

**From:** Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>  
**Sent time:** 17/02/2016 11:57:47 PM  
**To:** Rewired Rewired <re\_wired@ymail.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Some think like this.  
**Attachments:** Si-Information-Part-Edited-01.doc CAD-Included-Missing.doc

---

Please look i only done a litle as had to table all missing CAD's see the 2 Attached files

---

**From:** Rewired Rewired [mailto:re\_wired@ymail.com]  
**Sent:** 17 February 2016 20:33  
**To:** Lorraine Cordell  
**Subject:** Some think like this.

I have taken time to listen to my solicitors advice in regards to the applicants proposal of an ASBO order that was on the

13/08/2014	ASBO application was in progress and being created by Steve Elesmore
13/08/2014	A meeting was held with Steve Hodgson who is a representative for Enfield Local Authority Council and Jane Johnson on behalf of the Metropolitan police along side others.
12/09/2014	A ASBO Application bundle is said too have been served on Mr Simon Cordell at 109 Burncroft Avenue, to which he disputes.
06/10/2014	Mr Simon Cordell was meant to have a hearing for an interim Order but legal aid had not been granted. Michael Carroll acting solicitor came to court; the judge overturned and granted legal aid. The application for the Interim hearing the judge would not hear on this day.
22/10/2014	Interim hearing but could not go ahead due to Andy Locke Acting Barrister had a flood at his home address.
05/11/2014	Interim hearing and the order was granted.
02/12/2014	Mr Simon Cordell's mother has a note on her mobile phone, stating he was in court at Highbury Corner not sure what it was for in the ASBO Application.
09 <sup>th</sup> 10 <sup>th</sup> 11 <sup>th</sup> 03/2015	Meant to have been set for the full ASBO Application trial but the court only booked 1 day hearing, this was then put off until the 03 <sup>rd</sup> and 04 <sup>th</sup> Aug 2015
03 <sup>rd</sup> 4 <sup>th</sup> 08/2015	Highbury Corner full ASBO Application trial case part proven on the 04/08/2015 no Illegality was proven.
26/10/2015	1 <sup>st</sup> hearing at Wood Green Crown to see if case was ready for appeal on the 09/11/2015 this was put off until 22 <sup>nd</sup> 23 <sup>rd</sup> and 24 <sup>th</sup> 02/2016
09/11/2015	Was 1 <sup>st</sup> appeal date which was set for an 1 hour hearing, this hearing was put off on the 26/10/2015.
22 <sup>nd</sup> 23 <sup>rd</sup> and 24 <sup>th</sup> 02/2016	Set for appeal at the crown court.

It is said that Mr Cordell had been found proven partly on the 3<sup>rd</sup> 4<sup>th</sup> August 2015, to which he disputes to be correct.

An appeal date has been set for Feb 22<sup>nd</sup> 23<sup>rd</sup> 24<sup>th</sup> 2016

Legal aid was re granted on the 00/00/2015

In understanding that Mr Simon Cordell's acting solicitor has explained to him that she can not arrange a barrister that every barrister that has been asked will not take the case on due to the size of the case and due to it being at appeal stage and legal aid will not cover the cost of such a large case. I have been explained that Andy Locke who did the trial at the lower court is on sabbatical leave till April 2016, and that the acting solicitors wish to put the appeal date of until April 2016 when Andy Locke will be back from sabbatical leave.

If granted by the Judge this would in fact set the new appeal date to be two months after the all ready agreed appeal date of 22<sup>nd</sup> February 2016, if the court agreed to such a date, contained within the time scale of April 2016 and not any time after, due to the court diary all ready being pre booked.

Mr Simon Paul Cordell is asking for a Former judge to examine the role of police officers, who present the applicant cases of an ASBO order against him self.

Mr S. Cordell is asking for this to be assessed and agreed under the grounds of Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights, the Right to a Fair Trial Act 1998, Legislation.

