

The statements presented are unreliable and prejudicial. The Appellant will state that he cannot possibly have a fair hearing and as a result his Human Right to having a fair hearing will be violated due to the way the Respondent is selecting and presenting CAD's. The Appellant specifically requests that the redacted CADs be served unedited or excluded from the Respondent's bundle as he cannot challenge the content.

The Appellant will state that he is being deliberately targeted by the police as is his younger brother. Neither organised or attended and participated in Progress Way.

The Appellant specifically asks the Respondent to confirm why the event was not closed down, if it was in fact a rave. The Appellant asks why were the sound systems not seized under section 63 of the CJPOA.

The Appellant seeks clarification as whether a section 144 LAPSO notice was on display. If it was then this event could not be classed as an illegal rave.

The Appellant also questions why the Respondent has not supplied any CADs from 6th June 2014, the date when this event started.

For the purposes of clarity the Appellant denies being an organiser. He denies providing any sound system equipment to the organisers of this event. He denies entering the venue but accepts that he approached to deliver keys. The Appellant did not commit any criminal offences. The Appellant did not engage in any anti-social behaviour.

(c) FALCON PARK 20TH JUNE 2014

The Appellant was not present at this event.

The Appellant accepts that he hired out his sound equipment in good faith for what he believed to be a house party.

The Appellant will state that he was at home when he was contacted by the hirer to come to collect his equipment which was then seized by police. The Appellant will state that his equipment was restored to him by the police.

The Appellant will state that he did not commit any criminal offences nor did he engage in any acts of anti-social behaviour.

The Appellant will state that he was not an organiser and merely hired out his equipment in good faith.

(d) CARPET RIGHT 19TH JULY 2014

The Appellant denies organising or supplying equipment for the above event.