

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>

Sent time: 12/03/2016 06:37:31 PM

To: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>

Subject: some files

Attachments: working on SIMON CORDELL APPELLANT RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT STATEMENT OF WITNESS new new.doc fair trial artical
6 Si-Information-Part-Edite.doc IIST OF INDEXED REQUESTS AND SUPPORTED EVIERDAINCE THAT IS

Some files that i have made that need to be gone over with jocie i have all the back bone points of law in the file ready as well can you check them please.

I have taken time to listen to my solicitors advice in regards to the applicants proposal of an ASBO order that was on the

13/08/2014	ASBO application was in progress and being created by Steve Elesmore
13/08/2014	A meeting was held with Steve Hodgson who is a representative for Enfield Local Authority Council and Jane Johnson on behalf of the Metropolitan police along side others.
12/09/2014	A ASBO Application bundle is said too have been served on Mr Simon Cordell at 109 Burncroft Avenue, to which he disputes.
06/10/2014	Mr Simon Cordell was meant to have a hearing for an interim Order but legal aid had not been granted. Michael Carroll acting solicitor came to court; the judge overturned and granted legal aid. The application for the Interim hearing the judge would not hear on this day.
22/10/2014	Interim hearing but could not go ahead due to Andy Locke Acting Barrister had a flood at his home address.
05/11/2014	Interim hearing and the order was granted.
02/12/2014	Mr Simon Cordell's mother has a note on her mobile phone, stating he was in court at Highbury Corner not sure what it was for in the ASBO Application.
09 th 10 th 11 th 03/2015	Meant to have been set for the full ASBO Application trial but the court only booked 1 day hearing, this was then put off until the 03 rd and 04 th Aug 2015
03 rd 4 th 08/2015	Highbury Corner full ASBO Application trial case part proven on the 04/08/2015 no Illegality was proven.
26/10/2015	1 st hearing at Wood Green Crown to see if case was ready for appeal on the 09/11/2015 this was put off until 22 nd 23 rd and 24 th 02/2016
09/11/2015	Was 1 st appeal date which was set for an 1 hour hearing, this hearing was put off on the 26/10/2015.
22 nd 23 rd and 24 th 02/2016	Set for appeal at the crown court.

It is said that Mr Cordell had been found proven partly on the 3rd 4th August 2015, to which he disputes to be correct.

An appeal date has been set for Feb 22nd 23rd 24th 2016

Legal aid was re granted on the 00/00/2015

In understanding that Mr Simon Cordell's acting solicitor has explained to him that she can not arrange a barrister that every barrister that has been asked will not take the case on due to the size of the case and due to it being at appeal stage and legal aid will not cover the cost of such a large case. I have been explained that Andy Locke who did the trial at the lower court is on sabbatical leave till April 2016, and that the acting solicitors wish to put the appeal date of until April 2016 when Andy Locke will be back from sabbatical leave.

If granted by the Judge this would in fact set the new appeal date to be two months after the all ready agreed appeal date of 22nd February 2016, if the court agreed to such a date, contained within the time scale of April 2016 and not any time after, due to the court diary all ready being pre booked.

Mr Simon Paul Cordell is asking for a Former judge to examine the role of police officers, who present the applicant cases of an ASBO order against him self.

Mr S. Cordell is asking for this to be assessed and agreed under the grounds of Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights, the Right to a Fair Trial Act 1998, Legislation.

Which in legal terms, should be the best means of separating the guilty from the innocent and protecting against injustice. Without this right, the rule of law and public faith in the justice system collapse. The Right to a Fair Trial is one of the cornerstones of a just society.

Article 6 the Right to a fair hearing:

The right to a fair trial is fundamental to the rule of law and to democracy itself.

The right applies to both criminal and civil cases, although certain specific minimum rights set out in Article 6 apply only in criminal cases.

The right to a fair trial is absolute and cannot be limited. It requires a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law. The procedural requirements of a fair hearing might differ according to the circumstances of the accused.

The right to a fair hearing, which applies to any criminal charge as well as to the determination of civil rights and obligations, contains a number of requirements and I believe the causes below full within them requirements.

An ASBO order has been appealed against after the magistrates court decided a decision to prove the application case in part but with no legality being proven, the decision had been made against Mr Simon Cordell, this was at Highbury Corner, Magistrates Court, on the 4th August 2015 in pursuant to s.1 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 it was agreed to make him subject to an Anti Social behaviour order. This was in pursuit for the Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis.

The respondent's case is that Mr Simon Cordell has been accused of being integrally involved in the organisation of illegal raves in London and Enfield.

Part of the Barrister submissions that represented Simon Cordell, had been that the allegations were that he was involved in the organizing of illegal raves, but the applicant hadn't adduced evidence, of trespass or evidence of breach of the licensing Act 2003 which is a requirement for proving, that an indoor rave was illegal. The Deputy District Judge ruled that the applicant did not need to prove illegality, - all the needed to prove was he had acted in an anti social manner. In the view of the barrister this was a very questionable decision: firstly, the applicant based their case on the illegality of the raves rather than the fact of the raves themselves and secondly, without proof of illegality the presumption of innocence leads to the conclusion that the raves were legal, and thus, Simon being prohibited from engaging in an ostensibly lawful activity requires more careful consideration on issues of proportionality.

It should be agreed with the barrister statement as when dealing with this case Mr Simon Cordell was addressing the applicant's case to prove that he had not been involved in organizing illegal raves, as this is what the application against him was. Yet in the application papers themselves and on trial at the lower court no police officer had said Mr Simon acted in an anti social manner, nor did any of the hearsay witnesses give an ID of any person's.

Other points of concern are;

Inaccuracy's leading to incorrect time stamps contained within the applicants bundle created by Steve Elsmore on the 13/8/2014.

CAD	Num	Date	Time	Page
CAD	2637	07/06/2014	08:18	Page 191 to 195
CAD	2672	07/06/2014	08:16	Page 196 to 198
CAD	3005	07/06/2014	09:22	Page 203 to 205
CAD	3037	07/06/2014	09:20	Page 179 to 183
CAD	10481	07/06/2014	22:47	Page 233 to 237
CAD	10506	07/06/2014	22:44	Page 238 to 241

Please note every day the met police call centre starts at CAD 01 and goes up to the average of 10,742 to 15,000 callers per day the clock is reset to 01 each day at 00:00 hours.

(We can tell this by the number of CAD incident numbers supplied, within the applicants ASBO bundle supporting the evidence supplied, for a stand alone ASBO order to be gained against Mr Simon Cordell.

On the average the Met police call centre will receive on the average of 300 callers per hour as marked and time stamped below.

Every half hour is 150 callers on average
 And every 15 mins is 75 callers on average
 Every 7 half mins is 33 callers on average
 And 3 half mins 17 callers on average

Please take note to (CAD number / Incident Number 10481 7th June 14) this is the 10,481 Met police call of the 7th June 2014 time stamped 22:47 hours.

So it is incorrect for (CAD 10506 7th June 14) externally inputted 25 calls later, to have an earlier time stamp of the 7th June 2014 at 22:44 hours.

In fact the time should have been 22:49 hours for CAD 10506.

All CAD's For 7th June 2014

CAD	Num	Date	Time	Page
CAD	943	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1012	07/06/2014	01:53	Page 143 to 146
CAD	1047	07/06/2014	01:59	Page 174 to 178
CAD	1323	07/06/2014	02:41	Page 147 to 151
CAD	1380	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1571	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1608	07/06/2014	03:34	Page 184 to 186
CAD	1722	07/06/2014	03:58	Page 152 to 154
CAD	1816	07/06/2014	04:15	Page 155 to 159
CAD	2141	07/06/2014	05:50	Page 160 to 164
CAD	2255	07/06/2014	06:24	Page 165 to 169
CAD	2291	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2271	07/06/2014	06:27	Page 170 to 173
CAD	2456	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2525	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2601	07/06/2014	08:09	Page 187 to 190
CAD	2637	07/06/2014	08:18	Page 191 to 195

CAD	2672	07/06/2014	08:16	Page 196 to 198
CAD	2757	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2854	07/06/2014	08:56	Page 199 to 202
CAD	2904	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2906	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3005	07/06/2014	09:22	Page 203 to 205
CAD	3037	07/06/2014	09:20	Page 179 to 183
CAD	3252	07/06/2014	10:07	Page 206 to 209
CAD	3326	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3436	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3838	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3986	07/06/2014	11:47	Page 210 to 213
CAD	4015	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	4322	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	4323	07/06/2014	12:25	Page 214 to 217
CAD	4598	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	4809	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	5206	07/06/2014	13:57	Page 218 to 220
CAD	5571	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	8841	07/06/2014	20:07	Page 221 to 224
CAD	8931	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	10311	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	10393	07/06/2014	22:38	Page 225 to 232
CAD	10462	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	10471	07/06/2014	22:45	Page 242 to 245
CAD	10481	07/06/2014	22:47	Page 233 to 237
CAD	10506	07/06/2014	22:44	Page 238 to 241
CAD	10742	07/06/2014	23:01	Page 246 to 249
CAD	10844	07/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	10967	07/06/2014	23:25	Page 250 to 254

Time Scales between calls below 7th June 2014;

People	Cad Numbers	Time	Location
<u>35</u>	<u>1012 to 1047</u>	<u>6 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>276</u>	<u>1047 to 1323</u>	<u>42 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513 main cad police Insp Hillmill sent to location progress)
<u>285</u>	<u>1323 to 1608</u>	<u>53 Mins</u>	(Lincoln Way grid 534657,195453)

<u>114</u>	<u>1608 to 1722</u>	<u>24 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>94</u>	<u>1722 to 1816</u>	<u>17 Mins</u>	(Orchard Terrance Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>325</u>	<u>1816 to 2141</u>	<u>1h:35mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>114</u>	<u>2141 to 2255</u>	<u>34 Mins</u>	(Hardy Way Grid Ref 531438, 197711 miles away Gorden Hill)
<u>16</u>	<u>2255 to 2271</u>	<u>3 Mins</u>	(Leighton Road Grid Ref 534144,195627 Bush Hill Park)
<u>330</u>	<u>2271 to 2601</u>	<u>42 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>36</u>	<u>2601 to 2637</u>	<u>1h:9 Mins</u>	(Ayley Croft Grid Ref 534219,195697)
<u>35</u>	<u>2637 to 2672</u>	<u>58 Mins</u>	(1 st Time Laps 08:18) (In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>182</u>	<u>2672 to 2854</u>	<u>1h:10 Mins</u>	(1 st Time Laps 08:16) (In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>151</u>	<u>2854 to 3005</u>	<u>26 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>32</u>	<u>3005 to 3037</u>	<u>58 Mins</u>	(2 nd Time Laps 09:22) (In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>215</u>	<u>3037 to 3252</u>	<u>47 Mins</u>	(2 nd Time Laps 09:20) (Tynemouth Drive miles away Grid Ref 534375,198125)
<u>734</u>	<u>3252 to 4323</u>	<u>1h:39 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>337</u>	<u>3986 to 4323</u>	<u>38 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way

			grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>Missing</u>	<u>4323 to 4325</u>	<u>Missing</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>So</u>			
<u>883</u>	<u>4323 to 5206</u>	<u>1h:32 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>3,635</u>	<u>5206 to 8841</u>	<u>6h:13 Mins</u>	(no grid or Att location)
<u>1,552</u>	<u>8841 to 10393</u>	<u>2h: 31 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>Missing</u>	<u>10393 to 10462</u>	<u>Missing</u>	
<u>So</u>			
<u>78</u>	<u>1039 to 10471</u>	<u>7 Mins</u>	(Great Cambridge road miles away Grid Ref 534396, 197692 Carter hatch Lane but states behind tops tiles)
<u>10</u>	<u>10471 to 10481</u>	<u>2 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>25</u>	<u>10481 to 10506</u>		(3rd Time Laps 22:47 to 22:44) (Wood stock Cres grid Ref 534657,195453)
<u>236</u>	<u>10506 to 10742</u>	<u>17 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
Missing	<u>10742 to 10844</u>	<u>Missing</u>	
<u>So</u>			
<u>225</u>	<u>10742 to 10967</u>	<u>26 Mins</u>	(Lincoln Way grid 534657,195453)
	<u>10967</u>		(In Albury Walk Miles Away grid ref 535375. 202125 Cheshunt)

The time stamps relating to the 7th June 2014 go back in time 3 times, so to even be able to work the true format is impossible.

There are 37 CAD/ Incident numbers for the 8th June 2014, to which there is only 7 in the ASBO application and only Cad Number 47 represents Progress Way, the rest represent 32 Crown RD another premises being occupied under section 144 lazppo 10 minutes away from progress way.

By the statistics, the call centre receives on the 8th June 2014, 300 people call per hour. Cads 2410 and 3151 should equal 741 callers the same as Cads 793 to Cad 2410 Cad 3151 Caller is 3 HOURS: 25 Minutes, Please can this be explained.

All CAD's For 8th June 2014

CAD	Num	Date	Time	Page
CAD	47	08/06/2014	00:00	Page 255 to 259
CAD	167	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	340	08/06/2014	00:29	Page 260 to 263
CAD	625	08/06/2014	00:54	Page 264 to 267
CAD	749	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	793	08/06/2014	01:10	Page 268 to 272
CAD	930	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1081	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1206	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1631	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1646	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1667	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	1768	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2410	08/06/2014	05:35	Page 273 to 277
CAD	2456	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2608	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2654	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2764	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2766	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2796	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2845	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2890	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2904	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2942	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	2948	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3132	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3151	08/06/2014	09:08	Page 278 to 282
CAD	3179	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3194	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3260	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	
CAD	3319	08/06/2014	09:39	Page 283 to 286
CAD	3350	08/06/2014	Missing CAD	

CAD	3515	08/06/2014	Missing CAD
CAD	3946	08/06/2014	Missing CAD
CAD	5644	08/06/2014	Missing CAD
CAD	5897	08/06/2014	Missing CAD

Time Scales between calls below; 8th June 2014

People	Cad Numbers	Time	Location
<u>293</u>	<u>47 to 340</u>	<u>29 Mins</u>	(In Progress Way grid ref 534380,195513)
<u>276</u>	<u>340 to 625</u>	<u>24 Mins</u>	(In Crown Road grid ref 534960,196240)
<u>285</u>	<u>625 to 793</u>	<u>16 Mins</u>	(In Crown Road grid ref 534960,196240)
<u>1617</u>	<u>793 to 2410</u>	<u>4h:25 Mins</u>	(In Crown Road grid ref 534960,196240)
<u>741</u>	<u>2410 to 3151</u>	<u>3h:33 Mins</u>	(In Crown Road grid ref 534960,196240) (450 people missing)
<u>168</u>	<u>3151 to 3319</u>	<u>31 Mins</u>	(In Crown Road grid ref 534960,196240)

Supported Evidence, supporting the fact that the CAD's supporting the applicant ASBO should not be time stamped wrong, this evidence does include;

- Standard Operational Guidelines - East of England.
<http://www.eastamb.nhs.uk/FOI%20Docs/Disclosure%20Log/Emergency%20Ops/July%202013/F15152h%20-%20attachment.pdf>
- National Standards for Incident Recording (NSIR) Collection and recording of police;
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/116658/count-nsir11.pdf
- Understanding Control Command; http://www.dodccrp.org/files/Alberts_UC2.pdf
- police Central Communications Command incident procedure;
https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=lkd4sarsfdMC&pg=PA28&lpg=PA28&dq=police+Central+Communications+Command+incident+procedure&source=bl&ots=663ZhaKX9_&sig=Z7DgHlgJncwLNUam0g8EBcCja-8&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwif39iYsMbKAhWI8A4KHdnMAoQQ6AEIMz

[AE#v=onepage&q=police%20Central%20Communications%20Command%20in
cident%20procedure&f=false](#)

Point 2

Blocked out Inc locations and other relevant information, that should be contained within the cads that have been presented in the applicants bundle. Only in serious circumstances in cases such as were it is absolutely nessery to aid in the prevention of witness or victim intimidation should an officer be trusted to block out such information.

Under oath pc Steve Elsmore state to the district Jude that “Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.” When in fact it is his login that created and printed the applicants bundle this can be proved by his signature and also by the computer id log that must be used to print the data contained within the Police National Computer and now has been submitted and is contained with the applicants bundle and is verified at the top of most of the pages or within.

Pc Elsmore states under oath that he did not carry out any further investigations in regards to speaking to the owners of any premises to fix that of a notice of trespass or conviction of twok as the main investigating officer. He states “I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue”

Pc Elsmore states under oath “There was a rave on an adjoining Road but not on that day.” **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

“Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (Progress Way)”

CADS CONTAINED WITHIN THE BUNDIL THAT ARE PRINTED IN Pc Steve Elsmore name and as the leading investigator he would have known the truth to the locations blocked out that are in fact crown road another house party a five minute drive from progress way and if not for the grid numbers being not blocked out inclusive of other landmarks such as A&J cars based in Enfield I would not have been able to prove my innocents in the on going application leading to an un fair trial.

- Cad Page 276 == A& J cars Enfield ===Crown rd ==I would not have been able to prove my innocence in this case if it was not for A & J CARS being left in text, and no this is the same fro many of the other Cads contained within the ASBO application.

Cad 340 8th June 2014 blocked out page 260

Cad 793 8th June 2014 blocked out page 268

Cad 2410 8th June 2014 blocked out A&J cars Crown Road page 276

Cad 3151 8th June 2014 Southbury Road Crown Road page 278

Cad 3319 8th June 2014 Southbury Road / Crown Road page 283

Cad 11822 19th Jul 2014 Southbury Road / Crown Road page 302

In Insp Hamill statements of facts. that are incorrect he lead the district Jude into believing the manufactured and engineered evidence that he had fabricated to aid him to leading the District Jude to making a guilty verdict.

•
Please see a copy of the court transcripts as listed below.

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personally spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday. **(This was in fact early Hours of the 8th around 1:00am.)**

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D’S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendant’s van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. **(Progress Way)**

Witness – Pc Elsmore – R.O – 14:10 EIC

Tab 6 – pg ?14?

DEF XEX

Council **(unreadable text)** curfews **(unreadable text)** that PNC info on statement adds no **(unreadable text)** probatory **(unreadable text)** value of info re: Witness being “afraid of D” What he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

R V CORDELL

4

DEF

Counsel argues that officer’s statement is designed to cause an evidence reaction of this of no value and speculative in nature.

DJ

How many calls from public did police receive?

Witness

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.

Doe’s not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.

On page 15 – Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.

Query Re: “3 massive nitrous tanks”

DJ

Were did you get such info officer.

Witness

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

COUNSEL

Officer you signed a statement of truth (unreadable text) to other witness statements.

DJ

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

R V CORDELL

5

Counsel

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimits reported.

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

(Confesses he did it.)

He did not notice the discrepancy regarding official statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn't /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

(At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014)

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made attempts too contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell's evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what's written in Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.

DJ

Ill ignore because no convections of class A drugs or supplying is present on the criminal record.

Counsel

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you?

Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

Officer

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ seven boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel (**unreadable text**) Email sent to LDE only.

Searched (**unreadable text**) for info on Cordell's convections.

Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

Officer

No

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?
This suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D.
Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to (**unreadable text**) this year
You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.
Emails have been deleted and no copies keep on record.

STATEMENT OF WITNESS

(C.J. Act 1967, S2,9.M.C. Rules 1968 R58)

STATEMENT OF: Simon Cordell

AGE OF WITNESS (if over 21): 34

OCCUPATION OF WITNESS: Unemployed

ADDRESS: 109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield, Middlesex, EN3 7JQ

TELEPHONE:

This statement consisting of 7 page(s) each signed by me, is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false or do not believe to be true.

Dated the 24th day of February 2015

**S gned.....
Signature Witnessed by**

YA/450/15

This is an updated statement further to the statement of Mr Simon Cordell Dated 24th day of February.

In reference to the 12th Jan 2013 Canary Wharf

- This date in question has been add to the applicants bundle as a reference as to the Limitation Act 1980. Which states a case must be applied six months prior from the date of the incident. Please take note to Mr Simon Cordell's last statement dated the 24/02/2015; he was in fact taken to The Royal London Hospital.

In reference to the 07th April 2013, Blakey's House

07/04/2013 = In Steve Elsmore Statement dated 11/08/2014

In regards to 07/04/2013 = Please read Mr Simon Cordell's last statement dated the 24/02/2015, he states that he did not attended any premises on this date to rave, Mr Cordell did not involve him self in the organization of any illegal rave this was his friends housing estate and was on a Sunday, nor did he supply equipment on said date.

Mr Simon Cordell will State; "that he was not rude to police, but he did feel like he could not even go out for the day with some of his friends, without getting stopped and searched by members of the police.

It is also noted that the caller was very clear that they saw a flat screen TV being put into Mr Cordell's van, which is confusing to why when the police searched the van they found no TV, but did in fact find two of his off road motor bikes, which is not included in Steve Elsmore statement. The police did checks on Mr Simon Cordell's Off Road Motor Bikes but this is also not stated, but should show's up on the seizer notice, as Mr Simon Cordell did asked the police office to take careful note of the two off road motor bikes, as due to the high value of them.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did get a bit upset when the police said they were going to seize his van, as he did have insurance in place to be able to drive the van in question, but there was an error on the MID database. Miss Cordell had been trying to help her son resolve the issue concerning his insurance policy not showing on the mid data base along side with members of their local police force and his insurance company KGM too, together they had tried to work out why Mr Simon Cordell was showing as uninsured. There was information noted as intelligence on the police National Computer stating this I had asked the police to check on there systems due to this, but they would not they just wanted to seize Mr Simon Cordell's van without checking, so he new he was being wrongfully accused at this point, as he had done nothing wrong and he did have insurance to be driving and had paid a lot of money for his insurance. He states he did not get upset in the manner that the police have said he did and that he does not mean to come across as rude to police. In this case he was just trying to explain the error on the system.

In addition, the prosecution offered no evidence in respect of the charges that were brought even though they were reliant on police witnesses. Mr Simon Cordell had been wrongfully arrested for not having insurance when he was insured to drive. He also did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour on this date in question.

There are no CAD's for this date, but yet they was meant to be, a CAD referring to the pacific details that should be relating to a person stating, that they believed a burglary was in progress and of the 999 caller stating that they had seen a person who was putting a flat screen TV into Mr Simon Cordell's van.

In reference to 24th May 2013 police station

Please take note to the picture above and that of the building on the far right being the old police station, you can not see the front vehicle entrance as it is in the far right of the picture and is the only entrance.
The alley way in the middle is were I drove my car down and stopped there is no rear entrance to the police station from the ally as there is two other running companies in-between and to the far right is another running company.

24.05.13 = Mr Simon Cordell was looking for venues to set u an illegal rave

On the 24.05.13 = Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did not attended any premises on this date to rave neither was he involved in the organization of any illegal raves, nor did he supplied equipment. This case was only added as a reference as the limitation Act 1980 which states that a case must be applied 6 months from the date of the incident, to which it was not. Please read my last statement dated the 24/02.2015.

It is alleged that Mr Simon Cordell was looking for venues in which illegal raves could be held, on 24th May 2013. Mr Simon Cordell dispute's this. He will state that he had been contacted by a friend called Joshua, who was living at 204 High Street Ponders End EN3 4EZ, also known as the Old Police Station at Ponders End, as he and some others were homeless, so was living and residing under section 144. as Mr Simon Cordell was driving towards 204 High Street, he drove his car down the alleyway so that he could park the vehicle he was in, He parked between two well known land marks, Which is were many people who do live in an around the surrounding areas would be able to remember as the old ponders End police station next to the Kinder Garden Centre.

Mr Cordell states he knows the area very well as this is where he has lived all of his life, so he knew about the car park at the back of the two well known landmarks, as he states you can not park on the high road, because of the double yellow lines or other restrictions. He had parked there before, he states he believes and knows that the police saw his car as he began to take a right turn to be able to drive down to were he intended to stop, he knew the police had followed him, as he had seen them pay attention to him self as he had driven past.

Mr Cordell does remember clearly that of him self locking his vehicle as the police approached him and now was standing by his side. He states that this is normal for him and over the years of his life he has become use to the police approaching him for numerous accusations, so that has also made him used to their presents, Mr Simon Cordell states that that this is so normal for him, so he got ready for the police procedures, as they said they wanted to search him and his car because the police believed that the car he was driving smelt strongly of cannabis, Mr Simon Cordell sates that he would always consented to this. He is sure of his statements of facts and that the police can not dispute this, that of the police officers that had approached him and who had stopped him as he had just got out of my car, or how would they have said his car smelt strongly of cannabis, which is the reason that the police officers gave him the conditions of search and their consent form due to a search of him self and that of his vehicle that he was driving.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he had not done any thing wrong and nothing was found on his person or in his car."

Mr Simon Cordell will dispute making any comments about being able to attract people to illegal raves and illegal 3 day events, what reason would he have had to say this. Mr Simon Cordell will state to the applicant that he was a visitor to the location of interest, due to a call from a friend who asked if Mr Simon Cordell could loan him some money for food. He will also include that he did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour on the 24th May 2013.

Mr Simon Cordell does not know what Joshua said to the police, as he was never with Joshua. Mr Simon Cordell does not know why Joshua would have said to the police that he was his lawyer, or if Joshua said this at all to police. Mr Simon Cordell has tried to get hold of Joshua to make a statement for this case, but due to him being homeless, it has been very hard. As far as he is aware the building was being occupied by people to live in, he states he does not know anything Joshua said to police about know any think about a rave. Mr Simon Cordell did not manage to visit him on this day.

At no point is Mr Simon Cordell being accused of acting in an anti social manner on this date, or by any members of the public inclusive of members of the police, neither was he arrested.

Hyde Park 20th 04 2014

In Reference to Pages 213 - pages 98 to 100 created by Steve Hoodless yr contained within the applicant's first bundle. 5 St George's Industrial Estate.

It is said that on 25.05.14 Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and or attended an illegal rave at unit 5, St George's Industrial Estate, Whit Heart Lane,

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did not attended any premises on this date to rave neither was her involved in the organization off any raves, nor did he supply equipment for an illegal rave at unit 5, St George's Industrial Estate, White Heart Lane, N17.

In respect of Mr Simon Cordell presence at Unit 5 St George's Industrial Estate, White Hart Lane on 25th May 2014. He attended a commercial building that the occupiers were residing in, having displayed section 144 LASPO notices and in turn treating the premises as their home. Nothing was said to Mr Simon Cordell about a rave by any of the 20 occupiers. He will state that he was visiting friends and they were just sitting and chatting while having a laugh. He remembers taking about ways to better life for him self and his friends as well as others.

There was no music being played or about to be set up. He was not involved in the organization of an illegal rave of any sort no Acts of the licensing Bill 2013 was being broken.

Mr Cordell will state; "have used the speaker box's to play sound, he did ask the police too note this down, and that he was only using the van as storage, this is why the police officers who were in attendance allowed him to leave, while talking to the current occupiers of the premises." "that he did drive there in his van VRM CX52JRZ, and he does accept that he had 2 speaker boxes in the van; however, he did not have a full sound system present with him self and the speaker boxes did not have any drivers in them, so he and others could not

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did not on the date in question have what would constitute as a full sound system like what he accused of and is now being pursued by the applicant. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not rude to the police, that he allowed his van to be searched by members of the police and nothing was seized, and He went home. Mr Cordell will state that he did not cause any anti social behaviour on the 25th May 2014 or any other behaviour that might have caused alarm harm or distress to others."

Since this ASBO application was served on Mr Simon Cordell, he has moved the speakers out of his van and they are still in the (open air), at his mothers address and are in the back garden still to date, as if in the first day that off when he had taken them out of his van, with no drivers in them because he felt uncomfortable ball because of the terms of the ASBO application. Mr Simon Cordell states yes at the time it would have been better to keep them in his van due to the weather, but when he does intend to go for a drive that he does not feel safe any longer travelling with any sound equipment.

It is also noted that on page (98 of the main applicants bundle) that the report was created on the 26/05/2014 for criminal damage, the event date, is noted at:

25/05/2014, but was last updated on the 19/06/2014 why would there be a need to update this report, Mr Cordell will state that it was proven that he did nothing on the CCTV.

**Ref: Shinniek
Unit 5 ST Georges Industrial Estate White Heart Lane N17: 25th May 2014
At 23:21 pm.**

Pc Hoodlese states; "Contacted by security guard at the venue stating suspects were on the premises."

