

**From:** Josephine Ward [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

**Sent:** 10 April 2014 07:54

**To:** Lorraine Cordell

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warnedlist  
Lorraine

I have flagged up all the issues that are relevant. I will wait for a response from the insurance company. The invoices do look dodgy but the insurance company can put pressure on Mr Patel. I am trying tactically to flag up the issues. Once Mr Allway confirms never received the invoices he will undoubtedly dig further and hopefully this will bear fruit.

The point you raise about paying invoices is not relevant and does not take us any further. The point to be made is (a) how many estimates obtained (b) when were they submitted. The missing invoice number will also be picked up by the insurance company but the main point we have to establish is when submitted. They appear to be backdated as not in original insurance file but I need insurance company to verify this before taking the matter any further. You know the old saying about giving a person enough rope. You have to wait, bide your time.

I aim to do representations to CPS hopefully before weekend. As stated previously if no statement from noise abatement officer then I will get the case listed again with DC Mayhew to attend. He can explain why all statements with Mr Patel telephone statements. I am also going to ask for statement from manager of warehouse as to damage and also the so called missing crime report.

Regards

Josephine

---

**From:** [Lorraine Cordell](#)

**Sent:** 09/04/2014 23:44

**To:** '[JOSEPHINE WARD](#)'

**Subject:** RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warnedlist

Hi Josey

I have noted things also can you also ask how Mr patel paid these invoices? Not sure if that can be done and when I last spoke with Mr Allway he had been trying to get a face to face meeting with Mr Patel each time he called to try and sort it someone picked the phone up and said Mr Patel was on holiday. He also did not believe this and also said that the invoiced that had been sent in was not real ones he new they were fishy.

Also due to the x3 claims Nikki went to the warehouse on the 28/03/2013 after the 2<sup>nd</sup> break-in on the 25/03/2013 and from the file Mr Patel did not even tell her that he had fixed the wall and paid for it on the 21/03/2014 and he must have known already that on the 29/03/2013 the same company was coming to fix the wall again. Nikki was there on the 28/03/2013 taking pictures. So why did he not tell her this then? Also he said he had to leave the warehouse as the insurance company wanted to take pictures. Yet Nikki I am sure only went to the warehouse once and that was after the 2<sup>nd</sup> break-in on the 25/03/2013.

Also looking at the invoices they don't even have an invoice number. There no costing for anything on them. I would like the invoices checked and I mean really checked the right person could tell when these invoices was printed.

Its also very funny that the same said part of the wall was damaged 3 times if they had fixed it correctly it would have been much harder as it was new why was the same said part of the wall now done 3 times. And yet also in the file for the March claim Mr Patel only told the insurance company about the alarm there was no wall said about in the March claim. I have not had time yet to look at all the statements but I will most likely have more to say and so will Simon.

Also £600.00 to fix the said wall and yet he is saying to the police £8000 to £10,000 for the damage to the building?