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| --- |
| **Date; 28/09/2022** |
| **Email:** Re\_wired@ymail.com  |
| **Our Ref:** one. |
| **Your Ref:** |
| **Phone:** |
| **Address;** |
| Mr. Simon Paul Cordell |
| 109 Burncroft Avenue |
| Enfield |
| London |
| En3 7jq |

**Response sent Via Email To:** complaintsandinformation@enfield.gov.uk

**Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**

Will Wraxall

Shareholder & Commercial Partnerships Manager Resources

**Requested Note:** Please note that as of 20 June 2022, Will Wraxall working days are Monday - Thursday

Dear Mr, Will Wraxall & any other person this correspondence may have relevance towards.

We write in response to your dated email of the 20/09/2022. I received a copy of your email on the 27/09/2022 and this is my response as so far.

I, Mr. Simon Paul Cordell, am setting out my concerns of complaint as requested to the Enfield Councils Complaints departments alongside with my family members even clear than past verbal and wrote complaints prior.

Before I address these issues in bullet points as asked, I would like you to adhere to any conflicting issues that may arise through case proceedings and alert you to any practical solutions that should help if implemented into procedures beforehand.

**The 30/09/2022 Future Issues of Concern**

Mutable members of staff pertaining to the Enfield Council and that of its subsidiary’s companies have committed misconduct inside of the work premises.

And the monitoring office should suspend with immediate action the listed staff pending to these investigations.

**File Temp Link Location**

* <https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/Groups-of-Involved-People-List/>

This name for this is “Suspension Pending Enquiry” and we will issue the suspension order along with charge-sheets.

The claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell requests that all Enfield Council employees that we accuse or has to attend in a court of law for any criminal or civil offences, the concerned authority that is liable for them will suspend those employees, under suspension pending court’s proceedings and/or any ongoing enquiry, because of the severity of the claims made against themselves.

**Company Directors Disqualification Act 1986 And Failed Companies**

Disqualification proceedings are a civil and not criminal process.

The Enfield Council Chief Executive Directors Failed to perform their duties honestly and/or responsibly.

Enfield Council staff failed to make sure the department inclusive of his / her offices complied within the laws and all relevant regulations of the United Kingdom’s Land, when managing the cases attached to Mr. S. P. Cordell the claimant.

The claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell can prove beyond reasonable doubt that the Chief Executive directors and specific staff of the Enfield Council failed to exercise adequate skill and care with proper regard of the interests of the company’s creditors, customers, shareholders and the public and for their reckless actions must face being disqualified from becoming a director of a company, directly or indirectly or as being concerned or taking part in the promotion, formation or management of the following listed below Company structures without permission from the court: -

* Privilege Of Limited Liability Companies
* Limited Liability Partnerships (LLPS) Companies
* General Partnerships Companies
* Building Societies Companies
* Incorporated Friendly Societies Companies
* NHS Foundation Trusts Companies
* Registered Societies Companies
* Charitable Incorporated Organisations Companies
* Further Education Bodies Companies
* Protected Cell Companies

--

The ban should last no longer than 15 years.

--

**In Criminal Proceedings We Oppose Bail**

Bail, in criminal proceedings, is governed by the Bail Act 1976 ("BA"). Bail is defined in s.1(1) of that Act.

“Bail” is an accused’s status when the defendant gets allowed to remain at liberty (i.e. not imprisoned) pending the conclusion of their case, subjected to bail conditions.

In the more than likely circumstances of a criminal investigations taking a place the Claimant requests that the decisions of bail being refused is implied on the defender’s liberty’s so the accused will be remanded in custody as when having regard to the public interest there is good reasons for refusing bail such as:

* The accused does not abscond (do a runner) fail to turn up at their future court dates when they ought to
* The severity of the crimes.
* The length of the sentences that will be imposed if found guilty.
* Since a long time can elapse between the first appearance and (eventual) trial.
* Reprisals to the victim Such as nobble the witnesses, or otherwise obstruct the course of justice (whatever that might entail)
* Commit any further offences while on bail.

If bail is somehow granted then it is requested that stringent bail conditions get placed upon the accused and that defendants do take the Conditions of bail seriously into account pending any enquiry.

The decisions on bail, in criminal proceedings, represent an important stage in the prosecution process. The results of the decision of bail will have negative consequences for the victim a Mr. S. P. Cordell and that of the public in general.

* **The Claimant Request That the Following Bail Conditions Get Imposed at The Minimal, Upon the Accused.**
1. Electronic monitoring (having to wear a tag – can only be imposed on those 12 or over for an imprisonable offence).
2. Not to contact directly or indirectly certain people involved in the criminal case (not speaking to, communicating on social media, phoning, sending a text message or sending any other message).
3. Having to report to a police station at certain times.
4. Restriction from entering certain areas.
5. Surrender (give) passport or travel documents to the police.
6. Surety (a defendant, relative or friend shows the court or police they have an amount of money), the surety may be made pay this amount of money to the court if the person did not turn up to the court or police station.
7. Attend and participate in bail support, bail support and supervision, Intensive Supervision and Surveillance (ISS) programme.

**Courts Powers Imposing Bail Conditions**

This section outlines the courts' powers to grant bail, as opposed to police bail and our request for conditions to be imposed at all stages. Courts should impose conditions on bail if they are necessary to make sure.

1. The accused surrenders to custody and turn up at court.
2. The accused does not commit an offence while on bail.
3. The accused does not interfere with witnesses or otherwise obstruct the course of justice (does not contact witnesses or try to stop the criminal court case happening).
4. The accused makes themselves available for the making of inquiries or a report to help the court with sentencing.
5. The accused attends an appointment with a legal representative.
6. The accused stay safe for their own welfare.

**The Policing and Crime Act 2017**

**(d)a** condition that the person must surrender all of his or her travel documents or all of his or her travel documents that are of a particular kind.

* **Freezing Order**

The Claimant a M. S. P. Cordell requests that an interim injunction order to Freeze all personal assets is submitted against the defendants in the likely hood of recovered cost as this will prohibit the potential of the defendants in criminal or civil litigation proceedings from dissipating their assets.

**What I Can Prove Has Occurred We have All Got to Take into Perception.**

1. **Disrepair Issues and Bad Management by My Housing Team!**

The Enfield Council alongside with the Enfield Homes & the Safer neighbourhood watch teams failed their obligations to the claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell when guaranteeing his Security of tenure while managing housing affairs.

Maintenance failures meant that necessary works did not get completed in his home to a high standard or time limit through the years of 2006 till date 30/09/2022.

**Listed Below Is the Damage to Mr S. P. Cordell’s Property.**

* The qualifying repair was not carried out
* A
* A

Housing disrepair = (Right to Repair) “UK” Regulations 1994; -- Housing Act 1985 “The Secure Tenants of Local Housing Authorities”

**Disrepair Issues and Bad Management by My Housing Team!**

The history of my living circumstances due to

In 2006, when I first moved into my flat it never seemed to be in a bad state of a living condition due to it being decorated by the Enfield Council ready for me to move into.

Within the first few months I started to notice the walls and ceilings had started to get covered in mould.