Mr Simon Cordell states; "that he spoke to his friend that he new to have problems due to being homeless at the time and that he had been trying to help out by offering them work from the local council such as Ponders End Community Festival, Winch more Hill Community Festival, Lock To Lock Community Fest, Club Juice, Club White Sands. There were no (profit events) Mr Simon Cordell has provided proof of the events that they did engage in, he will state that he did attended to friends occasional Birthday parties and had checked with the licensing Act 2003 not to be in breach as printed below."

REGULATED ENTERTAINMENT

APPENDIX 4

'PROVIDED FOR THE PURPOSE OF ENTERTAINMENT'

The Descriptions of Regulated Entertainment:

- plays (both performance and rehearsal);
- the showing of films (or any exhibition of moving pictures including videos);
- all indoor (inside a building) sporting events (in which physical skill is the main factor e.g. tennis);
- outdoor boxing and wrestling matches; (no other form of outdoor sport is regulated);
- music (both for public performance of live music and public playing of recorded music);
- the performance of dance; or
- entertainment of a similar description to any of the above.

Venues	Licensable Entertainment	Not Licensable	Special Considerations
Restaurant	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Recorded music not incidental to eating or drinking 2. Playing of live music 3. Unamplified music in a pub 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where satellite TV is provided, or recorded music that is incidental to drinking or eating, no licence conditions can be imposed on the premises 2. Piano music played in the background in a restaurant 3. Music played in lifts 	Any pub may obtain permission to stage live musical events at no extra cost when obtaining permission to sell alcohol
Community Centres and Village and Parish Halls	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Live music 2. Singing and Dancing 	The installation of big screens and a large sound system, and provided no alcohol is sold on the premises and there is no singing or dancing	Benefits from a more informal system of permitted temporary activities. Anyone can notify up to five of these per year, or fifty if they are a personal licence holder. Each event can last up to a maximum of 95 hours and up to twelve events can take place at any one premises in any year where maximum 500 people attend at any one time
Entertainment in Schools and Colleges	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where there is public admittance 2. If those attending are charged with the aim of making a profit including raising funds for charity 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A concert or other performance which takes place for parents and students without payment 2. Charging parents and students but only to cover costs 3. Any performance of music, dancing, etc that is being performed for students as part of their education 	Charging simply to recover costs is not licensable

Licences/DBC Guides/Entertainment

REGULATED ENTERTAINMENT

APPENDIX 4

'PROVIDED FOR THE PURPOSE OF ENTERTAINMENT'

Venue	Licensable Entertainment	Not Licensable	Special Considerations
Private Homes and Gardens		Private parties and weddings will not be licensable unless the host takes the unusual step of charging the guests to attend with a view to making a profit	Charging simply to recover costs is not licensable
Churches, Synagogues, Mosques, and other places of worship		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If incidental to, a religious meeting or service 2. Engagement by any faith in worship or any form of religious meeting 3. Rave held in a Church providing no alcohol is sold 4. Classical concerts 5. Singing of hymns or other religious material 	
Sports Clubs	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where there is public admittance 2. If those attending are charged with the aim of making a profit including raising funds for charity 	Private events	
Music and Dance Studios	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Studio is being used to provide entertainment to the public 2. People take part in the entertainment 3. A dress rehearsal is provided for the public 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Performances in a rehearsal studio or broadcasting studio where there is no audience /spectators present 2. A broadcasting studio recording a programme without an audience/spectators 	

Licences/DBC Guides/Entertainment

2.

Mr Simon Cordell will state: "that he had also been letting friends stay at his flat and that he cooked them food and helped out with other living accessories such as trainers and cloths, while giving them a place to sleep and wash."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that his friend called him earlier in the day and explained to him that he was living at Unit 5 St. Georges Industrial Estate White Heart Lane N17; Mr Simon Cordell will state that he went and meet him. That he did not hear any alarms nor would he be on any CCTV cameras committing any offence on this date in question. That he did in fact arrive and had ordered food. He used his van to travel from his home to where his friend was staying. Due to storage space and the size of the speakers, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he could not remove the speaker boxes on his own because of the size and weight of each box and used his van as storage on some occasions."

On the 25th May 2014 the police checked the index CX52 R2 and there were two speaker boxes with no speakers in them that Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had kept in the van. There was no amps or deck's inclusive of any other equipment to power or create a full sound system just two speakers that he kept in there for storage. The police could see there was no way to run a sound system and allowed him to leave.'

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he is not sure if the people were still allowed to stay in there home by the police.

Ref: Pc Hoodlese states; "Approx 20 young males and females ran out the rear of the premises."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "at no point was he one of the males or females that run out of the building."

Ref: Pc Hoodlese states; "Approx 20 people are claiming to be squatters."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point was he one of the 20 people occupying Unit 5 St. Georges Industrial Estate White Heart Lane N17, living under a section 144 Laspo treating and respecting it as there home, as for fact he was a guest and has his own home. Several males were still inside the premises claiming to be squatters."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that as said people were occupying the building and that he went home."

Ref: Pc Hoodlese states;

"Police had footage of several suspects causing damage to the security cameras and door locks."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that was not one of them people."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point will he be on any of the said camera evidence as he never committed the offences stated, he believes if he were on the security cameras then criminal charges would have been placed on him. At no time have any charges be placed against Mr Cordell he will state that as he was not one of the suspects causing any damage.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that if police officers watch the security cameras footage that this would also show in court Mr Cordell was just a visitor.

Ref: Pc Hoodlese states;

"At the venue."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that this was a commercial building being occupied under section 144 Laspo, as far as he was aware and had been told by the occupiers they had been living there for weeks before this date, they had there belongings and bedding at the premises."

Ref: Pc Hoodlese states; "Caught on camera opening the venue upon opening the premises."

Mr Simon Cordell will states; that he will not be on camera acting in an anti social manner neither will he be causing any criminal offences, that he was invited into the premises by the occupiers that were living there."

In Reference to the 6th 7th 8th JUNE 2014; Progress Way in relation to the Applicants first bundle.

In relation to the 06/07/8th June 2014 Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty ware house on Progress way Enfield.

In the applicants bundle there are 93 incident numbers relating to the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 that Mr Simon Cordell is being accused of and only 34 complete cad files in the bundle, to which Mr Simon Cordell would like to apply to the applicant to receive related missing documentation to 59 cads.

A list of Cad; incident numbers including the supported relevant missing articles that should be contained within the applicants bundle has been listed and is required so that Mr Simon Cordell will be able to defend him self from all accusation creating the bases of an ASBO application.

Around 2:00am on the 8th Mr Simon Cordell states he was just arriving at progress way and was said to have been seen by police.

On page 32 A/Insp Hamill 01566 states; "at 0200hrs on Sunday 8th June that he did in fact see Mr Simon Cordell for the first time, on the 3rd line from the last sentence."

CAD 1047 Name PC239YE Shinnick (pages 174 to 178) at 1:59 on 7th June 2014, was a 999 call location, which was a police office calling the Enfield Patrol Site, Call name is of a PC Shinnick, "please allow a officer to call on duty."

A/ PS Charles Miles 724ye (page 31 } explains that this date was on the 7th June 2014 in his statement by is mistaken, this can be confirmed by any person who can do so by looking at cad 1047, to which A/Inspector Hamill 201566 states he had created cad 1047 at the first point of police intelligence leading to the police offices first point of contact in regards to progress way, as he dispatched officers to the location of the incident, from this information provided we can tell that this was in fact the 8th June 2014 at 1:59.

A/Insp Hamill then states; "that the officers that he had sent, had reported back that Mr Simon Cordell and Tyrone Benjamin were present, and goes on to state that officers were not aloud access into the occupied building, due to the demand during the shift and low policing numbers, but cad incident number 1047 07th June 2014 pages 174 to 184, states them officers in attendance who could not gain entry, some how managed to see Mr Simon Cordell and his brother Tyrone Benjamin earlier in the day, to which Mr Simon Cordell will state would be

incorrect as only he had arrived to visit a friend, and this was his first time at the location and for the true facts of the matter to be that of Tyrone Benjamin being in hospital.

As A/Insp Hamill 01566 states; "at 0200hrs on Sunday the 8th June 2014, Mr Simon Cordell did in fact arrive."

A/Insp Hamill 01566 Could not be sure of the fact of the person that he is stating was at the gate did in fact bring Mr Simon Cordell back to the gate, he does not state that she or he came back with Mr Cordell, who would have told A/ Insp Hamill that Mr Simon Cordell was in fact the person she had gone to collect and asked to assist in speaking to police as the event organiser, neither did he take any name(s) or personal details of the gate assistances. He also states that Mr Simon Cordell would not in fact speak to him, so if this was true then why would Mr Simon Cordell have approached him to speak to him as the event organiser and not speak, as for fact he was just arriving.

No police officers did in fact see Mr Simon Cordell, on the 6th 7th Jun 2014

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not talk to any police or council as he felt intimidated.

Mr Cordell will state that he was not given any noise abating order from the local council as confirmed on page 34 by A/Insp Hamill 01566 as he was not in fact the organiser.

(On page 33) A Insp Hamill 201566 states that he see Mr Simon Cordell, at the gates but believed that Mr Simon Cordell was coming from inside the premises, Mr Simon Cordell will state due to the large number of people at the location and due to other reasons and believes of the inspectors own that he is mistaken, Mr Simon Cordell states that he remembers clearly, that of the police approaching him, as he was walking towards the gates, when he was arriving from the Great Cambridge road, and that of the police asking him questions in regards to illegal raves. A Inspector Hamill states that he ask Mr Simon Cordell his name and that he gave him a reply, such as to the answer of "yes" verbally and then A Inspector Hamill states that he asked Mr Cordell the same question again but Mr Cordell would not reply, (chapter one of A Inspector Hamill statement page 33 the 5th line down;) he then states the 3rd time when Mr Simon Cordell was asked again, but this time by the council officers with inspector Hamill present his name, that he would not reply again, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not speak to any body, he just listened to what was being said to him and complied when he was asked to walk back to were he had just parked his vehicle. The police officer is incorrect in saying that Mr Cordell was the person that the gate assistant went and collected, as the event organiser, as Mr Simon Cordell was in fact approaching the occupied building and was visiting his friend. He did state this in his first statement dated (24th/02/2015.) Mr Simon Cordell will State that, as he was approaching the ally way were tops tiles is before the entrance gate for progress way as stated by A/Insp Hamill 201566 on (page 33 2nd line up from the last sentence.) Simon remembers it being dark and a lot of people being present in the ally way. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he saw, who he now knows to be A/ Insp Hamill for the first time, at around 2:00 am on the 8th June 2014 as he was arriving and had not seen a police officer on the date in question, till that point of time, when he had seen A Inspector Hamill talking too other people at the gate than him self as he was approaching, Mr Cordell will state he does remember the police trying to speak to him and that he felt that the police was accusing him of being an organiser, to which he was not, so he choose not to say any think, with out a solicitor being present.

The Police and council let Mr Cordell go and he walked across the road to the petrol station, while waiting for his friend to turn up, which he had to give a set of keys back too.

Crown Road == There was no Licensable events or private parties on the 2nd apart from Crown Road that is contained within the applicants bundle, a council freedom of information act has been provided, from local council as proof of this statement. (Exhibit)

(Cad 3151 8th June 2014 page 278) clearly states that the rave / private party was at crown road not progress way relating to cad 3151 8th June 2014 and that members of the public were using Southbury train station, to get to this location, which is across the road from Crown Road the old man building which is grid reference; X (Easting) 534960 Y (Northing) 196240

Under oath to the Dj A/Insp concealed the truth true facts of evidence. Please read court train scrip off A Inspector Hamill below;

(This also proofs that all the cads are linked together and corrupt)

Witness 1 - inspector Hamill -R.O - 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday.

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjourning RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (Progress Way)

Cad 3319 8th June 14 page 283 is also related to

Southbury train STN /Crown RD

(cad 11822 8th June 14 page 302)

Southbury STN cad 2410 8th Jun 14 page 276. Also blocked out so no person can see, apart from the makers of the bundle themselves, when creating their application towards Mr Simon Cordell, What evidence there is to support this claim is the mistake of A and J cars Enfield not being blocked out, as listed above in this document. As the same as many of the other cad numbers relating to this ASBO case, to which if it was not for this error A and J cars, being not blocked out like the rest of cad 2410 8th Jun

2014, Mr Simon Cordell would never of been able to prove this part of his innocents in the ASBO case being put towards him self.

Mr Simon Cordell will supply Supported Evidence from face book showing that he was not the organizer to any event on the 06/06/2014 07/06/2014 and the 08/06/2014. (Exhibit)

Supporting evidence that 32 Crown Road (A&J Cars) Land mark was in fact another premises that was being occupied under section 144 Lasbo and being treated as it private home and is not in fact in question to the defendant. A Google earth image, street view of the front gates of Crown Road showing a section 144 present in hyperlinked below.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that none of the incident numbers relating to the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 did he act in an Anti social manner that was likely to cause harm, alarm or distress, to any other person who is a tenant or resident of the United Kingdom.

(CAD 3319 08th June 2014 p 283 to 286) shows another occupied premises having party's on Southbury road, 32 Crown RD all on the same dates off the (8th June 2014 on page 284) which is address (318-328 Southbury rd.) Comments state; these sites have a fragile roof. A meeting, which was held at 129A (Southbury RD with members of the police and public from address 1 - 350 to 2 - 182 and 1 - 104 Southbury Road.

Police Officer Caller states; "Rave at location organizers Tyrone Benjamin and Simon Cordell have attended earlier there are about 200 people at the location all well natured and there is sufficient fire and safety equipment. Inspector Hamill made aware. Inspector Hamill states that he see Mr Cordell coming out of the gates page 33, to which Mr Simon Cordell will explain is a mistaken as he was just arriving on the 8th June 2014,

Please take note to 200 people there is no argument to the premises being occupied and their for no breach of section 63 for trespass as this is a place of residence, neither under the new Deregulated entertainment licensing act amended to the licensing act 2003 on the 7th January 2013 no breach of licensing acts has been breached as private homes are not regulated unless a profit has been made also under the new regulations there is no licensing between the hours of 8am till 11pm. There for the said rave not being illegal as the applicant states as their was no health and safety risks , not that Mr Cordell was involved with the organisation of any event.

General

Q: Why are you deregulating?

