15/05/2017	
16/05/2017	
17/05/2017	
18/05/2017	
19/05/2017	
20/05/2017	
21/05/2017	
22/05/2017	
23/05/2017	
24/05/2017	
25/05/2017	
26/05/2017	
27/05/2017	
28/05/2017	
29/05/2017	
30/05/2017	
31/05/2017	
	June
01/06/2017	
02/06/2017	
03/06/2017	
04/06/2017	
05/06/2017	
06/06/2017	
07/06/2017	
08/06/2017	
09/06/2017	
10/06/2017	
11/06/2017	
12/06/2017	
13/06/2017	
14/06/2017	
15/06/2017	
16/06/2017	
17/06/2017	
18/06/2017	
19/06/2017	
20/06/2017	
21/06/2017	
22/06/2017	
23/06/2017	
24/06/2017	
25/06/2017	

26/06/2017	
27/06/2017	
28/06/2017	
29/06/2017	
30/06/2017	
	July
01/07/2017	
02/07/2017	
03/07/2017	
04/07/2017	
05/07/2017	
06/07/2017	
07/07/2017	
08/07/2017	
09/07/2017	
10/07/2017	
11/07/2017	
12/07/2017	
13/07/2017	
14/07/2017	
15/07/2017	
16/07/2017	
17/07/2017	
18/07/2017	
19/07/2017	
20/07/2017	
21/07/2017	
22/07/2017	
23/07/2017	
24/07/2017	
25/07/2017	
26/07/2017	
27/07/2017	
28/07/2017	
29/07/2017	
30/07/2017	
31/07/2017	
	August
01/08/2017	
02/08/2017	
03/08/2017	
04/08/2017	
05/08/2017	
06/08/2017	
07/08/2017	
08/08/2017	
09/08/2017	
10/08/2017	
11/08/2017	
12/08/2017	
13/08/2017	
14/08/2017	

15/08/2017	
16/08/2017	
17/08/2017	
18/08/2017	
19/08/2017	
20/08/2017	
21/08/2017	
22/08/2017	
23/08/2017	
24/08/2017	
25/08/2017	
26/08/2017	
27/08/2017	
28/08/2017	
29/08/2017	
30/08/2017	
31/08/2017	
	September
01/09/2017	
02/09/2017	
03/09/2017	
04/09/2017	
05/09/2017	
06/09/2017	
07/09/2017	
08/09/2017	
09/09/2017	
10/09/2017	
11/09/2017	
12/09/2017	
13/09/2017	
14/09/2017	
15/09/2017	
16/09/2017	
17/09/2017	
18/09/2017	
19/09/2017	
20/09/2017	
21/09/2017	
22/09/2017	
23/09/2017	
24/09/2017	
25/09/2017	
26/09/2017	
27/09/2017	
28/09/2017	
29/09/2017	
30/09/2017	
	October
01/08/2017	
02/10/2017	
03/10/2017	

04/10/2017	
04/10/2017	
06/10/2017	
07/10/2017	
08/10/2017	
09/10/2017	
10/10/2017	
11/10/2017	
12/10/2017	
13/10/2017	
14/10/2017	
15/10/2017	
16/10/2017	
17/10/2017	
18/10/2017	
19/10/2017	
20/10/2017	
21/10/2017	
22/10/2017	
23/10/2017	
24/10/2017	
25/10/2017	
26/10/2017	
27/10/2017	
28/10/2017	
29/10/2017	
30/09/2017	
31/09/2017	
	<b>November</b>
01/11/2017	
02/11/2017	
03/11/2017	
04/11/2017	
05/11/2017	
06/11/2017	
07/11/2017	
08/11/2017	
09/11/2017	
10/11/2017	
11/11/2017	
12/11/2017	
13/11/2017	
14/11/2017	
15/11/2017	
16/11/2017	
17/11/2017	
18/11/2017	
19/11/2017	
20/11/2017	
21/11/2017	
22/11/2017	
23/11/2017	

<b>24/11/2017</b>	
<b>25/11/2017</b>	
<b>26/11/2017</b>	
<b>27/11/2017</b>	
<b>28/11/2017</b>	
<b>29/11/2017</b>	
<b>30/11/2017</b>	
	<b>December</b>
<b>01/12/2017</b>	
<b>02/12/2017</b>	
<b>03/12/2017</b>	
<b>04/12/2017</b>	
<b>05/12/2017</b>	
<b>06/12/2017</b>	
<b>07/12/2017</b>	
<b>08/12/2017</b>	
<b>09/12/2017</b>	
<b>10/12/2017</b>	
<b>11/12/2017</b>	
<b>12/12/2017</b>	
<b>13/12/2017</b>	
<b>14/12/2017</b>	
<b>15/12/2017</b>	
<b>16/12/2017</b>	
<b>17/12/2017</b>	
<b>18/12/2017</b>	
<b>19/12/2017</b>	
<b>20/12/2017</b>	
<b>21/12/2017</b>	
<b>22/12/2017</b>	
<b>23/12/2017</b>	
<b>24/12/2017</b>	
<b>25/12/2017</b>	
<b>26/12/2017</b>	
<b>27/12/2017</b>	
<b>28/12/2017</b>	
<b>29/12/2017</b>	
<b>30/12/2017</b>	
<b>31/12/2017</b>	
	<b>2018</b>
	<b>January</b>
<b>01/01/2018</b>	
<b>02/01/2018</b>	
<b>03/01/2018</b>	
<b>04/01/2018</b>	
<b>05/01/2018</b>	
<b>06/01/2018</b>	
<b>07/01/2018</b>	
<b>08/01/2018</b>	
<b>09/01/2018</b>	
<b>10/01/2018</b>	

11/01/2018	
12/01/2018	
13/01/2018	
14/01/2018	
15/01/2018	
16/01/2018	
17/01/2018	
18/01/2018	
19/01/2018	
20/01/2018	
21/01/2018	
22/01/2018	
23/01/2018	
24/01/2018	
25/01/2018	
26/01/2018	
27/01/2018	
28/01/2018	
29/01/2018	
30/01/2018	
31/01/2018	
	February
01/02/2018	
02/02/2018	
03/02/2018	
04/02/2018	
05/02/2018	
06/02/2018	
07/02/2018	
08/02/2018	
09/02/2018	
10/02/2018	
11/02/2018	
12/02/2018	
13/02/2018	
14/02/2018	
15/02/2018	
16/02/2018	
17/02/2018	
18/02/2018	
19/02/2018	
20/02/2018	
21/02/2018	
22/02/2018	
23/02/2018	
24/02/2018	
25/02/2018	
26/02/2018	
27/02/2018	
28/02/2018	
	March
01/03/2018	

02/03/2018	
03/03/2018	
04/03/2018	
05/03/2018	
06/03/2018	
07/03/2018	
08/03/2018	
09/03/2018	
10/03/2018	
11/03/2018	
12/03/2018	
13/03/2018	
14/03/2018	
15/03/2018	
16/03/2018	
17/03/2018	
18/03/2018	
19/03/2018	
20/03/2018	
21/03/2018	
22/03/2018	
23/03/2018	
24/03/2018	
25/03/2018	
26/03/2018	
27/03/2018	
28/03/2018	
29/03/2018	
30/03/2018	
31/03/2018	
	April
01/04/2018	
02/04/2018	
03/04/2018	
04/04/2018	
05/04/2018	
06/04/2018	
07/04/2018	
08/04/2018	
09/04/2018	
10/04/2018	
11/04/2018	
12/04/2018	
13/04/2018	
14/04/2018	
15/04/2018	
16/04/2018	
17/04/2018	
18/04/2018	
19/04/2018	
20/04/2018	
21/04/2018	



22/04/2018	
23/04/2018	
24/04/2018	
25/04/2018	
26/04/2018	
27/04/2018	
28/04/2018	
29/04/2018	
30/04/2018	
	May
01/05/2018	
02/05/2018	
03/05/2018	
04/05/2018	
04/05/2018	
06/05/2018	
07/05/2018	
08/05/2018	
09/05/2018	
10/05/2018	
11/05/2018	
12/05/2018	
13/05/2018	
14/05/2018	
15/05/2018	
16/05/2018	
17/05/2018	
18/05/2018	
19/05/2018	
20/05/2018	
21/05/2018	
22/05/2018	
23/05/2018	
24/05/2018	
25/05/2018	
26/05/2018	
27/05/2018	
28/05/2018	
29/05/2018	
30/05/2018	
31/05/2018	
	June
01/06/2018	
02/06/2018	
03/06/2018	
04/06/2018	
05/06/2018	
06/06/2018	
07/06/2018	
08/06/2018	
09/06/2018	
10/06/2018	

