

CREATED IN THE
YEARS OF

2012

2013

2014

2015


2016

2017

2018



Emails / Evidence & More Emails = ?



Created & Authored

by:

Too Many!



**Simon Cordell's
Emails & More Email
= Everdence!
INDEX**

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
01	Insurance	25/04/2012	14:06	N/a	1
02	Too Smooth Movie Promotion	11/07/2012	20:26	40	2
03					3
04	Incident Diary Enfield Council	12/07/2012	N/a	41	4
05	Insurance Broad Sure	01/08/2012	14:14	61	5,6
06	Too Smooth Sounds Sound Cloud	09/08/2012	18:15	69	7
07	Too Smooth Sounds Sound Cloud	09/08/2012	13:24	69	8
08	Too Smooth Sounds Sound Cloud	10/08/2012	16:20	70	9
09	Too Smooth Sounds Sound Cloud	12/08/2012	12:57	72	10
10	Too Smooth Sounds Sound Cloud	22/08/2012	11:06	82	11
11	Too Smooth Website	22/08/2012	18:52	82	12
12	Sound System	22/10/2012	23:52	82	13,14,15,16
13	Building an Email Data Base	04/12/2012	19:30	186	17
14	Too Smooth Website	23/02/2013	11:10	251	18,19
15	Enterprise Enfield	25/03/2013	19:50	297	20
16	Insurance	08/04/2013	14:21	311	21
17	Insurance	15/04/2013	15:14	318	22,23
18	Enterprise Enfield	30/04/2013	23:06	333	24,25,26,27
19	Insurance	01/05/2013	12:18	334	28,29
20	Building A Festival	30/06/2013	13:16	394	30
21	Prince Charity Work	08/08/2013	23:16	432	31
22	Solicitors Miss Ward Bail Variation Gaze- bo Case	08/08/2013	12:29	N/a	32
23	Solicitors Miss Ward Bail Variation Gaze- bo Case	11/08/2013	16:42	435	33
24	Solicitors Miss Ward Bail Variation Gaze- bo Case	11/08/2013	16:43	435	34
25	Solicitors Miss Ward Bail Variation Gaze- bo Case	11/08/2013	16:43	435	35, 36

**Simon Cordell's
Emails & More Email
= Everdence!
INDEX**

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/08/2013	16:45	435	37,38,39,40,41
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/08/2013	16:16	435	42
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/08/2013	16:46	435	43
	Blank	11/08/2013	16:47	435	44,45,46,47
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/08/2013	16:17	435	48
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/08/2013	17:17	435	49,50,51
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/08/2013	16:55	435	52,53
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	14/08/2013	11:27	438	54
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	14/08/2013	11:33	438	55
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	14/08/2013	11:38	438	56
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	14/08/2013	10:12	438	57
	Festival Work	15/08/2013	0:58	439	58,59
	Festival Work	19/08/2013	9:02	443	60,61
	Festival Work	20/08/2013	16:04	444	62,63
	Festival Work	22/08/2013	23:00	446	64
	Festival Work	23/08/2013	11:19	447	65
	Festival Work	24/08/2013	23:10	449	66
	Festival Work	27/08/2013	12:01	451	67
	Festival Work Enfield Scouts	29/08/2013	18:38	453	68,69,70
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	04/09/2013	10:16	459	71
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	04/09/2013	11:30	459	72
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	04/09/2013	11:38	459	73
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	01/09/2013	11:30	459	74
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	01/09/2013	11:18	459	75
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	04/09/2013	21:08	459	76
	Festival Work Muswell Hill	06/09/2013	15:54	461	77,78,79

**Simon Cordell's
Emails & More Email
= Everdence!
INDEX**

Number	Information	Date	Time	Re- port ID	Page
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	10/09/2013	12:42	465	80
	Festival Work Lock to Lock	12/09/2013	14:24	467	81
	Festival Work Lock to Lock	17/09/2013	14:50	472	82,83
	Festival Work Lock to Lock	27/09/2013	17:25	483	84,85
	Festival Work Lock to Lock	01/10/2013	12:01	487	86,87
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	21/10/2013	18:10	507	88
	Festival Work Enfield Town	25/10/2013	09:17	511	89
	Insurance	28/10/2013	15:20	514	90,91
	Festival Work Enfield Town	29/10/2013	Error	515	Error
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	28/11/2013	17:30	545	92
	Gazebo case Remanded to Prison	11/12/2013	22:06	558	93,94,95
	Southwark Case	11/12/2013	22:06	558	96,97
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/12/2013	22:07	558	98
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/12/2013	22:06	558	99,100
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/12/2013	22:08	558	101
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/12/2013	22:11	558	102,103
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/12/2013	22:13	558	104
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/12/2013	22:14	558	105,106
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/12/2013	22:15	558	107
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/12/2013	22:18	558	108
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	11/12/2013	22:20	558	109,110,111
	Gazebo Case	11/12/2013	22:20	558	112,113
	Gazebo Case	11/12/2013		558	114,115,116,117
	Solicitors Miss Ward Isle Wight Case	12/12/2013	23:15	559	118
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	18/12/2013	16:56	565	119

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Solicitors Miss Ward Gazebo Case	19/12/2013	10:47	566	120
	Too Smooth Website	29/04/2014	14:11	697	121
	Too Smooth Website	05/05/2014	19:45	703	122
	Too Smooth Website Password Change	12/05/2014	12:38	710	123
	Too Smooth Website	20/06/2014	17:30	749	124
	Luvinia De Terville Studio Shots	06/08/2014	17:55	796	125
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work	06/08/2014	18:00	796	126,127,128,129
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work Fest	08/08/2014	17:14	798	130,131,132
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work Fest	09/08/2014	10:51	799	133,134
	Luvinia De Terville Community Hall	13/08/2014	16:21	803	135,136
	Luvinia De Terville Bliss Charity Work	14/08/2014	1:53	804	137,138,139
	Luvinia De Terville	19/08/2014	15:30	809	140
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work Fest	19/08/2014	15:32	809	141
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work Fest	20/08/2014	10:36	810	142
	Luvinia De Terville worried about Me	26/08/2014	15:15	816	143
	Luvinia De Terville worried about Me	28/08/2014	14:49	818	144
	Luvinia De Terville worried about Me	28/08/2014	14:52	818	145
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work Fest	01/09/2014	9:44	822	146
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work	01/09/2014	14:56	822	147,148,149,150,151
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work Sponsored Walk	01/09/2014	17:30	822	152
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work	04/09/2014	23:50	826	153,154
	Kemp Hall Community Hall	05/09/2014	0:11	826	155
	Sorting Proposals Fest	17/09/2014	9:12	838	156
	RE Simon Appeal	01/10/2014		852	157,158,159

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	RE Simon Appeal	01/10/2014		852	160,161,162
	RE Simon Appeal	01/10/2014		852	163,164,165
	Too Smooth Website	01/10/2014	15:28	852	166
	1sr Asbo Mother to me	01/10/2014	15:51	852	167
	RE Simon Appeal	01/10/2014		852	168,169,170,171
	RE Simon Appeal	01/10/2014		852	172,173,174
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work Sponsored Walk	07/10/2014	0:32	858	175,176
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work DBS Check	08/10/2014	9:48	859	177,178,179
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work Sponsored Walk	08/10/2014	9:50	859	180,181,182
	Kemp Hall Community Hall Fixing Internet	08/10/2014	12:34	859	183,184
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work Sponsored Walk	11/10/2014	11.00	862	185,186,187
	Kemp Hall Training Programs	14/10/2014	9:21	865	188,189
	Luvinia De Terville Community Work Sponsored Walk	14/10/2014	22:23	865	190,191,192,193, 194,195
	Simon Cordell Solicitor	19/10/2014	17:45	870	196
	Evidence of Simon providing equipment for festivals	21/10/2014	16:51	872	197
	Simon Cordell proof of evidence	21/10/2014	16:38	872	198
	Re FW Simon Cordell Asbo email 1	21/10/2014	18:29	872	199,200,201,202
	Re FW Simon Cordell Asbo email one	21/10/2014	18:53	872	203,204,205,206, 207
	Too Smooth Hire Agreement	23/10/2014	13:44	874	208
	Kemp Hall Community Hall	25/10/2014	12:13	876	209
	Fwd. FW Simon Cordell - Attendance Note	10/11/2014	18:37	892	210,211
	Simon-Council-Tax-Letter	30/11/2014	N/a	912	212,213
	RE Simon Cordell Rent account	30/11/2014	14:55	912	214
	RE Simon Cordell ref Council Tax	30/11/2014	15:02	912	215

**Simon Cordell's
Emails & More Email
= Everdence!
INDEX**

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Simon-Council-Rent-Letter Allan Katongole	30/11/2014	N/a	912	216
	RE Simon Cordell Rent account	01/12/2014	09:43	913	217
	Council Tax Account	04/12/2014	16:12	916	218
	Kemp Hall Community Hall	09/12/2014	22:01	921	219
	RE Council Tax Account	10/12/2014	19:52	922	220,221
	Too Smooth Website	30/12/2014	4:14	942	222
	RE Simon Asbo	31/12/2014	21:22	943	223,224,225, 226,227
	Too Smooth Website Password Change	04/01/2015	22:45	947	228
	Too Smooth Website	17/01/2015	9:27	960	229
	Too Smooth Website	17/01/2015	Error	960	Error
	Too Smooth Website	17/01/2015	9:32	960	230
	Council Tax Account	21/01/2015	11:14	964	231
	revs@enfield	21/01/2015	11:14	964	232
	Notes from meeting	28/01/2015	15:51	971	233
	Me showing Mother Laws	28/01/2015	20:02	972	234
	Read acknowledgement	30/01/2015	10:43		235
	Read acknowledgement	06/02/2015	12:57	980	236
	Read acknowledgement	06/02/2015	14:24	980	237
	Read acknowledgement	06/03/2015	14:40	980	238
	RE FW Re Simon Cordell	06/02/2015	15:42	980	239
	Read acknowledgement	06/02/2015	15:43	980	240
	RE FW Re Simon Cordell	06/02/2015	15:43	980	241,242
	RE FW Re Simon Cordell	06/02/2015	16:20	980	243,244
	Read acknowledgement	06/02/2015	16:47	980	245
	RE FW Re Simon Cordell	06/02/2015	17:12	980	246,247
	FW Simon Cordell	06/02/2015	17:25	980	248
		9			

