

and make sure everything was in order. Within the weeks that followed they had set up one event that was due to take place at club juice, but due to how the police was with the claimant they kept pulling him up outside of Club Juice strip searching him and would not leave him alone, the claimant got so distressed and embarrassed that he told the owner of Club Juice he could no longer carry on, and left the position. Since this time the claimant has had no knowledge of what Mr Moses Howe has been doing, Mr Moses Howe is the director with Sean O'Connor of every decibel matters, as shown within the documents within the claimant's bundle.

If the claimant had a great deal of contact with Mr Moses Howe I am sure the police would have a lot more than one date on the police's system that the claimant had been with Mr Moses Howe, the claimant as said above is pulled a great deal by the police and I believe that this would have been shown on the police's system. And not just one date in 2011.

CAD 9717 seems to have intelligence that was received by police on 09th August 2014, this CAD was never included in the applications bundle, I believe this intelligence would have been very helpful to the claimant and this is why it was never added to the application bundle. As we believe it would prove the police had no information on my son.

It seems throughout this case there has been a lot of CADs and intelligence reports that have not been included in the applications bundle; I believe the reason for this is that it would show that what has been stated within the application is very misleading. I believe also that is why we was never allowed to see them. I believe also that the police officers notebook's which we was also never allowed to see would also show how misleading the application was towards the claimant.

There is a lot more information I have gained due to research I have done, there is also a lot more points within the application that are misleading and incorrect, there is a list of breaches under the data protection of information that is totally incorrect which can be proven. At this time there is a case ongoing with the ICO in regards to breaches that the police have stated in their application and also incorrect information on the claimant's PNC record, all of this information and a lot more was being bought up each time we attended court at the Magistrate's Court and the Crown Court. There has been so many breaches of human rights throughout this case I cannot understand how it has been allowed to have happened, there is a total miscarriage of justice and many other issues regarding his legal representation within this case.

At this time the claimant does not leave his home he has not done since 2014 due to knowing the police are going to say he has done something that breaches the conditions and arrest him and put him in prison, Steve Elsmore has already done in updated statements, which in fact implies my son had breached his conditions in Nov 2014 by being on an industrial estate, which was totally incorrect.

The conditions the claimant is under breaches his human rights, it seems as though the barrister that was representing the police in this matter feels that it is acceptable to breach someone human rights knowingly.

You can see this on page 28 of the appeal transcript which the administrative court sent, they also only seem to be concerned in regards to the claimant going to a shop or