<b>11/06/2018</b>	
<b>12/06/2018</b>	
<b>13/06/2018</b>	
<b>14/06/2018</b>	
<b>15/06/2018</b>	
<b>16/06/2018</b>	
<b>17/06/2018</b>	
<b>18/06/2018</b>	
<b>19/06/2018</b>	
<b>20/06/2018</b>	
<b>21/06/2018</b>	
<b>22/06/2018</b>	
<b>23/06/2018</b>	
<b>24/06/2018</b>	
<b>25/06/2018</b>	
<b>26/06/2018</b>	
<b>27/06/2018</b>	
<b>28/06/2018</b>	
<b>29/06/2018</b>	
<b>30/06/2018</b>	
	<b>July</b>
<b>01/07/2018</b>	
<b>02/07/2018</b>	
<b>03/07/2018</b>	
<b>04/07/2018</b>	
<b>05/07/2018</b>	
<b>06/07/2018</b>	
<b>07/07/2018</b>	
<b>08/07/2018</b>	
<b>09/07/2018</b>	
<b>10/07/2018</b>	
<b>11/07/2018</b>	
<b>12/07/2018</b>	
<b>13/07/2018</b>	
<b>14/07/2018</b>	
<b>15/07/2018</b>	
<b>16/07/2018</b>	
<b>17/07/2018</b>	
<b>18/07/2018</b>	
<b>19/07/2018</b>	
<b>20/07/2018</b>	
<b>21/07/2018</b>	
<b>22/07/2018</b>	
<b>23/07/2018</b>	
<b>24/07/2018</b>	
<b>25/07/2018</b>	
<b>26/07/2018</b>	
<b>27/07/2018</b>	
<b>28/07/2018</b>	
<b>29/07/2018</b>	
<b>30/07/2018</b>	
<b>31/07/2018</b>	

	<b>August</b>
<b>01/08/2018</b>	
<b>02/08/2018</b>	
<b>03/08/2018</b>	
<b>04/08/2018</b>	
<b>05/08/2018</b>	
<b>06/08/2018</b>	
<b>07/08/2018</b>	
<b>08/08/2018</b>	
<b>09/08/2018</b>	
<b>10/08/2018</b>	
<b>11/08/2018</b>	
<b>12/08/2018</b>	
<b>13/08/2018</b>	
<b>14/08/2018</b>	
<b>15/08/2018</b>	
<b>16/08/2018</b>	
<b>17/08/2018</b>	
<b>18/08/2018</b>	
<b>19/08/2018</b>	
<b>20/08/2018</b>	
<b>21/08/2018</b>	
<b>22/08/2018</b>	
<b>23/08/2018</b>	
<b>24/08/2018</b>	
<b>25/08/2018</b>	
<b>26/08/2018</b>	
<b>27/08/2018</b>	
<b>28/08/2018</b>	
<b>29/08/2018</b>	
<b>30/08/2018</b>	
<b>31/08/2018</b>	
	<b>September</b>
<b>01/09/2018</b>	
<b>02/09/2018</b>	
<b>03/09/2018</b>	
<b>04/09/2018</b>	
<b>05/09/2018</b>	
<b>06/09/2018</b>	
<b>07/09/2018</b>	
<b>08/09/2018</b>	
<b>09/09/2018</b>	
<b>10/09/2018</b>	
<b>11/09/2018</b>	
<b>12/09/2018</b>	
<b>13/09/2018</b>	
<b>14/09/2018</b>	
<b>15/09/2018</b>	
<b>16/09/2018</b>	
<b>17/09/2018</b>	
<b>18/09/2018</b>	
<b>19/09/2018</b>	