A: Deregulation will make it easier for schools, community groups and a huge array of civil society organisations and charities to put on cultural and sporting events. The measures should also help businesses diversify their offer and access new markets.

Q: What are the proposals?

A: Currently a wide range of entertainment activities - such as plays and dance events - require a licence to take place in front of an audience.

These changes will mostly end that situation. In short, most plays, dance shows and indoor sport will no longer need a licence, and it will be easier to host music events in community premises.

Details are in the tables below:

Schedule 1 Category	Position
Plays	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deregulated between 0800-2300 for audiences up to 500 ➢ Implemented 27 June 2013: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2013/1578/introduction/made
Dance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deregulated between 0800-2300 for audiences up to 500 ➢ Implemented 27 June 2013: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2013/1578/introduction/made
Indoor Sport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deregulated between 0800-2300 for audiences up to 1000 ➢ Implemented 27 June 2013: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2013/1578/introduction/made
Live Music *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Licensing suspended for amplified live music between 0800-2300 in on-licensed premises and deregulated in workplaces for audiences up to 500 (raised from 200 in Live Music Act 2012)

5

Page 33 Inspector Hamill states; as he approached the gates of progress way the occupiers locked the gate preventing him access.

Cad 2601 07th June 2014 11 Ayley Croft; caller states possible house party or bailiff raid this has happened before.

In reference to witness statement progress way

Made by A/PS Charles Miles 724YE

Accusation Date: 7th June 2014

Time 02:03 hours

Progress Way EN1

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE states; "On Saturday 7th June 2014 I was on duty in full uniform, working as YE3N section Supervisor.

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE states; "At 0203Hrs approximately I attended a disused warehouse at Progress Way EN1, where an illegal rave was being held. I attended with Inspector Hamill VEIN and representatives from the Environmental Health Office at Enfield Council, approaching the gates and asking to 'speak with the organizer."

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE states; "There I spoke with a man who I recognized as Simon Cordell, from previous illegal rave events on Enfield Borough. I would describe him as a light skinned black male, and at the time he was wearing a white long sleeved T shirt and Grey

bottoms, he is approximately 5'09 tall and of medium build. He refused to provide his details to the council representatives in order that a noise abatement order could be served, however he was provided with a copy. Approximately 10 minutes later we left the scene having risk assessed the incident."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he was attending an occupied building that was being lived in under section 144 LASPO on the 8th at around 200 hours on the 8th June 2014 as a visitor and not on the 7th June 2014.

His intentions were to drop keys to a friend which had been left at his flat.

When Mr Cordell approached progress way a man he now knows to be a police officer from the statements provided, approached him while he was walking down a foot path leading to the occupied building. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was accused of being an organizer to which he gave no reply and decided at this point to cross the road and call his friend to come out side to give him his keys back, to which he had, came to visit.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he then left and headed home and at no point did he except any paper work of any person(s) nor did he give his name or personal details to any body for his personal details to be on any official headed piece of paper, to which in the statement he is being accused of being presented to him.

It is also noted that in A/Insp Hamill 201566 statement that he did not note that a copy of the paper work had not been handed to anyone.

Which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is sure he would have noted in his statement. As from his statement he was the main person dealing with this matter.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he would like to ask for any noise abatement order made on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014."

It is also noted that police statement were written on the 02/08/2014, 26 days after therefore Mr Simon Cordell is asking for a copy of the 101 book.

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE states; " that he returned to the venue approximately two hours later, he again asked to speak with the organiser however none came forward, he asked the two men on the door, who appeared to be party goers to let him in to have a look around. He walked around and there was extremely loud drum and bass music playing, with approximately 100 people dancing. Party goers observed him in Police uniform and ran away into the large open area, presumably because of drug misuse matters - there was significant evidence to suggest illegal drugs were being used such as discarded self seal bags, and empty canisters consistent with 'laughing gas' use."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "As officers where not permitted access into the venue it is unknown to the extent of drug and alcohol abuse which may or may not have taken place within."

A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE states; "At approximately 06:30Hrs we received a call to nearby Woodgrange Gardens, to reports of a male assaulted. Following an initial investigation this individual matched the description of a male earlier observed on the warehouse roof. It appeared that he had fallen off of the roof and into some bushes and his injuries were consistent with a fall from height. He was heavily under the influence of alcohol and quite probably illegal drugs. He went to North Middlesex Hospital with the London Ambulance Service."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "At 05:04hrs CAD 2290 8th June police were called to a male assaulted in the street. Officers and LAS have attended the location of WoodGrange Avenue, where the male had injuries of suspected broken wrists and a bloody mouth, he initially stated that he had been attacked from behind but on investigation it transpired that this male had been one of the people seen on the roof earlier and had fallen whilst getting down."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state; That it was only ever noted by PS 92YE that 1 male was seen on the roof, but if the call came 05:04hrs CAD 2290 how is it his statement it says a call came in at 06:30Hrs this is 1 hour and 26 mins after the first call was made and A/Insp Hamill 201566 had sent officers to the location.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; That he did notice when approaching the building a Section 144 Laspo notice was in place, in turn meaning occupiers were occupying the free hold of the land sleeping in the commercial building and treating it as their home. Mr Simon Cordell will state No body could have spoken to him or his brother Tyrone Benjamin, or see Tyrone as his brother Tyrone Benjamin was involved in an ATR involving, a vehicle LRO9BMV he was knocked of his moped on the 10th April 2014 the injuries his brother occurred has changed his life for ever. On the 07/06/2014 Mr Simon Cordell's brother Tyrone Benjamin could not walk, he was Air lifted to The Royal London Hospital. Mr Simon Cordell will disagree strongly that his brother was at this event dated 06th 07th 08th June 2014 or in any case that is in question presented within this ASBO application, nor did he attend. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he arrived at progress way about 01:45am on the 8th but on his own and on arrival police spoke to him out side the front gates and he then left and went home.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; That allegations of misleading information is being held under his and his brothers name on the police national Computer, and he has been trying to get this rectified, He has provided his brothers medical notes as proof of this as well as stated many other facts and provided a copy of the Police National Computer and the errors that have tarnished his life agreed by the courts.

He would also like to make it noted that the police already have on there system the people they were prettying while he was on curfew for some of the cases within this ASBO application and that the police had contacted other people leading up to dates of the incident numbers but not Mr Simon Cordell in relation to illegal raves. The public order team has confirmed on the phone to his mother and Essex police have too. It has taken months to gather this information relating to the dates within this ASBO application so that Mr Simon Cordell can clear his name.

Witness Statement

A/Inspector Hamill 201566

Friday 6th June 2014 Progress Way

It is noted that your statement was written on the 06/08/2014 this is 62 days after the fact, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is therefore asking for a copy of your 101 book."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that at no point of time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not organize any events within this ASBO application. And at no point in time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point has he committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 On Friday 6th June 2014 states; when on duty in full uniform working as the Duty Officer for the Borough of Enfield, was working between the hours of 2200hrs to 0700hrs.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "During the early hours of the 7th June I was made aware of a potential Rave that was in progress in a discussed Industrial Building on Progress Way."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "I have had a CAD created reference 10471 7June dispatched officers to the location to access numbers, crowd dynamics and gather information around times the event is likely to run until ----and also to make contact or identify the potential organiser. Officers have reported back that Tyrone Benjamin and Simon Cordell were at location and to be believed the event organisers, there were approximately 200 people in attendance, the event was covered by security officers who had stated that they were volunteers and not licensed through SIA. Officers have spoken with staff to confirm that all fire escapes were clear, that there were sufficient fire extinguishers in place and that there were first aid kits available."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that this incorrect and not to be true as his brother had medical injuries stopping him from being mobile or transported. Evidence will be supplied. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not in attendance to attend any rave in fact he was dropping keys to a friend as they had been left at his address when he was there last."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "Police Officers have reported back:

Mr Simon Cordell will state; The police sent by inspector Hamill reported back to him and said they had spoken to Security officers at the gate of progress way, who stated that they were volunteers not security as believed by police officers. "Who made this statement?" If they were believed by police officers to be security, but had said they were volunteers, what makes the police sure beyond reasonable doubt that the people in question presented to be security acting as volunteers could have in fact off been the organisers. As Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not the organiser neither did he hire any sound equipment nor did he take part in any form of organization on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 or act in an Anti Social Manner. In the new skeleton argument the inspector clearly states that he now trust the security guards when officers state that they believed they were security but said they were volunteers and looked like party goers.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "Police Officers have reported back: Staff was forthcoming with information, but refused to allow officers inside the venue."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "As stated they never believed the information provide by said staff at the gates of progress way to be true, as it was believed the security was to be presenting them self's as volunteers, so why would any information provided to officers can be classed and stated as forthcoming be classed as to be true, if not believed to be true by the person writing the statement in the beginning, as said by police officers, the people at the gate also refused to allow police officers inside the venue."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "Due to call demand during the shift and low policing numbers it was inappropriate to enter the premises to seize the equipment and close the event, but he deployed officers to conduct regular visits to the venue, where number at their peak where 500 but reported to be quite and peaceful."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was not involved in any event or Anti Social Behaviour on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014, he only went to drop some keys off to a friend that he had a call from due to him leaving his keys at his address the last time he was there and his friend needed them back."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "Local authority noise team were contacted reference T548832. The event was expected to run until 0700hrs on Saturday 7th June, with plans for the event to continue again later in the evening on the 7th June. During the course of the shift we received a total of calls from local residence complaining about the noise of the rave."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "On Saturday 7th June 2014 I was again on duty in full uniform working as the Borough's Duty Officer for the hours of 2200hrs to 0700hrs, as with the previous evening I was made aware again of a Rave at an empty warehouse of Progress Way. As with the previous evening, I have posted officers to make regular visits to the venue to access numbers, crowd dynamics and general intelligence around the event.

During the course of the number numbers at the event were around 300.

At 02:00hrs I have attended the venue with A/PS Miles and two environmental officers.

The entrance to the venue was located off progress way, down the side off "Tops Tiles". The warehouse was at the bottom of this side road behind a metal gate, the gate padlock had been removed and security officers were opening the gate to allow access. As Insp Hamill and A/PS Miles and the EO have approached the gate they have closed the gate preventing us access."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that for Inspector Hamill: To be able to state the gate padlock had been removed." By this statement made Inspector Hamill was this close to pay this much attention to such an object as a pad lock on the gate, he then states a security officer was opening the gate to allow access. Followed by they have closed the gate, with so many people walking in and out of such numbers of 300 people in attendance Mr Simon Cordell will state that he believes the inspector see Mr Simon Cordell and took his own believes.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "I have introduced myself and asked to speak with the event organisers, to which a member of staff has disappeared into the venue and returned with a male who I would describe as light skinned black male, Approximate age of 35, wearing a white long sleeved t-shirt, grey bottoms. I recognized this male as Simon Cordell.

"Inspector Hamill states; that he then introduced him self and asked if we could speak at the bottom of the' road where the noise levels would allow us to talk. We all moved to the bottom of Progress Way where I have introduced myself and explained the purpose of the visit and asked "It's Simon isn't it?" to which he has replied "Yes" I have then further asked "Simon Cordell" to which he has indicated that it was but not verbally confirmed the answer. I have introduced the two EO's the Simon who have explained the purpose of their visit and the fact that they

were going to severe a noise abatement order, they have produced the paperwork and asked the male for his name to which he has refused to provide his details, It was explained that without the name of a person from the venue the EO's are unable to serve the paperwork. As we have been unable to progress this line of action, I have made the request to Simon Cordell to turn the music down."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that Inspector Hamill: States he approached the gate and spoke to security, but the police are not sure if they were in fact security, police state in there statements that the people on the gate introduced themselves as volunteers. The case is the police did not know who they was they could have been security/volunteers or organisers. The police only believed Tyrone Benjamin and Simon Cordell could have been the organisers, which is not the case.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that Inspector Hamill: Asked to speak to an organiser and has said that a member of said staff disappeared into the occupied building. For a male Inspector Hamill recognised to be Simon Cordell to approach him. This could not have been the case as Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not in the building and that he was walking up to the building when he was approach by Inspector Hamill and others.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he does remember this day 08th June 2014 a friend who had stayed at his who had forgotten to take his set of keys with him, when he left his flat prior to the 06th and 07th 8th June 2014."

He will state that his friend had contacted him and told him that he need his keys back and wanted him to meet him at progress way were he had been residing and asked Mr Simon Cordell to drop the keys to him."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was given a post code and had never been to this location before. That he travelled by car and parked outside a company that he remembers to be tops tiles, as he approached he could hear music, after finding the address given to him he had to walk down a side ally leading to the front gates to be seen by a man he now know to be Inspector Hamill from the statement provided he asked him his name to which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he gave no reply to his question."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that as he see the police leave the people on the gate he was already chatting to him and asked Mr Simon Cordell to follow him to the road side which he did, at no time did Mr Simon Cordell talk to any police officers or any other person(s) as he felt he had not done any think wrong and new how the police was with him and he just did not want any problems."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was the police officer was with other people, who Mr Simon Cordell now know to be environmental officers due to the statements. Mr Cordell remembers feeling like he was being accused of being an organiser by the way in which the police officer was talking to him.

This is the reason he did not want to talk to the police as he new how they was with him from over many years of being harassed by the police.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he just wanted to leave so he decided at this point to cross the road to the local petrol station and call his friend to come out side to give him his keys back.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no time did he speak to police and give any details and did not take any paper work from anyone, the police did not follow him across the road to the petrol station where he called his friend to come and get his keys.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did notice sound coming from said occupied building and at this point in time he would like every one to make a note that he did not hire any sound equipment or any other form of equipment or neither was he involved in the organisation of any events on dates of the 6th 7th or the 8th June 2014.

He then gave his friend their keys and headed home, at no point did Mr Simon Cordell except any paper work of any person nor did he give his name or personal details to any other body, for his personal details to be on any official piece of paper.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to ask for said paperwork Noise abatement order."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "Inspector Hamill: Asked "It's Simon isn't it?" to which he replied "yes he then further asked "Simon Cordell" to which he has indicated that it was but not verbally confirmed the answer."

Mr Cordell will state; "As stated above at no point did he speak to any police office to give his name and do not under stand how he could have done so in a none verbally manner as he did not shake his head or shake the police offices hand to indicate this to be true. Police states that Mr Simon Cordell replied yes than states but would not verbally confirm the answer"

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "To which Mr Simon Cordell refused to provide his details."

Mr Cordell will state; "A/Insp Hamill further verifies that he did not in fact speak to him self."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "It was explained that without the name of a person from the venue the EO's are unable to serve the paperwork, as we have been unable to progress this line of action."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "The police state they see him on the 7th June 2014 walking up to the front gates in pc Shinick statements time stamped 01:59 7th June 14 and no he was in attendance with Inspector Hamill at 02:00 hours on the 8th June 2014in true fact and that he had walked back to were his car was parked on the Great Cambridge Road Enfield, Mr Cordell believes that if he had walked into the building it would have been in there notes, so their for see him leave after not gaining entry to a friends place of residence. The police also understand that this party had been going on since the 6th June 2014.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he did not speak to any one as said in there statement and that he did not accept any noise abatement order section 80 as he was not an organiser neither an occupier nor did he accept any money from any event on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "I have made the request to Simon Cordell to turn the music down."

"I had left the grounds and waited in the petrol station for my friend to come out of progress way to me next to the petrol station and get his keys, then left and went home."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "During the course of the 8th of June 2014 we had approximately 40 calls complaining about the noise."

Mr Simon Cordell will state: "That he did only attended progress way on the 08th for about 30 mins max and left to go home.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "During the course of the shift police had contact with several groups that had been attending at the Rave all of which were extremely intoxicated and there behaviour had clearly been using drugs which they all confirm they had used but on police contact did not have any drugs on them."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; ""At no point did he travel with any of the said people in relation to the police statements, nor did he invite them to any place to rave or attended to supply any equipment or source of entertainment for them or any drinks or drugs."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; as officers were not permitted access into the venue it is unknown the extent of drugs and alcohol abuse which may or may not have taken place within."

Mr Simon Cordell would like it noted that A/Insp Hamill states; "You say that no officers were allowed in the building yet police officer A/PS Charles Miles 724YE says people allowed him to enter but you have not been told nothing about this, in your reports from the police officers."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; A call from CAD 2410 of the 8th June received at 05:35hrs stated that drug's were openly being sold."
"Mr Cordell will state: that he was not at the occupied building at this point of time, neither does he sell drugs or advise or in courage any other person to do so"

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "At 03:10hrs on the 8th June PS 92YE noticed a male from the roof of the adjoining building to the venue. The venue backs onto that of the police parade site which did mean as officers entre and left the premises they had a full and unobstructed view of the rear of the rave premises, officers have attended the venue, however the male had already come down of the roof. Staff where given advice as to ensuring that people do not get onto the roof again."

"I had no involvement in organising this said event on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 and do not feel I should be held responsible and was not attending to rave at a private house party."

Mr Simon Cordell would like it noted that; "As noted the police arrived at 03:10hrs to deal with the matter of a person on a near by roof of the occupied building located in progress way, however the male had already come down from the roof.

Police state; "Staff occupying another building was notified.

"At no point would Mr Cordell have been notified as he was not the organiser on the 8th June 2014."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states; "At 05:04hrs CAD 2290 8th June 2014 police were called to a male assaulted in the street. Officers and LAS have attended the location of wood Grange Avenue were the male had injuries of suspected broken wrist and a bloody mouth, he initially stated that he had been attacked from behind but on investigation it transpired that this male had been one of the people seen on the roof earlier and had fallen whilst getting down."

"If checked there is a time laps in the statements made by police PS 92YE it states that he attended at 03:10hrs and noted the boy had come down from a roof in Wood Grange Avenue the rear of Progress Way and then Police spoke to staff at progress way.

But CAD number 2290 8th June at 05:04 states the same boy is in wood Grange Avenue again and made a 999 call making a claim of assault 01:54 mins after and is believed to be the man fallen of the roof at 03:10 who was seen getting down safely and police state that they can see the roof top clearly from there police service centre.

It is also noted A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE "statement at approximately 06:30Hrs we received a call to nearby Woodgrange Gardens, to reports of a male assaulted. Following an initial investigation this individual matched the description of a male earlier observed on the warehouse roof. It appeared that he had fallen off of the roof and into some bushes and his injuries were consistent with a fall from height. He was heavily under the influence of alcohol and quite probably illegal drugs. He went to North Middlesex Hospital with the London Ambulance Service."

These times do not match up as in A/Insp Hamill 201566 statement he says he sent someone to the attack at 05:04 CAD 2290 and in A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE statement he said the call did not happen until 06:30Hrs.

Was it 5 or 6 hundred hours or at 1 hundred hours and if it was at 100 hours why did police leave him to go and speak to people at the gate of progress way, if his injuries were so server he had to go to the hospital at 5 Or 6 hundred hours?

Mr Simon Cordell would states; that he believes the police already have on there systems, the person's name they were in contact with leading up to this. The public Order Unit at Scotland Yard would hold the information and also the police in Essex would have information.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; It has taken him months to gather information to the dates in this ASBO application, and he feels that the police already hold the information that he is being accused off.

Statement off: Eric Baker

Police Officer 219382

Dated 19/08/2014

He is a police officer in London Borough of Enfield and has been tasked to contact residents of the Borough who had called police to inform them of an illegal rave that took place over Friday 7th June 2014 and Saturday 8th June 2014, in a warehouse in Progress Way Enfield

On Tuesday 19th August 2014 I contacted the caller of the CAD 10471/07June 2014 by telephone that was happy to give an impact statement regarding how illegal rave affected her and her husband over the above dates mentioned.

The caller wishes to remain anonymous. I will refer to her as complainant "A" The original notes taken from the below statement are present in my pocket book serial 370/14, page 1.

Complainant "a" said it was a warm evening and we had to keep the windows shut because of the noise. The next day we could not even go out into the garden because of the noise. It kept me and my husband up all night, and made us very anxious the next day. The illegal rave totally ruined our weakened" This concluded what complainant 'A' said regarding this matter.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour and he did not organize or hire any equipment to this private house party neither was he attending a rave on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014th.

Doglas Skinner:

Dated 09/09/2014
Addition to 15th /08/2014
Referring to 07th /June /2014

Douglas Skinner states; He had been asked to clarify how he knows that Simon Cordell is an organizer of raves.

Mr Simon Cordell will states; "that he does not no a Douglas Skinner, and do not see how he can clarify that he is the organizer of illegal raves because this is not true, and at no point was he setting up a rave on 6th 7th 8th June 2014 or on any date within the applicants application off an ASBO.

Douglas Skinner states; "I have known of Simon Cordell for over 20 years."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he has had no other dealing in relation to illegal raves with Mr Skinner.

Douglas Skinner states; "That he was tasked to speak to the organizer to see how long it would be carrying on for."

Mr Simon Cordell will states; that on the 6th June Inspector Hamill sent officers to the expected to be rave in the occupied building under section 144 Laspo, to see how long it would be carrying on for, to which police reported back that they spoke to organisers on the gate who were acting as security as well stating to be just volunteers police state; "who were quite forth coming with information." The police officer also state they see my younger brother and my self present, which at no point can be true for both Mr Simon Cordell and his brother Mr Tyrone Benjamin on the 6th 7th June 14 in fact only Mr Simon Cordell arrived early hours on the 8th but left due to police attendance.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point did he gain entry to the occupied building on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

On the 7th June Inspector Charles 724ye states that Inspector Hamill attended Progress way at 10:03pm to which stating in there statement presented within this ASBO application was in fact June 8th June 2014, while waiting for a female to get the organizer that Inspector Hamill and A/ps Charles had been talking to on the gate acting as security or volunteers as well, while waiting they noticed Mr Simon Cordell approaching progress way and asked him to walk back to the street the way he had just come from. Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he speak to any officers on the 6th June 2014, and on the 7th June 2014 and at no point of time on the 8th June 2014 did any female ask him to speak to police as a organizer or supplier of sound equipment.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that that he never attended a rave or caused any Anti social behaviour."

Douglas Skinner will state; I waked to the location referring to premises in progress way and see a white van.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point did he drive into the occupied land under a section 144 Laspo, otherwise referred to as progress way on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 and he does not understand how any body can state other wise, as this would not be true and incorrect.

Douglas Skinner states; In side this van was a male I no to be Cordell.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; If taken that Cordell is referred to him self Mr Simon Cordell he did not talk to any police on the 6th 7th June 2014 as stated in inspector Hamill statement made on the 06/08/2014 referring to the 8th June 2014."

Douglas Skinner states; As I got closer to the van he got out and walked over towards me.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that it was not him who got out of a van on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 and was not approach by pc Douglas Skinner Leading towards the premises in question on the 6th 7th June 2014 in progress way, but does remember police officers and councillors officers walking towards him out side the gate as he was approaching Progress way and then asked by police to walk the way leading back to were he had just come from back to the A10 great Cambridge road." "As Inspector Hamill states"

Mr Simon Cordell states; On the 7th It was not him self who shock Pc Douglas Skinners hand and said hello and talked to him about how he remembered him as a youngest over twenty years ago as he never spok to the police on the 6th 7th and 8th June as stated in Inspector Hamill statement.

-
- **In reference to 2 members of the public statements that are in relation to progress way 6th 7th 8th June 2014.**

WITNESS STATEMENT

Statement taken by PC Donald Mc mikan

Dated 14 August 2014

In regards to dates: 6th 7th 8th June 2014

This statement refers to an illegal rave which took place between 6th June and 8th June 2014 on the industrial Estate near Woodgrange Avenue. On Thursday 14th August 2014, I spoke with a resident who lives in Woodgrange Avenue, Enfield.

WITNESS STATEMENT

Statement made by: PC Donald Mcillen 759YE

Police officer

Dated:14 August 2014

Referring from phone caller taken.6th 7th 8th June 2014

Regards Unit 6 Progress way

Victim off statement is to remain anonymous

This statement refers to an illegal Rave which took place 6th June and 8th June 2014. On Thursday 14th August 2014 I spoke to a resident in Woodgrange Avenue N9 who wished not to be named and remain anonymous.

Mr Simon Cordell will State;

At no point did Mr Simon Cordell take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress and that he did not organize any events within this ASBO application and at no time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

How ever he is sorry for any problems that any person may have suffered as this is the last thing as an honourable person that he would like to here that is off any suffering of other tenants or citizens of the United Kingdom or any other part of the world.

At no point did Mr Simon Cordell commit or have any intention of causing any problems that any person may have suffered neither has he been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell would again like to state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 or take part in the organisation of any party on the dates in question.

Falcon Park 20th 06 14

In reference too Page's 77 to 94 in the first applicants bundle.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that on the 20.06.2014 he was not involved in the organization of and/ supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at 1 Falcon Park, Neasden Lane, NW10

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was at home; Address Burncroft Avenue Enfield and did not cause any Anti social behaviour. He will state that he did attended a friends home address, who had hired equipment off him self and that he had hired the equipment in good faith."

Mr Simon Cordell will also state; that he attended the premises of (1 Falcon Park), this was due to police involvement in what he understood to be a private house party, after he was contacted by his friend at the time of; 01:00am."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was travelling that day in his vehicle for 2 hours of the 5 hours 15 mins before arrival to (1 Falcon park and arrived at around 03:00, as Mr Simon Cordell was asked to collect his equipment by the hirer.

Mr Simon Cordell will then State; that he went home by 05:15 hours and was told by police to collect his equipment at a latter date, to which he did do.

At no point is Mr Simon Cordell being accused of acting in an anti social manner on the 20:06:14 within the ASBO application.

There are no Cad numbers in the applicant's application in regards to 1 Falcon park.
There are no 999 calls relating to alarm harm and distress.

Mr Simon Cordell has never been arrested for any incident, relating to l. Falcon park, as he had no involvement in the organization of any rave or private party on this date.

Carpet Right 19th 07 14

Duty officer

Statement made dated 15/08/2014

States, On Saturday 19th July 2014 he was on active duty as an officer for Enfield borough. At 2210hrs

Doglas Skinner made his first statement 29 days after the 7th June. and has made additions to his statements 3 months 4 days after. In total 4 month 5 days a total of 70 days after said incident, why would there be such a need.

Doglas Skinner states; 20 people pulling into an estate, the information thought was the 20 people were trying to set up a rave.

Mr Simon Cordell states; "At no point was he one of the 20 people talked about and that he did not take part in organising of any event on the 19th or 20th July 2014 nor did he supply any equipment.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did not attend the occupied premises to rave. In fact he pulled over because he sees a friend being detained out side carpet right and at this time he had been helping with food and washing cloths with a lot of homeless people in and around London."

The CAD number of the call that came in referred to in his statement to 20 people pulling into an estate, the caller states 20 males and females all white people and the address are listed in the CAD, with names and DVLA records of vehicles.

Doglas Skinner states: "The crowd was by a empty building called carpet right and had gained entry to the rear premises."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "If the building had not been occupied under section 144 LASPO and being lived in as a place of residence the 20 people seen and contained in the premises would have been arrested for trespassing or burglary and was not in fact arrested.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was arrested out side the old carpet right and had taking no part in any activity that happened in the premises of the old carpet right 198 Great Cambridge Road Enfield Town Enl Luj."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "At no point was he one of the people or vans referred to on the land of carpet right or was he attending a rave, neither was he acting in an Anti Social Manner in reference to pages 295 to 296 of the first applicants bundle."

Doglas Skinner states: "That he sent officers to the scene to stop any one else gaining entry to the premises." This was the 1st set of officers sent to the old carpet right 198 Great Cambridge Road Enfield Town Enl Luj, pc Doglas Skinner: Made his way to the scene.
"The 2nd set of officer's who attended the scene was Doglas Skinner Duty officer."

Doglas Skinner states: "There was a metal gate across the entry to the car park but this had a thick chain and a padlock around it so that it could not be opened.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "At no point had he been to this location before, any of the date in question and neither did he put any lock, chain or padlock on any gate and at no point did he instruct any other person to do so.

Doglas Skinner states; "That he walked around to the rear of the premises where there were several vehicles and about 15 persons.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "At no point was he one of the 15 people or vehicles being mentioned in Doglas Skinner statement"

Doglas Skinner states; "That he saw a large black box which had sound speakers and sound system inside them.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "At no point of time did he hire any sound equipment to any body on the 19th 8 2014 neither did he take part in any event organized on the 19th 8 2014"

Doglas Skinner states; "That he received a call from our control room stating they believed up to 100 people were going to arrive at south bury road train station to attend a rave at this location. As a result to this intelligence he believed that the premises and there was going to be used for a rave.