This meant that my personal property started to get badly damaged

I decided that I had had enough of suffering due to no fault of my own and started to take picture evidence of my sufferings. “**In the Table at The Bottom of This Document Below.**”

I also started to document what took place while I declared to the relevant companies; “Bodies” that my flat had really bad damp growing inside of it. My local council who are also my landlord got approached about the disrepair circumstances by the date of; December 2006, from the date of my first contact with the Enfield Council's’ repairs teams in December 2006 it then after took multiple calls and emails of myself and others to the Enfield Complaints departments reasonable persons, whom after 2006 sent many different visiting surveyors to get the emergency graded problems fixed, but to my dissatisfaction and lack of safety the problems never got fixed in a fair time scale and the damp continued to happen to my home, me and my property and without any fault of my own. Somehow the emergency raised issues took the Enfield Council and the Enfield Homes a couple of years to get mostly rectified and after an upsetting while disappointing semi completion date of the year of 2008. In the year of 2008 the walls in my bedroom and front room got repaired and this meant that the walls got taken back to brick and re-repasted. In the time frame while the announced agriculture work took place to rectify the damp issues within my rented home by the Enfield Council and their subcontracted staff the damp caused me the loss of the enjoyment of my flat and also caused damage to most of my personal property. This occurred at no fault of my own, “I still continue to stress while explaining even now what happened to me.” I suffered with bad health that got caused by other people’s negligence and the reason partly being for this bad health was because of the time frame the damp was left to grow untreated by professionals and I also continued to suffer because my flat became a work site. Due to the site works taking place in my home I had to have an industrial machine called a humidifier, placed into my bedroom and this was to remove the water that had built up in the internal and external walls of the building. In 2007 what was a year prior of myself signing into a mutual contract with my landlords for rented accommodation I found myself living in a damp and toxic hell? With I not being able be to live inside of my new home Safely and Happily. This damp flat meant that the only time I got allowed into my bedroom was to empty a bucket of water that the humidifier “machine” collected, I can remember that in the beginning of the machine getting placed inside of my bedroom that the water bucket for the humidifier used to fill up many times a day, on an average of three times a day and I also noticed that as the months continued to pass by the amount of unneeded stored water became less and less, I do reminisce on this time as I had to manage to maintain the machine myself and found this hard to achieve, aloe I did without any major incidents, this meant that I had to always be present at certain times of the day to complete this job and this was right up until the council and the Enfield homes reasonable persons where able to finally start their works. From the start of the initial disrepair problem the cause for the damp got documented by the Enfield council's’ staff to have occurred because of bad ventilation within the estate’s premises, this information got noted in the year of 2007 and as a resolution to the problem an air ventilation system got agreed to get installed and so, adapted to the premises. The works involved in the installation of this air system meant that I had to have some large circular holes cut into my windows of my home and this included my kitchen window that leads to my back garden and that of the same to my bathroom window that leads into the main street, the hardware needed for the ventilation system got delayed by the Enfield Council's contractors, so that the ventilation system never got completely installed for a much longer time frame than anticipated, in fact it took three years later in the year of 2010 for the job to get completed. For me this became a very disappointing situation and without any roundabout motion of change in a fair and speedy time. My home got left in-an-insecure way, in-between this time frame, because of the large circular holes that got left in the windows, in short, I got left with holes in my windows due to the Enfield Councils and the Enfield Homes staff’s teams, lack of organisation within their own protocol’s. Even though the flat stayed in an unlivable state of condition through the years of 2006 Till 2008 and then on up to the year of semi completion in 2010 I still got made to live in my flat in the horrendous conditions it suffered with and when a long time after, some of the disrepair issues did get fixed such as the walls, ceilings inside of my flat, the flat then continued to suffer from more knock on effect issues, as an example, due to the past damp within the first repairs, the floorboards went rotten causing sinking floors and this added towards making the flat even more unlivable than any person should have to accept, due to the dangerous risks involved. In a short layman term, I had no flooring laid down in my bedroom, as you walked into the bedroom itself. and this disrepair issue started in the year of 2008 because my foot-sunk, through the wooden floorboard. What happened to me to cause this further problem, was my heating boiler had started to give me lots of issues from the start of moving into the premises, in the year of 2006 after I reported the problem, the council sent a representative, who replaced the main mother board component inside of the heating system, to make it work once again, by the year of 2008 when reported; -- the board did need to get changed more than just once. In the end months of 2008, the damp floorboards in my bedroom got lifted up, after I reported the issue of my foot sinking through the floor to the council repair team. Like a circular motion I suffered with the floorboards in the bathroom getting lifted up as well at the same time and afterwards not laid back down correctly in a timely and safe manner, in a summery I got told I could not get allowed back into my bedroom other than to empty the humidifier and confusingly that the bathroom was OK to enter into even with the floorboards in both rooms then on being in the same state of bad condition, as they had not gotten replaced in both rooms, the reason that the floorboards could not get re-laid in my bedroom and bathroom was because the bedroom radiator pipe also leads into the other radiator in the bathroom and this is because they both share a u bend under the floorboards into each other, as both of the radiators are on the opposite sides of the same wall, from one and other! What did happen, is that the metal pipe had fractured, under the floorboards, causing each room to flood and not noticeably to any person, so, this disrepair issue had concealed itself, since I moved into my home, “until we detected the problem.” This error caused me to lose water pressure in my boiler system, so this leaking water added to the damp issues and accounted for the past boiler motherboard problems. When after noticed by the Enfield Councils and the Enfield Homes-Teams staff, they ordered that another surveyor come out to my work site of a home of an address, to oversee the problem. Days later a newly arrived member of staff agreed for a new heating system to get installed thought my flat and ordered for the old heating system to get completely decommissioned, so for the flat to be ready for the new installation, with an addition of a temporary peace of wooding for the floor to get laid, but this flooring did not get laid down and I continued to get left with no floor boards in the bathroom and bedroom inclusive of no working heating apparatus, as did get intended to get fixed and fitted and even more to the facts: --I got left with holes in my kitchen window and bathroom window, not to forget the water collection machine placed into my bedroom, still being present after the walls getting fixed. No reasonable persons attended again for months after until the year of 2009 once me and my mother had placed many more complaints into the complaints departments, when after our follow up complaints, a person attended and just laid down some temporary flooring, while still leaving the holes in the windows making my home insecure, no heating system in place and then after still living the humidifier in the bedroom. This lack of organisation on the Councils behalf and their subcontractors caused me to suffer. As just prior explained after many more complaints got made, the floors did finally get replaced in the year of 2009. The following years slowly went by 2010, 2011, 2012: -- My life got made to be a living hell because of the mentioned disrepair issues still presiding to get left abandoned by the Enfield Council and the Enfield Homes Teams. On the 02/03/2015 my mother and I were sick of making calls to the council regarding my heating, air ventilation system and nothing getting done to fix the issues, so, my mother emailed a complaint to the council, regarding the multiple amounts of issues and repairs not getting corrected. Once the council had received this email the air ventilation systems hardware did finally get installed, but still nothing regarding my heating. In May 2015 my mother got a call from a lady from the council regarding the heating, saying, who surprisingly explained to her that I had prior removed all my metal pipework for the heating system to function. My mother got upset at hearing what the lady said to her on the phone, and as a consequence she explained to the lady that she wanted for her to arrange for another manager and surveyor to come to my home flat and my mother stressed that this must happen within the next few days from then on, so for the Enfield Homes and the Enfield staffs teams to be able to re-analyse the situation then in hand by the complaint departments “reasonable persons,” and my mother continued her conversation by expressing; if she had to she would lift the rest of the flooring up in my flat to prove the metal-pipe-work was all still in existence and in its correct place. In that moment of my mother’s conversation with the Enfield employee, the lady explained while she was still on the phone that there is no need for what my mother had told her to happen and that the council will replace the heating system. To finalise the problems: -- they just did not stop for me there and as a continuation the Enfield Council employees then sent another surveyor out to my flat to oversee and then order to replace the heating system but surveyors or council employees had previously already told the sub-contractors that I had removed all the pipe work, to the point that no one wanted to come out and do the work. Then on the 19th of June 2015 and the 31st of July 2015 the initial main emergency problems got finally addressed and a new heating system got reinstated so for the disrepair problems to be rectified to a degree, but the damp as I still to date complain about continued to grow and come back, yet again, to abbreviate on the circumstances in hand up and till date of renting my home, the damp still formulates to occur. When the sub-contractor did arrive on the 19th June 2015, he was very shocked to see all the pipework still in place, when he did arrive to my home and also said that he is glad that he met me and saw the truth himself. In the time of me making my official complaints: -- the Enfield Council came to their rented address and then the council employees explained to me, that they could also see the bad extent of the living conditions through the years that me and my home are imposed to stay in. The Enfield Homes and the Enfield Council agreed that they will upkeep the repairs in the flat to the correct living standards, without any work commencing, as arranged by them. As an added add on and at no fault of my own and for an unfair amount of time, my flat stayed so, cold if it were not for me needing my home to live in, it would have equaled to the same conditions, as being outside in the open cold air! In the winter things got a lot worse, I needed more than just your normal extra protection off winter covers, for me to be able to try my best to keep warm. I did buy myself an electric heater but the cost of running this was very high and also at my own expense. As mentioned I had a different surveyor after surveyor come out to my home address to help me manage the issues in my rented home, without any fair follow-ups and each time every different person in attendance, would say that the work must get completed in a short time frame and yet it never got completed, meaning that a large list of mutable emergency repairs that must get met in any circumstances to the correct ISO standard of fair living standards, did get neglected for years and has not gotten rectified to a good standard right up and till now and this is unfair and at my loss of living.”