<b>20/09/2018</b>	
<b>21/09/2018</b>	
<b>22/09/2018</b>	
<b>23/09/2018</b>	
<b>24/09/2018</b>	
<b>25/09/2018</b>	
<b>26/09/2018</b>	
<b>27/09/2018</b>	
<b>28/09/2018</b>	
<b>29/09/2018</b>	
<b>30/09/2018</b>	
	<b>October</b>
<b>01/10/2018</b>	
<b>02/10/2018</b>	
<b>03/10/2018</b>	
<b>04/10/2018</b>	
<b>04/10/2018</b>	
<b>06/10/2018</b>	
<b>07/10/2018</b>	
<b>08/10/2018</b>	
<b>09/10/2018</b>	
<b>10/10/2018</b>	
<b>11/10/2018</b>	
<b>12/10/2018</b>	
<b>13/10/2018</b>	
<b>14/10/2018</b>	
<b>15/10/2018</b>	
<b>16/10/2018</b>	
<b>17/10/2018</b>	
<b>18/10/2018</b>	
<b>19/10/2018</b>	
<b>20/10/2018</b>	
<b>21/10/2018</b>	
<b>22/10/2018</b>	
<b>23/10/2018</b>	
<b>24/10/2018</b>	
<b>25/10/2018</b>	
<b>26/10/2018</b>	
<b>27/10/2018</b>	
<b>28/10/2018</b>	
<b>29/10/2018</b>	
<b>30/10/2018</b>	
<b>31/10/2018</b>	
	<b>November</b>
<b>01/11/2018</b>	
<b>02/11/2018</b>	
<b>03/11/2018</b>	
<b>04/11/2018</b>	
<b>05/11/2018</b>	
<b>06/11/2018</b>	
<b>07/11/2018</b>	
<b>08/11/2018</b>	

09/11/2018	
10/11/2018	
11/11/2018	
12/11/2018	
13/11/2018	
14/11/2018	
15/11/2018	
16/11/2018	
17/11/2018	
18/11/2018	
19/11/2018	
20/11/2018	
21/11/2018	
22/11/2018	
23/11/2018	
24/11/2018	
25/11/2018	
26/11/2018	
27/11/2018	
28/11/2018	
29/11/2018	
30/11/2018	
	December
01/12/2018	
02/12/2018	
03/12/2018	
04/12/2018	
05/12/2018	
06/12/2018	
07/12/2018	
08/12/2018	
09/12/2018	
10/12/2018	
11/12/2018	
12/12/2018	
13/12/2018	
14/12/2018	
15/12/2018	
16/12/2018	
17/12/2018	
18/12/2018	
19/12/2018	
20/12/2018	
21/12/2018	
22/12/2018	
23/12/2018	
24/12/2018	
25/12/2018	
26/12/2018	
27/12/2018	
28/12/2018	
29/12/2018	

<b>30/12/2018</b>	
<b>31/12/2018</b>	
	<b>2019</b>
	<b>January</b>
<b>01/01/2019</b>	
<b>02/01/2019</b>	
<b>03/01/2019</b>	
<b>04/01/2019</b>	
<b>05/01/2019</b>	
<b>06/01/2019</b>	
<b>07/01/2019</b>	
<b>08/01/2019</b>	
<b>09/01/2019</b>	
<b>10/01/2019</b>	
<b>11/01/2019</b>	
<b>12/01/2019</b>	
<b>13/01/2019</b>	
<b>14/01/2019</b>	
<b>15/01/2019</b>	
<b>16/01/2019</b>	
<b>17/01/2019</b>	
<b>18/01/2019</b>	
<b>19/01/2019</b>	
<b>20/01/2019</b>	
<b>21/01/2019</b>	
<b>22/01/2019</b>	
<b>23/01/2019</b>	
<b>24/01/2019</b>	
<b>25/01/2019</b>	
<b>26/01/2019</b>	
<b>27/01/2019</b>	
<b>28/01/2019</b>	
<b>29/01/2019</b>	
<b>30/01/2019</b>	
<b>31/01/2019</b>	
	<b>February</b>
<b>01/02/2019</b>	
<b>02/02/2019</b>	
<b>03/02/2019</b>	
<b>04/02/2019</b>	
<b>05/02/2019</b>	
<b>06/02/2019</b>	
<b>07/02/2019</b>	
<b>08/02/2019</b>	
<b>09/02/2019</b>	
<b>10/02/2019</b>	
<b>11/02/2019</b>	
<b>12/02/2019</b>	
<b>13/02/2019</b>	
<b>14/02/2019</b>	
<b>15/02/2019</b>	
<b>16/02/2019</b>	

