

When the hospital released him he returned with his girlfriend and the person that had driven him to the hospital to pick his car up, which had been left at the location, at this time the party had already ended it was around 07:00 hours.

Again this case is outside the six months time limit when the application was submitted to the court, so how has this case been proven by the Judge; any date outside the six months time limit should only be used as reference to show prior history.

On the 12<sup>th</sup> January 2013 the claimant did not cause any anti social behaviour to any person.

#### **07/04/2013 No Insurance and Section 5 of the Public Order Act:**

Within Steve Elsmore statement dated 11/08/2014 public order matter. Although this date is outside of the six months time limit from when the application was submitted to the court, Steve Elsmore still included this within his statement.

The claimant was arrested on this date due to an issue with his insurance not showing up on the MID database, the police stated in the intelligence report the claimant became abusive, hence why he was arrested for a public order matter and no insurance.

The claimant was charged and given a court date to attend court and on this date he attended court to prove his innocence.

The claimant had witnesses that would prove he did not become abusive; there was no need for him to become abusive he had done nothing wrong; the case for the public order offence was withdrawn by the CPS at court and the claimant was found not guilty.

The insurance matter was addressed and proven the claimant did in fact have insurance so his vehicle in fact should never have been seized at a cost to take it out of the police compound of about £190.00 by the claimant that has never been recovered so was a loss to the claimant for no good reason.

There was information on the police's systems due to the claimant being stopped a number of times due to the error on the MID database showing he did not have insurance, which the claimant had tried to get addressed and so did I as to the reason why it was not showing on the MID database when in fact he did have insurance no one could understand why he was showing as not insured.

Why then in fact did Steve Elsmore include this within the ASBO application and make it look as if the claimant had been found guilty of it by the court? I thought false information on an application was illegal. Why has this case and the intelligence report been allowed to be used within this case?

So how has this been allowed to stay within this case for the judges to read and the statements are read out in court when the fact it should never have been entered into this case.