WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Statement of   Steve ELSMORE.............................   URN:

Age if under 18   Over 18 ....   (if over 18 insert 'over 18')   Occupation: Police Officer

This statement (consisting of: .... 2...... pages each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated anything in it which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: Date: 26/6/15

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded   (supply witness details on rear)

Further to my recent statements in relation to Simon CORDELL and my ASBO application, I wish to add the following information.

It is mentioned that Lorraine CORDELL has spoken to a Police Officer called Val TANNER regarding raves and her son.

I have spoken to A/DS Val TANNER who has confirmed that Lorraine CORDELL has contacted their unit in the past. A/DS TANNER works in the Public Order Investigation unit and states that she deals with Post Event Investigation involving large scale demonstrations. She said that her unit had assisted in June 2014, with regards to the Croydon Rave (Operation Blue Iris) due to the large scale of the investigation but have very little to do with Raves as a whole.

A/DS TANNER states that she has inputted a Crimint regarding a Rave that was due to take place on 19th July 2014 in Barking. This rave was due to be at one location but was due to be moved to another location which had not been disclosed. A/DS TANNER states that she has had no involvement with Simon CORDELL and any Raves within The London Borough of Enfield. She confirms that she has spoken to Lorraine CORDELL but has not provided her with any information in relation to her son or Raves within Enfield.

In relation to the number of calls made to Police and the number made to the council noise team. Usually over the weekends the Council provides two of their officers on duty' to deal with out of hour's noise nuisance calls This is for the whole • >:' the London Borough of Enfield. It would be my view that the majority of caller's first point of contact would be

Signature: Signature witnessed by: