

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent time: 05/05/2016 04:27:27 PM
To: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Subject: hhh

05/05/2016

Dear Josephine

How are you I hope all is well? I would appreciate it if you can reply to my questions below. In the understanding of the on goings that did occur at the court mentioning at wood green crown court on the 22nd 23rd and 24th 02/2016, when on that date mentioned the company, who you are acting for that is representing myself Mr. Simon Cordell, that is named Michael Carroll & co solicitors, that you do or did represent a contract with till the 2nd June 2016.

Before the 22nd 23rd and 24th 02/2016 when giving your legal guidance to such accusations of incidents, referring to the organisation of illegal raves, that said in my defence this is inclusive of the understanding to the ongoing of the case, that is being brought by the commissioner of the metropolitan police, that being of a stand alone Anti Social Behaviour Order 2003, an Act to make further provision in relation to *criminal* justice and disorder act 1994, it is being said that you did in fact explain before the date of the hearing, that being of information regarding to the past representing barrister Mr. Andy Lock, relating to that of Intel stating that he would not be able to attend due to being on leave and this being of the only issue raised by your self said to be regarding my self, but on the date of the hearing another barrister did apply to the judge in aid of my acting solicitors yourself, so to be sure of that you have to no longer represent me due to breach in communication between our self's, the judge ruled that Michael Carroll and co's must act till the conclusion of the case, the Point is the judge has ordered the company to act for my self and in that understanding I ask and request for you to direct for my case to be carried out in such a manner, if legal to do so? I request that being of; at the day of my trial to act litigant with my mother as a McKenzie friend and for a barrister we select together to be instructed to represent my self on the days of court, also I ask of you to set up a meeting within one month of this dated letter, between who will be taking on the case after you leave your office with the acting barrister chosen. I believe and understand that this is within the constraints of the law I take my guidance from <https://www.gov.uk/represent-yourself-in-court/overview>

I also request that you call for questioning the following officers;

I have also made the basics of a police complaint as documented here;

Met Police Complaint 1 of 3 created on date 06/00/2014 cad number 00

Met Police Complaint 2 of 3 created on date 16/04/2016 cad number 00

Met Police Complaint 3 of 3 created on date 17/04/2016 cad number 00

In reference to Met Police complaint 3 of 3 that is in relation to an Anti Social Behavior order under the criminal and public order act 1994 in order of the commissioner of the metropolitan police.

I am Simon Cordell; my date of birth is 25th January 1981. My home address is as stated above. I am making this official complaint further to my appeal dated 00/09 2016 in response to the police and local authority's application for an Asbo order, to which, the case against my self is one of an hearing of application, against the organising illegal raves, that has said too have been proven as a guilty verdict, this is said to be against myself Mr. Simon Cordell, to which I intend to prove that this is not correct. I was not found guilty under the applicants case along side many other issues of concern as listed, The day of the courting was held at Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, to which I intended to prove my innocents at, the next and earliest appeal hearing date has now been set for sep 2016 to my disappointment, as I have been proving my innocents since 13th August 2014 when first accused and before this application I had been on string Lent bail conditions that had been imposed for other ongoing Met police procedures, to which I proved my innocents in start date 00/00/00 end date 00/00/00.

Substance off the complaint made by Mr. Simon Cordell is;

Listing:

Issues:

(i) Whether Mr. Simon Cordell has between the dates of January 2013 to the last date being 10 August 2014 in the Borough of Enfield acted in an anti-social manner likely to cause harassment alarm or distress to one or more persons not of the same household as him self.

Mr Simon Cordell is accused of being involved in the organisation of illegal raves. These take place on disused warehouses or industrial land. These raves are said to be licensable activities.

Mr. Simon Cordell case is that he has not acted in an anti-social manner on the dates in question;

and that he has not organised or supplied any equipment for any the events cited in the Respondent's application.

Mr. Simon Cordell has and still is in the legal frame work as he challenges and disputes the evidence presented that he were an organiser.

1. It is Mr. Simon Cordell case that this ASBO was imposed upon him unlawfully for the following reasons:

(a) He was never consulted / or warned prior to the Metropolitan Police Commissioner applying for an ASBO and this is in breach of the Guidance.

(b) The imposition of the ASBO was wrong in law because nowhere in the Respondent's case has the Respondent proved that Mr. Simon Cordell engaged in any acts of anti-social behaviour as defined under section 1(1) of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998. The dates as cited in the Respondent's application dating from 12th January 2013 up to 19th July 2014 do not specifically refer to any acts of anti social behaviour. Mr. Simon Cordell was and has not been arrested for any offences on the dates in question, also supporting the fact being that of the respondents case stating and being that of