**Simon Cordell's
Emails & More Email
= Everdence!
INDEX**

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Read acknowledgement	06/02/2015	17:39	980	249
	Read acknowledgement	06/02/2015	17:34	980	250
	Read acknowledgement	09/02/2015	11:27	983	251
	RE Housing Benefit-Council Tax Support Team	12/02/2015	12:08	986	252,253
	RE_ Simon Cordell	12/02/2015	18:39	986	254
	Read acknowledgement	13/02/2015	14:06	987	255
	Read acknowledgement	13/02/2015	15:12	987	256
	Read acknowledgement	13/02/2015	16:10	987	257
	Re Simon Cordell Meeting	13/02/2015	17:21	987	258,259
	Re Simon Cordell Meeting	13/02/2015-19/02/2015-	09:28	993	260,261
	RE Simon Cordell Meeting	19/02/2015	12:40	993	262,263
	RE Simon Cordell Meeting	19/02/2015	14:11	993	264,265
	Read acknowledgement	19/02/2015	16:31	993	266
	RE Simon Cordell Hire Agreement	20/02/2015	18:08	994	267,268
	RE Simon Cordell Hire Agreement	20/02/2015	19:00	994	269,270
	RE FW Simon Cordell Hire Agreement	21/02/2015	11:00	995	271,272,273
	RE FW Simon Cordell Hire Agreement	21/02/2015	12:04	995	274,275,276
	Simon Cordell Witness Statement	21/02/2015	15:39	995	277
	Read acknowledgement	21/02/2015	16:08	995	278
	RE Simon Cordell Moses Howe	23/02/2015	13:06	997	279
	Read acknowledgement	23/02/2015	13:17	997	280
	FW Clerks Notes for Simon Cordell	23/02-/2015	13:29	997	281
	Read acknowledgement	23/02/2015	13:32	997	282
	RE FW Clerks Notes for Simon Cordell	23/02/2015	13:34	997	283,284
	RE FW Clerks Notes for Simon Cordell	23/02/2015	13:46	997	285,286
	RE FW Clerks Notes for Simon Cordell	23/02/2015	13:58	997	287,288,289

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Read acknowledgement	23/02/2015	13:58	997	290
	RE FW Clerks Notes for Simon Cordell	23/02/2015	13:59	997	291,292,293
	RE FW Clerks Notes for Simon Cordell	23/02/2015	14:02	997	294,295,296
	RE FW Clerks Notes for Simon Cordell	23/02/2015	14:02	997	297,298,299
	Read acknowledgement	23/02/2015	14:03	997	300
	Read acknowledgement	23/02/2015	14:03	997	301
	RE FW Clerks Notes for Simon Cordell	23/02/2015	14:05	997	302,303,304
	Company ware house	23/02/2015	14:12	997	305,306
	Read acknowledgement	23/02/2015	14:18	997	307
	RE FW Clerks Notes for Simon Cordell	23/02/2015	14:21	997	308,309,310,
	RE FW Clerks Notes for Simon Cordell	23/02/2015	14:28	997	311,312,313. 314
	Read acknowledgement	23/02/2015	14:36	997	315
	RE Simon Cordell Moses Howe Letter	23/02/2015	14:44	997	316,317,318
	RE Simon Cordell Moses Howe Letter	23/02/2015	14:50	997	3219,320,321, 322
	Read acknowledgement	23/02/2015	15:24	997	323
	RE Simon Cordell invoice	23/02/2015	19:09	997	324
	Read acknowledgement	23/02/2015	19:27	997	325
	Simon Cordell Witness Statement	23/02/2015	19:39	997	326
	RE Simon Cordell Witness Statement	24/02/2015	14:49	998	327
	Read acknowledgement	24/02/2015	15:12	998	328
	RE Simon Cordell Witness Statement	24/02/2015	17:26	998	329
	RE Simon Cordell Statements	24/02/2015	21:06	998	330
	Read acknowledgement	25/02/2015	14:22	999	331
	RE Simon Cordell Statements	25/02/2015	14:26	999	332
	RE Simon Cordell Statements	25/02/2015	14:34	999	333
	Read acknowledgement	25/02/2015	14:37	999	334

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	RE Simon Cordell Statements	25/02/2015	14:38	999	335,336
	RE Simon Cordell Statements	25/02/2015	16:54	999	337,338
	Read acknowledgement	25/02/2015	17:08	999	339
	Company ware house	26/02/2015	0:10	1000	340,241,342, 343
	Kemp Hall Community Hall	26/02/2015	11:30	1000	344,345,346
	Re Simon Cordell ASBO	01/03/2015	13:43	1003	347
	RE Simon Cordell	01/03/2015	14:05	1003	348
	Error	Error	Error	Error	Error
	Read acknowledgement	02/03/2015	11:00	1004	349
	Company ware house	03/03/2015	9:41	1005	350
	Company ware house	04/03/2015	10:34	1006	351
	Colin Bullworthy Council Tax	04/03/2015	10:52	1006	352,353
	Jo O'Brien MR SIMON CORDELL	04/03/2015	16:20	1006	354,355
	Conference Notes			1007	N/a
	Jo O'Brien RE MR SIMON CORDELL	05/03/2015	10:10	1007	356,357,358
	Jo O'Brien RE MR SIMON CORDELL	06/03/2015	09:38	1008	359,360,3621, 362
	Jo O'Brien RE MR SIMON CORDELL	06/03/2015	11:25	1008	363,364,365, 366,367,368
	FW RE FOI	06/03/2015	13:42	1008	369,370,371, 372
	FW RE FOI	06/03/2015	15:36	1008	373,374,375, 376,377
	Re Simon Cordell Asbo	08/03/2015	16:07		378,379,380
	FW RE FOI	06/03/2015	17:16	1008	381,382,383,384
	RE FW RE FOI	06/03/2015	17:14	1008	385,386,387,388
	Read acknowledgement	06/03/2015	17:19	1008	389
	Read acknowledgement	09/03/2015	16:21	1011	390
	Re Simon Cordell Asbo Lorraine Cordell Statement	09/03/2015	16:47	1011	391

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Read acknowledgement	09/03/2015	16:51	1011	392
	Fwd. CC Police v Simon Cordell	09/03/2015	17:11	1011	393
	Floor Plans Ponders End Festival	09/03/2015	18:26	1011	394
	Company ware house	09/03/2015	20:36	1011	395
	RE Simon Cordell Late data for court	09/03/2015	21:19	1011	396,397
	RE Simon Cordell Late data for court	09/03/2015	22:02	1011	398,399
	RE Simon Cordell Late data for court	09/03/2015	22:14	1011	400,401
	RE Simon Cordell Late data for court	10/03/2015	02:51	1012	402,403
	Company ware house	11/03/2015	15:00	1013	404
	Too smooth check front	17/03/2015	22:55	1020	405,406
	Too smooth check front	10/03/2015	11:20	1021	407
	Too smooth check front	20/03/2015	11:45	1023	408
	FW RE FOI	20/03/2015	15:33	1023	409,410,411, 412,413 414,415
	Re Simon Cordell ASBO Update	20/03/2015	15:53	1023	416
	Read acknowledgement	20/03/2015	16:20	1023	417
	Too smooth check front	21/03/2015	23:57	1024	418
	Too smooth check front	22/03/2015	16:54	1025	419
	Re Simon Cordell ASBO Update	24/03/2015	16:11	1027	420,421
	Re Simon Cordell ASBO Update	24/03/2015	17:14	1027	422,423
	Too Smooth Booking Invoice	20/03/2015	10:36	1029	424
	Re Simon Cordell ASBO	31/03/2015	09:20	1034	425
		31/03/2015	09:32	1034	426
	Lorraine Cordell FW Issue I am having	17/04/2015	17:57	1051	427
	RE Simon Cordell ASBO	20/04/2015	18:27	1054	428,429,430,431, 432
	RE Simon Cordell ASBO	28/04/2015	12:28	1062	433,434,435,436, 437,438

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Lorraine Cordell RE Issue I am				
	having with neighbours	04/05/2015	13:22	1068	439
	Lorraine Cordell RE Can you please help	19/05/2015	16:37	1083	440
	FW Issue I am having with neighbours	28/05/2015	15:16	1092	441
	RE Issue I am having	31/05/2015	15:34	1095	442
	FW Issue I am having with neighbours	01/06/2015	14:38	1096	443,444
	Conference at Holborn Chambers 6 Gate St, London WC2A 3HP	04/06/2015	18:36	1099	445
	Conference at Holborn Chambers 9th June 2015 at 12pm	08/06/2015	19:05	1103	446
	RE Please can you help this cannot carry on!	10/06/2015	21:13	1105	447
	Too Smooth Sound Cloud	10/06/2015	21:13	1105	448
	RE Conference at Holborn Chambers 9th June 2015 at 12pm	16/06/2015	21:37	1111	449,450
	FW Issue I am having with neighbours	20/06/2015	19:12	1115	451,452
	RE Simon Cordell Appeal	24/06/2015	11:18	1119	453,454,455
	Regina v. Simon Cordell - application for an ASBO 3rd August 2015 at Highbury Corner Magistrates Court	03/02/08/2015	15:18	1158	456
	From Andy Barrister	03/08/2015	20:50	1159	457
	RE Simon Cordell	05/08/2015	19:29	1161	458,459
	RE Simon Cordell	05/08/2015	21:05	1161	460,461
	Fwd. Cordell Back sheet	03 and 04/08/15-11/08/2015	12:35	1167	462
	Lorraine Cordell FW Please can you help this cannot carry on	18/08/2015	11:19	1174	463,464
	RE Simon Cordell Appeal Date	21/09/2015	08:47	1208	465,466,467, 468,469,470
	Re Complaint	21/09/2015	13:59	1208	471
	Dawn Allen RE Complaint ¹⁴	21/09/2015	14:17	1208	472,473

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	RE Complaint	21/09/2015	15:35	1208	474,475
	Dawn Allen RE Complaint	21/09/2015	15:43	1208	476,477,478
	Lorraine Cordell RE Complaint	21/09/2015	16:14	1208	479,480,481
	Dawn Allen RE_ Complaint	21/09/2015	16:29	1208	482,483,484,485
	Lorraine Cordell RE Complaint	21/09/2015	17:03	1208	486,487,488,489,490
	RE Simon Appeal	23/09/2015	14:56	1210	491,492
	9th October 2015 at 2pm office appointment	08/10/2015	23:18	1225	493
	RE 9th October 2015 at 2pm office appointment	09/10/2015	09:58	1226	494,495
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	13/10/2015	17:34	1230	496
	Re Appeal Simon Cordell	13/10/2015	19:05	1230	497
	Re Appeal Simon Cordell	13/10/2015	21:34	1230	498,499
	Dear Louise Brown	16/10/2015	N/a	1233	500,501
	Louise Brown Jackie Gubby	16/10/2015	N/a	1233	502,503,504
	Lorraine Cordell Re Simon Cordell	16/10/2015	15:30	1233	505
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	21/10/2015	13:21	1238	506
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	25/10/2015	05:29	1242	507
	Re Simon Cordell Appeal	29/10/2015	23:15	1246	508,509,510,511, 512,513,514,515
	Re Simon Cordell Complaint	02/11/2015	17:38	1250	516,
	Louise Brown RE Simon Cordell	03/11/2015	11:16	1251	517,518
	Jackie Gubby RE Simon Cordell	03/11/2015	13:03	1251	519,520
	RE Simon Cordell Appeal Update	05/11/2015	21:24	1253	521,522,523,524,525, 526,527,528,529,530
	RE Simon Cordell Appeal Update-	05/11/2015	22:32	1253	531,532,533,534,53 5,536,537,538,539,5 40,541
	RE Simon Cordell Appeal Update	08/11/2015	10:50	1256	542,543,544,545,54 6,547,548,549,550,5 51,552

