

RE: SIMON CORDELL V. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE OF THE  
METROPOLIS  
APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPOSITION OF AN ASBO - 26<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2016 AT  
10:00 AM

To whom it may concern

I am writing this letter due to concerns of non-disclosure: -

The reasons of concern are as follows:

1. Since the start of the Asbo dated 12<sup>th</sup> September 2014 I have been explaining that the respondent's application of an Asbo order should not rely on the quote "That a case should not rely solely on hearsay" as mine seems to do by police officer's with no witness present in court as I request to be, so that my barrister can question the truth of their statements and even further to the matter of witnesses I raise the concern of Most of the 999 intelligence calls being hearsay in any case, reported by third party person and therefore does carry less weight in any sense.
2. I also want to show the true facts of the case as for I am the one who is suffering because of un true cut and paste facts that represent the basics of the respondent case and that signed evidence being amongst other fabricated statement such as police statements with different annually incident dates but numeric order URN numbers,
3. I understand that a lot of the matters that should be dealt with at court will be but only if the disclosure that the judge ordered is served in time for appeal.
4. I still urge for a speedy and fair trial: and feel that when a judge asks the respondent to reply by a set date such as the 1/08/2016 as the judge has ordered to happen it should.
5. The respondent should do so within the time duration as dated 01/09/2016 and agreed by the judge and then received with the correct response, as has not happened.
6. I have been awaiting the reply since 24/02/2016 from an ongoing civil application that is dated 13<sup>th</sup> August 2014 so to be able to have a fair trial up and till date.