Summary Sound Proofing Issues.

Since I moved into the flats at Burncroft Avenue, I have noticed that there are very bad sound proofing issues within these blocks of flats. You can hear everything in the flats, that other people in different properties are talking about, doors closing etc. this causes many horrific issues, to the extent that I have had to move into my front room to sleep, I did call the council due to this and they said nothing could get done!

The flat I rent suffers with Damp.

From the start of my tenancy agreement, poisons mould-damp has caused me many unneeded issues in my life this includes the damage of my personal property and also that of my own bad Health, which the council did not correct for years, due to their own negligence and then after them repairs finally taking place the damp once again started to reoccur, “and posits to establish itself in my rented property, up and till date, due to bad maintenance of the buildings infrastructure, who the burden of disrepair issues lies solely with my Landlord's the Enfield Council and the Enfield Homes.”

The flat I rent also suffers with a Broken Central Heating System.

As a third issues of emergency graded issue, my central heating system in my Flat ceased to work and at no fault of my own, this incident caused me pandemonium within my daily routines of life, substituting an organised schedule into Havok, in reflecting on the problem of me living without any working heating system, I can remember this being for a far longer time frame than any person must induce as humanly inhabitable, living circumstances. I can also pertain that I did suffer thought, due to the Enfield Council's negligence, the Enfield Council maintained to be irresponsible thought all of the repair and complaint procedures of utmost importance. Their staff’s behaviour was that of nonreasonable persons and showed true negligence towards me their client, evolving the disrepair problem such as the broken heating system not to get fixed for years after the initialise complaint of myself. The already listed disrepair issues caused me the Loss of my Front Room, as there was! / No working Heating system! / Damp / Damp re-growing on the rear and other wall’s, soon after the repairs took place. Once again soon after the repairs taking place and then on after! / water started to run down the main front room wall! The damp and central heating issue caused me the Loss of my Bedroom! / No working heating system! / damp reoccurring on the rear wall and around the windows even low they are ventilated!

• The Loss of my kitchen! / No working heating system!

• The Loss of Hallway! / No working heating system!

• The Loss of my Bathroom! / No working heating system!

* **Past Pictures**
* **Present Pictures**
1. **The Asbo**

Members Metropolitan Police had targeted the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell from an early adolescent age and a copy of his criminal record that he has combined proves harassment.

The number of arrests leading to a nonconvention due to police and prosecution services failing to provide enough evidence beyond reasonable doubt is disgusting for any person to have suffered throughout being pursued. The criminal record also, shows dates of arrest and these dates prove my Mr. Cordell’s good Character leading up to the placement of a Forged Asbo Court Order that the Enfield Council & Metropolitan Police Force applied for at a Court of law and for an example is the documented below.

* The stipulation based in and around the fundamental building block of creating such a Court Order as any Asbo have sticked prerequisite to be followed and these regulated requirements were not fulfilled as required.
1. Any of the following voluntary solutions and alternative remedies should be considered prior to an application for an ASBO being considered such as.
2. Mediation.
3. Verbal and written warnings from the relevant authorities including Police Support Packages.
4. Diversionary schemes and activities.
5. Rehabilitation.
6. Criminal investigation.
7. The above list is not exhausted to it limit.
* The claimant a Mr S. P. Cordell expressed his concerns at the earliest opportunity and said that he never was given any chance to any other remedies.

Shortly after I stated to get threats to leave the country as they understood that I was going to look into the application as they released a copy of the folder.

**File Temp Link Location**

<https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/Asbo%20Claim%20Files%2012-08-22/>

* Breaches of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000.

--

* Breaches Admitting Evidence Under Sections 9 and 10 Criminal Justice Act 1967 - Updated 2 August 2018 as amended 2003.

--

* **Article 190.1:** Definition of Offense

A person commits the criminal offense of fabrication of evidence when he or she, with intent to mislead, fabricates anything intending it to inserted as evidence in existing or proposed judicial proceedings.

* **Article 191.1:** Definition of Offense

A person commits the criminal offense of presentation of false or forged evidence when he or she presents evidence in judicial proceedings knowing it to be false or forged.

* **Article 198.1:** Definition of Offense

A person commits the criminal offense of providing assistance to a perpetrator after the commission of a criminal offense when he or she: **Section (b)** helps the perpetrator of a criminal offense elude discovery by concealing instruments or evidence or aids the perpetrator in any other way.

Disclosure Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 (CPIA) Nondisclosure

Breaches of the Oaths Act 1868

Breaches of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 / 1st Asbo

Computer Abuse

Sabotage

Fraud, Corruption

Prevention

Detection

Testimony

Tort

Obligation

But showed Negligence

Miscarriage of justice

Malicious falsehood

Material facts

Hearsay evidence

The master of the Rolls failed in more than one legal obligation

1. **The Neighbours**

The Enfield Councils teams took for granted that it is a Penalties for concealing offences or giving false information under the Criminal Law Act 1967 – “Concealing an arrestable offence.” Mr. S. P. Cordell and other persons repeatedly reported the Tort of nuisance Act 1893 Christie v Davey and other civil and criminal wrongdoing what members of his Neighbours vigorously was doing to him with contemporary relevance to no evade of justice.

The tremendous while yet mortifying attack that Mr. S. P. Cordell reclaims his next-door Neighbours taking apart in panned out to be more than just a punishment and without any fair law or reason. More than thirty different members of neighbours through different duration of years and times set out on him in an evil frenzy fuelled attack that would be illegal to take place throughout majority of the lands in the world that are in a governed state of control.

