

# **RESPONSE TO HHJ PAWLAK'S LETTER DATED 22<sup>ND</sup> FEBRUARY 2016**

(1) WHAT INVOLVEMENT IN EACH EVENT (RAVE) RELIED ON BY THE RESPONDENT, THE APPELLANT ADMITS TO HAVING HAD ANY INVOLVEMENT!

(A) 25.05.2014 – 5 ST GEORGES INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, WHITE HART LANE

The Appellant relies on his previous statement served.

The Appellant will state that he was delivering food to some homeless people.

The Appellant will state that there was no rave, no sound equipment, lights, generators etc in his van.

The Appellant will state that there was no rave in progress and no intention for any event to take place.

The Appellant will state that there was a section 144 LAPSOS notice clearly displayed by the occupants who were treating the premises as their home.

The Appellant will state that he had empty speaker cases in his van which would not have been able to play any sound as they never had any drivers in the speaker boxes. The van was used to store the speakers. The Appellant will state that he specifically requested that the officers who attended note down the fact that he had only non-working speakers inside his van and no other component parts for a sound system.

The Appellant will state that he did not commit any criminal offences on 25<sup>th</sup> May 2014. The Appellant will state that the premises were not broken into as alleged but were being legally used as a home. The Appellant will state that the occupation was legal by virtue of section 144 LAPSOS notice being clearly displayed and this is within the law.

The Appellant will state that no Licensing authorisation was required as there was no music being played or intended to be played.

The Appellant will state that he did not engage in any acts of Anti-social behaviour as defined by section 1 of the Act.

The Appellant requests disclosure of the CCTV of the persons breaking in to the premises, the CRIS and details of any persons arrested for criminal damage / burglary.

The Appellant will state that he did not break any laws on 25<sup>th</sup> May 2014 nor did he engage in any acts of anti-social behaviour.