8/6/2017 Pr

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimit's Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

#### **COUNSEL**

Officer you signed a statement of truth ===to other witness statements.

#### D.I

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

#### Counsel

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimit's reported.

#### **R V CORDELL**

4

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

Confesses he did it.

Did not, notice the discrepancy on statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn't /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made any attempts to contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell's evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what's written in

Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.

#### DJ

Ill ignore because no convections of class A drugs or supplying.

#### Counsel

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you?

Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

## Officer

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ 7 boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel (text missing) Email sent to LDE only.

Searched (text missing) for info on Cordell's convections.

Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

# Officer

No

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?

Suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D

Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to (text missing) this year.

You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.

Emails have been deleted and no copies keep on record.

# Met police

## XEX OF Witness vii

Done oath seductions:

Nothing in the contents of this report is inaccurate to my knowledge.

### DEF

Hopefully the 2 witnesses on behalf of D should be able to give evidence tomorrow.

## Witness viii

Miss Cordell ATT - 16:05 - EIC

# **R V CORDELL**

5

D (her son) lives separately from me but I have been trying to help him sort out inaccuracies with both his PNC and other police matters. Police is still popping around to his house - Simon tells me and also I physically get to his flat before police have left. He is being harassed by police.

### ו.ח

Are 6 officers not reliant – on witness statement - there for putting a line though RD.?

# DEF

Material deters with PNC that was included by Met – There fore right to challenge. Plus PNC in evidence not correct.

### DJ

Very little weight will be given to PNC.

### DJ

# Miss Cordell

### **Met XEX**

about:blank 3/10