Mr Simon Cordell will states; "Please take note to pc Doglas Skinner statement paragraph two dated 15/8/2014 1st line down page 36 of the applicants first bundle,

Doglas Skinner states: I saw a male I knew to be Simon Cordell who came out of the building.

Now please take note to witness statement Doglas Skinner dated 15/8/2014 paragraph three, 1st line page 36

"Out side Carpet right I spoke to Cordell.

Mr Simon Cordell will states; "At no point did he go on the land or in the premises, as stated by Doglas skinner;" "the police had contained all occupiers and sound system and vehicles on the land and in the premises hours before his arrival as the time stamps clearly prove by the start and time of Mr Simon Cordell's detention, as well as having police officers being at the front gates stopping people gaining entry to the premises otherwise mentioned in statements as the old carpet right 198 Great Cambridge Road Enfield Town EN1 1 UJ, along the A10 in cads 9804 pages 287 to 290 time stamped 20:51 19th July 2014 and cad 10635 pages 291 to 301 time stamped 22:07. on page number 298 at 03:50:25 on the 20th July 2014 1 arrested by Inspector Skinner for Bop clearly 7 hours Later , after the building had been contained by police on the 19th July 2014 at 22:21 on page number 295.

Doglas Skinner states; He admitted that he was just organising a party for some friends and that was all.

Mr Simon Cordell will states; At no point did he organize any private party or open air party as he feels that he is being accused of doing and at no point would he have said that he did do so, as he had just stopped to help a friend, that he see getting detained by the police and at no point from his arrival was any person permitted by police to go on the land.

Doglas Skinner states; I explained to him I was holding him responsible, Him referring to Simon Cordell."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "At no point should he get held responsible for any offence that he has not committed. He was not involved in organising or hiring of any equipment on the 19th 8 2014.

Mr Simon Cordell will states; "that he approached carpet right when the police had it contained stopping access to any person(s) other than police officers gaining entry.

Mr Simon Cordell will states; " that he was not one of the 20 people being accused of looking for venues in paragraph one dated 15/8/2014 in witness statement made by Doglas Skinner as his name would have been noted in police books as every one else's on the land did on pages 295 to 296.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; " that Mr Simon Cordell was arrested and detained. That he continued to try and state his points that he had nothing to do with the event and also stated that it was unjustified that he had been detained and only him self. As stated in the statement provided by police officers stating that people were detained in the land and building.

Mr Simon Cordell will states; that as he approached carpet right after the problem had been contained by 2nd set of officers arrival provided by the information in the statements presented in this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will states; Police offices as well as his friend who Mr Cordell had stopped to help as he had seen him being detained out side carpet right, also see Mr Cordell walk down the foot to his aid. After he parked his car in the car park which belongs to a company called magnet three company's down from carpet right.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "He was on a pubic foot pavement as he approached the officer and his friend being detained and never had any sound system or equipment and at no point was he involved in the supply of equipment or organisation of any event 19th July 2014. The premises was contained by the police stopping entry in and out as stated in the statements at no point did he attempted or did he agree to take part in any event on the 19th June 2014.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; At no point did he go on the land or the premises attached to that land and that the police had said occupiers /potential organizer of the private party or accused rave in the said land including the sound system contained within.

Doglas Skinner states; "The main organizer was spoken to by police."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was not the main organizer on the 19th July 2014.

Doglas Skinner states; "It is said that Mr Simon Cordell admitted to police that he was an organizing to the party and said he was expecting several hundred people."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that this is not correct as stated the keys were found on the premises and he never was on the premises, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was arrested outside on the pavement as shown in Inspector Douglas Skinner statement and that he could not have left the premises as said by Inspector Douglas Skinner the police had secured the premises 7 hours before he had arrived."

Doglas Skinner states; "As a result the people inside the venue all left."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he never went in the premises or venue at any time and that he mealy stopped out of care off a fellow companion,

Mr Simon Cordell will state; That it was wrong for him self to be detained by members of the metropolitan police force, wrongfully without charge or interview.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he feel this shows the way he has been treated over the years and discriminated by police. He states that the facts are the police had secured the premises, they had a sound system contained in the premises, and occupiers on the land, one of these people was arrested then de arrested (Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has found this out since he has contacted the director at company house of every decibel matters, who has provided a statement as he was one of the people detained inside the premises, by the police to then latter be released.)

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he was outside and was arrested for no reason."

Alma Road 24th 07 14

Statement pc Edgoose

Dated: 31st August 2014

Referring to: Thursday 24th July 2014

Officer Pc Edgoose States; "On Thursday 24th July 2014 I was on duty in plain clothes as operator of an unmarked police vehicle in company with APS 212YE Martin, PC Robertson, and PC 229YE O'NEILL. At around 16:25 hours on Alma Road EN3, we had cause to stop a silver Ford Focus VRM MA57LDY due to the manner of its driving. The driver was a male I know to be Simon Cordell dob 21/01/1981.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he has no disputes with reference to statement made by pc Edgoose above, apart from the manner to which Mr Simon Cordell is being accused of driving.

Officer Pc Edgoose States; "I know him as I have dealt with on a number of previous occasions. He was initially hostile about having been stopped, but once he had calmed down he engaged in conversation with us.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point was he acting in an Anti Social Manner Officer Pc Edgoose States: he stated that he is staying out of trouble."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he has not caused any offence since he was much younger; and that he just gets pulled over and accused and harassed by members of the metropolitan police a lot.

Officer Pc Edgoose States; He stated that he has four brand new speakers at home which are suitable for use at raves, but he does not use them and has offered to lend them to any "youngsters" to use.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he had been on curfew for one year for a case he proved his innocents in and had been working hard in his Local community trying to make a positive effect towards his self and other that he could help, so he had been spending his time building his company and would not link him self to illegal raves,

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did say he had been getting his equipment ready and proposals for pickets lock including barley lands ready and had been in contact with both venues. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had also been working at his local community hall as well as Muswell Hill festival ponders end festival lock to lock festival and Enfield town festival and would have been talking about such on goings and that he had been working with the youngsters from Kemp Hall Community Hall.

Officer Pc Edgoose States; "He went on to say that they are not interested though, as these days they just want to steal everything."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that the people he meet appreciated the work he was doing for them at the time."

Officer Pc Edgoose States; "He said he gets inundated with requests to run raves all the time, but he doesn't get involved now. He claims to have 20,000 followers on one social media site, and 70,000 on another. He said he could organize a rave and get 20,000 people at it with no problems whatsoever.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that the word Rave has been used and he does not see how this relates to the conversation on the day or his activities as he was talking about the hard work he had been committing him self to, constrictive legal work and for the term Rave to be used with out the key elements it is an injustice."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that did not cause any Anti social behaviour on this date in question.

Officer Pc Edgoose States; He gets requests from anarchist type groups to run raves for them. He went on to say that he had been asked by Occupy London, Black Block and other anarchist type groups to run a rave at Notting Hill Carnival for them so that they could cause carnage and mayhem, but he had refused.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he disputes" that he would not say this as he knows that he is not black neither is he white. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is mixed race of British Nationality and that he has neither heard of a group called Black Block, neither would he promote verbally of such a group the same as he would not verbally promote such anarchist type groups such as the kkk because he has been created by both.

Officer Pc Edgoose States; "Whilst on public order duty at Notting Hill Carnival I saw Mr. Cordell walking through the area I was deployed around Tavi Stock Road. He was pushing a wheelie bin, and he was approached by members of a group of around 10 - 20 people who had been waiting at a junction near our location. This group had been playing drum and bass music and had told officers they were heading to an event but were awaiting the location. It was somewhere between 2200 2300 hours when I had seen the group, and Mr. Cordell.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that at no point did he cause any Anti Social Behaviour or Alarm harm or distress on the date in question.

Mill Marsh Lane 27th 07 14

On the 27th July 2014

Ref: yerto0376227 pc Chandler:

Information had been received that a rave would be taken place."

Mr Simon Cordell believes if sourced by way of a information request this could prove his innocents in the allegations presented in this police statements and believes that the public order unit at Scotland Yard does in fact hold the information to all dates in question contained within this ASBO application, which would prove Mr Simon Cordell was not the organizer,"

Pc Chandler states; "Police drove down and found the rave."

Mr Simon Cordell would like to see proof that this was a rave that he organised as he states for fact that he never organised any event and was not in breach of any licensing act at the occupied place of residence, nor did he make any profit as the licensing act 2003 clearly states for it to be an illegal rave as does section 63 state that trespass must be present, neither to his knowledge has there been anyone charged with holding a rave on this date in question."

Pc Chandler states; "of which people at said rave had the keys for."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was not the occupier of the land and he did not have any keys to it."

Pc Chandler states; "Police spoke to people inside."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "At no point did any police speak to Mr Simon Cordell as if he was not involved in any form of the organization of what is being accused off being an illegal rave, to which he stated he was not."

Pc Chandler states; There was a big stack of speakers which was being powered by a van belonging to Simon Cordell."

Mr Simon Cordell van is a ford transit 2002 this can not power any think above12v and a sound system is 240v each appliance, the size of Mr Simon Cordell generator is the size of a transit van and would have been noted down by a police office due to this Mr Simon Cordell exhibit a picture his generator off his mobile trailer as an (Exhibit.) Mr Simon Cordell did not hire any sound equipment, or have any involvement in the private birthday party, he will state that he just new some one, who was treating the premises as there home on the date in question and was living in the local squats in and around Enfield on the dates in the ASBO application, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was at the premises as a guest."

Pc Chandler states; "The rave accused of it being was a 20th birthday party for one of the occupiers."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that this was not the person Mr Simon Cordell was there to visit."

The police talked to the persons whose private birthday party it was. Mr Simon Cordell does not agree with being accused of organizing his birthday party or any form of Anti Social Behaviour on this date in question, Mr Simon Cordell will state it was not his birthday and he did not hire out any equipment, nor was he involved in the organization of any rave.

Pc Chandler states; "The rave was organized by Simon Cordell"

Mr Simon Cordell states; "that this said rave was not set up by him and in fact was a private birthday party as police offices state them self's and their for could not be an illegal rave.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he has never been charged for the organization of this said rave and believes that if this had been a correct statement that he would have been arrested.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that this date in question was not his birthday or a party he organised and that he was just merely invited due to knowing someone who was living at the premises. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is not homeless and that he does in fact live in his own council flat."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point did he in fact cause any actions that was likely to cause Alarm Harm or Distress."

Pc Chandler states; "that this was connected to another rave on Alma Road."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; Alma Road is a road just of Green Street, to which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he lives two roads away Green Street then Burncroft Avenue a four minute drive from the incident location dated 24th 7 2014, with mostly private housing developed on it, there is a few long term companies and he does not know of any rave location ever along Alma road that a Rave has ever taken place, or off

any place people have lived as he keeps his private life to him self and only in exceptional circumstances offer official governing body(s) of relevance towards them issues, that may be of concern contained within their departments. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has checked face book and applied to Enfield local council to be told no rave has happened on Alma Road and asks please can you supply evidence supporting your claims stating connected to another rave along Alma Road.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he was not involved in any said rave and has never been to a party on Alma Road.”

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he does in fact drive down Alma Road a fair amount due to his Nan Once living just off there before her recent departure and her living two roads away.”

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that that he does also travel down Alma Road to get between his flat and his mothers address. The only event on Alma Road involving the metropolitan police, that Mr Simon Cordell remembers was when he was pulled over on Thursday 24th 07 2014, in his car index MA57 LDY.”

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that at no point was he Anti Social towards the police that pulled him, or he would have been arrested for a section 5 or of a similar offence and he surely would not have walked away, with out even a ticket. He will states that he did in fact shake the police officers hands as he left after being pulled over on the 24th 07 2014.”

Thursday 24th July 2014, At around 16.25 hours: Alma Road:

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he was driving index MA57LDY as he stated down Alma Road and this is a road that he travels down regally.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he uses this road to travel between his mother’s house and his own flat, as it is one of the only routes of access between both flat and house, and it is also the fastest route to take. Mr Simon Cordell will state that this Nan also lived just off Alma Road before her resent death.

On travelling from his mothers house on Thursday 24th July 2014 from seeing his Nan and mother due to his Nan’s illness he was going home to his flat and used Alma Road as a route to travel as he always does do so.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he noticed an unmarked police car, as it was indicating to take a right turn the opposite way from which he was travelling.

The reason he new this to be an unmarked police car was because he new the police Officer’s who was driving from seeing him on active duty within the local area.

As he drove past it changed its indication to the way he had been heading, which was a left direction.

The unmarked police car continued to follow him in turn putting on the blue lights in there vehicle, he pulled over to the left had side of the road opposite the BMW repair centre along Alma Road, on the left hand side of the pavement leading to the back entrance of Durant’s park.

A male office got out of the passenger side and approached Mr Simon Cordell drivers door, he un done his car window to a jar asking why he had been pulled over to which the police office replied he was not sure and said his college had instructed him to do so. He then went back to his police car and then reproached his car window with his college the driver of the undercover police car.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he was asked again why he had been pulled over to the reply of the driver of the police car pulling out his police truncheon forcing him to get out of his car or if he declined his window will be smashed.

Mr Simon Cordell will states; “that he got out of his car as he did not have any think to hide, neither had he committed any traffic or criminal offence, nor was he wanted.

The reason given to Mr Simon Cordell for being stopped was that such of an accusation stating that he had been driving to close to the car in front of him. This car did not stop nor was it pulled over by police. Mr Simon Cordell will then state that he was then accused of having drugs; he was searched and so was his vehicle and nothing was found.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he was asked by police what he had been up to and that he told them that he was setting up his catalogue that he and his friend had been building. That is why Mr Simon Cordell’s website was well underway to being completed, and he was trying to establish positive effects within his business in today’s society, within the business industry. This was a Thursday at 16:25.

Once the police had checked every think that they had needed to, everybody parted and shock each others hands and went on then, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he made his way home.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he can not understand why the police officers have said that he was driving in this manner as this would have been classed as dangers driving, and he would have been punished accordingly.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that there is no way that some one can drive 1inch” from the car in front, off each others cars bumpers; this would have been clearly in possible. If the male’s car in front had been stopped or went to the police stating that, Mr Simon Cordell had been doing this action, would have be taken against Mr Simon Cordell for YR then surely the police would have taken the persons details in there 101 Book of reports.

