classified as criminal for the purposes of article 6 of the Convention; that, in so far as the proceedings involved a determination of the defendants' civil rights and thereby g engaged the right to a fair trial under article 6(i), the use of hearsay evidence admissible under the Civil Evidence Act 1995 in such proceedings was not unfair and involved no violation of that right; that hearsay evidence under the 1995 Act and the 1999 Rules was therefore admissible on an application for an anti-social behaviour order under section 1 of the 1998 Act; but that, given the seriousness of the matter involved, the court should be satisfied to the criminal standard of proof that a defendant had acted in an anti-social manner before making such an order; and that, accordingly, in the first case the appropriate standard of proof had been applied, and since the second case was not a "criminal cause or matter" the House had no jurisdiction to hear the appeal under section 1 of the i960 Act (post, paras 22, 26- z 7> 3°, 3 3"3 5, 3^, 37, 39"4°, 5L 55"5^, 64, 67, 68, 74, 76-77, 81-84, 94"98, 102103,105-106,108, HI, 112,113-117).

Dicta of Lord Atkin in *Proprietary Articles Trade Association v Attorney General for Canada* [1931] AC 310, 324, PC, of Lord Bingham of Cornhill CJ in *Customs & and Excise Comrs v City of London Magistrates*³ *Court* [2000] 1 WLR 2020, 2025, DC, B *v Chief Constable of Avon and Somerset Constabulary* [2001] 1 WLR 340, DC, *S v Miller* 2001 SC 977 and *Gough v Chief Constable of Derbyshire Constabulary* [2002] QB 1213, CA applied.

Decision of the Court of Appeal [2001] EWCA Civ 281; [2001] 1 WLR 1084; [2001] 4 All ER 264 affirmed

```
The following cases are referred to in the opinions of their Lordships:
                                                                                          E
    Adolf v Austria (1982) 4 EHRR 313
    Albert and Le Compte v Belgium (1983) 5 EHRR 533
    Amand v Home Secretary [1943] AC 147; [1942] 2 All ER 381, HL(E)
    B v Chief Constable of Avon and Somerset Constabulary [2001] 1 WLR 340; [2001]
        1 All ER 562, DC
    Bendenoun v Erance (1994) 18 EHRR 54
    Benham v United Kingdom (1996) 22 EHRR 293
    Brown v Stott [2003] 1 AC 681; [2001] 2 WLR 817; [2001] 2 All ER97, PC
    Constanda v M 1997 SC 217
    Customs and Excise Comrs v City of London Magistrates<sup>3</sup> Court [2000] 1 WLR
       2020; [2000] 4 All ER 763, DC Deweer v Belgium (1980) 2 EHRR 439 Dombo Beheer BVv
    The Netherlands (1993) 18 EHRR 213
    Doorson v The Netherlands (1996) 22 EHRR 330
                                                                                          C
    Engel v The Netherlands (No 1) (1976) 1 EHRR 647
    Garyfallou AEBE v Greece (1997) 28 EHRR 344
    Gough v Chief Constable of the Derbyshire Constabulary [2001] EWHC Admin 554;
        [2002] QB 459; [2001] 3 WLR 1392; [2001] 4 All ER 289, DC; [2002] EWCA
        Civ 351; [2002] QB 1213; [2002] 3 WLR 289; [2002] 2 All ER 985, CA Guzzardi v Italy
   (1980) 3 EHRR 333
    H (Minors) (Sexual Abuse: Standard of Proof), In re [1996] AC 563; [1996] 2 WLR 8; H
       [1996] 1 All ERi,HL(E)
    Han v Customs and Excise Comrs [2001] EWCA Civ 1040; [2001] 1 WLR 2253;
        [2001] 4 All ER 687, CA
    Kostovski v The Netherlands (1989) 12 EHRR 434
    Lauko v Slovakia (1998) 33 EHRR 994
A Lutz v Germany (1987) 10 EHRR 182 M v Italy
    (1991) 70 DR 59
    McFeeley v United Kingdom (1980) 3 EHRR 161 M'Gregor v D 1977 SC 330
    Official Receiver v Stern [2000] 1 WLR 2230; [2001] 1 All ER 633, CA Oztiirk v Germany
    (1984) 6 EHRR 409
    Percy v Director of Public Prosecutions [1995] 1 WLR 1382; [1995] 3 All ER 124,
    Proprietary Articles Trade Association v Attorney General for Canada [1931] AC 310, PC
    R v Kansal (No 2) [2001] UKHL 62; [2002] 2 AC 69; [2001] 3 WLR 1562; [2002]
        1 All ER257, HL(E)
```

R v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry, Ex p McCormick [1998] BCC 379 Raimondo

S (Minors) (Care Order: Implementation of Care Plan), In re [2002] UKHL 10; [2002] 2

v Italy (1994) 18 EHRR 237 Raynsborg v Sweden (1994) 18 EHRR 3 8