R (McCann) v Manchester Crown Ct (HL(E)) Lord Hutton

115 For the reasons which I have given I would dismiss the appeals of *A* the McCann defendants and would declare that the House had no jurisdiction to hear the appeal of the defendant Cling ham.

LORD HOBHOUSE OF WOODBOROUGH

116 My Lords, for the reasons given by my noble and learned friends Lord Steyn and Lord Hope of Craig head and in agreement with the opinion of my noble and learned friend Lord Hutton, in particular what he has said ^B in paragraph 113 of his opinion, I too would make the orders proposed.

LORD SCOTT OF FOSCOTE

117 My Lords, I agree that for the reasons given in the opinions of my noble and learned friends, Lord Steyn, Lord Hope of Craig head and Lord Hutton, the appeal in the *McCann* case should be dismissed and in the *Cling ham* case the House should make the order proposed by Lord Steyn.

I, like my noble and learned friend Lord Hobhouse of Wood borough, am in full agreement with what Lord Hutton has said in paragraph 113 of his opinion.

Appeals in McCann case dismissed. Declaration that no jurisdiction to phear appeal in Cling ham case.

Solicitors: Peter Kendler & Co; Burton Copeland, Manchester; James Welch; Director of Legal Services, Kensington and Chelsea Royal London Borough Council; Winckworth Sherwood; Treasury Solicitor.

BLS

-

G