

this to you.

If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer. As he said the other day he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.

If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30 he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardssolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:17
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

Trevor Allway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably. If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose. We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either (a) the file from the insurance company or (b) the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.

The section 8 application was sent off today. I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not so I amended it and sent it off.

Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial. He is still booked to do the case but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a week long case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this. He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case. Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case. The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pre-trial conference but their diaries change and this affects their availability.

I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine