A was "Nah, you don't know nothing. I was trying to get a job here. You don't understand, man." Okay?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q So after the caution he said to you that you weren't understanding him and that he was trying to get a job? B

A Yes, that's correct.

- Q Okay. Now what I'm suggesting to you is before the caution there was a conversation in which he was trying to get you to understand that he was looking he had an appointment to see someone about future work.
- c A I don't recall that, unfortunately.
 - Q The ~ it might just be a turn of phrase but you said that he was wearing working clothes in paint covered in paint and dirt. That caused you to suspect he was working? Yes? A Yes.
- D Q The there was a dispute by the roadside, wasn't there, about whether he was working? A Yes, yes. That is fair to say.
 - Q And he was saying he wasn't and you were saying he was? A Yes.
 - Q Right. The initial conversation with him or the initial interaction with him you have said
- that he said "I'm busy. I'm just off to do some work. I'll give you my insurance. I'm just going in there to do some work." He never said that, did he? A Well, I believe he did, yes.
 - Q You've just said a moment ago that he was disputing that he was working?

A Yes. He subsequently did dispute that. F

Q Right. So you're saying there was this change of tack? Is that your evidence? A Yes. My evidence is that Mr Cordell when he realised that the trader's policy wasn't going to sort of pull any wool over my eyes, he actually became -- that's what caused him to become unco-operative towards me, when he realised that actually he wasn't going to be successful in fobbing me off.

G

Q Well, I suggest to you -- I'll make it plain. His case is that you are either being untruthful or mistaken when you say that he said that he was off to do some work? A Well, I certainly am being truthful.