

[\[mailto:Jamie.Newman@met.pnn.police.uk\]](mailto:Jamie.Newman@met.pnn.police.uk)

Sent: 07 August 2017 09:44

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: RE: Our meeting today.

Morning Lorraine,

Firstly, apologies for my delayed reply! I had intended to reply much sooner.

I think I'm correct in saying that the necessity for your son's arrest was associated with PC G's uncertainty as to the address provided. This is something I'll discuss in the report.

Can I ask, from where did you get the impression that your son's name was not in PC G's pocketbook?

To reiterate, I'd be more than happy share documents with you at the end of the investigation. As per the Police Reform Act, subject to the harm test. Was there any particular reason you'd want them sooner? I expect the investigation to conclude in October incidentally.

I was intending on giving PC G three weeks, what are your thoughts on that?

Re PC G's current occupation, I can assure you it would have no bearing on this matter whatsoever.

Kind regards

Jamie Newman | Serious Misconduct Investigation Unit (SMIU) | Directorate of Professional Standards |

MetPhone 786675 | Telephone 0207 161 6675 | Email

jamie.newman@met.pnn.police.uk

Address Empress State Building, 22nd Floor, Lillie Road, London, SW6 1TR

'Setting the bar and upholding standards without fear or favour'

From: Lorraine Cordell

[\[mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk\]](mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

Sent: 31 July 2017 17:43

To: Newman Jamie M - HQ Directorate of Professional Standards <Jamie.Newman@met.pnn.police.uk>

Subject: RE: Our meeting today.

Dear Jamie Newman

Thank you for the update email and I also hope you are well, can I ask a few things please now that you have had time to go over the documents and information.

Regarding PC G notebook is my son's name at the top and has it been checked if a radio check was done on my