Which in legal terms, should be the best means of separating the guilty from the innocent and protecting against injustice. Without this right, the rule of law and public faith in the justice system collapse. The Right to a Fair Trial is one of the cornerstones of a just society.

Article 6 the Right to a fair hearing:

The right to a fair trial is fundamental to the rule of law and to democracy itself.

The right applies to both criminal and civil cases, although certain specific minimum rights set out in Article 6 apply only in criminal cases.

The right to a fair trial is absolute and cannot be limited. It requires a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law. The procedural requirements of a fair hearing might differ according to the circumstances of the accused.

The right to a fair hearing, which applies to any criminal charge as well as to the determination of civil rights and obligations, contains a number of requirements and I believe the causes below full within them requirements.

An ASBO order has been appealed against after the magistrates court decided a decision to prove the application case in part but with no legality being proven, the decision had been made against Mr Simon Cordell, this was at Highbury Corner, Magistrates Court, on the 4th August 2015 in pursuant to s.1 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 it was agreed to make him subject to an Anti Social behaviour order. This was in pursuit for the Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis.

The respondent's case is that Mr Simon Cordell has been accused of being integrally involved in the organisation of illegal raves in London and Enfield.

Part of the Barrister submissions that represented Simon Cordell, had been that the allegations were that he was involved in the organizing of illegal raves, but the applicant hadn't adduced evidence, of trespass or evidence of breach of the licensing Act 2003 which is a requirement for proving, that an indoor rave was illegal. The Deputy District Judge ruled that the applicant did not need to prove illegality, - all the needed to prove was he had acted in an anti social manner. In the view of the barrister this was a very questionable decision: firstly, the applicant based their case on the illegality of the raves rather than the fact of the raves themselves and secondly, without proof of illegality the presumption of innocence leads to the conclusion that the raves were legal, and thus, Simon being prohibited from engaging in an ostensibly lawful activity requires more careful consideration on issues of proportionality.

It should be agreed with the barrister statement as when dealing with this case Mr Simon Cordell was addressing the applicant's case to prove that he had not been involved in organizing illegal raves, as this is what the application against him was. Yet in the application papers themselves and on trial at the lower court no police officer had said Mr Simon acted in an anti social manner, nor did any of the hearsay witnesses give an ID of any person's.

Other points of concern are;

Inaccuracy's leading to incorrect time stamps contained within the applicants bundle created by Steve Elsmore on the 13/8/2014.

CAD	Num	Date	Time	Page
CAD	2637	07/06/2014	08:18	Page 191 to 195
CAD	2672	07/06/2014	08:16	Page 196 to 198
CAD	3005	07/06/2014	09:22	Page 203 to 205
CAD	3037	07/06/2014	09:20	Page 179 to 183
CAD	10481	07/06/2014	22:47	Page 233 to 237
CAD	10506	07/06/2014	22:44	Page 238 to 241

Please note every day the met police call centre starts at CAD 01 and goes up to the average of 10,742 to 15,000 callers per day the clock is reset to 01 each day at 00:00 hours.

(We can tell this by the number of CAD incident numbers supplied, within the applicants ASBO bundle supporting the evidence supplied, for a stand alone ASBO order to be gained against Mr Simon Cordell.

On the average the Met police call centre will receive on the average of 300 callers per hour as marked and time stamped below.

Every half hour is 150 callers on average  
 And every 15 mins is 75 callers on average  
 Every 7 half mins is 33 callers on average  
 And 3 half mins 17 callers on average

Please take note to (CAD number / Incident Number 10481 7th June 14) this is the 10,481 Met police call of the 7th June 2014 time stamped 22:47 hours.

So it is incorrect for (CAD 10506 7th June 14) externally inputted 25 calls later, to have an earlier time stamp of the 7<sup>th</sup> June 2014 at 22:44 hours.  
 In fact the time should have been 22:49 hours for CAD 10506.

