

**From:** Trishna Kerai <Trishna@stuartmillersolicitors.co.uk>  
**Sent:** 02 July 2018 09:50  
**To:** 'Lorraine Cordell'  
**Subject:** (DPS:1:CR:326592:9V) Report from Angela Hague, Enfield Assessment Service  
**Attachments:** Report from Angela Hague, Enfield Assessment Service.pdf

Trishna Kerai | Caseworker | Magistrates Court Department

T: 0208 888 5225 M: 07790 993 860  
E: [trishna@stuartmillersolicitors.co.uk](mailto:trishna@stuartmillersolicitors.co.uk)  
W: [www.stuartmillersolicitors.co.uk](http://www.stuartmillersolicitors.co.uk)



The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee and access to this email by anyone else is unauthorised. Any views or opinions presented are those of the author only and do not necessarily represent those of Stuart Miller Solicitors. If you are not the intended recipient; any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken in reliance of this email or attachments, is prohibited and may be unlawful. To avoid incurring legal liabilities, you must not distribute or copy the information in this email without the express permission of the sender. When addressed to our clients, any opinions or advice contained in this email or attachments are subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the governing client engagement letter or contract and terms of business. If you have received this email in error please notify Stuart Miller Solicitors by telephone on 020 8888 5225, by fax on 020 8889 5871 or by email at [info@stuartmillersolicitors.co.uk](mailto:info@stuartmillersolicitors.co.uk) Stuart Miller Solicitors do not accept service of documents by email. The security of this email and any attachments cannot be guaranteed. It is your responsibility to take all necessary steps to ensure this email and any attachments or transmissions are free from viruses. Stuart Miller Solicitors do not accept any responsibility for damage incurred as a result of internet transmissions and viruses. Only the Directors are authorised to conclude binding agreements on behalf of Stuart Miller Solicitors by email. Stuart Miller Solicitors do not accept responsibility for unauthorised agreements reached with other employees or agents. Stuart Miller Solicitors may use your personal data for marketing purposes. If you do not want us to use your personal data and contact you by electronic means and / or by post, please opt-out by emailing us at [info@stuartmillersolicitors.co.uk](mailto:info@stuartmillersolicitors.co.uk) Stuart Miller Solicitors Limited is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (ID No. 533277). Stuart Miller Solicitors is a trading name for Stuart Miller Solicitors Limited. Incorporated in England & Wales (Company No. 07161343). Stuart Miller Solicitors is a VAT registered company (VAT No. 990 0197 14).

## Entries by Angela Hague – Manager, Enfield Assessment Service

Originator:HAGUE, Angela

15 Jun 2018, 14:25 [ Nursing ]

Telephone call from Simon, long conversation, asking about his appointment he has been offered for next week, who made the referral and why. Same discussed and remembers that the had already spoken with Iain Williams. Difficult to follow his conversation and to interrupt. Says he has been on a 10pm curfew for the past 9 years afraid to leave his house as he feels the police have set this up. Mistaken identity, reports he has read all give descriptions of different people, 4 in total, not him. All happened because of a party on Lincoln Road, he was not involved but happened because people were disturbed by the noise. Because of the curfew says he lost his relationship with his first love has or had a second girlfriend. Said he has been dialling 999 they get 15,000 calls per day, their time is going backwards on their records and do not have a RUN number.

Says he does not have a mental illness no previous contact with services has been good. Sectioned in the past human rights broken, people coming into his house, says he was giving them access. Has tape recordings and linkedin, facebook pages of all involved, has set up a web page. Discussed that they reason I had contacted him was to offer an appointment next Tuesday 11am, asking why we are coming, offered to see at Lucas House instead he declined this says prefers to be seen at home. Asked why I am not treating with dignity ad respect that he has told me all about my colleagues and their treatment of him and I have not apologised to him and investigating. Discussed that he has the right to compliant which he says he already has and knows how to make a complaint. reported that he was taping our conversation and was making a digital copy which he has made of most interactions with people. He agreed to a home visit next week. The home visit is with EIS Amal Pomphrey.