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Simon Cordell v. Metropolitan Police Commissioner - appeal against ASBO	08/11/2015	14:05	1256	553
	Simon Cordell v. Metropolitan Police Commissioner appeal against imposition of ASBO	08/11/2015	15:05	1256	554
	Simon Cordell v. Metropolitan Police Commissioner - appeal against ASBO in	08/11/2015	16:41	1256	555
	Simon Cordell v. Metropolitan Police Commissioner - appeal against ASBO	08/11/2015	18:58	1256	556
	RE Simon Cordell v. Metropolitan Police Commissioner - appeal against ASBO	09/11/2015	22:29	1257	557,558,559
	Next appointment	11/11/2015	13:35	1259	560
	Re Next appointment	16/11/2015	14:32	1264	561
	RE Next appointment	17/11/2015	17:06	1265	562,563
	Re Next appointment	19/11/2015	12:43	1267	564,565,566
	Progress Way conference notes	24/11/2015	16:46	1273	567
	Office meeting 7th December at 5pm	02/12/2015	12:51	1280	568
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo		23:54		569
	RE Simon	08/12/2015	22:20	1286	570,571
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo		18:25		572
	Enfield Council Sign up for an Enfield Connected account today	22/12/2015	09:13	1300	573,574
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	22/12/2015	12:00	1300	575
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asb	22/12/2015	14:57	1300	576
	Me letter of complaint about NH's	05/01/2016	13:47	1315	577,578
	Lorraine Cordell RE Simon Cordell Complaint	15/01/2016	16:49	1325	579,580
	Mother and Me Letter to Judge	18/01/2016	08:25	1328	581
	Data-Protection-Request	26/01/2016	N/a	1336	582,583
	Simon Cordell Authority Letter	26/01/2016	N/a	1336	584
	Re Enfield Council Subject Access Request Simon Cordell	27/01/2016	18:20	1337	585

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	08/02/2016	12:57	1349	586
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	08/02/2016	15:37	1349	587
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	08/02/2016	21:52	1349	588
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	09/02/2016	15:13	1350	589
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	09/02/2016	15:13	1350	590
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	13/02/2016	16:38	1354	591
	Lorraine Cordell FW Simon Cordell Com- plaint	15/02/2016	11:03	1356	592,593,594
	Lorraine Cordell RE_ Simon Cordell	15/02/2016	11:06	1356	595,596,597
	Licencing	15/02/2016	11:50	1356	598,599
	Licencing	15/02/2016	11:50	1356	600,601,602
	Personal Licence	15/02/2016	11:50	1356	603,604
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	15/02/2016	19:09	1356	605
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	15/02/2016	22:32	1356	606
	Me to Mother Case Defence Asbo	15/02/2016	22:45	1356	607
	Me to Mother Asbo Case	17/02/2016	01:53	1358	608
	Me to Solicitor 1st Asbo	17/02/2016	11:07	1358	609
	Working on first Asbo	18/02/2016	01:10	1359	610
	1st Asbo me to Mother	21/02/2016	19:59	1362	611
	1st Asbo me to Mother	21/02/2016	20:02	1362	612
	1st Asbo me to Mother	21/02/2016	21:03	1362	613
	1st Asbo me to Mother	21/02/2016	21:06	1362	614
	1st Asbo me to Mother	21/02/2016	21:11	1362	615
	1st Asbo Skeleton Response	22/02/2016	00:49	1363	616
	Website Too Smooth	28/02/2016	20:15	1369	617
	Me to Mother Case Defence Know	28/02/2016	20:17	1369	618
	Josephine Solicitor	29/02/2016	13:19	1370	619

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Finding a Solicitor Nicholas Foster	09/03/2016	10:45	1379	620
	RE_ Enfield Council Subject Access Request Simon Cordell	09/03/2016	19:29	1379	621,622,623
	Theresa Dodd Enfield Council Subject Access Request - Simon Cordell	21/03/2016	12:32	1391	624,625
	Theresa Dodd Enfield Council Subject Access Request - Simon Cordell	22/03/2016	13:24	1392	626,627,628
	1st Asbo Trial Court Transcripts	23/05/2016	03:24	1454	629,630,631, 632,633,634, 635,636,637,638
	Establishing Printer Company	06/06/2016	07:02	1468	639
	Establishing Printer Company	06/06/2016	07:04	1468	640
	Establishing Printer Company bits	18/06/2016	00:52	1480	641,642
	Establishing Printer Company	18/06/2016	00:52	1480	643
	New Computer Mac	18/06/2016	11:12	1480	644,645
	Establishing Printer Company	29/07/2016	14:40	1491	646,647,648
	Case Defence	29/08/2016	19:19	1491	649
	Case Defence	04/09/2016	11:49	1558:	650
	Too Smooth Website	04/09/2016	18:37	1558:	651
	Court Case Building	05/09/2016	01:06	1559:	652
	Too Smooth Website Building	05/09/2016	15:33	1559:	653
	Establishing Printer Company	05/09/2016	16:23	1559:	654
	Building Doctor report	05/09/2016	22:48	1559:	655
	Case Defence Josephine	08/09/2016	13:12	1562:	656
	Case Defence Josephine	08/09/2016	14:04	1562:	657
	Case Defence Josephine	08/09/2016	16:01	1562:	658,659
	Case Defence Josephine	09/09/2016	09:55	1563:	660,661,662, 663
	Building Printers Printer Head	09/09/2016	10:22	1563:	664

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Building Too Smooth Website	12/09/2016	04:07	1566:	665
	Building Website	12/09/2016	04:12	1566:	666
	Saving Money for Printers with Mother	12/09/2016	04:12	1566:	667
	Building Court Case 1ST Asbo 22	12/09/2016	13:36	1566:	668
	Building 1st Asbo Defence 3	14/09/2016	10:57	1568:	669,670,671,672
	Building Doctors Report 5 NH's Complaint 1	14/09/2016	15:10	1568:	673,674
	Case Defence Josephine	16/09/2016	13:41	1570:	675,676
	Case Defence Josephine	16/09/2016	20:09	1570:	677
	Case Defence Josephine	19/09/2016	10:57	1573:	678,679,680
	Case Defence Josephine	19/09/2016	12:09	1573:	681
	Case Defence Josephine	19/09/2016	12:46	1573:	682,683,684
	Case Defence Josephine Andy Lock Meeting	20/09/2016	01:29	1574:	685
	Case Defence Josephine	20/09/2016	01:36	1574:	686
	Case Defence Josephine	21/09/2016	00:59	1575:	687
	Mother & me making letter for judge trial Asbo 1st	25/09/2016	15:54	1579:	688,689
	To the Judge Dismiss Asbo 1st	26/09/2016	03:18	1580:	690
	Me making picture for Kate	17/10/2016	19:11	1601:	691
	Getting Printer's software	04/11/2016	12:15	1619:	692
	Building Printer Company	05/11/2016	12:58	1620:	693
	Getting printer software	07/11/2016	10:29	1622:	694
	Establishing a Printer Company	22/11/2016	02:50	1637:	695,696
	Establishing a Printer Company	22/11/2016	04:03	1637:	697
	Geoffrey Mann Confidential	22/11/2016	17:45	1637:	698,699
	Data-Protection-Enfield-Council	24/11/2016	N/a	1639:	700,701
	Formal Complaint dated	24/11/2016	N/a	1639:	702,703,704,705

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Letter to Council Dated	24/11/2016	N/a	1639:	706,707
	Reply-Letters-From-Council	24/11/2016	N/a	1639:	708,709,710,711
	Data-Protection-Enfield-Council	24/11/2016	N/a	1639:	712,713
	Formal Complaint dated	24/11/2016	N/a	1639:	714,715,716,717
	Formal Complaint dated	24/11/2016	N/a	1639:	718,719,720,721
	Simon Cordell Authority Letter	24/11/2016	N/a	1639:	722
	Data-Protection-Enfield-Council	24/11/2016	N/a	1639:	723,724
	Simon Cordell Authority Letter	24/11/2016	N/a	1639:	725
	Re Simon Cordell Formal Complaint	24/11/2016	16:22	1639:	726
	Re Simon Cordell Formal Complaint	24/11/2016	16:34	1639:	727
	Chief Executive Re Si	24/11/2016	16:40	1639:	728,729
	Establishing Printer Company	25/11/2016	15:30	1640:	730
	Establishing Printer Company	26/11/2016	04:36	1641:	731
	Establishing Printer Company	26/11/2016	05:40	1641:	732
	Establishing Printer Company	28/11/2016	09:08	1643:	733
	Building a Website	29/11/2016	09:17	1644:	734
	Complaints and information FW CRM COM Simon Cordell Formal Complaint	30/11/2016	15:14	1645:	735,736
	Daniel Ellis RE CRM COM 1885 Simon Cordell Formal Complaint	01/12/2016	11:21	1646:	737,738,739,740
	Lorraine Cordell RE CRM COM 1885 Simon Cordell Formal Complaint	05/12/2016	21:41	1650:	741
	Establishing Printer Company	07/12/2016	13:11	1652:	742
	RE CRM COM Simon Cordell Formal Complaint	08/12/2016	10:12	1653:	743
	Alev	12/12/2016	09:19	1657:	744
	CRM COM Simon Cordell Formal Complaint	13/12/2016	11:32	1658:	745,746
	CRM COM Simon Cordell Formal Complaint	14/12/2016	11:31	1659:	747,748,749

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Too Smooth Reg	15/12/2016	14:37	1660:	750
	Concetta Nobile SAR	21/12/2016	13:03	1666:	751,752
	COM	22/12/2016	N/a	1667:	753,754,755,756
	Si-DWP-Assessment-New	28/12/2016	N/a	1673:	757
	Letter to Mr Simon Cordell	29/12/2016	N/a	1674:	758,759,760
	2nd Letter to Simon Cordell	31/01/2017	N/a	1676:	761,762
	Lorraine Cordell RE SAR	13/01/2017	11:54	1689	763,764,765
	Lorraine Cordell FW SAR	13/01/2017	12:02	1689	766,767,768
	Dionne Grant RE SAR	13/01/2017	13:55	1689	769,770,771,772, 773
	Dionne Grant RE SAR	13/01/2017	18:48	1689	774,775,776,777, 778,779
	Dionne Grant RE SAR	16/01/2017	15:20	1692	780,781,782,783, 784,785,786,787
	Mother and I Report about Doctors	17/01/2017	15:51	1693	788
	Access letter for Burncroft Avenue	01/02/2017	N/a	1708	789,790
	Lemmy Nwabuisi Re Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations	02/02/2017	10:45	1709	791,792
	Sarah Fletcher Copy of letter sent to Mr Simon Cordell	02/02/2017	13:08	1709	793,794
	Lemmy Nwabuisi RE Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	07/02/2017	14:09	1714	795,796,797,798
	Lemmy Nwabuisi RE Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	08/02/2017	16:08	1715	799,800,801,802, 803,804
	Lemmy Nwabuisi RE Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	08/02/2017	16:44	1715	805,806,807,808, 809,810
	Recall Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	08/02/2017	16:45	1715	811
	Lemmy Nwabuisi FW Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	08/02/2017	16:56	1715	812,813,814,815, 816,817,818