Mr. S. P. Cordell stays scared till date of reprisals as the neighbours and local authorities left him quaking when applying for justice. Floorboards & windows used as Weapons and not to forget doors the neighbours used it all to hurt Mr. Cordell and more to any extent, objects banged aloud to disgrace and petrify him even when they involved knew that he had done nothing wrong. An innocent person tortured such as Mr. S P. Cordell took place and is not acceptable to accept in today’s modern society. The neighbour’s intended to cause considerable damage to Mr. S P. Cordell or any other fair-minded person who choose to act in redemption to save Mr Cordell from stopping the cruel neighbours’ illegal wrong doings.

The different illegal scenarios that Mr. S. P. Cordell suffered forcefully put him in fear of losing his life or well-being and has had negative life changing effects.

The claimant’s mother wrote at my request to the authorities for protection, “Enfield Neighbourhood Team & Enfield Council” while I made phone calls to the police.

My mother got blanked for months with no replies from the emails she was sending, while I got refused justice to a fair investigation. “**In the Table at The Bottom of This Document Below.**”

Criminal Justice Act 2003

Prevention

Corruption

Computer Abuse

Sabotage

Detection

Overt act

Malice

Libel

1. **The 1st Possession Order**

The 1st Possession Order alongside with E, F, G, below were interlocutory orders and Mr. S. P. Cordell suffered through the years of 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019 because of these proceedings and at no fault of his own.

Mr. S. P. Cordell contended the allegations from the very start of the justice system process taken against him and beard the loss of costs and damages.

The original Court orders were all produced in a none chaological order and were redacted copies and without any persons named to be able to test the turning stones of the law and without the statement makers name being present to test the weight of the bearing of the statements, Mr. S. P. Cordell or his litigation friend could not rightly challenge the requested in court.

The proceedings never made it to trial as Mr. S. P. Cordell and others challenged the case handlers about the legal practicalities of what they were doing.

In a nutshell when the provided paperwork from the Enfield Council was overviewed by the now claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell it became more apparent that the law-abiding documentation was yet again frauded due to obvious inaccuracies.

The relevant government bodies took no precautions or necessary steps to prevail the right of justice as in fact once when warned they refused to listen an act in accordance with stationery policies to protect that of the company they govern or while protecting that of Mr. S. P. Cordell and other associated people by having or showing no concern about whether behaviour they took was morally right from wrong when dealing with issues pertaining to this claim.

The Enfield council staff unlawfully attempted to deprive Mr. S. P. Cordell of his rented residential premises of his occupation of the premises, when making false statement to Obtain Interim Possession Orders and what is a crime governed by the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.

The Enfield council staff understood that by defrauding company records and using them forged documents in calculated planning.to apply for law abiding court orders to put restraints on his freedom and deprive Mr. Cordell of his home that by doing so they involved would be intending to cause really severe injury physically and mentally to Mr. S. P. Cordell.

The Severity of the attack used calculated forgeries of testimonial evidence to interfere with the peace.

And the length of the or duration of illegal activities that took place by the Enfield Councils staff exoculated far beyond reason.

Vicarious liability

Infanticide

Making False Statement to Obtain Interim Possession Order Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994

Civil Evidence Act 1968

Protection from Eviction Act 1977 s.1

Assisting or Encouraging Crime

Mandate

1. **The 1st Injunction Order**

For the avoidance of doubt, the Claimant attempted standard disclosure and failed in its obligation to sever the order in accordance to service and post, Civil Procedure Rules part 6.

A copy of the application that failed service on Mr. Cordell was also, emailed to his mother and for her reference only. The Email received contained a list of documents pertaining to the 1st Injunction Order application. The list was in standard form.

The documentation contained a list of frauded allegation against her son a Mr. S. P. Cordell that he stated he had not committed and she could agree with for different varied reasons.

The 1st Injunction Order was different from the 1st Possession Order because it contained a Subpoena.

The Enfield Councils staff had avoided acting on the first and correct attributing claims sent to them by his mother about any of the then participating turned from perpetrators to victims and was swindled out of any fair outcomes by the Enfield council staffs from any fair outcome of her complaint by corruption as when request the Enfield Council objected to an inspection and continued proceedings,

Nevertheless, Mr. S. P. Cordell contended the allegations and beard loss of costs.

Words and expressions by the judge brought a sharp close to proceedings as the Enfield Councils legal team failed to return their questionnaire within the correct timeline requested by his honour.

The claimant submitted further attempts for his honours decision to get overturned but the judge was stern in his direction and stuck the case out of court for good.

The 1st Injunction Order application clearly made and still makes a mockery of the Civil Evidence Act 1968 codes of practice and equality that with more other absolute Rights of Mr. Cordell & his family members.

1. **The 2nd Injunction Order**

There are different numbers of observations I will make about this court order.

* **Firstly,**

The 2nd Injunction Orders proceedings was going to be contentious, due to what Mr S. P. Cordell and others continued hushed quite about when complaining to the Enfield Council complaints departments, who then acted rigorously, by acting in a harsh and demanding way that was not compliant to United Kingdom’s Laws.

* **Secondly,**

The Edmonton Lower County Judge prohibited the reinstatement of the previously lost claims, when sought by the Enfield Council but yet Enfield Council reinstated the documentation and

Telephone Call

Case handlers became victims and continued managing proceedings

Service of Court Order failed

Index

A refusal to challenge the documents as forgeries.

* **Thirdly,**

The Suit brought by the Enfield Council

* **Fourthly**

The Enfield Council refused to subduct the forged claim.

* **Fifthly**

His honour the judge released proceedings with a final Court order stating that the Enfield Council must

* **Lastly,**

To play devil's advocate the Burgess of the Enfield Council throw down the gauntlet, aiding and abetting in affray and by doing so he gave Mr S. P. Cordell the right of cause of action.

1. **The 2nd Possession Order**

One way to explain the Enfield Council’s version of the 2nd Possession Order was like misaimed knife throwing blows with no accuracy or skills in disciplines of staff , Staff’s attitudes towards the claimant was as if he to them was the human target to which they had misaimed at just to victimise on purpose when compiling the application through proceedings and this fact come as no surprise or avail to the claimant due to past experience applied by themselves for court order applications.

* A
* A
* A

Again the Enfield Councils staff did not act relinquish in proceedings with a resolution that lead to no fair outcome that they brought against Mr. S. P. Cordell at the final outcome of proceedings and as for a fact they altogether should face an indictment for the fraud they committed to carry out proceedings brought against the claimant for what he suffered.

1. **A Breach of a Court Order to Make an Emergency Housing Transfer on his behalf.**
* In every second that gets left to tick by
* A
* A

Jurisdiction

Discovery

Judgement

**Summary of What We Reported**

As an example of the failures the Enfield Council made me suffer throughout and without any fair interception I will be using one of the last telephone calls that myself and a Ms Kate Osbourne had who represents the Enfield Councils Insurance department.

This telephone call was quite conclusive in respect of the issues that we complained about and without any fair follow-ups.

The date and time of the conversation are as follows 15/12/2020 at time 00:00

**File Temp Link Location**

<https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/Housing%20Transfer%20Files%2015-08-2022/Use%20to%20Make%20Needed%20Statements%20From%20DAC%20Beachcroft%20File/>

* Neighbours
* Dawn Allen
* A
* A
* A

**Statutory Responsibilities Code of Conduct and Code of Accountability**

**Act of laws covering topic:**

* The local government Act 2000.
* The Local Government and Housing Act 1989. The local government Act 2000.

**Accountability:** Everything done by those who work in the Enfield Council must be able to stand the tests of parliamentary scrutiny, public judgments on propriety and professional codes of conduct.

