12/01/2013 = this case was only added as a reference as to the limitation Act 1980. Which states a case must be applied 6 months prior from the date of the incident in question, to which it was not. Please read Mr Simon Cordell's last statement dated the 24/02.2015. He was in fact taken to The Royal London Hospital, after being attacked on this day. (EXHIBIT)

No members of the public mention Mr Simon Cordell as a person acting in an anti social manner on this date, as well as police officer statement's inclusive within the Applicants bundle.

Due to Mr Simon Cordell establishing his company he states he was meeting a lot of people in times of need, a lot of the people he was meeting are and was homeless, as he was looking at avenues to be able to help people.

There are no CAD's otherwise known as incident numbers in regards to this date contained within the applicants ASBO application.

- **In Reference to Pages 2 / 3 contained within the applicants bundle.**

07/04/2013 = In Steve Elsmore Statement dated 11/08/2014

07/04/2013 = Please read Mr Simon Cordell's last statement dated the 24/02.2015. He States He did not attended any premises on this date to rave, neither was he involved in the organization of any illegal rave, nor did he supplied equipment on said date.

Mr Simon Cordell will State that he was not rude to police, but he did feel like he could not even go out for the day with some of his friends, without getting stopped and searched by members of the police.

It is also noted that the caller was very clear that they saw a flat screen TV being put into Mr Cordell's van, which is confusing to why when the police searched the van they found no TV, but did in fact find two of his off road motor bikes, which is not included in Steve Elsmore statement. The police did checks on Mr Simon Cordell's Off Road Motor Bikes but this is also not stated, but should show up on the seizer notice, as Mr Simon Cordell did asked the police office to take careful note of the two of road motor bikes, as due to the high value of them.

Mr Cordell Will state that he did get a bit upset when the police said they were going to seize his van, as he did have insurance in place to be able to dirve the van in question, but there was an error on the MID database. Miss Cordell had been trying to help her son resolve the issue concerning his insurance policy not showing on the mid data base along side with members of their local police force and his insurance company KGM too, together they had tried to work out why Mr Simon Cordell was showing as uninsured. There was information noted as intelligence on the police National Computer stating this I had asked the police to check on there systems due to this, but they would not they just wanted to seize Mr Simon Cordell's van without checking, so he new he was being wrongfully accused at this point, as he had done nothing wrong and he did have insurance to be driving and had paid a lot of money for his insurance. He states he did not get upset in the manner that the police have said he did and that he does not mean to come across as rude to police. In this case he was just trying to explain the error on the system.

In addition, the prosecution offered no evidence in respect of the charges that were brought even though they were reliant on police witnesses. Mr Simon Cordell had been wrongfully arrested for not having insurance when he was insured to drive. He also did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour on this date in question.

There are no CAD's for this date, but yet they was meant to be, a CAD referring to the pacific details that should be relating to a person stating, that they believed a burglary was in progress and of the 999 caller stating that they had seen a person who was putting a flat screen TV into Mr Simon Cordell's van.