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Lemmy Nwabuisi FW Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	10/02/2017	16:01	1717	819,820,821,822,823
	Lemmy Nwabuisi FW Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	16/02/2017	14:13	1723	824,825,826,827,828,829
	3rd Letter to Mr Cordell,	16/02/2017	N/a	1723	830
	Access letter for Burncroft Avenue	20/02/2017	N/a	1727	831
	Sarah Fletcher Copy of letter sent to Mr Cordell	21/02/2017	18:07	1728	832,833
	Lemmy Nwabuisi RE Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	22/02/2017	11:08	1729	834,835,836,837,838,839,840
	Sarah Fletcher RE Mr Cordell	24/02/2017	16:49	1731	841,842
	Dionne Grant RE Response to SAR Ref CRM SAR	27/02/2017	13:14	1734	843,844,845,846
	Establishing Printer Company Testing Papers	08/03/2017	20:03	1743	847
	Establishing Printer Company Software Student Discount	09/03/2017	19:40	1744	848
	NH's Complaint	15/03/2017	15:38	1750	849,850
	Lemmy Nwabuisi RE Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	16/03/2017	14:12	1751	851,852,853,854,855,856,857,858,859
	4th Letter to Mr Cordell,	16/03/2017	N/a	1751	860,861
	Lemmy Nwabuisi RE Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	21/03/2017	16:26	1756	862,863,864,865,866,867,868,869,870,871,872
	RE Anti-Social Behaviour Allegations against Mr Simon Cordell	21/03/2017	18:56	1756	873,874,875,876,877,878,879,880,881,882,883,884
	Establishing Printer Company Test Papers	25/03/2017	16:09	1760	885
	Establishing Printer Company Ty	25/03/2017	20:26	1760	886
	NH's Complaint	29/03/2017	11:27	1764	887,888,889
	NH's Complaint	30/03/2017	12:15	1765	890,891
	Establishing Printer Company	02/04/2017	12:26	1768	892
	Establishing Printer Company K 22	03/04/2017	17:06	20:26	893

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	NH's Complaint	29/03/2017	11:27	1764	894,895,896
	NH's Complaint	30/03/2017	12:15	1765	897,898
	Establishing Printer Company	02/04/2017	12:26	1768	899
	Establishing Printer Company K	03/04/2017	17:06	20:26	900
	Establishing Printer Company Stew	04/04/2017	21:56	1770	901
	Establishing Printer Company Test Papers	04/04/2017	13:51	1770	902,903
	Establishing Printer Company Test Papers	04/04/2017	15:03	1770	904,905
	Establishing Printer Company Test Papers	05/04/2017	10:18	1771	906,907
	Establishing Printer Company Test Papers	05/04/2017	10:25	1771	908,909
	Establishing Printer Company Ty	08/04/2017	10:06	1774	910
	Building a Defence Case	17/04/2017	19:11	1773	911
	Building a Defence Case	18/04/2017	11:09	1784	912
	Website for Too Smooth	25/04/2017	10:02	1791	913
	Building a Company Book Making Machine	26/04/2017	09:46	1792	914,915,916
	NH's	03/05/2017	15:00	?	917
	DWP-Letter-Mandatory-dated	12/05/2017	N/a	1808	918,919,920
	Printers Joel	13/05/2017	22:38	1809	921
	Building a Defence Case transcribe Software	17/05/2017	15:18	1813	922
	Working on case transcripts	18/05/2017	15:28	1814	923
	Council	20/05/2017	14:09	1816	924
	Sally 1st Asbo	24/05/2017	10:49	1820	925,926
	Mother know	24/05/2017	10:57	1820	927
	Mother 1ST Asbo	24/05/2017	13:54	1820	928

Number	Information	Date	Time	Report ID	Page
	Printers Joel	30/05/2017	18:33	1826	929
	Printers Book Maker	01/06/2017	12:58	1828	930,931
	Email to Mother Me	11/06/2017	11:31	1838	932
	Lorraine Cordell RE S Cordell Benefit Claim	16/06/2017	15:46	1843	933
	Printers Poster jet	16/06/2017	23:17	1843	934,935
	NOSP - Simon Cordell	19/06/2017	N/a	1846	936,937,938,939,940,941,942,943,944,945,946,947
	Lemmy Nwabuisi Re Notice of Seeking Possession	19/06/2017	16:46	1846	948,949
	Revenues and Benefits Your Benefit Claim	23/06/2017	10:38	1850	950,951
	Lorraine Cordell RE Mr Cordell Notice of Seeking Possession	26/06/2017	00:49	1853	952,953,954
	Lorraine Cordell RE Your Benefit Claim	29/06/2017	13:40	1856	955,956
	An Email in regards to the JR PDF	03/07/2017	16:34	1860	957
	An Email in regards to the 1st Asbo Crown court Transcripts	19/07/2017	15:04	1876	958,959
	Possession Order Notice / Lemmy	19/07/2017	N/a	?	960,961,962,963,964,965,966,967,968,969
	Traffic Copper Brixton	19/07/2017	15:04	1876	970,971,972,973,974
	Lemmy Nwabuisi	25/07/2017	N/a	1882	975,976,977,978,979
	Mega Account	25/07/2017	04:13	1882	980
	Mega Account	25/07/2017	04:15	1882	981,982,983,984
	Lemmy Nwabuisi RE Simon Cordell Notice of Seeking Possession	28/07/2017	13:53	1885	985,986

7/30/2017

Print

Subject: Certificate of insurance
From: Jamie Close (JamieClose@broadsuredirect.com)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Wednesday, 25 April 2012, 13:06

Good Afternoon,

Please find attached your Insurance documentation for your Motortrade Policy,
Your certificate of insurance is included in the documentation.

Many Thanks

Jamie Close

Sales Department

t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority. **about:blank**

1/2

Subject: [Too smooth sounds] New link

From: Mc Immnse (notification+kr4mbxywkrwx@facebookmail.com)

To: 251259198270797@groups.facebook.com;


Date: Wednesday, 11 July 2012, 20:26

[Mc Immnse posted in Too smooth sounds](#)



Mc Immnse

8:26pm Jul 11



**up4it/produced by immnse2012/all
rights reserved immnse music..... by
immnse
immnse | Alternative | london, UK**

[View Post on Facebook](#) ■ [Edit Email Settings](#) ■ [Reply to this email to add a comment.](#)

Nuisance Record Form- Community Safety Unit Case 12856 12.7.2012

Record of noise &/or nuisance from (address of premises) _____
 Name of occupier (if known) _____
 Description of type of noise nuisance _____ Number of pages _____
 Record kept by name(s) _____ Address _____



Please return this form to:-
Community Safety Unit
 B Block North, Civic Centre
 Silver Street
 Enfield EN1 3XA

I certify that the following entries are a true record of events (Signed) _____
It is important that all information should be as accurate as possible since it may form the basis of legal proceedings.

The first line has been completed as an example for you to follow when recording your own information

Day and date	Time noise starts	Time noise ends	Source of Disturbance/ type of Noise/incident	Effect of disturbance (e.g. sleep disturbance, headache, prevention of enjoyment of garden, damage etc.) Please write in full any abusive language used, including swear words. Please note Police ref no's if applicable	Location & or Name of person causing alleged noise/nuisance	Sign including date and time
Monday 19/09/2003	12:13am	12:27am	Flat above my flat (flat number 34) Radio noise and music	The noise stopped me from going to sleep and woke up my baby	Main bedroom of my flat	J Boggs 19/9/12003 01:35am

PLEASE NOTE -UNSIGNED/ NCOMPLETE LOGS WILL BE RETURNED FOR COMPLETION

Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT03-ID<13> OpenAttach Documents

From: Gaheris Edwards (gaheris@broadsuredirect.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 1 August 2012, 14:14

Kind Regards,

Gaheris Edwards



Administration Department

t: 01843 594477

f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority.

Attachments

- S Cordell Docs._GE_.pdf (44.74 KB)

- S Cordell._GE_.pdf (182.78 KB)
- image001.png (6.81 KB)

Subject: [Too smooth sounds] New link

From: Mc Immnse (notification+kr4mbxywkrwx@facebookmail.com)

To: 251259198270797@groups.facebook.com;


Date: Thursday, 9 August 2012, 18:15

Mc Immnse posted in Too smooth sounds



Mc Immnse

6:15pm Aug 9



immnse loving you 2 death ub40 mix
2012 youtube
www.youtube.com

LOVE STORYTRUE
STORYSREAL
S H I I I I I I I I I I

[View Post on Facebook](#) ■ [Edit Email Settings](#) ■ [Reply to this email to add a comment.](#)

Subject: [Too smooth sounds] New link

From: Mc Immnse (notification+kr4mbxywkrwx@facebookmail.com)

To: 251259198270797@groups.facebook.com;


Date: Thursday, 9 August 2012, 18:24

[Mc Immnse posted in Too smooth sounds](#)



Mc Immnse

6:18pm Aug 9



up4it immnse productions 2012rd

remix
www.youtube.com

rd version....the original has no vocals

[View Post on Facebook](#) ■ [Edit Email Settings](#) ■ [Reply to this email to add a comment.](#)

Subject: [Too smooth sounds] <https://www.facebook.com/events/371637732909463/> From:
Simon Everson (notification+kr4mbxywkrwx@facebookmail.com)
To: 251259198270797@groups.facebook.com;
Date: Friday, 10 August 2012, 16:20

[Simon Everson posted in Too smooth sounds](#)

[http:](#) Simon Everson

4:20pm Aug 10

<https://www.facebook.com/events/371637732909463/>



D.Y.S.T.O.P.I.A - Chapter II
Saturday, September 22 at 11:00pm at LONDON
ACID CITY

[View Post on Facebook](#) ■ [Edit Email Settings](#) ■ [Reply to this email to add a comment.](#)

Subject: [Too smooth sounds] New link

From: Mc Immnse (notification+kr4mbxywkrwx@facebookmail.com)

To: 251259198270797@groups.facebook.com;


Date: Sunday, 12 August 2012, 12:57

[Mc Immnse posted in Too smooth sounds](#)



Mc Immnse

12:57pm Aug 12



loving you2death immnse ub40 2012

promo
www.youtube.com

a dramatic love story/

[View Post on Facebook](#) ■ [Edit Email Settings](#) ■ [Reply to this email to add a comment.](#)

From: Anthony Clarke [anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com]

Sent: 17 August 2012 22:06

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Cc: Ward, Josie

Subject: Simon Cordell

Attachments: Simon Cordell - Robbery.pdf; Simon Cordell - Theft of Motor Vehicle.pdf

Dear Lorraine

Please see the case papers for the two cases for Simon as asked of by Josie.

Regards

Anthony

This Firm is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

Michael Carroll & Co
798 High Road
Tottenham
N17 0DH

Telephone: 020 8365 9900
Fax: 020 8365 0500
DX: 36206 Edmonton One

Principal Solicitor: Michael Carroll

Subject: [Too smooth sounds] Enjoy peoples... Bless

From: Ryan Nator Dnb (notification+kr4mbxywkrwx@facebookmail.com)


To: 251259198270797@groups.facebook.com;

Date: Wednesday, 22 August 2012, 11:06

[Ryan Nator Dnb posted in Too smooth sounds](#)

Enjoy peoples...

11:06am Aug 22



Dj Nator
soundcloud.com

DJ NATOR Drum & Bass DJ/Producer From
The UK Welcome To My Soundcloud Page.
Strongly infl...

[View Post on Facebook](#) ■ [Edit Email Settings](#) ■ [Reply to this email to add a comment.](#)

Subject: Post approved - "Re: Welcome To Too Smooth"

From: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 22 August 2012, 18:52

Hello Toosmooth,

You are receiving this notification because your post "Re: Welcome To Too Smooth" at "" was approved by a moderator or administrator.

If you want to view the post, click the following link:

<https://www.toosmooth.co.uk:7081/phpBB3/viewtopic.php?f=2&t=1&p=3&e=3>

If you want to view the topic, click the following link:

<https://www.toosmooth.co.uk:7081/phpBB3/viewtopic.php?f=2&t=1&e=0>

--
Thanks, The Management

Subject: Re: Bronx Speaker Invoice

From: Bronx Speaker & Electronics (bronxspeaker1@juno.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Monday, 22 October 2012, 23:52

Have you received your order yet?