17/02/2019	
18/02/2019	
19/02/2019	
20/02/2019	
21/02/2019	
22/02/2019	
23/02/2019	
24/02/2019	
25/02/2019	
26/02/2019	
27/02/2019	
28/02/2019	
	March
01/03/2019	
02/03/2019	
03/03/2019	
04/03/2019	
05/03/2019	
06/03/2019	
07/03/2019	
08/03/2019	
09/03/2019	
10/03/2019	
11/03/2019	
12/03/2019	
13/03/2019	
14/03/2019	
15/03/2019	
16/03/2019	
17/03/2019	
18/03/2019	
19/03/2019	
20/03/2019	
21/03/2019	
22/03/2019	
23/03/2019	
24/03/2019	
25/03/2019	
26/03/2019	
27/03/2019	
28/03/2019	
29/03/2019	
30/03/2019	
31/03/2019	
	April
01/04/2019	
02/04/2019	
03/04/2019	
04/04/2019	
05/04/2019	
06/04/2019	
07/04/2019	

08/04/2019	
09/04/2019	
10/04/2019	
11/04/2019	
12/04/2019	
13/04/2019	
14/04/2019	
15/04/2019	
16/04/2019	
17/04/2019	
18/04/2019	
19/04/2019	
20/04/2019	
21/04/2019	
22/04/2019	
23/04/2019	
24/04/2019	
25/04/2019	
26/04/2019	
27/04/2019	
28/04/2019	
29/04/2019	
30/04/2019	
	May
01/05/2019	
02/05/2019	
03/05/2019	
04/05/2019	
04/05/2019	
06/05/2019	
07/05/2019	
08/05/2019	
09/05/2019	
10/05/2019	
11/05/2019	
12/05/2019	
13/05/2019	
14/05/2019	
15/05/2019	
16/05/2019	
17/05/2019	
18/05/2019	
19/05/2019	
20/05/2019	
21/05/2019	
22/05/2019	
23/05/2019	
24/05/2019	
25/05/2019	
26/05/2019	
27/05/2019	
28/05/2019	



<b>29/05/2019</b>	
<b>30/05/2019</b>	
<b>31/05/2019</b>	
	<b>June</b>
<b>01/06/2019</b>	
<b>02/06/2019</b>	
<b>03/06/2019</b>	
<b>04/06/2019</b>	
<b>05/06/2019</b>	
<b>06/06/2019</b>	
<b>07/06/2019</b>	
<b>08/06/2019</b>	
<b>09/06/2019</b>	
<b>10/06/2019</b>	
<b>11/06/2019</b>	
<b>12/06/2019</b>	
<b>13/06/2019</b>	
<b>14/06/2019</b>	
<b>15/06/2019</b>	
<b>16/06/2019</b>	
<b>17/06/2019</b>	
<b>18/06/2019</b>	
<b>19/06/2019</b>	
<b>20/06/2019</b>	
<b>21/06/2019</b>	
<b>22/06/2019</b>	
<b>23/06/2019</b>	
<b>24/06/2019</b>	
<b>25/06/2019</b>	
<b>26/06/2019</b>	
<b>27/06/2019</b>	
<b>28/06/2019</b>	
<b>29/06/2019</b>	
<b>30/06/2019</b>	
	<b>July</b>
<b>01/07/2019</b>	
<b>02/07/2019</b>	
<b>03/07/2019</b>	
<b>04/07/2019</b>	
<b>05/07/2019</b>	
<b>06/07/2019</b>	
<b>07/07/2019</b>	
<b>08/07/2019</b>	
<b>09/07/2019</b>	
<b>10/07/2019</b>	
<b>11/07/2019</b>	
<b>12/07/2019</b>	
<b>13/07/2019</b>	
<b>14/07/2019</b>	
<b>15/07/2019</b>	
<b>16/07/2019</b>	
<b>17/07/2019</b>	