Please take note to (CAD number / Incident Number 4323 7<sup>th</sup> June 2014 at 12:25)

**(CAD numbers 7<sup>th</sup> June 2014 at 08:16**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Incident no</b>	<b>number</b>	<b>Time</b>
7th June 2014	1012	01	01:53
7th June 2014	1047	02	01:59
7th June 2014	1323	03	02:41
7th June 2014	1608	04	03:34
7th June 2014	1722	05	03:58
7th June 2014	1816	06	04:15
7th June 2014	2141	07	05:50
7th June 2014	2255	08	06:24
7th June 2014	2271	09	06:27
7th June 2014	2601	10	08:09
7th June 2014	2637:p187 to 190:	11 (Error)	08:18
7th June 2014	2672:p196 to 198:	12 (Error)	08:16
7th June 2014	2854	13	08:56
7th June 2014	3005:p203 to 205:	14 (Error)	09:22
7th June 2014	3037:p179 to 183:	15 (Error)	09:20
7th June 2014	3252	16	10:07
7th June 2014	3986	17	11:47

7th June 2014	4323	18	12:25
7th June 2014	4325	19	Missing
7th June 2014	5206	20	13:57
7th June 2014	8841	21	20:07
7th June 2014	10393	22	22:38
7th June 2014	10462	23	Missing
7th June 2014	10471	24	22:45
7th June 2014	10481:p233 to 237:	25 (Error)	22:47
7th June 2014	10506:p238 to 241:	26 (Error)	22:44
7th June 2014	10742	27	23:01
7th June 2014	10844	28	Missing
7th June 2014	10967	29	23:25

### Time Scales between calls below;

- 35 people cads 1012 to 1047 time 6 mins
- 276 people cads 1047 to 1323 time 42
- 285 people cads 1323 to 1608 time 53
- 114 people cads 1608 to 1722 time 24 mins
- 94 people cads 1722 to 1816 time 17 mins
- 325 people cads 1816 to 2141 time 1:35
- 114 people cads 2141 to 2255 time 34 mins
- 16 people cads 2255 to 2271 time 3 mins
- 330 people cads 2271 to 2601 time 42 mins
- 36 people cads 2601 to 2637 time 1 hour 9 mins
- 35 people cads 2637 to 2672 time 58 mins (**1<sup>st</sup> Time Laps 08:18**)
- 182 people cads 2672 to 2854 time 1 hour 10 mins (**1<sup>st</sup> Time Laps 08:16**)
- 151 people cads 2854 to 3005 time 26 mins
- 32 people cads 3005 to 3037 time 58 mins (**2<sup>nd</sup> Time Laps 09:22**)
- 215 people cads 3037 to 3252 time 47 mins (**2<sup>nd</sup> Time Laps 09:20**)
- 734 people cads 3252 to 3986 time 1 hour 39 mins
- 337 people cads 3986 to 4323 time 38 mins
- missing people cads 4323 to 4325 time missing

So;-

- 883 people cads 4323 to 5206 time 1 hour 32 mins
- 3,635 people cads 5206 to 8841 time 6 hour 13 mins
- 1,552 people cads 8841 to 10393 time 2 hours 31 mins
- missing people cad 10393 to 10462 time missing

So;-

- 78 people cads 10393 to 10471 time 7 mins
- 10 people cads 10471 to 10481 mins 2 mins

Cads 10481 to 10506 (**3<sup>rd</sup> Time Laps 22:47 to 22:44**)

The time stamps go back for the 3rd time, so to even be able to work the true format is impossible.

