

PC Elsmore states under oath "There was a rave on an adjoining Road but not on that day." (Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)

"Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (Progress Way)"

**Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R. O – 11.15 Am**

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personally spoken to the owners of the venue. (No true line of investigation to prove trespass)

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday. (This was in fact early Hours of the 8th at around 1:00am.)

I did not go inside; the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van registration is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendant's van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text)

Officer (unreadable text) but is Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. (Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date I believe a copy of his PNB book will prove he attended Crown Road on the same date.)

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day. (But are contained within the respondent's bundle)

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (Progress Way) (A clear example of abuse of power)

A vast number of CADS that are contained within the respondent bundle representing an Asbo application are printed in Pc Steve Elsmore name and as the leading investigator he would know the truth to what has been concealed and how he compiled such intelligence to present any case files.

Contained within them CADs printed out by Pc Steve Elsmore is a huge majority of the locations that are retracted in other words (blocked out so no person can see the true locations) This leads to concerns regarding important and relevant aspects of disclosure so for the applicant to be represented at appeal with a fair hearing?)

In fact, crown road is 2 miles away, so quite a far distance from progress way.

Also from research that was gained, from news paper articles and freedom of information requests made to the local council, that were put in pursuit of a search for the truth, about the true on goings for the dates that the applicant stands wrongfully accused of by way of incidents that are compiled in the respondents bundle and that surely do relate to Crown Road and not progress way, determines the right truth by explaining that there was a completely different incidents reported by CFS callers to a house / ware house party or maybe even a rave that took place and police attendance was requested by them members of the public. The freedom of information request applied to and in receipt from the local council, inclusive of additional evidence such as the local news paper report/ articles have since been adduced into the applicant's defence bundle and served on the prosecution.

to the on goings another house party a five-minute drive from progress way and if not for the grid numbers being not blocked out inclusive of other landmarks such as A&J cars based in Enfield I would not have been able to prove my innocents in the on-going application leading to an un fair trial.

CAD number 2410 date 08<sup>th</sup> June 2014 Page number 288 states different CFS callers reporting incidents with the attached land mark of a well-known building next doors to the initial reason for the emergency CFS call, that land mark produces a running company what is named A& J cars Crown Road, Enfield what is a taxi services and is next doors to the crown road party. "The applicants would not have been able to prove his innocence in this case, CAD if it was not for A & J cars being left in the context of the emergency 999 / 101 call, CAD, as for sure the developers of the Antisocial Behaviour Order (ASBO) application, when using such information