18/07/2019	
19/07/2019	
20/07/2019	
21/07/2019	
22/07/2019	
23/07/2019	
24/07/2019	
25/07/2019	
26/07/2019	
27/07/2019	
28/07/2019	
29/07/2019	
30/07/2019	
31/07/2019	
	August
01/08/2019	
02/08/2019	
03/08/2019	
04/08/2019	
05/08/2019	
06/08/2019	
07/08/2019	
08/08/2019	
09/08/2019	
10/08/2019	
11/08/2019	
12/08/2019	
13/08/2019	
14/08/2019	
15/08/2019	
16/08/2019	
17/08/2019	
18/08/2019	
19/08/2019	
20/08/2019	
21/08/2019	
22/08/2019	
23/08/2019	
24/08/2019	
25/08/2019	
26/08/2019	
27/08/2019	
28/08/2019	
29/08/2019	
30/08/2019	
31/08/2019	
	September
01/09/2019	
02/09/2019	
03/09/2019	
04/09/2019	
05/09/2019	

06/09/2019	
07/09/2019	
08/09/2019	
09/09/2019	
10/09/2019	
11/09/2019	
12/09/2019	
13/09/2019	
14/09/2019	
15/09/2019	
16/09/2019	
17/09/2019	
18/09/2019	
19/09/2019	
20/09/2019	
21/09/2019	
22/09/2019	
23/09/2019	
24/09/2019	
25/09/2019	
26/09/2019	
27/09/2019	
28/09/2019	
29/09/2019	
30/09/2019	
	October
01/08/2019	
02/10/2019	
03/10/2019	
04/10/2019	
04/10/2019	
06/10/2019	
07/10/2019	
08/10/2019	
09/10/2019	
10/10/2019	
11/10/2019	
12/10/2019	
13/10/2019	
14/10/2019	
15/10/2019	
16/10/2019	
17/10/2019	
18/10/2019	
19/10/2019	
20/10/2019	
21/10/2019	
22/10/2019	
23/10/2019	
24/10/2019	
25/10/2019	
26/10/2019	

<b>27/10/2019</b>	
<b>28/10/2019</b>	
<b>29/10/2019</b>	
<b>30/10/2019</b>	
<b>31/10/2019</b>	
	<b>November</b>
<b>01/11/2019</b>	
<b>02/11/2019</b>	
<b>03/11/2019</b>	
<b>04/11/2019</b>	
<b>05/11/2019</b>	
<b>06/11/2019</b>	
<b>07/11/2019</b>	
<b>08/11/2019</b>	
<b>09/11/2019</b>	
<b>10/11/2019</b>	
<b>11/11/2019</b>	
<b>12/11/2019</b>	
<b>13/11/2019</b>	
<b>14/11/2019</b>	
<b>15/11/2019</b>	
<b>16/11/2019</b>	
<b>17/11/2019</b>	
<b>18/11/2019</b>	
<b>19/11/2019</b>	
<b>20/11/2019</b>	
<b>21/11/2019</b>	
<b>22/11/2019</b>	
<b>23/11/2019</b>	
<b>24/11/2019</b>	
<b>25/11/2019</b>	
<b>26/11/2019</b>	
<b>27/11/2019</b>	
<b>28/11/2019</b>	
<b>29/11/2019</b>	
<b>30/11/2019</b>	
	<b>December</b>
<b>01/12/2019</b>	
<b>02/12/2019</b>	
<b>03/12/2019</b>	
<b>04/12/2019</b>	
<b>05/12/2019</b>	
<b>06/12/2019</b>	
<b>07/12/2019</b>	
<b>08/12/2019</b>	
<b>09/12/2019</b>	
<b>10/12/2019</b>	
<b>11/12/2019</b>	
<b>12/12/2019</b>	
<b>13/12/2019</b>	
<b>14/12/2019</b>	
<b>15/12/2019</b>	

<b>16/12/2019</b>	
<b>17/12/2019</b>	
<b>18/12/2019</b>	
<b>19/12/2019</b>	
<b>20/12/2019</b>	
<b>21/12/2019</b>	
<b>22/12/2019</b>	
<b>23/12/2019</b>	
<b>24/12/2019</b>	
<b>25/12/2019</b>	
<b>26/12/2019</b>	
<b>27/12/2019</b>	
<b>28/12/2019</b>	
<b>29/12/2019</b>	
<b>30/12/2019</b>	
<b>31/12/2019</b>	