Supported Evidence, supporting the fact that the CAD's supporting the applicant ASBO should not be time stamped wrong, this evidence does include;

- Standard Operational Guidelines - East of England.  
<http://www.eastamb.nhs.uk/FOI%20Docs/Disclosure%20Log/Emergency%20Ops/July%202013/F15152h%20-%20attachment.pdf>
- National Standards for Incident Recording (NSIR) Collection and recording of police;  
[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/116658/count-nsir11.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/116658/count-nsir11.pdf)
- Understanding Control Command; [http://www.dodccrp.org/files/Alberts\\_UC2.pdf](http://www.dodccrp.org/files/Alberts_UC2.pdf)
- police Central Communications Command incident procedure;  
[https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=lkd4sarsfdMC&pg=PA28&lpg=PA28&dq=police+Central+Communications+Command+incident+procedure&source=bl&ots=663ZhaKX9\\_&sig=Z7DgHlgJncwLNUam0g8EBcCja-8&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwif39iYsMbKAhWI8A4KHdnMAoQQ6AEIMzAE#v=onepage&q=police%20Central%20Communications%20Command%20incident%20procedure&f=false](https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=lkd4sarsfdMC&pg=PA28&lpg=PA28&dq=police+Central+Communications+Command+incident+procedure&source=bl&ots=663ZhaKX9_&sig=Z7DgHlgJncwLNUam0g8EBcCja-8&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwif39iYsMbKAhWI8A4KHdnMAoQQ6AEIMzAE#v=onepage&q=police%20Central%20Communications%20Command%20incident%20procedure&f=false)

## Point 2

Blocked out Inc locations and other relevant information that should be contained within the cads that have been presented in the applicants bundle. Only in serious circumstances in cases such as were it is absolutely nessery to aid in the prevention of witness or victim intimidation should a officer be trusted to block out such information.

Under oath pc Steve Elsmore state to the district Jude that he “Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.” When in fact it is his login that created and printed the applicants bundle this can be proved by his signature and also by the computer id log that must be used to print the data contained within the Police National Computer and now has been submitted and is contained with the applicants bundle and is verified at the top of most of the pages or within.

Pc Elsmore states under oath that he did not carry out any further investigations in regards to speaking to the owners of any premises to fix that of a notice of trespass or conviction of twok as the main investigating officer. “I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue”

Pc Elsmore states under oath “There was a rave on an adjourning RD but not on that day.” **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

“Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (Progress Way)”

CADS CONTAINED WITHIN THE BUNDIL THAT ARE PRINTED IN Pc Steve Elsmore name and as the leading investigator he would have known the truth to the locations blocked out that are in fact crown road another house party a five minute drive from progress way and if not for the grid numbers being not blocked out inclusive of

other landmarks such as A&J cars based in Enfield I would not have been able to prove my innocents in the on going application leading to an un fair trial.

Cad  
Cad  
Cad  
Cad  
Cad

in his statements of his facts that are incorrect he lead the district Jude into believing the manufactured and engineered evidence that he had fabricated to aid him to leading the District Jude to making a guilty verdict.

•

Please see a copy of the court transcripts as listed below.

**Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am**

**Statement contained in tab 9-lead**

**DEF XEX**

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday. ( **This was in fact early Hours of the 8<sup>th</sup> around 1:00am.**)

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D’S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

**(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)**

There was a rave on an adjourning RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. **(Progress Way)**

**Witness – Pc Elsmore – R.O – 14;10 EIC**

**Tab 6 – pg ?14?**

**DEF XEX**

Council **(unreadable text)** curfews **(unreadable text)** that PNC info on statement adds no **(unreadable text)** plobatory **(unreadable text)** value of info re: Witness being “afraid of D” What he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

**R V CORDELL**

**4**

**DEF**

Counsel argues that officers statement is designed to cause on evidence reaction of this of no value and speculatory in nature.



**DJ**

How many calls from public did police receive?

**Witness**

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.

Doe’s not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.

On page 15 – Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.

Query Re: “3 massive nitrous tanks”

**DJ**

Were did you get such info officer.

**Witness**

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

**COUNSEL**

Officer you signed a statement of truth (unreadable text) to other witness statements.

**DJ**

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

**R V CORDELL**

**5**

**Counsel**

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimits reported.

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

**(Confesses he did it.)**

He did not notice the discrepancy regarding official statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn’t /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

**(At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014)**

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made attempts too contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell’s evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what’s written in

Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.

**DJ**

Ill ignore because no convections of class A drugs or supplying is present on the criminal record.

**Counsel**

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you?

Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

**Officer**

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ seven boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel (**unreadable text**) Email sent to LDE only.

Searched (**unreadable text**) for info on Cordell's convections.

Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

**Officer**

No

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?

This suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D.

Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to (**unreadable text**) this year

You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.

Emails have been deleted and no copies keep on record.

**All CAD's Time's Wrong**

<b>CAD</b>	<b>Num</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Page</b>
CAD	2637	07/06/2014	08:18	Page 191 to 195
CAD	2672	07/06/2014	08:16	Page 196 to 198
CAD	3005	07/06/2014	09:22	Page 203 to 205
CAD	3037	07/06/2014	09:20	Page 179 to 183
CAD	10481	07/06/2014	22:47	Page 233 to 237
CAD	10506	07/06/2014	22:44	Page 238 to 241

**All CAD's For 7<sup>th</sup> June 2014**

<b>CAD</b>	<b>Num</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Page</b>
CAD	943	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1012	07/06/2014	01:53	Page 143 to 146
CAD	1047	07/06/2014	01:59	Page 174 to 178
CAD	1323	07/06/2014	02:41	Page 147 to 151
CAD	1380	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1571	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1608	07/06/2014	03:34	Page 184 to 186
CAD	1722	07/06/2014	03:58	Page 152 to 154
CAD	1816	07/06/2014	04:15	Page 155 to 159
CAD	2141	07/06/2014	05:50	Page 160 to 164
CAD	2255	07/06/2014	06:24	Page 165 to 169
CAD	2291	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2271	07/06/2014	06:27	Page 170 to 173
CAD	2456	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2525	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2601	07/06/2014	08:09	Page 187 to 190
CAD	2637	07/06/2014	08:18	Page 191 to 195
CAD	2672	07/06/2014	08:16	Page 196 to 198
CAD	2757	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2854	07/06/2014	08:56	Page 199 to 202
CAD	2904	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2906	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3005	07/06/2014	09:22	Page 203 to 205
CAD	3037	07/06/2014	09:20	Page 179 to 183
CAD	3252	07/06/2014	10:07	Page 206 to 209
CAD	3326	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3436	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3838	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3986	07/06/2014	11:47	Page 210 to 213
CAD	4015	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	4322	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	4323	07/06/2014	12:25	Page 214 to 217

CAD	4598	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	4809	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	5206	07/06/2014	13:57	Page 218 to 220
CAD	5571	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	8841	07/06/2014	20:07	Page 221 to 224
CAD	8931	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	10311	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	10393	07/06/2014	22:38	Page 225 to 232
CAD	10462	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	10471	07/06/2014	22:45	Page 242 to 245
CAD	10481	07/06/2014	22:47	Page 233 to 237
CAD	10506	07/06/2014	22:44	Page 238 to 241
CAD	10742	07/06/2014	23:01	Page 246 to 249
CAD	10844	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	10967	07/06/2014	23:25	Page 250 to 254

### All CAD's For 8<sup>th</sup> June 2014

<b>CAD</b>	<b>Num</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Page</b>
CAD	47	08/06/2014	00:00	Page 255 to 259
CAD	167	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	340	08/06/2014	00:29	Page 260 to 263
CAD	625	08/06/2014	00:54	Page 264 to 267
CAD	749	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	793	08/06/2014	01:10	Page 268 to 272
CAD	930	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1081	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1206	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1631	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1646	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1667	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1768	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2410	08/06/2014	05:35	Page 273 to 277
CAD	2456	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2608	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2654	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2764	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2766	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2796	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2845	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2890	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2904	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2942	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2948	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	

CAD	3132	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3151	08/06/2014	09:08	Page 278 to 282
CAD	3179	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3194	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3260	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3319	08/06/2014	09:39	Page 283 to 286
CAD	3350	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3515	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3946	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	5644	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	5897	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	