The current burden of breached responsibilities that lay within the context of this claim are because of:

* **Failures from the Monitoring Office**
* **Failures from the Enfield Director of Governance**
* **Failures from Director of Operations** **at Enfield Council**
* **Failures from Counter Fraud Department at Enfield Council**
* **Failures from Director of Finance at Enfield Council**
* **Failures from the Shareholder Managers at Enfield Council**
* **Failures from Director of Human Resources at Enfield Council**
* **And Any Other Enfield Council Members and Officers** that should or may have been involved.

The listed above did not undertake to discharge his and/or her statutory responsibilities to prevent the existence of the broken laws and regulated standards as marked in categorised “**In the Table at The Bottom of This Document Below.**”

The Enfield Councils Staff failed to stop criminal and civil wrongdoings with or without intent by its hired employees.

Thought the case handling of a Mr S. P. Cordell’s the Enfield council’s staff were not in compliance of their own protocols and the standards dropped well below the boundaries of an accepted services and their unacceptable standard became that also when accounting for products supplied.

While Enfield Councils staff managed its company affairs on behalf of its clients and namely a Mr S. P. Cordell’s the Enfield Councils staff deliberately fabricated evidence against him and refused to act when presented with real artefacts pertain to the allegations frauded against him, while knowing that what they were taking a part in was morally a wrongdoing and would deprive Mr. S. P. Cordell of his wellbeing, freedom, life, reputation, property and/or goods.

Mr. S. P. Cordell and his family members were all undermined at every point of interception they made through the correct channels of relevance to their caseloads, when raising their prioritised high-risk concerns and this undesired behaviour of official staff members was achieved to weaken his position, goals, and/or success of any truth being found in any complaint sent or raised when interacting with staff.

**Unsatisfactory Performance**

The Enfield Councils staff showed no actions that would lead to a fair and positive conclusion to events that would have a final outcome of minimal impact on all involved.

The public safety and property within the Borough’s got put completely through unnecessary high risks due to the reckless actions of staff from the Enfield Council and without any fair reason.

The objects that the Enfield Council represent through its associated sublunary company’s got mistreatment by its staff to aid as tools of crime. I.e. eight properties that the Enfield Council rent due to owning and managing whin its own housing stock that they abused and used as a captive house and side-torture-houses knowingly once reported.

The Enfield Council Failed to provide advice and assistance to Mr. S. P. Cordell in respect of the Council’s housing policies that was fair or reasonable.

The Enfield Council Failed to creating an attractive and safe environment for Burncroft Avenue and its attached safer neighbourhoods’ tenants.

The Enfield Council Failed to prevent crime and/or reducing the fear of crime.

By creating a charade of court process based on frauded documentation that the Enfield Councils staff created, the Enfield Councils staff broke the united kingdom’s laws even once obviously caught by other persons and then after exposed in the act of fraud and as a cover-up the Enfield Council continued with a further Abuse of a Maliciously Process.

The achieved mark of high standards of corporate and personal conduct in public services failed, when considering the severity of the ongoings within this claim and continued to full while the Enfield council was under new management and staff. The Enfield Councils regime mocked policies and staffs working standards clearly show underperformance of Enfield councils services and management structure. There is a need for the staff’s actions to be overviewed again in focus of public safety and concern for the issues raised.

The Enfield Council was not committed to maintaining an honest and open atmosphere which supports the elimination of the potential for fraud when alerted about the ongoing within their internal workplace by the claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell and due to this wrong the system of internal control has now failed for the company namely an Enfield council and that of its clients, the reason for this failure is as no overall risk assessment was honestly audited or disclosed and because of no fair investigation taking a place one incidents were continually reported.

**Levels of seriousness**

* **Attempted Murder. Criminal Attempts Act 1981**
* **Torture “Criminal Justice Act s.134”**

The Enfield Councils official persons committed the offence of torture when they intentionally inflicted severe pain and suffering on Mr. S. P. Cordell when acting in an official capacity, in the performance of their official duties. In the years of 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022 the Enfield Councils staff deliberately allowed and took apart in

* **Kidnapping and/or False Imprisonment / Unlawful Detention**

Kidnapping is taking someone away by force or fraud without their consent on any lawful excuse.

False Imprisonment is detaining someone against their will

Both offences are categorised as profoundly serious offences, with each carrying the potential for life imprisonment on conviction.

Intentional Reckless Behaviour

The Restraint of a Victim's Freedom of Movement from A Particular Place

Misleading The Competence of The Court.

False Information in A Formal Written Statement

Fabricating Or Tampering with Evidence

Falsely Implicating an Innocent Person

Preventing The Giving of Evidence

Perjury And Allied Offences.

Contradictory Statements by Persons Giving Evidence on Oath.

Mental Element

Perverting The Course of Justice

In the process of resolving Mr. S. P. Cordell’s problems and agreeing a way forward, staff frauded records including notes of telephone discussions, to aid in a guilty conviction against him.

The Enfield Council must show a fair progress and outcome in all affairs but fail to be able to provide monitoring returns demonstrating the truth of progress through proceedings and any fair investigation into our complaints and this is because their staff manipulated the case proceedings and breached regulations along pursuit to evade punishment for the crimes they have got committed.

An inadequate governance becomes apparent as a range of non and/or under-performed challenges became apparent and these under governed requirements that failed were because of Enfield Council failing to meet the terms and conditions of Contracts.

The Enfield Councils staff failed to implement a justifiable procedure that was condonable within any of the agreed timescales thought case proceedings they brought against me and complaints or requests made by ourselves to them.

The Enfield Council Failure to arrange a face-to-face visit to consider concerns with a view to resolution and avoiding escalation once caught out in fraud and dishonesty was not adequate, even though Enfield Council’s staff did try to arrange a face-to-face meeting at their offices with the intention of depriving me of my home by illegally set me up and after already forging an Asbo order against me that was and is still getting complained about.

Failure to provide details of the monitoring office when requested.

The Chief Executive failed when determine if it was necessary to suspend, adjust or terminate part or all of the contracts of staff he represents considering the weight of the evidence put before themselves. The evidence provided when dealing with Mr. S. P. Cordell’s problems, showed high reason for concern, and proved high class criminal activities that took a place. No correct action even up until the current date has ever taken motion and the time scale exceeded any fair limits due to the Enfield Councils Chief Executive team’s bad judgment for complacency from right or wrong.

It is well known that the Enfield Homes & the Safer neighbourhood watch teams deliver housing management services on behalf of Enfield Council to its tenants and leaseholders & because of this fact they are all in partnership and hold equal responsibility to their clients and this becomes 100% apparent so, when working on projects or caseloads united.

The Enfield Council alongside with the Enfield Homes & the Safer neighbourhood watch teams failed their obligations to me a Mr. S. P. Cordell when managing a fair service because at no point in time did staff act in a responsive manner nor did they listen to anything that people said to them and act in accordance with policies. The organisation did not take my views and evidence seriously and deliberately damaged my trust and confidence in themselves.

In proceedings it become so transparent at times that I and any other would agree that the organisation did not have my best interest at heart and this is inclusive of surrounding neighbours and property this incompetent behaviour from staff continued with a knock-on effect to other local areas and their inhabitants.

At no point until now has the Enfield Council really taken manging the right to life seriously and in return a value for money for services has decreased. The Enfield Councils staff, never commitment themselves to providing a zero-tolerance approach towards domestic violence and encouraged it to thrive throughout mutable years and allowed this to happen to cover up foul play within their own departments and their illegal activities must be accountable for.