Bronx Speaker & Electronics
3382A Boston Road
Bronx, NY 10469-2404
(718)-515-9213
www.bronxspeaker.com

----- Original Message -----

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>

To: Bronx Speaker & Electronics <bronxspeaker1@juno.com>

Subject: Re: Bronx Speaker Invoice

Date: Tue, 16 Oct 2012 10:48:33 +0100 (BST)

Hello

I am wondering if you can check the order as we still have not had it, you said we would get it within 3 to 5 working days and its been well over this. due to you sending the prder would it please be possable to check with USPs t see where the order is at this time and see how long before we will get it please.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Bronx Speaker & Electronics <bronxspeaker1@juno.com>**To:** re_wired@ymail.com**Sent:** Thursday, 4 October 2012, 18:39**Subject:** Re: Bronx Speaker Invoice

We seem to be having some issues with receiving your emails. Your package(s) were shipped via USPS. Your customs declaration number(s) are: EC 981 963 236 US, EC 981 963 664 US. USPS does not offer tracking numbers on international packages. You should receive your order within 3-5 business days.

Bronx Speaker & Electronics
3382A Boston Road
Bronx, NY 10469-2404
(718)-515-9213
www.bronxspeaker.com

----- Original Message -----

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
To: Bronx Speaker & Electronics <bronxspeaker1@juno.com>
Subject: Re: Bronx Speaker Invoice
Date: Wed, 3 Oct 2012 23:59:11 +0100 (BST)

Hello

I written you a few emails about the recone kits i ordered to find out if you had shipped them yet and what date and if they had a tracking code.

As i yet i have not had a repile to my emails.

Paypal ID was 0WC52158BU514505W payment was for \$712.98 USD

Delivery address

23 Byron Terrace
Edmonton
London, United Kingdom
N9 7DG
United Kingdom

Could you please give me an update as to my order and any tracking number or any dates i should get it so i can be in.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
To: Bronx Speaker & Electronics <bronxspeaker1@juno.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 2 October 2012, 22:41
Subject: Re: Bronx Speaker Invoice

Hello

I was wondering if you sent out the recone kits yet, can you pls let me know.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Bronx Speaker & Electronics <bronxspeaker1@juno.com>
To: re_wired@ymail.com
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2012, 17:54
Subject: Re: Bronx Speaker Invoice

Hello,

Attached is a copy of the invoice we created for you.

Thank You

Bronx Speaker & Electronics
3382A Boston Road
Bronx, NY 10469-2404
(718)-515-9213
www.bronxspeaker.com

----- Original Message -----

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
To: Bronx Speaker & Electronics <bronxspeaker1@juno.com>
Subject: Re: Bronx Speaker Invoice
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2012 23:35:45 +0100 (BST)

Hello

Thank you for the email the shipping address is

Mr Simon Cordell
23 Byron Terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG
United Kingdom

Could you also tell me ways in which i can pay please.

Many Thanks

Simon

From: Bronx Speaker & Electronics <bronxspeaker1@juno.com>
To: re_wired@ymail.com
Sent: Thursday, 27 September 2012, 21:52
Subject: Bronx Speaker Invoice

Hello,

Could you please provide us with your complete shipping information so that we can complete your invoice?

Thank you
Bronx Speaker & Electronics
3382A Boston Road
Bronx, NY 10469-2404
(718)-515-9213
www.bronxspeaker.com

Woman is 53 But Looks 25

Mom reveals 1 simple wrinkle trick that has angered doctors...
ConsumerLifestyleMag.com

Attachments

- 1.txt (3.72 KB)
- 2.txt (15.21 KB)

Subject: Re: List you needed

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Tuesday, 4 December 2012, 18:20

Here is the list

Attachments

- All_emails.txt (1.29 KB)

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 January 2013 13:16
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case
To Whom It May Concern:

I sent an email on the 21/12/2012 about a case below, I have not heard anything about this email. Please can you confirm you have got the email with a reply to this email so that I know this matter is being looked into.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 December 2012 16:47
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after a call I made to the court about a case that was heard about no insurance which the outcome was 6 points on my driving licence and a £700 fine.

My Name and address is below

Mr Simon Cordell
23 Byron terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

The problem I have with this is yes I was pulled up by the police and was asked to bring my insurance to a police station which I did do, but it seem the police had given me the wrong form so the police station would not accept me to give them my insurance documents and said it would need to go to court, I have never heard anything about this until I got a letter from DVLA saying I had to send my driving licence back to them, I called DVLA to be told that there was a court case which was heard and that I been given 6 points so needed to send my driving licence to them to get these points added, I explained to them that I did not know about any court date and that I did in fact have insurance to drive on the day the police pulled me over.

DVLA give me the court information to contract the court which I did and was told I need to put in that I did not know about the court date. I called Enfield court and was told that I need to write a letter to them which I have done but as of today's date 21/12/2012 I have not heard anything back from them.

Today 21/12/2012 I got a next letter from DVLA saying that my driving licence was going to be revoked on the 22/12/2012 again I made a call to them which they have put a next 28 days onto the date that they will revoke my driving licence, I have also made a next call to the court and it seems I was given the wrong information and it should have asked for the case to be reopened which I am doing now by send you this email.

Please could you reopen the case so that I can indeed show the court I do in fact have insurance to have been driving on the date I was pulled by the police, and as I said on the phone to the court person I speak to today I did not know about any dates for court before I got the letter from DVLA.

I will enclose in this email my insurance documents so you can see in fact I was insurance, and could you please send me a new date to go to court to prove this.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 January 2013 13:20
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case
To Whom It May Concern:

I sent an email on the 21/12/2012 about a case below, I have not heard anything about this email. Please can you confirm you have got the email with a reply to this email so that I know this matter is being looked into.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 December 2012 16:47
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after a call I made to the court about a case that was heard about no insurance which the outcome was 6 points on my driving licence and a £700 fine.

My Name and address is below

Mr Simon Cordell
23 Byron terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

The problem I have with this is yes I was pulled up by the police and was asked to bring my insurance to a police station which I did do, but it seem the police had given me the wrong form so the police station would not accept me to give them my insurance documents and said it would need to go to court, I have never heard anything about this until I got a letter from DVLA saying I had to send my driving licence back to them, I called DVLA to be told that there was a court case which was heard and that I been given 6 points so needed to send my driving licence to them to get these points added, I explained to them that I did not know about any court date and that I did in fact have insurance to drive on the day the police pulled me over.

DVLA give me the court information to contract the court which I did and was told I need to put in that I did not know about the court date. I called Enfield court and was told that I need to write a letter to them which I have done but as of today's date 21/12/2012 I have not heard anything back from them.

Today 21/12/2012 I got a next letter from DVLA saying that my driving licence was going to be revoked on the 22/12/2012 again I made a call to them which they have put a next 28 days onto the date that they will revoke my driving licence, I have also made a next call to the court and it seems I was given the wrong information and it should have asked for the case to be reopened which I am doing now by send you this email.

Please could you reopen the case so that I can indeed show the court I do in fact have insurance to have been driving on the date I was pulled by the police, and as I said on the phone to the court person I speak to today I did not know about any dates for court before I got the letter from DVLA.

I will enclose in this email my insurance documents so you can see in fact I was insurance, and could you please send me a new date to go to court to prove this.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

Subject: New Registration at Too Smooth Entertainment

From: Too Smooth Entertainment (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Saturday, 23 February 2013, 14:40

Too Smooth,

This email has been sent from <https://toosmooth.co.uk/forum/index.php>

You have received this email because this email address was used during registration for our forums. If you did not register at our forums, please disregard this email. You do not need to unsubscribe or take any further action.

Activation Instructions

Thank you for registering.
We require that you "validate" your registration to ensure that the email address you entered was correct. This protects against unwanted spam and malicious abuse.

To activate your account, simply click on the following link:

https://toosmooth.co.uk/forum/index.php?app=core&module=global&ion=register&do=auto_validate&uid=3&aid=f432a2412a9abc4b2c70529acfe1b9f2

(Some email client users may need to copy and paste the link into your web browser).

Not working?

If you could not validate your registration by clicking on the link, please visit this page:

<https://toosmooth.co.uk/forum/index.php?app=core&module=global&ion=register&do=05>

It will ask you for a user id number, and your validation key. These are shown below:

User ID: 3

Validation Key: f432a2412a9abc4b2c70529acfe1b9f2

Please copy and paste, or type those numbers into the corresponding fields in the form.

If you still cannot validate your account, it's possible that the account has been removed.

7/31/2017

Print

If this is the case, please contact an administrator to rectify the problem. Thank

you for registering and enjoy your stay!

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 27 February 2013 12:19
To: 'gl-thames.mcenq@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case
Hello

Again I am writing to get the case below reopened, I have emailed as you can see below and I still have heard nothing about this case. After a call that was made today I have been given a next email to send this email to in the hope that it can be dealt with.

Please read the below emails and can you please reply to say you have got this email.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 January 2013 13:20
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I sent an email on the 21/12/2012 about a case below, I have not heard anything about this email. Please can you confirm you have got the email with a reply to this email so that I know this matter is being looked into.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 December 2012 16:47
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after a call I made to the court about a case that was heard about no insurance which the outcome was 6 points on my driving licence and a £700 fine.

My Name and address is below

Mr Simon Cordell
23 Byron terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

The problem I have with this is yes I was pulled up by the police and was asked to bring my insurance to a police station which I did do, but it seem the police had given me the wrong form so the police station would not accept me to give them my insurance documents and said it would need to go to court, I have never heard anything about this until I got a letter from DVLA saying I had to send my driving licence back to them, I called DVLA to be told that there was a court case which was heard and that I been given 6 points so needed to send my driving licence to them to get these points added, I explained to them that I did not know about any court date and that I did in fact have insurance to drive on the day the police pulled me over.

DVLA give me the court information to contract the court which I did and was told I need to put in that I did not know about the court date. I called Enfield court and was told that I need to write a letter to them which I have done but as of today's date 21/12/2012 I have not heard anything back from them.

Today 21/12/2012 I got a next letter from DVLA saying that my driving licence was going to be revoked on the 22/12/2012 again I made a call to them which they have put a next 28 days onto the date that they will revoke my driving licence, I have also made a next call to the court and it seems I was given the wrong information and it should have asked for the case to be reopened which I am doing now by send you this email.

Please could you reopen the case so that I can indeed show the court I do in fact have insurance to have been driving on the date I was pulled by the police, and as I said on the phone to the court person I speak to today I did not know about any dates for court before I got the letter from DVLA.

I will enclose in this email my insurance documents so you can see in fact I was insurance, and could you please send me a new date to go to court to prove this.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 27 February 2013 12:22
To: 'gl-thamesmcenq@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 27 February 2013 12:19
To: 'gl-thames.mcenq@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

Hello

Again I am writing to get the case below reopened, I have emailed as you can see below and I still have heard nothing about this case. After a call that was made today I have been given a next email to send this email to in the hope that it can be dealt with.

Please read the below emails and can you please reply to say you have got this email.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 January 2013 13:20
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I sent an email on the 21/12/2012 about a case below, I have not heard anything about this email. Please can you confirm you have got the email with a reply to this email so that I know this matter is being looked into.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 December 2012 16:47
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after a call I made to the court about a case that was heard about no insurance which the outcome was 6 points on my driving licence and a £700 fine.

