

was asked it was said my acting solicitor will be able to show myself a copy even though a consent form had been completed and submitted into St Ann's hospital.

I Mr Simon Cordell feel that I was not served in accordance of the legalisation framework that represents the mental health act 1983, neither assessed at the correct opportunity falsifying my illegal detainee.

I Mr S. Cordell was in fact shown a copy of the doctor's reports 20 minutes before the tribunal started by my acting solicitor due to a break down in communications and never had the opportunity to analyse any official documentation to in fact be able to stand a true legal defence.

As a matter of fact the tribunal did go in my favour and I feel a fair and equal decision was made by the boards official panel this decision was of the conclusion as quoted The section 2 Mental Health act 1983 was removed of my statue of liberty and I agreed to the doctors decision of staying in St Ann's hospital as a formal patient,

As the tribunal is held in St Ann's hospital there is less than a 5 minute walk from the assessment wing to where I have been detained while being assessed and on arriving back to the ward after the panel turned the decision in my favour I had the first opportunity to assess the doctors notes used in the tribunal in regards to myself that had been pre drafted and not severed to myself in accordance of the duration of the time limit that legal jurisdiction apposes and felt the need to correct wrongful lintel and state the true claims such tribunals should be based upon.

I have contained evidence that is overwhelming to the fact of the matters that I do quote within this official document of complaint.

### **This has led to my human rights 1998 being in breach such as the listed: -**

- Article 3: Freedom from torture and inhuman or degrading treatment what is the prohibition on torture and inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, this is one of the most important provisions in the Human Rights Act, and clearly states the following: -

Article 3 is like the right to life article 2, the prohibition in Article 3 requires an official and effective investigation to take place where there are credible allegations of serious ill-treatment by public officials to which Mr Simon Cordell claim and provide the supported evidence beyond reasonable doubt R V Bones, as provided within this official complaint.

The most obvious obligation that I ob-claim my rights towards do in fact prevent State officials from torturing a person or subjecting them to inhuman or degrading treatment.

This applies anywhere in the UK jurisdictions and this can include places outside the UK, as well as in UK prisons, hospitals, schools etc.

Any person's human rights may be affected within Article 3, whom is being contained within a Government policy that does in fact put a person in a situation where they face inhuman or degrading treatment to which I Mr Simon Cordell do feel I have been subject towards.

Article 3 does require that public authorities take all steps to prevent torture and ill-treatment. This requires laws in place to adequately protect vulnerable groups from ill-treatment and for public officials to act so to protect vulnerable people from harm inflicted on them by others.

- Article 5: Right to liberty and security.