Mill Marsh Lane 10th 08 2014

It is said that on the 10.08.14 Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty ware house on Mill Marsh Lane and that Mr Simon Cordell further actively sought to encourage a large group of people to breach the peace.”

Mr Simon Cordell will; dispute that he encouraged a large group of people to break the front line of the police.”

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he did not organise any raves at mill marsh lane.”

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that Mill Marsh Lane does in fact contain warehouses that were being occupied under section 144.” (Evidence Google screen shoots
(Evidence of picture taken at the location)

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he did not have Nitrous oxide and was in fact carrying Co2 Canisters in accordance of the law.”

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he was not carry any sound equipment as he was travelling in his car.”

**Statement of Aaron King,
Police officer PS 91YE,
Statement made 15/08/14,
Referring to 9th August 2014
Mill Marsh Lane**

Officer Aaron King States; On Saturday 9th August 2014 I was on duty in full uniform posted as Acting Inspector. Shortly before 2230hrs I was informed via our GPC that Intel had been received via social media that there was going to be a large illegal rave somewhere in the region of Millmarsh Lane, Enfield, EN3. I was advised that this was being advertised on Face book by "Every Decibel Matters" who run unlicensed events.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he understands that information received was by police via social media, stating that there was going to be a large illegal rave, this was said to be some were in the region of Mill Marsh Lane, Enfield En3. This intelligence was past to police Intel Unit public order team, who had been in contact with the director of Every Decibel matters, prior to the information being pasted on to Aaron King, police had attended a location and had spoken to members who were intending to hold a private birthday party in open air in regards to the private birthday party, after taking advise it was then moved into private air and there was to be no breaches of the licensing act 2003 made.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he was not present at the first location, it then got stopped and moved to the location in private air mill marsh lane, to which he had no control over. This was to no arrangement of his.”

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he is not a director to Every Decibel Matters Company, neither was he working for the company name every Decibel Matters on this date.

Officer Aaron King States: At this time I was in company with P5 Ames 123YE and we made our way to the location. On route, I informed the control room of what was potentially occurring and accepted the offer; from some units to attend the location to assist me. On arrival in Millmarsh" Lane it was obvious that something was about to happen. There were a number, of groups of teenagers who were milling around clearly looking for something.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he was not one of the people in question; neither did he take part in any Anti social behaviour, organising or should he be accountable for other peoples actions.

Officer Aaron King States; After a brief search I noticed two metal gates next to the Greggs Factory which suddenly closed as we passed them. We stopped and I got out and approached the gates. Although dark, street lighting was on and I could see a male was using a chain and lock to secure the gates.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he was not the person locking the gate and he did not have a key as he was not an occupier of the land and that he was just a visitor. Mr Simon Cordell was sitting in his car Ma571dy parked next to the gates as the police arrived to the place of residence.

Officer Aaron King States; “He could hear music coming from further inside.”

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “There was no power source and the music was coming from a car related to the same land in another ware house owned by the same land lord as the land connected to this incident being rented out.”

Officer Aaron King States; Stood by the gate I immediately noticed a 1C3 male who I know to be Simon Cordell. I recognised Mr. Cordell as I have previously spoken to him recently at illegal raves where I have seen him setting up sound equipment and subsequently taking it away.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he has nether been arrested and charged for illegal raves.”

Officer Aaron King States: when confronted by Police...I explained to Mr. Cordell why we were there but he immediately denied it was a rave. Mr. Cordell stated first it was a private conference but then said it was a birthday party.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “that he was there to have a conference with a friend who lived at the premises at the same time another occupier of the land agreed to have a friend’s private birthday party at the location, to no involvement of his own and no profit was intended to be made.

Officer Aaron King States; “When asked about permission to be there he stated friends were squatting on the land and they had said he could stay.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; “This is true.”

Officer Aaron King States; "I explained to Mr. Cordell that I needed to come onto the site to see what was going on as for all I knew he could be damaging it or stealing from it, eventually after promising I would not remove anyone squatting and only myself and Pc Ames would come in, Mr. Cordell agreed that we could come in.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did get involved and speak to the police as they new him by name and had already chosen to involve him.

Officer Aaron King States; "Near to the gate was a silver Ford Focus index MA57LDY which I knew was Mr. Cordell's, -The boot was open and I noticed it contained three large thin industrial gas bottles. From experience I knew this was likely to contain nitrous oxide which is currently used on the rave scene as a legal high. As we passed the car Mr. Cordell quickly lowered the boot. I queried Mr. Cordell about the gas and pointed out that it was on the news earlier how Nitrous oxide was dangerous and Mr. Cordell stated that the Government would probably ban it soon like everything else."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he does remember talking to the police in regards too Nitrous Oxide but at no point did he cause any Anti Social Behaviour or was he breaking the Law."

Officer Aaron King States: "Mr Cordell was polite and showed us around the site which appeared to be a large concreted area that was completely open to the air."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that this location was being occupied under section 144 and also has self contained warehouse on it, evidence supplied in case bundles this is not open to air land."

Officer Aaron King States; "There was a large sound system to the rear which was amplified though I could not see any power source."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that this proves the fact that music could not have been made by any one spoken to by police."

Officer Aaron King States: "There were a number of people wearing yellow hi-vis jackets who Mr. Cordell stated were first aiders and there was a pallet of water near to the sound system as well as a couple of tents closer to the gates."

Mr Simon Cordell will states; "that a female who had just past her first aid test, who was an occupier of the land who was present, wearing a yellow hi vest jacket as it was cold and a load of yellow hi - vest jackets had been donated and he does remember everybody present talking about her doing so."

Officer Aaron King States: "I could see no obvious Toilet facilities nor shelter from what had been forecast as a stormy night. In Side the venue mostly just stood around in small groups were about 30 people, mostly teenagers."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that no police officer's walked into the part of the building being occupied while he was present and that he remembers running water and toilets."

Officer Aaron King States; "Mr Cordell stated he was an entrepreneur and was awaiting licenses from the council so that he would soon be legitimate."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was and still does intended to create a festival if this ASBO case stops darkening his name in turn stopping him from gaining a personal licence as well as permission to hold events."

Officer Aaron King States; "When I explained all the "ingredients" for a rave were present Mr. Cordell began to try and argue his point that it was not a rave and that it was a private party. I spoke at length with Mr. Cordell explaining the legal situation and how by definition this was a rave and that ultimately there were too few people present at the time to stop police and so on this occasion I could act and close the rave.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that at no point of time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, nor did he organize the private birthday party or hire any equipment or was he attending a rave on the 9th June 2014 in regards to the allegations presented within the ASBO application, as he states he did attended a friends private birthday dinner party as a guest and no money was to be charge, as he did not pay him self."

Officer Aaron King States; "Whilst on an industrial estate it was my opinion that such was the proximity to local housing and my knowledge of the volume music is played and the duration it is played for, often throughout the weekend that a rave would constitute serious disruption."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that Google Earth shows the closest house to Mill Marsh Lane the premises in question, to be one mile from the closest house." (Exhibit)

Officer Aaron King States: "Mr. Cordell was clearly not happy but did not want his equipment seized so agreed to start packing up the sound equipment."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that as noted by officers and officer Aaron King Mr Cordell was present in a ford focus and with three empty welding cylinders, so he could not have been carrying any sound equipment as this would not have fitted into his vehicle."

Officer Aaron King States; "Whilst talking with Mr. Cordell there were small groups of teenagers arriving at the site and entering via a break in the fence, (the gates still being shut at this time). I got Ps Ames to get units to us to prevent further people trespassing on the land and to discourage people from attending the location and exited the venue to a wait.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he should not be accountable for other people(s) actions that he took no part in a negative manner, he was not a trespasser and was a visitor invited to visit his friends who was living under section 144 lasbo. For people to further be trespassing some one would have had to be arrested for trespass as it was a commercial dwelling, who is this person."

Officer Aaron King States; "Mr. Simon Cordell's exited with the sound equipment. Whilst waiting I radioed for the on-call Superintendent so I could get the various Rave legislation approved so that I could seize the sound equipment and enforce a rave cordon on Millmarsh Lane to prevent people entering."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that Inspector Aaron has been told this third party and he knows that he has stated the true facts in his statement's of truth, and that Mr Simon Cordell was present in a car and would not have been able to carry such large sound equipment."

Officer Aaron King States; "Whilst stood by the venue a number of people began leaving, most were laughing but the odd one was blaming police for stopping the event. Suddenly there were a huge number of mainly teenagers walking towards me from the direction of Mollison Avenue. Apparently this group had all arrived together from the nearby railway station. Straight away some of this group headed straight towards us saying they were going to storm the place. I had been joined by a few team officers and we advised them that the rave had been closed down and they would not be allowed to enter. There was some verbal confrontation but the large group which was up to 100 strong moved off round the corner with some overheard saying they would break in round the corner."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point did he take part in any one else's Anti Social Behaviour and he did not cause Anti social Behaviour."

Officer Aaron King States; "As they began to move off Mr. Cordell stood by the break in the fence and shouted words to the effect of, "Come on, there is more of you". And he quickly went up to Mr Cordell and told him to stop or he would arrest him to prevent a breach of the peace. At this Mr Cordell went back and stayed away."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point of time would he say this and he would never in danger another person's life in such a manner. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would never encourage activities that would lead to incitement of a riot, and as there was more than 12 people present he know if this statement was true he would have been arrested under offences contrary to section's 5, 4A, 4, of the Criminal Justice Act 1967 and or section 91."

Officer Aaron King States; "The large group did indeed try to get into adjoining premises that they thought led to the rave venue but were stopped by officers and moved off back into Millmarsh lane, although one officer Pc Wale was injured during a struggle. T requested the attendance of as many units as possible including dogs and TSG as the group were becoming more hostile towards officers despite there being no music now and being informed of the closure. A short while later officers I had positioned at the junction radioed that there was now an even bigger crowd advancing on them. I arrived at the junction to see a very large number of people, now up to 200 walking with purpose towards officers stood in the road. Suddenly objects began to get thrown from the crowd towards police. I saw traffic cones; cone lights, bottles and stones begin to land near Officers so that they had to quickly move out of the way. I again heard phrases similar to "storm them". Fearing imminent violence I drew and extended my baton as did my colleagues. I could hear shouts of "get back" but the crowd continued to throw items, some of which were landing on cars that had been temporarily stopped due to the group. We had been joined by two dog units who took the lead in dispersing the crowd. At this point there were two arrests to my left and along with the dogs this seemed to make the crowd withdraw. I told my officers and the dogs not to follow the crowd as they were now by the train station with nowhere to go as the barriers were down. There was a tense stand off for some time but the group eventually got onto trains and left the area."

Officer Aaron King states; "I could hear shouts"

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was not the person shouting or causing any Anti Social Behaviour neither did he take part in the organisation of the private birthday party."

Officer Aaron King States; "I was informed by another unit that Mr Cordell had also left with his equipment."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that this proves police were told third party, but all ready new Mr Simon Cordell was in his car that was full because he was carrying cylinder bottles in accordance to the law of the carriage of dangers goods cdg."

Officer Aaron King States; "I tasked arriving TSG with local reassurance patrols but shortly after they started I was advised that most of the group were wandering around near to Ponders End. I tasked TSG with following this group and was informed by their Inspector that their unmarked unit had overheard talk that the rave was now going to be South West of the original location."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was not involved in the organisation of any illegal rave or when he was arrested was he given the right to an interview or to speak to a solicitor neither was he charged for any offence or given a public warning." I was aware that TSG subsequently saw Simon Cordell by the Crown lane Industrial Estate where he has held a rave before and had stopped the group from forcibly breaking into this location."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that this is two occupied building of 6 within a 2 mile radius, that were all being occupied in Enfield, within the same Local Borough that he has lived in since his Birth, and he does not think that it is right for police to say who he can and cant have as friends or as associates."

Statement of Aaron King

Dated 07/09/2014

Further to his statement dated 15/08/2014

Regarding Saturday 9th August 2014

Aaron King state's; "Further to his statement Dated 15/08/2014 regarding an illegal rave on Saturday 9th August 2014"

The version of events declared in the statement of Aaron King Dated 07/09/2014 and 15/08/ 14 are both in correct and misleading to each other as pointed out;

Aaron King states: I could see a male was using a chain to lock and secure the gates.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point was he this person, as there was no reason for me to have a key as he was just a visitor."

Aaron King state's; "I could see a male was using a chain and lock to secure the gates he then states, while stood at the gates i immediately noticed an ic3 male who I no to be Simon Cordell,

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that to which is true as he was sitting in the car index MA57LDY parked close to the gates, when approached from the street, as noted by Aaron king Near to the gate was a silver Ford Focus index MA57LDY, which he new was Mr Cordell's. This statement was made 15/08/2014 seven days after the occurrence of accused events referred to on the 9th August 2014 then another statement was made to amendments of this statement dated 07/09/2014 stating they no it was Mr Simon Cordell locking the gate a mix ic3 male who they no to be him self. which is a contradiction of events that have been noted on two different dates by the same police officer leading to events within his and there witness statements, that Mr Simon Cordell is being accused in that should not justified towards an Asbo application and should not have no effect on his way of life, by way off effecting his civil liberty's human rights or acting as a bad marker in his name of reference, to which he feels punished for and now in turn has effected on his life.

Aaron King state's; "I have been asked to clarify the role that Mr Simon Cordell had during the incident."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he does not see how any person can preserve his role off being an organizer, as he was only being helpful and polite and curites, in his friend's place of residence towards the police, while being a invited in as a visitor. It was his friend's birthday and he had been invited for dinner. At no point did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, nor did he organize or hire any equipment and he was not present to attend a rave on the 9th June 2014.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did attend a friend's birthday dinner party as a guest."

Aaron King states; "as a male quickly locked the gates upon apparently seeing my marked police vehicle. This male was Mr. Cordell "Mr Simon Cordell will state that he could not have locked the gates as he was only a guest and at no point in time had the keys to the lock on the gates.

Aaron King states; "It was initially Mr. Cordell who said he could not entre and it was him who was very much in charge of deciding if police were going to be let in."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was asked by police if he would let them in to which he explained he was not the occupier and never had any keys. At this point in time one of the occupiers went of to get the keys and let the police in."

Officer Aaron King States; "Finally after close to three hours later, the group dispersed and I was informed that social media was indicating the rave would now be Epping Forest."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did not go to Epping Forest on this date.

Officer Aaron King States; "The whole incident took a vast number of resources to police and there were two arrests for drugs possession and two for drunk and disorderly behaviour. One officer was injured with a deep cut to his elbow requiring first aid by the Police FME and emergency calls whilst answered were subject to delay.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he is sorry to here that any police officers had been hurt and understands the offenders faced criminal prosecution for the offences they had caused.

Statement of Jason Ames
Police office 206011
Statement made 15/08/2014
Referring to date 09 August 2014
Millmarsh Lane

Officer Jason Ames States; "on the 9th August 2014 he was driving a marked police car in the company of A/IN SP King at 2221 hours."

Officer Jason Ames States; "they were informed of CAD 9717 which relates to intelligence received that states there was likely to be an illegal open air rave."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he attending the occupied premises to which he had been to before to visit a friends, who were living and residing on the premises at Millmarsh lane in an occupied building and out back tents who are an occupation, which is a collective of people. Mr Simon Cordell understands that they had been treating the premises as their home since around 16/05/2014, on the 15/02/2015.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he remembers this day clearly as he had been invited to a friend's private birthday party who live on the private self contained land in question along Millmarsh Lane.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he requests to see all information in regards to CAD9717 as he believes this contains evidence of his innocents in the events in question.

Officer Jason Ames States; "The intelligence received started that there was likely to be an open Air rave.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "Mill Mars Lane is a 20,000 Square feet self contained land with 4 large commercial premises contained within. I have provided evidence supporting this and this location is in fact in (Private Air) as well as in (Open Air classed as a back garden) and was being lived in as accepted by police Under section 144 LASPO or Trespass would have taken place."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point did he cause Anti Social Behaviour on

this date and he did not organize or take part in an illegal open air rave, that was likely to take place, as stated by way of being accused in Officer Jason Ames's statements. The occupier's who was living on the land were treating the premises as their home and was in private Air. The occupiers were living in accordance to the law, living in tents and the occupied attached building on the land. The term open air rave was used by Jason Ames, onstead of in private air while as defined by section 63 CDA."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was not arrested for any criminal offence or neither did any person take civil action against him self as he did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour."

Officer Jason Ames States; "the key elements are present for a rave, be accused occupiers."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "It could not be possible to create an illegal rave especially with no power supply being present."

Officer Jason Ames States; "The intelligence received stated that there was likely to be an illegal open air rave."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point of time did he organize or take part in an illegal open air rave that was likely to take place, as stated by way of being accused in."

Officer Jason Ames States; "He attended Millmarsh Lane at 2232 hours."

Officer Jason Ames States; "He could see small pockets of young people walking east along Millmarsh Lane. "Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time was he one of the people in question or did he organize the accused rave of being. He was invited to a birthday party."

Aaron King Dated 15/08/2014 states; "it was a birthday party, which has stated by Mr Simon Cordell "He was invited to this private birthday party"

On the 9th august 2014 Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did not encourage or neither did he invite other people or take part in actions that may have led to a open air rave in the region of Millmarsh Lane."

Officer Jason Ames States; "We worked out these youths were making there way to an open air rave. Mr Simon Cordell will state that this was a private birthday party to which he was invited and never believed to be a illegal rave until police notified him that the key elements were believed to be in place and stopped the private birthday party to which he had been invited to, this was on private land contained by security gates to the premises."

Officer Jason Ames States; "This area appeared to be the ground on which a building used to stand. "There was an occupied building at the rear of the land. The land in question is a forecourt to the occupied building."

Officer Jason Ames States; "It was fenced off and the front gates were chained shut with a motorcycle chain and padlock."

Officer Jason Ames States; "He could here music coming from the venue."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that no sound could be played as there was no power, "The land was fenced off and the front, gates were chained shut with a motorcycle chain and padlock as in police statement made by Aaron King dated 15/08/2014 referring to the 9th August 2014 " I explained to Mr Cordell that he needed to come on the site to see what was going on for all he new he could be damaging it or stealing from it. Mr Simon Cordell state at this time the occupiers of the land was present and had been from the start of police arrival, Mr Simon Cordell was a guest as explained on the 9th August 2014. Aaron King states: Eventually after promising he would not remove anyone squatting/ occupying the land that were treating it as there home under a section 144 Laspo. Aaron King and PC Ames could come in if they also treated it as the occupiers of the land do, as there private home of residence, as noted in statements provided there was no power or generator present to the self contained private Land and premises. Any amplified music on the 9th June was coming from the next door premises in fact from a car."

Officer Jason Ames States; "I could see small numbers inside and a couple of tents."

Officer Jason Ames States; "We exited our vehicle and approached the gates in order to speak with the organizer."

Officer Jason Ames States; "Manning the gate was a mixed race man I know to be Simon Cordell."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he remembers this day very clearly and what happened. It was a Saturday and he had been looking forward to this day as he was visiting a friend of his, at were his friend was living, Mr Cordell latter found out it was one of his friend birthdays and they were having a get together of friends and family . As he attend the premises in question on the 9th august it was about 8pm. he intended to stay and had some birthday cake and dinner, until the point of police arrival when in fact he was sitting in a car Index MA57LDY 200 yards from the gates within the self contained land, he remembers this because, he had arrived because he had been invited and on arrival the gates were unlocked by the occupiers, so that his vehicle and him self could gain access as a visitor, by the occupiers of the land."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that as stated he had been invited to attend a friend's birthday party not a illegal rave by a man who lived at Millmarsh Lane."

Officer Jason Ames States; "I was aware of a lot of intelligence on our indices that suggests Cordell is known to be the organizer of most of the raves that have been happening in the Enfield area."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he has never been arrested and charged and feels that this is slander of definition of character, and for such here say to be admissible as court evidence or reference of character is criminal and unjustified, no weight should be taken. As for fact he is a valid member of his community."

Officer Jason Ames States; "We asked if we could come in to the venue and speak to him. Cordell refused initially stating that there was no rave."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he was just a visitor and had no right with out consent of the occupiers to unlock the gate, at no point did he have the key to the gate,
To which the occupiers use to unlock the gates to allow access for the police to come in."

Officer Jason Ames States; "He stated that it was a private "conference."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did say he had also gone to have a conference with his friends in regards to get the empty c02 gas cylinders he was carrying to be re filled as well as to attend to see his friends."

Officer Jason Ames States; "He stated that there have been a few people camping on the land as they had been no were to go. The people were in fact the occupiers of the land and also occupying the building on the premises, who were at the gate on police arrival."

Officer Jason Ames States; "He stated that they are having a few friends over for a private party."

Officer Jason Ames States; "After persuasion Cordell allowed A/Insp King to gain entry to survey the area."

Officer Jason Ames States; "Inside he could see around 20-30 people milling around, in small tents, a large set of speakers and sound system and a supply of bottled water."

AT no point did I take part or organise a birthday party or a illegal rave or bring any equipment leading to a large sound system on said premises as it would not fit in my car Index MA57LDY a ford focus as mentioned in police statement for me to be driving on the 9th June 2014.

Officer Jason Ames States; "Cordell was informed that the rave was going to be closed down and despite a slight resistance to this by him trying to quote legislation to us he agreed to pack up and leave, when asked to leave by police."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did get into index Ma57Ldy and go home to his fiat 109 Burncroft avenue Enfield to be he lives and reside every night."

Officer Jason Ames States; "Cordell was informed that the rave was going to be closed down and despite a slight resistance to this by him trying to quote legislation to us he agreed to pack up and leave."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "At no point would he go against police directions"

Officer Jason Ames States; "He was reluctant but co-operated at this stage."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point would he go against police directions"

Officer Jason Ames States; "The venue had more or less emptied but the organisers were still packing their equipment away."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point of time did he have any equipment, he had left to go home but got detained by way of a police road block at the top of Millmarsh Avenue soon to be realized with other members of the public.

Officer Jason Ames States; "Approximately 100 people arrived in Millmarsh Lane at the same time.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point of time did he take part in organising any event on the 9th June 2014 he did in fact travel alone to attend a friends birthday party not an illegal rave as he is being accused of and at the point mentioned did he meet any of the people in question out of the 100 people or advise any other person to attend.

Officer Jason Ames States; "This appeared odd to me that so many people turned up all at once.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that as stated above he was just attending a friend's birthday party not a illegal rave as suspected of it being.

Officer Jason Ames States; "The crowd appeared to be angry at the fact that police had interrupted their evening and were shouting and advancing at officers.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he did go to Millmarsh lane driving index MA57LDY in a silver ford focus on his own to attend a friends Birthday party. He has been to Millmarsh Lane before the date in question. His reason for this is he had been invited to do so at any time. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had been invited to a birthday party at no point was he attending a illegal rave, neither at any point did he take part in the organisation of this birthday party or supply any equipment and that he was present only as a civil citizen up holding the UK Law.

Officer Jason Ames States; "One of the group shouted lets just storm it."

Officer Jason Ames States; "Cordell appeared to have realized that this crowd was in attendance and half emerged from the venue and appeared to be encouraging the crowd to act up and try to false their way into the site.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point would he knowingly encourage such behaviour as to in danger others, as this is not who he is, so the believe that Mr Cordell appeared to take actions, such as stated that he would in fact in danger life's of others would not be true to it statement' of facts.

Officer Jason Ames States; "Officer Jason Ames States: there were also reports of missiles being thrown at officers.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that as stated above he travelled alone and was in attendance as a visitor of a friend's birthday party and no point of time on the 9th 8/2014 did he take part in the hiring off any equipment or organisation of an open air rave as stated, or did he have any influence or encourage any others to any events that occurred on the 9th June 2014.

Officer Jason Ames States; "A male and a female that was present did not back down and leave, they were arrested by officers."

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he does not know who the people are that officer Jason Ames refers to as the male and female, who got arrested neither did he have any involvement in the events leading to their arrest."

Officer Jason Ames States; "The events from the 9th June 2014 have a negative impact on Enfield Borough and a strain on police forces across London's 33 boroughs".

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that at no point did he cause any Anti social behaviour on the dates in question or did he organise an illegal rave."

Statement: Pc 577ye

Dated: 12th September 2014

109 Bumcroft Avenue

Referring to: Friday 12th September 2014

On Friday 12th September 2014 I attended the address of Simon Cordell in Bumcroft Avenue EN3 with A/PS 556YE PETRUCCI, PCSO NASSEER and PCSO TILLEY. I knocked on Simon Cordell's front door at 1230 hours and he opened the door and asked what we wanted; I asked him if he was Simon Cordell, to which he replied, Yeah." I stated to him that I was here to issue him with a summons to attend Highbury Corner Magistrates Court on 6th October 2014 at 1:30pm. MI. Cordell stated, "What is this for?" I informed him that it was for an ASBO; I showed him the summons and the folder and as I went to hand him the folder and the summons Cordell stated, "I am not accepting that, I'm not having that." Cordell then placed the folder on the floor, outside his door, in the hallway. I stated to him that he does not have to accept it and that I have already informed him of the date, time and where to go. Mr. Cordell then shut the door before I could hand him the summons, so I posted it through his letter box. Mr. Cordell was also told to inform his solicitor of this. Mr. Cordell was a light skinned, mixed race male, with short black hair and was of medium build. Mr Simon Cordell will state that on this date he caused not Anti Social Behaviour that might lead to Harm Alarm or Distress to any other person.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; "that he disputes the fact that he was served the Anti Social Folder Paper Bundle as it was not handed to him self at no point of time, a copy of the police Complaint Sent is below.)

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this down for Simon Cordell to a incident that happen 12/09/2014 around the Time off around 12:00pm Of concern to all of many factors such as British Standards relevant to good business practice. Human Rights, Laws protecting our community governed by the United Kingdom well as many other relevant factors. as of date prior explained in this chapter what happened leading up to events today at address. 109 Bumcroft Avenue EN3 7] Q on the 12/09/2014 Mr. Simon Cordell was at home making plans for positive future development in regards to his company and future proposals as well as relevant documents and data,

To the surprise of a knock on his front door, this was a surprise because he has no intercom and was expecting no visitors.

So with this all explained he was cautious to open the door as he approached the door with caution of un-expected visitors he looked into the keyhole on his front door, He could see it was the police through his keyhole. He asked them without opening the door what was wanted of him, they said they needed to talk to him. At this point Mr. Simon Cordell opened his door a little to see what the police wanted to talk to him about, once the door was opened a little they then said to him that they wanted to serve some documents on him at which point Mr. Simon Cordell replied he was not willing to accept anything and closed the door. Upon closing his door he told the police he was not being rude but he was not willing to accept receipt of any documents due to him having learning difficulties as noted on the police national police system and other governing services, which he then heard the lady police officer say through the closed door I was again looking through the keyhole watching what the police officers was doing I heard the " Lady police officer say what should we do to the man police officer said just put it on the floor in front of the door and he took some letters from the lady police officer and posted them into my letter box," the Man police officer posted 4 pages of papers in Mr. Simon Cordell letter box and the lady police officer put a large blue file on Mr. Simon Cordell front door step outside.

My son then called me and told me what had happened but due to a death in the family I was unable to attend his address until today the 13/09/2014 when I got to Mr. Simon Cordell address I saw the blue folder that the police had left at his front door which was in plain view of anyone. It had been opened and left open so anyone could have looked into it, I was shocked to see that inside the document there was full details of Mr. Simon Cordell and also other people names under the data protection act the police should have never left this folder outside Mr. Simon Cordell address which would give anyone access to it.

I am going to the police station to hand this back to them as it was never served on Mr. Simon Cordell and he will not accept it from the police. I am not sure if any papers are missing from the folder Cl. I said it 'was opened on the floor when got there.

I believe that the police when Mr. Simon Cordell did not accept the documents they should have took them back with them and arranged for signed delivery or tried to again serve them on Mr. Simon Cordell as the file is far to big to put into a letter box.

This is also a complaint due to the data protection issues that the police could have avoided by not leaving the folder on a door step that anyone had access to. The folder would have never fitted in a letter box and I do not feel that the police putting 4 bits of paper in a letter box is serving anyone the full paper work which should have been done and not just left it on the door step for anyone to see and read and take data out of it if they so wished, this is a breach of the data protection act.