My Name and address is below

Mr Simon Cordell
23 Byron terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

The problem I have with this is yes I was pulled up by the police and was asked to bring my insurance to a

police station which I did do, but it seem the police had given me the wrong form so the police station would not accept me to give them my insurance documents and said it would need to go to court, I have never heard anything about this until I got a letter from DVLA saying I had to send my driving licence back to them, I called DVLA to be told that there was a court case which was heard and that I been given 6 points so needed to send my driving licence to them to get these points added, I explained to them that I did not know about any court date and that I did in fact have insurance to drive on the day the police pulled me over.

DVLA give me the court information to contract the court which I did and was told I need to put in that I did not know about the court date. I called Enfield court and was told that I need to write a letter to them which I have done but as of today's date 21/12/2012 I have not heard anything back from them.

Today 21/12/2012 I got a next letter from DVLA saying that my driving licence was going to be revoked on the 22/12/2012 again I made a call to them which they have put a next 28 days onto the date that they will revoke my driving licence, I have also made a next call to the court and it seems I was given the wrong information and it should have asked for the case to be reopened which I am doing now by send you this email.

Please could you reopen the case so that I can indeed show the court I do in fact have insurance to have been driving on the date I was pulled by the police, and as I said on the phone to the court person I speak to today I did not know about any dates for court before I got the letter from DVLA.

I will enclose in this email my insurance documents so you can see in fact I was insurance, and could you please send me a new date to go to court to prove this.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 27 February 2013 12:55
To: 'gl-thamesmcenq@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Court case
Hello

Again I am writing to get the case below reopened, I have emailed as you can see below and I still have heard nothing about this case. After a call that was made today I have been given a next email to send this email to in the hope that it can be dealt with.

Please read the below emails and can you please reply to say you have got this email.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 January 2013 13:20
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I sent an email on the 21/12/2012 about a case below, I have not heard anything about this email. Please can you confirm you have got the email with a reply to this email so that I know this matter is being looked into.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 December 2012 16:47
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after a call I made to the court about a case that was heard about no insurance which the outcome was 6 points on my driving licence and a £700 fine.

My Name and address is below

Mr Simon Cordell
23 Byron terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

The problem I have with this is yes I was pulled up by the police and was asked to bring my insurance to a police station which I did do, but it seem the police had given me the wrong form so the police station would not accept me to give them my insurance documents and said it would need to go to court, I have never heard anything about this until I got a letter from DVLA saying I had to send my driving licence back to them, I called DVLA to be told that there was a court case which was heard and that I been given 6 points so needed to send my driving licence to them to get these points added, I explained to them that I did not know about any court date and that I did in fact have insurance to drive on the day the police pulled me over.

DVLA give me the court information to contract the court which I did and was told I need to put in that I did not know about the court date. I called Enfield court and was told that I need to write a letter to them which I have done but as of today's date 21/12/2012 I have not heard anything back from them.

Today 21/12/2012 I got a next letter from DVLA saying that my driving licence was going to be revoked on the 22/12/2012 again I made a call to them which they have put a next 28 days onto the date that they will revoke my driving licence, I have also made a next call to the court and it seems I was given the wrong information and it should have asked for the case to be reopened which I am doing now by send you this email.

Please could you reopen the case so that I can indeed show the court I do in fact have insurance to have been driving on the date I was pulled by the police, and as I said on the phone to the court person I speak to today I did not know about any dates for court before I got the letter from DVLA.

I will enclose in this email my insurance documents so you can see in fact I was insurance, and could you please send me a new date to go to court to prove this.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 27 February 2013 12:57
To: "gl-thamesmclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk"
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Court case
Hello

Again I am writing to get the case below reopened, I have emailed as you can see below and I still have heard nothing about this case. After a call that was made today I have been given a next email to send this email to in the hope that it can be dealt with.

Please read the below emails and can you please reply to say you have got this email.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 January 2013 13:20
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I sent an email on the 21/12/2012 about a case below, I have not heard anything about this email. Please can you confirm you have got the email with a reply to this email so that I know this matter is being looked into.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 December 2012 16:47
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after a call I made to the court about a case that was heard about no insurance which the outcome was 6 points on my driving licence and a £700 fine.

My Name and address is below

Mr Simon Cordell
23 Byron terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

The problem I have with this is yes I was pulled up by the police and was asked to bring my insurance to a police station which I did do, but it seem the police had given me the wrong form so the police station would not accept me to give them my insurance documents and said it would need to go to court, I have never heard anything about this until I got a letter from DVLA saying I had to send my driving licence back to them, I called DVLA to be told that there was a court case which was heard and that I been given 6 points so needed to send my driving licence to them to get these points added, I explained to them that I did not know about any court date and that I did in fact have insurance to drive on the day the police pulled me over.

DVLA give me the court information to contract the court which I did and was told I need to put in that I did not know about the court date. I called Enfield court and was told that I need to write a letter to them which I have done but as of today's date 21/12/2012 I have not heard anything back from them.

Today 21/12/2012 I got a next letter from DVLA saying that my driving licence was going to be revoked on the 22/12/2012 again I made a call to them which they have put a next 28 days onto the date that they will revoke my driving licence, I have also made a next call to the court and it seems I was given the wrong information and it should have asked for the case to be reopened which I am doing now by send you this email.

Please could you reopen the case so that I can indeed show the court I do in fact have insurance to have been driving on the date I was pulled by the police, and as I said on the phone to the court person I speak to today I did not know about any dates for court before I got the letter from DVLA.

I will enclose in this email my insurance documents so you can see in fact I was insurance, and could you please send me a new date to go to court to prove this.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 27 February 2013 12:58
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Court case
Hello

Again I am writing to get the case below reopened, I have emailed as you can see below and I still have heard nothing about this case. After a call that was made today I have been given a next email to send this email to in the hope that it can be dealt with.

Please read the below emails and can you please reply to say you have got this email.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 January 2013 13:20
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I sent an email on the 21/12/2012 about a case below, I have not heard anything about this email. Please can you confirm you have got the email with a reply to this email so that I know this matter is being looked into.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 December 2012 16:47
To: 'gl-thames.mclist@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Court case

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after a call I made to the court about a case that was heard about no insurance which the outcome was 6 points on my driving licence and a £700 fine.

My Name and address is below

Mr Simon Cordell
23 Byron terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

The problem I have with this is yes I was pulled up by the police and was asked to bring my insurance to a police station which I did do, but it seem the police had given me the wrong form so the police station would not accept me to give them my insurance documents and said it would need to go to court, I have never heard anything about this until I got a letter from DVLA saying I had to send my driving licence back to them, I called DVLA to be told that there was a court case which was heard and that I been given 6 points so needed to send my driving licence to them to get these points added,

I explained to them that I did not know about any court date and that I did in fact have insurance to drive on the day the police pulled me over.

DVLA give me the court information to contract the court which I did and was told I need to put in that I did not know about the court date. I called Enfield court and was told that I need to write a letter to them which I have done but as of today's date 21/12/2012 I have not heard anything back from them.

Today 21/12/2012 I got a next letter from DVLA saying that my driving licence was going to be revoked on the 22/12/2012 again I made a call to them which they have put a next 28 days onto the date that they will revoke my driving licence, I have also made a next call to the court and it seems I was given the wrong information and it should have asked for the case to be reopened which I am doing now by send you this email.

Please could you reopen the case so that I can indeed show the court I do in fact have insurance to have been driving on the date I was pulled by the police, and as I said on the phone to the court person I speak to today I did not know about any dates for court before I got the letter from DVLA.

I will enclose in this email my insurance documents so you can see in fact I was insurance, and could you please send me a new date to go to court to prove this.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

Subject: We Network Event Postponed
From: Sue King (sking@enterpriseenfield.org)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Monday, 25 March 2013, 18:58

Notice:

This is to let you know that the WeNetwork event below, which was advertised in our enewletter last week, has been postponed for another date (details to be confirmed).

WeNetwork - WeEggstravaganza

Wednesday 27th March from 6.00pm - 9.00pm, Cost: £15

At the Dugdale Centre, 39 London Road, Enfield, EN2 6DS

Come along for an evening of inspired business connections and hear from renowned author and speaker, Paul Wearmouth, who will be giving a presentation on personal selling skills - helping you change your thought process from "I wish" to "I want" - sparking the desire to take action and achieve your goals. (See flyer attached). www.We-Network.org.uk or call Laura (07786 260284) or Mel (07946 551719).

Enfield Enterprise Agency is a Company Limited by Guarantee, Trading as Enterprise Enfield. Registered in England and Wales No 1926939 at Enfield Business Centre, 201 Hertford Road, Enfield, Middlesex EN3 5JH. Unsubscribe- if you no longer wish to receive emails from Enterprise Enfield, please reply to the email and type "unsubscribe" in the subject field.

Subject: Fw: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<23> OpenAttach Documents

From: Rewired Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk;

Date: Monday, 8 April 2013, 14:21

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Gaheris Edwards <gaheris@broadsuredirect.com>
To: re_wired@ymail.com
Sent: Monday, 8 April 2013, 14:55
Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<23> OpenAttach Documents

As requested

Kind Regards,

Gaheris Edwards

broadsuredirect
INSURANCE INTERMEDIARY
Administration Department
t: 01843 594477
f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority.

Attachments

- S Cordell Cert._GE_.pdf (190.46 KB)
- image001.png (6.81 KB)

Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<27> OpenAttach Documents

From: Melanie Tyman (melanietwyman@broadshuredirect.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Monday, 15 April 2013, 15:14

Dear Mr Cordell

Please see below the listed payment dates and amounts of your new direct debit facility as discussed:

Payment Schedule Items		
Payment Date	Type Of Payment	Scheduled Payment Amounts
Wednesday 24-Apr-2013	Direct Debit	£200.38
Thursday 23-May-2013	Direct Debit	£100.18
Monday 24-Jun-2013	Direct Debit	£100.18
Tuesday 23-Jul-2013	Direct Debit	£100.18
Friday 23-Aug-2013	Direct Debit	£100.18
Monday 23-Sep-2013	Direct Debit	£100.18
Wednesday 23-Oct-2013	Direct Debit	£100.18
Monday 25-Nov-2013	Direct Debit	£100.18
Monday 23-Dec-2013	Direct Debit	£100.18
Thursday 23-Jan-2014	Direct Debit	£100.18

Finally i can confirm that there is a balance owed to Close Premium Finance relating to your cancelled Motor Trade policy which ran from 12/10/12 – 25/01/2013, the balance required is £157.42,. please contact Close Premium Finance to make payment of this balance as agreed.

Many thanks

Melanie Twyman

Finance Manager

broadshuredirect
INSURANCE INTERMEDIARY

Finance Department

t: 01843 594477

f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority.

Attachments

- image001.png (6.81 KB)

Subject: Enterprise Enfield News
 From: Sue King (sking@enterpriseenfield.org)
 To: re_wired@ymail.com;
 Date: Tuesday, 30 April 2013, 23:06

April 2013

Seminars and Events

Enterprise Enfield is now running FREE Barclays Get Ready for Business Seminars at Enfield Libraries!

If you haven't yet got your business up and running the **Barclays Get Ready for Business** seminar will provide you with the essential information you need to get your business off to the right start - including business planning, managing finances, legal requirements etc. As it's one of our most popular seminars, we have decided to deliver more sessions at different venues - Enfield Town, Edmonton Green and Oakwood libraries as well as at Enfield Business Centre. (Click link for details).