Originator:HAGUE, Angela

19 Jun 2018, 13:57 [ Nursing ]

Telephone call from Simon's mother Lorraine Cordell. Sounded tearful on the phone, reported that she has spoken with Simon and he told her that we went to see him today, myself and Amal. Said that he told her that the appointment went well and that we had told him there is nothing mentally wrong with him, that he does not need psychiatric services and is well. Says she is finding it distressing, very worried about her son as she believes, and everyone else can see that her son is ill. Said he is struggling to cope, not leaving the house, feels persecuted by his neighbours. Not managing the court case well. Believes that the has lost trust in services and feels he needs to build trust with professionals again.

Reported that when she was in court the information that was given was that her son had PTSD and was discharged form services, believes it was inaccurate and did not know where the information came from, thought perhaps it was Iain Williams as he had around the same time called to speak to Simon about his referral.

Discussed that we would need Simons consent to discuss his case. Reported that her mother suffered with schizophrenia and she has a lot of experience around people who have mental

illness not believing they have a mental health problem and don't require treatment. Says she is happy to encourage her son to engage with services as far as possible.

Originator:HAGUE, Angela

19 Jun 2018, 14:33 [ Nursing ]

Home visit today as arranged with Amal Pomphrey from EIS. Client previously under EIS from 2015 discharged in January this year, difficult to engage. History well known so not repeated.

Simon was friendly and welcoming into his home. Put his pet dog out side in the garden, visible through patio doors. Dog appeared in good health though Simon reported that his dog is stressed about his neighbours the police and mental health services to the point it has chewed some of the fur off his front paws.

Simon stood for sometime keen to talk about the evidence he has gathered against the police, and local authority, has taped and logged everything on a website. Showed his website says not live as yet, all he has to do is click a button and it will show how he has been unfairly treated by the local authority and police. Website and all written video and audio recordings linked. Showed a couple of examples CCTV inside his flat, conversation with ASBO team and written documents. Also showed us paper files that the has maintained in large ring binders, containing copies of e-mails and all correspondence. Informed us that he tapes all conversations he has with health, local authority and police staff. Has CCTV cameras placed internally and externally around his flat.

Spoke of how his issues began many years ago trouble with the police over holding illegal parties. Reported that he is currently not going out feels afraid. No restrictions placed on him regarding going out other than not allowed in Industrial areas or 24 hour venues such as MacDonalds or Tescos. Reported recently in court with regards to his neighbour, representing himself does not feel he needs a solicitor. Recommendation is that he has an assessment with a psychiatrist. However said he will not attend as the letter has not been properly dated and stamped and therefore believes he is not bound by it.

Mental state:

Simon was casually dressed, his hygiene appeared fair. He maintained good eye contact and rapport. His speech appeared slightly pressured difficult to interrupt but not irritable when interrupted.

Grandiose ideas around his intelligence, says he is a millionaire properties from wealthy relatives who have deceased, successful businesses, earning hundreds and thousands of pounds. Paranoid about his neighbours, believes they and others have spread information that he may have had herpes. Paranoid delusions believes his neighbours are deliberately following him from room to room banging on his ceiling. Believes they want to kill him. Though he did not express any thoughts of wanting to harm anyone. Believes he is being paid to look after vulnerable people in poor situations.

Appears to be a mood element to his condition pressured speech grandiose, tangential jumping from topic to topic. However reported that at times his mood can be depressed and upset by his neighbours. On one occasion he drank some liquid in an attempt to poison himself, found by mother and taken to A&E discharged. Denied having any current suicidal ideation or thought to harm himself.

There was no evidence of any hallucinations. Personality appears to be intact.

Simon appears to lack insight, asked if he believes he has a mental health condition denied this said he has never taken medication as he does not believe he has any mental health problem to require medication.

Impression:

37 year old male appears to have had a difficult childhood spoke about scars on his legs from beatings from his father. Wants to protect children, and vulnerable people believes it is his duty. 2015 diagnosed with psychotic illness and referred to EIS does not appear to have engaged with treatment offered. previously prescribed Olanzapine. Does not appear to require crisis team or mental health act assessment at this time. But would benefit from assertive follow up in the community. EIS state that has gone beyond EIS three year treatment period.

Plan therefore to refer to North Locality Team Locality Team. E-mail sent.

EIS agree to liaise and advise court regarding the request for a report.

Closed to EIS.