8/6/2017

# **R V CORDELL**

Print

7

"Yes" POs mistaken.

## Page 38 - Tab 13 - Detective Skinner 2 events

## Page 75 - Tab 24

D denies knowing people alleged to have worked for him on the night – either Pc or person mentioned in statement is wrong. Reason why you're found in these raves is because you help organise them.

#### Page 141

Vehicle was owned by me but was sold and now brought back.

## Statement Page 3

## Page 104

I was not with Holly Field on that day.

## Page 99

Accept I was there in the van inside the unit.

The report is wrong; I had 2 boxes in the van – No speakers – I was not in the premises.

Did not help organise Rave and sound equipment was not mine.

I have tried to hire equipment but organisation of event – Birthday party nothing to do with me.

Is Pc Chandlers report wrong as well? "Yes"

# 9 / 10 - August 2014

# **Bottom Page 7 (Statement)**

Accept I attended venue – for Birthday dinner – I was invited

200 People turning up had nothing to do with me.

With social networking it is easy for some one to have 200 friends.

I had cylinders in my vehicle, requires legal authorisations, I have them on my car, for welding - I do welding continuously. I do it as a hobby.

I was not at the location for a large rave.

I do remember many people turning up.

I remember police being in attendance.

I would never shout @ crowd – to busy talking to the police.

Pc statements are wrong.

There's a possibility that I did say to police that it was a private conference.

#### DJ

Do you no that 20 people is the maximum - Yes

## **Def XEX**

Was Pc Edgoose out of car? - I know two of them come out of car and approached me.

24<sup>th</sup> May Incident - Do you remember speaking with Pc Jackson? Do not remember names.

Paragraph of T and C'S Re Falcon Park (Statement)

Deposit does go back unless damage or loss stopping due to breach of agreement.

Amount = No Fee

NFO

# **DEF**

Additional witness not here, statement can be read but less weight because witness not here.

Witness 2 can be here in 1 half hours

Half evidence

**R V CORDELL** 

8

13:30pm

### **DEF**

N.F Witness.

# Case closing subs.

## Statutory test key:

- 1) Whether D has acted in an Anti Social Manner: Alarm / Distress.
- 2) Astonishing of council to make that whole 11 officers were wrong.
- 3) D's evidence is also not meritible and neither his witness statements.
- 4) D's Mothers evidence totally irrelevant her evidence is based on conspiracy police have against her family.
- 5) 7<sup>th</sup> June Witness Inspector Hamill and Sos .Miles witness, Cordell (D). Inspector Hamill miles points, to D being the organiser.
- 6) Disruption and concern Rave caused that is outlined by Cad Reports and officers statements.
- 7) 19<sup>th</sup> July Inspector Skinner describes a rave and Cordell being organiser, another statement as far as D is concerned, which is totally wrong,
- 8) Crimit's reports show D as organiser, of large raves according to officer's statements.
- 9) Test mode out of submissions above.
- 10) Consistent Patten of behaviour as by of D concerned.

1). Test of Public Nuisance? Does not (text missing) delaminates? Of fact, but from Cad's Re: alarm distress etc. Shows this has happened.

The impact this has on police resources looking @ noise levels and potentially speculating out of control. – Disorder due to shutting events down.

about:blank 5/10