I underwent once reported:

* **Hate Crime** Act 1998 = Crime and Disorder Act 1998
* **Physical Violence,** putting people in fear of violence / Protection from Harassment Act 1997 s. 4(1) / Violent Disorder Public Order Act 1986 s.2 \_**+ ** **22** Broadcasting or including programme in cable programme service.
* **Serious Crime,** Assisting or Encouraging Crime - Part 2 of the Serious Crime Act 2007 creates, at sections 44 to 46.

**Equality Act 2010**

* **Discrimination** = Race relations Act 1976 (Repealed) Race relations Act 2000
* The Harassment and Stalking Acts 1997

And the Enfield Council mandatory must prevent these instances from occurring at the earliest points of awareness as possible.

The Councils must be committed to collaborating with residents to resolve reports of anti-social behaviour and not manipulating official records to favour any parties involved as has happened.

No investigate took a place even when there is unmistakable evidence and this meant that no necessary actions got took by the Enfield Council to follow the correct direction. Respect & confidentiality together went to an all-time low, as an accessible and supportive service to investigate high- risk enquires continually showed signs of getting abused.

The seriousness of the complaints made on behalf of a Mr. S. P. Cordell to the Enfield Council staff did not addressed and for wrongful reasons.

Breaches of the DPA – “Data Protection Act 1998” / GDPR 2016 occurred on more than one occasion and when General Data Protection must be well regulated.

The Enfield Council continue to use anonymous & bogus complaints held on their computer system as easily proved when comparing data within their court orders and that of data received by submission from the Enfield Council due to a requested Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Parts of the Enfield Council organisational structure acted in Malfeasance in Public Office as they continued their illegal pursuit of Targeted Malice against Mr. S. P. Cordell knowingly.

**Whistle Blowing officer**

The nominated Whistle Blowing officer of the Enfield Council should review the legitimacy of the registered suspicion and then liaise as necessary with the Directing chain of command.

**When A Whistle Blowing Officer Receives a Suspicion There Are 3 Step to Follow:**

1. No action necessary, update database, close case and there will be no referral.
2. Referral to the Assistant Director of CFPS who will appoint an investigator.
3. Further internal investigation required – this may lead to no action or an investigation.

False pretence

**Physical Evidence**

If there is any physical evidence the employee or Manager should seize this, if possible. It is essential that this is secure and a record kept of the time and place the information was document.

**Collection of Evidence**

If evidence consists of more than one item, for example more than one document, each one should easily be identifiable with a reference number corresponding to the written record.

**Witnesses**

Case handlers of complaints should talk through the disclosed information with the witness if appropriate and complete a record kept of any discussions.

**My Future Employment**

The Enfield Councils staff understood what my intentions in life were. My destiny involved building a festival. I had continually promoted this information to the public, my loved ones, and my local council – The Enfield Council.

I worked closely alongside the Enfield Council at music events in my local parks as well as attending the civic centre about ways forward to achieve my positive gaols in life.

Following my dreams also meant that I worked in my local community hall and became a trusted key holder within a brief period of time. In my brief time at the community hall I had to have board room meeting and these meetings involved Members of the Enfield Council as they were the; “Building Managers” who let the building out to be a community hall.

The Enfield Councils staff understood that my companies could float shares and have a Board of Directors of the Organisation and the stipulations that can be involved in such companies running objectives and in short this means that my reputation has to me intact.

By the Enfield Council Staff forging paperwork to gain a Asbo in my name and then forging more paperwork’s as more official court orders and then afterwards and once in trouble using the Mental Health teams to aid as a sharp knife out of a draw to stab me rather than addressing the true facts in mine and others official complaints, they contributed towards high levels of concern that raised breaches of the Ill-treatment of patients and Mental Health Act 1983 s.127. the second of time that they working for the Enfield Councils aided in created a forged Mental Health history about my person and now that forged documentation has negative effects on the rest of my life and this is due to the magnitude of failed attempts to undermine the defamation of Character by Slandering my reputation and then on creating large size documentation that was needed to attempt such illegal activities to a person to aid in the interference with the course of justice and prevent my claims getting taken seriously.

For an instance the size of the documentation is so overwhelming that if I did get arrested by police officer(s) no police custody officer(s) has the time to read over the documentation on Rio when booking me into the station to find out if what I am saying is true and that is that I have never been assessed to me Mental unwell in all of the assessments I have now got forced to undergo and due to this they will find me not fit for interview and refer me to the doctors for a Mental Health assessment as I seem so well knowing by them. I get classed as not fit for interviews and taken to a hospital and assessed for up to 28 days with a possibility of then afterwards getting keep on a separate wing for treatment as a mental health patient with an extension in time for a further six months while forced medication in the second stage.

**Memorandum and Articles of Association of Enfield Homes Limited Weblink**

<https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/Housing%20Transfer%20Files%2015-08-2022/Enfield%20Council%2028-09-2022%20Recived%20Email/Parts/Bits%202/Appendix%20C.3%20-%20M%20Articles%20of%20Assoc.pdf>

At Index “Disqualification and Removal of Board Members” and in section 18.4, 18.4.1, 18.4.2, the document for the Memorandum and Articles of Association of Enfield Homes states that

Lease holds Reforms Act 1967 “**Right to Buy**”

Trustee Act 1925 as Amended Act 2000

Fraudulent Breach of Trust 1980

Fiduciary Duty Companies Act 2016 (“Companies Act”) (formally section 132 of the Companies Act 1965)

* **Losses and Special Payments** The company mandatory Instructions for dealing with losses within compliance of the Compensation Act 2006 and special payments including delegation limits and when to inform Department, External Auditor and Police failed a Mr. S. P. Cordell when dealing with his matters of concern.
* **Statutory Conspiracy;** Section 1(1) of the Criminal Law Act 1977 states: “If a person agrees with any other person or persons that a course of conduct shall be pursued which, if the agreement is carried out in accordance with their intentions, either will necessarily amount to or involve the commission of any offence or offences by one or more of the parties to the agreement, or would do so but for the existence of facts which render the commission of the offence or any of the offences impossible, he is guilty of conspiracy to commit the offence or offences in question.”.
* **Criminal Liability:** An Act to amend the law of England and Wales by abolishing the division of crimes into felonies and misdemeanours and to amend and simplify the law in respect of matters arising from or related to that division or the abolition of it; to do away (within or without England and Wales) with certain obsolete crimes together with the torts of maintenance and champerty; and for purposes connected therewith.
* **Making A Complaint:** The procedure for making a complaint about the conduct of an official person or other is simple and informal. A complaint is at its best made in writing but may be orally.

**Arranging A Meeting!**

* General meeting

Engrossment

General damages

Prominence

**Draft Parts**

* **Companies Act 2006 “Directors' Duties”**
* **The Company Acts 1985**
1. <https://www.jmw.co.uk/services-for-business/corporate/legal-advice-company-directors/criminal-prosecution-involving-company-directors>
2. <https://asic.gov.au/about-asic/contact-us/how-to-complain/disputes-between-officeholders-and-or-members-of-small-proprietary-companies-video-transcript/>
3. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/lexispsl/corporatecrime/document/391421/55KB-9471-F188-N2X0-00000-00/Companies_Act_offences_overview>
* A Making a material omission from a statement relating to a company’s affairs
* Destroying, mutilating, or falsifying company records

--

* Housing Act 1988
* Company Limited by Guarantee Act 1989
* Not having a Share Capital Act 2006
* Agreeing to Indemnify Sureties
* Offences against the Person Act 1861 s.18
* The Prosecution of Offences Act 1985
* Criminal Law Act 1967
* Criminal Evidence Act 1984 / 1898
* Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) codes of practice

|  |
| --- |
| **The Neighbours Table****File Temp Link Location**<https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/Temp/Neighbours%20Only/Neighbours%20Only/> |
| **Numb** | **Details** | **History** | **Laws & Regs** |
|  | Number 97 Burncroft Avenue | * Ozzie real name is Hassan Ozman and he normally had renting loggers that was and stays a big problem.
* His address is 97 Burncroft Avenue and this is in the next Block of Flats. Hassan Ozman front room and bedroom walls are side to side with mine.
* “Secure Council Tenants”
* To my understanding Ozzie has lived in a block of flats Burncroft Ave since the year of 2004 Till Date of the 05/10/2022
* Still the Current Occupier
* X 1 person + 1 then 2 more sub-renters.
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 95 Burncroft Avenue | * Christine Smith
* “Secure Council Tenants”
* Still the Current Occupier
* X 1 person + others
* **A**
 |  |
|  | Number 99 Burncroft Avenue | * Karen Dunno and another Real name of hers is Karen Becky
* Carron Dunno and another Real name of hers is Carron Becky
* “Unsecure Tenants”
* Number 99 Burncroft Avenue is in the next Block of Flats to Mr S. P. Cordell’s and not ajar to the side of his flat’s internal rooms. The flat is above number 95 and on the second floor.
* Karen Dunno joined in with Christine Smith and Stain Curtis alongside other neighbours in the year of
* X 1 person
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 111 Burncroft Avenue | * Stain Curtis and another Real name of his is Stain Fisher
* “Secure Council Tenants”
* 111 Burncroft Avenue is in the same block of flats as my rented home and is on the ground floor, 111 is the only flat that faces 109 as the front doors face each other in a small separate communal landing to the main building and the kitchens in both flats run aside to each other. Both these flats have back gardens that also, run a join.
* X 1 person
* A
* Stain is sadly deceased as of the date 17/04/2020.
 |  |
|  | Number 111 Burncroft Avenue | Janice Barton“Secure Council Tenants”Give up her tenancy |  |
|  | Number 111 Burncroft Avenue | * The flat of 111 Burncroft Avenue is empty at the current date of 05/10/2012 and has stayed that way for months prior.
 |  |
|  | Number 113 Burncroft Avenue | * Debra Andrews
* “Secure Council Tenants”
* X 1 person
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 113 Burncroft Avenue | * Ambrose Tariq and another Real name of his is Ambrose Atoro
* “Secure Council Tenants”
* X 1 person
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 113 Burncroft Avenue | * George Quinton
* “Secure Council Tenants”
* X 4 people
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 113 Burncroft Avenue | * Richard Edward Skinner
* “Secure Council Tenants”
* X 1 person
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 115 Burncroft Avenue | * Young White Couple Real name: Young White Couple
* “Unsecure Tenants”
* X 2 people
 | N/a.  |
|  | Number 115 Burncroft Avenue | * Turkish looking woman
* X 1 person.
* “Unsecure Tenants”
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 115Burncroft Avenue | * Light skin Girl Rebecca O
* Still the Current Occupier
* X 2 people
* “Unsecure Tenants”
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 117 Burncroft Avenue | * 1ST The Mathiyalagan Family & Kanathran the Logger
* “Unsecure Tenants”
* X 3 people
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 117 Burncroft Avenue | * 2ND Turkish lot 2 Adults male and female + 3 young children and one new-born
* “Secure Council Tenants”
* X 3 people
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 117 Burncroft Avenue | * 3Rd Black Woman & Man and young child Black family
* Rasheedah Lynch & Mica Cowan
* “Unsecure Tenants”
* X 2 people
* A
 |  |
| 1.
 | Number 117 Burncroft Avenue | 4th Ryhnna DanielsX 1 person | N/a |
|  | Number 117 Burncroft Avenue | * 5th Louisse Miller
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 117 Burncroft Avenue | * 6th Dakoto Dunn
* “Secure Council Tenants”
* X 1 person
* A
 |  |
|  | Number 117 Burncroft Avenue | * 7th Unknown
* “Unsecure Tenants”
* Still the Current Occupier
 | N/a  |
|  | Number 119 Burncroft Avenue |  |  |
| **End** |

|  |
| --- |
| **The Enfield Councils & Neighbourhood Watch Teams Table****File Temp Link Location**<https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/Groups-of-Involved-People-List/>  |
| **Numb** | **Details** | **History** | **Laws & Regs** |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. Enfield Civic Centre. Silver Street, Enfield, London, EN1 3XA | * Officers name Ian.Davis@enfield.gov.uk. **BOSS**
* Chief.Executive@enfield.gov.uk Bosses
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Rob.Leak@enfield.gov.uk
* Chief.Executive@enfield.gov.uk Bosses
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Sarah.Cary@enfield.gov.uk **BOSS**
* Chief.Executive@enfield.gov.uk Bosses
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Tony.Theodoulou@enfield.gov.uk **BOSSES Executive Director of Children & Adult Services** Started on my case **05/12/2018** “This is the Same Surname as PC Sophie Theodoulou who is the Police Officer who Lied and said that she Served me the First Asbo Folder! **12/09/2014**”
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Heather.Littler@enfield.gov.uk **BOSS**
* Chief.Executive@enfield.gov.uk Bosses
* Senior Admin Officer Chief Executive's Unit London Borough of Enfield Civic Centre, Silver Street, Enfield, EN1 3XY **24/11/2016,** **28/12/2017,** **29/12/2017, 01/01/2018, 02/01/2018, 08/01/2018, 15/01/2018,** **25/01/2018, 03/10/2018, 05/12/2018, 06/12/2018, 03/03/2019, 04/03/2019, 28/12/2019, ++++**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Sally.McTernan@enfield.gov.uk **BOSS**
* Chief.Executive@enfield.gov.uk Bosses
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name \*\*\* **BOSS**
* Chief.Executive@enfield.gov.uk Bosses
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name \*\*\* **BOSS**
* Chief.Executive@enfield.gov.uk Bosses
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name \*\*\* **BOSS**
* Chief.Executive@enfield.gov.uk Bosses
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Jeremy.Chambers@enfield.gov.uk **Boss Solicitors**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Ludmilla.Iyavoo@enfield.gov.uk **Solicitor**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Paul.Buckridge@enfield.gov.uk **Solicitor** Started on my casebefore the **04/04/2018**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Zena.Ndereyimana@Enfield.gov.uk **Solicitor** Paralegal Legal Services
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Balbinder.Kaur-Geddes@enf1eld.goy.uk **Solicitor** Admitted on 15/01/2002. Annual practising certificate from 01/11/2021. / ++ **01/05/2018, 10/05/2018**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Kulwinder.Johal@enfield.gov.uk  **Solicitor** Ms. Kulwinder Johal Litigation Lawyer |Corporate Team | Legal Services On behalf of the Director of Law and Governance **20/06/2018, 05/11/2019, 11/12/2019, 12/12/2019, +++**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Jill.Bayley@enfield.gov.uk **Solicitor** Principal Lawyer, Safeguarding and Corporate Teams Legal Services **12/12/2019, 13/12/2019 ++++,**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Antonia.Makanjuola@enfield.gov.uk **Solicitor**: The Assistant Principal Lawyer in the. Council's Legal Department Admitted on **01/10/2004.** Annual practising certificate from **01/11/2021. / + 12/12/2019, 13/12/2019 ++++,**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Kay.Osborne@Enfield.gov.uk **Insurance**
* k\_osamor@hotmail.com **Insurance**
* Insurance@enfield.gov.uk **Insurance**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Simon.James@enfieldhomes.org **Enfield Homes**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Monica.Kaur@enfieldhomes.org **Enfield Homes**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Jo.O'Brien@enfieldhomes.org **Enfield Homes**
* John.O'Brien@met.pnn.police.uk **Professional Standards Unit** Detective Sergeant Directorate of Professional Standards SMIU /Brixton Driving Case  **/ “**Same Name in **28**) Jo.O'Brien@enfieldhomes.org **Enfield Homes**” **14/09/2016 +++ 04/03/2015** Search “**Brien**”
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Allan.Katongole@enfieldhomes.org **Enfield Homes**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Brien@enfieldhomes.org **Enfield Homes**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Louise.Brown2@enfield.gov.uk **Enfield Homes**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Dawn.Allen@enfield.gov.uk **Enfield Homes** Tenancy Management Officer Council Housing the Edmonton Centre 3644 South Mall Edmonton N9 0TN
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Jackie.Gubby@enfield.gov.uk **Enfield Homes** Housing Manager Tenancy Management the Edmonton Centre 36-44 South Mall Edmonton Green N9 0TN
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Nicholas.Foster@Enfield.gov.uk **Communications & Data Access** Complaints and Access to Information **Manager Gateway 27/01/2016 till 09/03/2016**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Ned.Johnson@enfield.gov.uk **ASBO** **Communications & Data Access.** Principal Officer Health Safety & Pollution. Pollution Control, Planning & Licensing Enforcement Planning, Highways & Transportation Regeneration & Environment Department **06/03/2015** Till **28/01/2016**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Seun.Ogunsan@enfield.gov.uk **Communications & Data Access** Complaints & Access to Information **Coordinator 07/12/2018**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Eboni.Ward@enfield.gov.uk **Communications & Data Access.** Access to Records Officer - Gateway Services **16/02/2019** till **03/03/2019**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Daniel.Ellis@enfield.gov.uk **Communications & Data Access**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Concetta.Nobile@enfield.gov.uk **Communications & Data Access** Started on my case **21/12/2016**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Kailey.Plahar@enfield.gov.uk **Communications & Data Access** Started on my case **04/11/2018** CRM SAR 1085
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Steve.Durbin@enfield.gov.uk **Communications & Data Access** Data Protection Officer, Customer Experience and Change London Borough of Enfield **25/11/2018,**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Theresa.Dodd@enfield.gov.uk **Communications & Data Access ASBO**  Correspondence & Complaints Manager Environment & Street Scene Department  **05/03/2015, 06/03/2015, 10/03/2015, 20/03/2015,** **22/03/2015,**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Linda.Wentum@Enfield.gov.uk Customer Services Officer Customer Gateway Finance, Resources & Customer Services **28/09/2017**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Dionne.Grant@enfield.gov.uk Statutory Complaints Manager ‐ Gateway Services Finance, Resources and Customer Services **13/01/2017 & 27/06/2019** with **Ian Davis** Director of Enfield Council, **Jeremy Chambers** Director of Law & Governance.
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Andrea.Clemons@enfield.gov.uk **Head of Community Safety Unit** Started on my case **07/11/2018**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Geoffrey.Mann@enfield.gov.uk **Boss Neighbourhood Watch Teams** Started on my case **00/00/2015**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Sarah.Fletcher@enfield.gov.uk **Neighbourhood Watch Teams** Started on my case **17/10/2016**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Obie.Ebanks@enfield.gov.uk **Neighbourhood Watch Team 11/08/2017 till 28/09/2017**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Nasir.Uddin@enfield.gov.uk Neighbourhood Officer Housing Services Housing & Regeneration Place Department Enfield Council the Edmonton Centre 36-44 South Mall Edmonton Green N9 0TN **09/08/2019** “The Right Home for Everyone”
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Lemmy.Nwabuisi@enfield.gov.uk **Anti-Social Behaviour Teams** Started on my case **01/10/2016**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name X2
1. louise.brown2@enfield.gov.uk **Anti-Social Behaviour Teams** Anti-Social Behaviour Officer Community Safety Unit Regeneration & Environment Department London Borough of Enfield
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Kaunchita.Maudhub@enfield.gov.uk **Anti-Social Behaviour Teams** Anti-Social Behaviour Team Leader in the Community Safety Unit / One of Lemmy Nwabusi Line Managers / employment since March 2016 / **03/11/2016**: “We are aware of Simon Cordell as Steve/Pat obtained an ASBO against him for illegal raves etc.” **09/01/2018, 31/05/2018, 21/12/2018,** with MPS Andrea Clemons **28/12/2018, 12/02/2019**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Jean.Barton@enfield.gov.uk **Anti-Social Behaviour Teams** ASB Victim & Witness Support Officer at Victim Support **01/11/2016**, **03/11/2016**, **04/11/2016**, **07/11/2016,** **08/11/2016, 14/11/2016,** **15/11/2016, Stain Curtis** / when it was the set-up Cristine and Caron Case as I never got arrested for Stain.
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Neville.Gray@enfield.gov.uk **Legal Disrepair Surveyor 14/08/2017 till 16/08/2017**
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name TheMashTeam@Enfield.gov.uk
* Kevin.Kamese@enfield.gov.uk  **12/12/2017, 13/04/2018, 01/06/2018, 21/08/2018,** MASH OSD for Health, Housing B Adult Social Care Operational Support HUB / Merlin Met
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Clare.Duiqnan@enfield.Gov.uk (HOS Integrated Care Mental Health for Adults with Profound Mental illness) **05/06/2018** with Kaunchita Maudhub, Ludmilla Lyavoo; Lemmy Nwabuisi + NHS Debbie Morgan
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name steve.stirk@enfieid.gov.uk
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name Gyamfi@Enfield.gov.uk
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name niadams@dacbeachcroft.com **DAC Beachcroft** The Last Set of Solicitors Hired by Enfield Council to Stop Me Proving the Truth or Claiming an Insurance Claim Against Themselves.
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name daknapp@dacbeachcroft.com **DAC Beachcroft** The Last Set of Solicitors Hired by Enfield Council to Stop Me Proving the Truth or Claiming an Insurance Claim Against Themselves.
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * Officers name
 |  |
| **End** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Disrepair Issues and Bad Management by My Housing Team!****File Temp Link Location**<https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/Temp/Disrepair%20109%20Burncroft%20Avenue/>  |
| **Numb** | **Details of Responsible Authority / Landlords** | **Exhibits** | **Photographic Evidence** |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. Enfield Civic Centre. Silver Street, Enfield, London, EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P1**
* This Exhibit P1 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P2**
* This Exhibit P2 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P3**
* This Exhibit P3 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P4**
* This Exhibit P4 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P5**
* This Exhibit P5 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P6**
* This Exhibit P6 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P7**
* This Exhibit P7 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P8**
* This Exhibit P8 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P9**
* This Exhibit P9 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P10**
* This Exhibit P10 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P11**
* This Exhibit P11 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P12**
* This Exhibit P12 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P13**
* This Exhibit P13 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P14**
* This Exhibit P14 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P15**
* This Exhibit P15 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |
|  | Enfield Civic Centre. EN1 3XA | * **EXHIBITS P16**
* This Exhibit P16 referrers to photographic evidence made on behalf of the Claimant a Mr. S. P. Cordell
* Dated 06.10.2022
 |  |