We are also expanding our services into Barnet with our **New Biz Know-How seminars** - which are being held in partnership with Barnet Council and Barnet and Southgate College. These free start up seminars cover similar topics to our Barclays seminars and are aimed at anyone who is thinking of starting a business. The first of these will be held on 30th May at Tudor Hall, Barnet & Southgate College (see below).

Seminar	Date	Time	Cost
Barclays Get Ready for Business <i>Find out how to get your business idea off the ground</i>	9 th May	10.00 - 16.00	Free
Back to Work Boot Camp! Call 020 8443 5457 to enrol and book this session	15 th May	10.00 - 16.00	Free
How to Use Social Media Effectively for Business <i>Promote your business effectively using social media!</i>	22 nd May	09.30 - 15.00	£20
Barclays Get Ready for Business at Enfield Town Library <i>Find out how to get your business idea off the ground</i>	28 th May	10.00 - 16.00	Free
Get the New Biz Know-how! (at Barnet & Southgate College, Wood Street, Barnet EN5 4AZ)	30 th May	10.00 - 16.00	Free

Barclays Get Ready for Business <i>Find out how to get your business idea off the ground</i>	3 rd June	10.00 - 16.00	Free
Drive Traffic to Your Website <i>Find out how to develop an effective website and maximise its performance</i>	1 1 th June	09.30 - 13.00	£15
Barclays Get Ready for Business at Edmonton Green Library <i>Find out how to get your business idea off the ground</i>	20 th June	10.00 - 16.00	Free

North East London Expo

On: Tuesday 14th May 2013, 10am-5pm

At: Leyton Orient Football Club

Enterprise Enfield will be delivering a FREE workshop at this event on Top Tips for Raising Finance and we will also be promoting the **Start up London Loan Fund** (for 18- 30s looking to start a business) from our stand - come and visit us! The show will also include 100 exhibition stands; workshops; networking opportunities and a new Entrepreneurs' Panel. It's FREE* to attend - just make sure you register online (*£10 if not pre-registered, donated to Haven House). Register for Free www.nelexpo.co.uk/for-visitors

North London Women's Job Fair!

Thursday 6th June, 10am-4pm

At the Dugdale Centre, 38 London Road , Enfield EN2 6DS

Enterprise Enfield client, Theo Lewis, founder of Kallmeback, is organising a job fair to help women in the borough get back to work - or start their own business. The fair, sponsored by Enfield Gazette and Advertiser, will include organisations offering home-based opportunities, skills training and apprentice opportunities. Enterprise Enfield staff will also be on hand to speak to women looking for dedicated support to get back to work or start a business. Click for **more details**.

The Business Show

6th - 7th June at ExCel London

250 seminars, keynote speakers, funding opportunities, networking and much more! Register for FREE tickets www.thebusinessshow.co.uk or call 0800 686970.

Business Support

Out of Work and Living in Enfield?

Get FREE support to find work or start your own business!

The Enfield Rises and Achieves Programme (ERA) offers FREE support to London Borough of Enfield residents to help them into long-term employment or self-employment. All services are FREE of charge as the scheme is supported by London Councils and funded by Enfield Council and the European Social Fund.

Help to enhance your job prospects:

- One-to-one assistance with CVs and interview skills
- Work Club - access to online job search
- Back to Work Boot Camp (1-day course)
- Job matching service and work placements

OR

Help to start your own business:

- Expert start-up advice (up to 3 hours dedicated support)
- Business skills seminars - in Business Planning, Introduction to Marketing and Keeping Financial Records

- Access to loan funding

For more information and to check your eligibility to enrol for this FREE support call Enterprise Enfield 020 8443 5457 www.EnterpriseEnfield.org (eligibility requirements apply).

Looking for Staff? - access our Free Job-matching Service!

Enterprise Enfield is seeking local businesses looking to recruit new staff so that we can job-match our clients to these positions. We are currently providing employability support to a large number unemployed Enfield residents (under our Enfield Rises and Achieves Programme), and consequently now have a pool of job-ready candidates with skills in a wide range of sectors. We ensure prospective candidates are suitable for specific positions - saving you time and money!

If you are looking to employ staff please let us know - call our Business Engagement Manager, Caz Kennedy on 020 8443 5457 or email info@enterpseenfield.org

Business Resources available through the 24 Hour Library

If you are an Enfield library member, you can access the 24 hour library from home. There's a fantastic range of business resources - including COBRA Business Information and Business Opportunity factsheets, (everything from business planning, writing a marketing plan to conducting research, recruitment and comprehensive factsheets on different types of businesses). Go to: www.enfield.gov.uk/24hourlibrary, and then 'Business and Legal Support' - when it asks for your ticket number, insert your library card number.

HMRC Information

HMRC is providing an increasing range of digital support to businesses - including elearning tools and live webinars. Enterprise Enfield has added an HMRC elearning tool to the homepage of our website, which advises on the Tax and National Insurance issues involved in starting your own business. The package can be completed in bite size pieces and covers subjects including:

- Starting in business
- Registering as self-employed
- What records should I keep?
- What can I claim against my income?
- VAT registration

To access the tool go to www.EnterpriseEnfield.org HMRC webinars can be accessed via You Tube <http://www.youtube.com/user/HMRCgovuk/videos>

Business Awards

Enter the NatWest Everywoman Awards!

The NatWest Everywoman Awards are open to female business owners - whether they operate as a limited company, sole trader or in partnership with others. The awards reward businesswomen who have achieved significant success as well as women who have overcome adversities such as financial constraints or social disadvantages.

The deadline for receipt of nominations is 8 July 2013. For more details and to enter visit

<http://www.everywoman.com/ewawards>

Stelios Award for Disabled Entrepreneurs - win £50,000!

The application process for this year's Stelios Award for Disabled Entrepreneurs in the UK is now open! For further information and to apply visit www.lcdisability.org/stelios The deadline for entries is 5pm on Friday 25th October.

Networking Meetings

WeNetwork Meetings

This networking group (focusing on women, but welcoming men too), meets on the first Wednesday of the month at the Green Dragon, Green Lanes N21. Next meeting Wednesday 1st May, 6.30pm - 8.30pm. The guest speaker is Desi Christou, a world class personal development trainer and coach. For more details visit www.We-Network.org.uk or call Laura (07786 260284) or Mel (07946 551719).

North London Chamber of Commerce Meetings

Special Offer: Enterprise Enfield clients can attend NLCC meetings at discounted member rates. For more information on forthcoming meetings and to access discounted rates- state you are registered with Enterprise Enfield www.nlcc.co.uk

Gold Table

Gold Table is a business networking group based in Enfield where likeminded people get together over breakfast to develop solid business relationships and exchange business ideas. Meetings are held every Wednesday 7.00am - 8.30am at Enfield Golf Club. If you would like to attend the next meeting as a visitor - free of charge, contact Douglas Lee on douggielee@dal-media.CO.uk For more details about the group visit www.GoldTable.co.uk

© Copyright (2011) Enterprise Enfield. All Rights Reserved. T: 020 8443 5457 www.EnterpriseEnfield.org

Enfield Enterprise Agency is a Company Limited by Guarantee, Trading as Enterprise Enfield. Registered in England and Wales No 1926939 at Enfield Business Centre, 201 Hertford Road, Enfield, Middlesex EN3 5JH.

Unsubscribe- if you no longer wish to receive emails from Enterprise Enfield, please reply to the email and type "unsubscribe" in the subject field.

Attachments

- Untitled2.gif (0 B)
- E4E-HEADERj pg (61.42 KB)

Subject: Re: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<24> OpenAttach Documents

From: Rewired Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: jolidstone@broadsuredirect.com;

Date: Wednesday, 1 May 2013, 12:18

Hello

please find enclosed my driving licence

Simon Cordell

From: Jo Lidstone <jolidstone@broadsuredirect.com>
To: 'Rewired Rewired' <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, 17 April 2013, 10:55
Subject: RE: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<24> OpenAttach Documents

Good Morning Simon,

Thank you for the documents. We are still outstanding a copy of your full driving licence including counterpart as well as front and back of your photo card. If you could forward them on via e-mail I will get them processed for you.

Kind regards

Jo

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 15 April 2013 02:13 PM
To: Jo Lidstone
Subject: Re: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<24> OpenAttach Documents

Hello

Enclosed is the document you needed me to sign and send back

Simon Cordell

From: Jo Lidstone <jolidstone@broadsuredirect.com>
To: re_wired@ymail.com
Sent: Monday, 8 April 2013, 17:17
Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<24> OpenAttach Documents

Good Afternoon,

Please find attached the duplicate proposal for you to sign and return to us.

Kind Regards,

Jo Lidstone
Administration Department

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority.

Attachments

- Driving Lic.jpg (133.01 KB)
- Driving Lic Back Part.jpg (143.79 KB)
- Simin_Paper-Part.pdf (50.60 KB)
- image001.png (3.49 KB)

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 06 May 2013 17:26

To: 'gl-thamesmcenq@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk'

Cc: 'eastgroupcpo@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'

Subject: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Attachments: RE: Simon Cordell Court case ; RE: Simon Cordell Court case ; RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case ; RE: RE: Simon Cordell Court case ; RE: Simon Cordell Court case ; RE: Simon Cordell Court case ; S Cordell Docs._GE_.pdf

Dear Sir or Madam

I have emailed your court on a number of occasions regarding my conviction and sentence for no insurance. I am making this request to have my case listed in order that I can do the following:-

1. Application to set aside the conviction
2. Re-open the case

Application to set aside the conviction:-

On 22nd July 2012 I was stopped and given a producer by the police as they did not believe that I held a valid policy of insurance. I attended the police station and I was advised that my case would be going to court and I would be summonsed in due course. I never received any summons in relation to this matter and I only became aware that the case had been dealt with in my absence when I received a letter from the DVLA advising me that I had to send in my driving licence. I disputed with the DVLA the points but I was given an ultimatum that if I did not send in my licence it would be revoked. I therefore had no choice but to send in my licence. I immediately contacted the Court by telephone and I was advised to send an email. I sent in a number of emails and I have been given a number of emails but I have not received any confirmation from the court.

I forwarded a copy of my insurance policy to the court and again this was ignored. The offence of no insurance was allegedly committed on 22nd July 2012. I had a valid policy of insurance from 23rd March 2012 and this policy was valid until 22nd February 2013. The company insuring me was Tradex Insurance Company Limited. I am the named policy holder, Mr. Simon Cordell and my policy number is L/WST/MTP/0192359.

I have points on my licence which I should not have. Can you please as a matter of urgency email me back on this matter so that I can have a date so that the conviction can be set aside and my case heard in order that I can show the court my insurance and the PLO can make the necessary enquiries. Once this has happened I would be grateful if the court could email the DVLA to advise them that the points have been issued in error and the fine be set aside also.

This matter is urgent and is dragging on. I cannot resolve this matter until the case is listed before either a District Judge or Magistrates so I would appreciate your cooperation. I am very disappointed that you have ignored all my emails. This is causing me stress. Can you now please resolve this legal matter by listing the case for an application to set aside the conviction? I can then forward my documents to the relevant prosecuting authority.

I await hearing from you in relation to this matter and I thank you in advance for your anticipated co-operation in this matter.

Yours faithfully

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 06 May 2013 18:30

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: Re_Simon Cordell Documents for court case

Attachments: Bail_01.jpg; Bail_02.jpg; Bill_Of_Sale.jpg; MOT.jpg; Payment.jpg; S Cordell Cert._GE_(2).pdf; Vehicle_Seizure.jpg

Hi Josey

Here are the documents you need for Simon.

Lorraine

From: Forbes, Andrea [andrea.forbes@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk] on behalf of GL-ThamesMCList [gl-thamesmclist@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 07 May 2013 11:11
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: RE: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Mr Cordell,

I am in receipt of your email, these have been printed off and passed to the re-opening section to deal with.

There is a backlog due to the huge number of requests to re-open, but you will hear from someone in due course.

regards,

Andrea (admin officer in Thames Customer Service dept)

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 06 May 2013 17:26
To: gl-thamesmcsenq@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk
Cc: EastGroupCPO
Subject: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Sir or Madam

I have emailed your court on a number of occasions regarding my conviction and sentence for no insurance. I am making this request to have my case listed in order that I can do the following:-

1. Application to set aside the conviction
2. Re-open the case

Application to set aside the conviction:-

On 22nd July 2012 I was stopped and given a producer by the police as they did not believe that I held a valid policy of insurance. I attended the police station and I was advised that my case would be going to court and I would be summonsed in due course. I never received any summons in relation to this matter and I only became aware that the case had been dealt with in my absence when I received a letter from the DVLA advising me that I had to send in my driving licence. I disputed with the DVLA the points but I was given an ultimatum that if I did not send in my licence it would be revoked. I therefore had no choice but to send in my licence. I immediately contacted the Court by telephone and I was advised to send an email. I sent in a number of emails and I have been given a number of emails but I have not received any confirmation from the court.

I forwarded a copy of my insurance policy to the court and again this was ignored. The offence of no insurance was allegedly committed on 22nd July 2012. I had a valid policy of insurance from 23rd March 2012 and this policy was valid until 22nd February 2013. The company insuring me was Tradex Insurance Company Limited. I am the named policy holder, Mr. Simon Cordell and my policy number is L/WST/MTP/0192359.

I have points on my licence which I should not have. Can you please as a matter of urgency email me back on this matter so that I can have a date so that the conviction can be set aside and my case heard in order that I can show the court my insurance and the PLO can make the necessary enquiries. Once this has happened I would be grateful if the court could email the DVLA to advise them that the points have been issued in error and the fine be set aside also.

This matter is urgent and is dragging on. I cannot resolve this matter until the case is listed before either a District Judge or Magistrates so I would appreciate your cooperation. I am very disappointed that you have ignored all my emails. This is causing me stress. Can you now please resolve this legal matter by listing the case for an application to set aside the conviction? I can then forward my documents to the relevant prosecuting

authority.

I await hearing from you in relation to this matter and I thank you in advance for your anticipated co-operation in this matter.

Yours faithfully

Simon Cordell

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 07 May 2013 11:49
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: FW: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case
Hi Josey

I got a reply from the court about re opening the case for Simon see Below.

From: Forbes, Andrea [mailto:andrea.forbes@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk] **On Behalf Of** GL-ThamesMCList
Sent: 07 May 2013 11:11
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: RE: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Mr Cordell,

I am in receipt of your email, these have been printed off and passed to the re-opening section to deal with.

There is a backlog due to the huge number of requests to re-open, but you will hear from someone in due course.

regards,

Andrea (admin officer in Thames Customer Service dept)

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 06 May 2013 17:26
To: gl-thamesmcenq@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk
Cc: EastGroupCPO
Subject: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Sir or Madam

I have emailed your court on a number of occasions regarding my conviction and sentence for no insurance. I am making this request to have my case listed in order that I can do the following:-

1. Application to set aside the conviction
2. Re-open the case

Application to set aside the conviction:-

On 22nd July 2012 I was stopped and given a producer by the police as they did not believe that I held a valid policy of insurance. I attended the police station and I was advised that my case would be going to court and I would be summonsed in due course. I never received any summons in relation to this matter and I only became aware that the case had been dealt with in my absence when I received a letter from the DVLA advising me that I had to send in my driving licence. I disputed with the DVLA the points but I was given an ultimatum that if I did not send in my licence it would be revoked. I therefore had no choice but to send in my licence. I immediately contacted the Court by telephone and I was advised to send an email. I sent in a number of emails and I have been given a number of emails but I have not received any confirmation from the court.

I forwarded a copy of my insurance policy to the court and again this was ignored. The offence of no insurance was allegedly committed on 22nd July 2012. I had a valid policy of insurance from 23rd March 2012 and this policy was valid until 22nd February 2013. The company insuring me was Tradex Insurance Company Limited. I am the named policy holder, Mr. Simon Cordell and my policy number is L/WST/MTP/0192359.

I have points on my licence which I should not have. Can you please as a matter of urgency email me back on

this matter so that I can have a date so that the conviction can be set aside and my case heard in order that I can show the court my insurance and the PLO can make the necessary enquiries. Once this has happened, I would be grateful if the court could email the DVLA to advise them that the points have been issued in error and the fine be set aside also.

This matter is urgent and is dragging on. I cannot resolve this matter until the case is listed before either a District Judge or Magistrates so I would appreciate your cooperation. I am very disappointed that you have ignored all my emails. This is causing me stress. Can you now please resolve this legal matter by listing the case for an application to set aside the conviction? I can then forward my documents to the relevant prosecuting authority.

I await hearing from you in relation to this matter and I thank you in advance for your anticipated cooperation in this matter.

Yours faithfully Simon Cordell

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents. The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 07 May 2013 14:32
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: FW: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case
Hi Lorraine

At least progress is now being made. Keep me posted and if you need any further help then please do not hesitate to contact me on email / mobile.

Kind regards

Josephine

On Tue, May 7, 2013 at 11:48 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I got a reply from the court about re opening the case for Simon see Below.

From: Forbes, Andrea [mailto:andrea.forbes@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk] **On Behalf Of** GL-ThamesMCList
Sent: 07 May 2013 11:11
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: RE: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Mr Cordell,

I am in receipt of your email, these have been printed off and passed to the re-opening section to deal with.

There is a backlog due to the huge number of requests to re-open, but you will hear from someone in due course.

regards,

Andrea (admin officer in Thames Customer Service dept)

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 06 May 2013 17:26

To: gl-thamesmceng@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Cc: EastGroupCPO

Subject: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Sir or Madam

I have emailed your court on a number of occasions regarding my conviction and sentence for no insurance. I am making this request to have my case listed in order that I can do the following;:-

1. Application to set aside the conviction
2. Re-open the case

Application to set aside the conviction:-

On 22nd July 2012 I was stopped and given a producer by the police as they did not believe that I held a valid policy of insurance. I attended the police station and I was advised that my case would be going to court and I would be summonsed in due course. I never received any summons in relation to this matter and I only became aware that the case had been dealt with in my absence when I received a letter from the DVLA advising me that I had to send in my driving licence. I disputed with the DVLA the points but I was given an ultimatum that if I did not send in my licence it would be revoked. I therefore had no choice but to send in my licence. I immediately contacted the Court by telephone and I was advised to send an email. I sent in a number of emails and I have been given a number of emails but I have not received any confirmation from the court.

I forwarded a copy of my insurance policy to the court and again this was ignored. The offence of no insurance was allegedly committed on 22nd July 2012. I had a valid policy of insurance from 23rd March 2012 and this policy was valid until 22nd February 2013. The company insuring me was Tradex Insurance Company Limited. I am the named policy holder, Mr. Simon Cordell and my policy number is L/WST/MTP/0192359.

I have points on my licence which I should not have. Can you please as a matter of urgency email me back on this matter so that I can have a date so that the conviction can be set aside and my case heard in order that I can show the court my insurance and the PLO can make the necessary enquiries. Once this has happened I would be grateful if the court could email the DVLA to advise them that the points have been issued in error and the fine be set aside also.

This matter is urgent and is dragging on. I cannot resolve this matter until the case is listed before either a District Judge or Magistrates so I would appreciate your cooperation. I am very disappointed that you have ignored all my emails. This is causing me stress. Can you now please resolve this legal matter by listing the case for an application to set aside the conviction? I can then forward my documents to the relevant prosecuting authority.

I await hearing from you in relation to this matter and I thank you in advance for your anticipated cooperation in this matter.

Yours faithfully

Simon Cordell

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 07 May 2013 17:46

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: FW: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case
Lorraine

There are many ways to skin a cat. Having thought about Simon's other case it may be better to wait until the hearing as we may be able to make representation to the prosecutor on the day in question that Simon did have a valid insurance policy and the data base could not be accessed. There were no warning markers re the van. The whole reason for the stop of the vehicle had to be ascertained as why was he stopped in the first place, if not for no insurance then for what? I can refer them to the case re possession of the bladed article. Basically I will argue that but for his van being seized for no insurance for which he was not guilty and could have brought his insurance to the station on a producer then the public order offence would not have been committed.

We will play it by ear I think.

Regards

Josephine

On Tue, May 7, 2013 at 2:34 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

I think it was due to your email and the wording lolol ☺

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 07 May 2013 14:32

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: FW: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Hi Lorraine

At least progress is now being made. Keep me posted and if you need any further help then please do not hesitate to contact me on email / mobile.

Kind regards

Josephine

On Tue, May 7, 2013 at 11:48 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I got a reply from the court about re opening the case for Simon see Below.

From: Forbes, Andrea [mailto:andrea.forbes@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk] **On Behalf Of** GL-ThamesMCList
Sent: 07 May 2013 11:11
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: RE: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Mr Cordell,

I am in receipt of your email, these have been printed off and passed to the re-opening section to deal with.

There is a backlog due to the huge number of requests to re-open, but you will hear from someone in due course.

regards,

Andrea (admin officer in Thames Customer Service dept)

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 06 May 2013 17:26
To: gl-thamesmcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk
Cc: EastGroupCPO
Subject: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Sir or Madam

I have emailed your court on a number of occasions regarding my conviction and sentence for no insurance. I am making this request to have my case listed in order that I can do the following:-

1. Application to set aside the conviction
2. Re-open the case

Application to set aside the conviction:-

On 22nd July 2012 I was stopped and given a producer by the police as they did not believe that I held a valid policy of insurance. I attended the police station and I was advised that my case would be going to court and I would be summonsed in due course. I never received any summons in relation to this matter and I only became aware that the case had been dealt with in my absence when I received a letter from the DVLA advising me that I had to send in my driving licence. I disputed with the DVLA the points but I was given an ultimatum that if I did not send in my licence it would be revoked. I therefore had no choice but to send in my licence. I immediately contacted the Court by telephone and I was advised to send an email. I sent in a number of emails and I have been given a number of emails but I have not received any confirmation from the court.

I forwarded a copy of my insurance policy to the court and again this was ignored. The offence of no insurance was allegedly committed on 22nd July 2012. I had a valid policy of insurance from 23rd March 2012 and this policy was valid until 22nd February 2013. The company insuring me was Tradex Insurance Company Limited. I am the named policy holder, Mr. Simon Cordell and my policy number is L/WST/MTP/0192359.

I have points on my licence which I should not have. Can you please as a matter of urgency email me back on this matter so that I can have a date so that the conviction can be set aside and my case heard in order that I can show the court my insurance and the PLO can make the necessary enquiries. