

Since detainee there has been many issues of concern that are to be raised and them issues of concern do in fact, contained wrongful personal information on the RIO data base and St Ann's computer systems inclusive of any other medical data in regards to doctor and client personal data and that information being not correct of it facts, so for any medical provisions to be able to use that information in a true positive method, as for that information can be proved to be fabricated and therefore false intelligence or classed as Intel.

Such fabricated medical intelligence was prepared by a Dr Rosemary Mill a St4 doctor in response for Dr Julia Cranitch, who states she has personal knowledge of Mr S. Cordell, Since the 22nd of august which is understood to be the start of her Job title for St Ann's hospital, contained in a prepared doctors statement that was requested to be served in a paper format as legal required for a tribunal.

on the 25th 08 2016 a prepared copy of the doctors notes made from all nurses assessment notes and there own personal involvement with myself, should have been served towards my self so to be able to prepare a fair deface for tribunal this should have been achieved by mid-day and was not. When staff was asked it was said my acting solicitor will be able to show my self a copy even low a consent form had been completed and submitted into St Ann's hospital.

I Mr Simon Cordell feel that was I not served in accordance of the legalisation frame work that represents the mental health act 1983, neither assessed at the correct opportunity falsifying my illegal detainee.

I Mr S. Cordell was in fact shown a copy of the doctor's reports 20 minutes before the tribunal started by my acting solicitor due to a break down in communications and never had the opportunity to analyse any official documentation to in fact be able to stand a true legal defence.

As a matter of fact the tribunal did go in my favour and I feel a fair and equal decision was made by the boards official panel this decision was of the conclusion as quoted The section 2 Mental Health act 1983 was removed of my statue of liberty and I agreed to the doctors decision of staying in St Ann's hospital as a formal patient,

As the tribunal is held in St Ann's hospital there is less than a 5 minute walk from the assessment wing to were I have been detained while being assessed and on arriving back to the ward after the panel turned the decision in my favour I had the first opportunity to assess the doctors notes used in the tribunal in regards to myself that had been pre drafted and not severed to my self in accordance of the duration of the time limit that legal jurisdiction apposes and felt the need to correct wrongful lintel and state the true claims such tribunals should be based upon.

I have contained evidence that is overwhelming to the fact of the matters that I do quote within this official document of complaint.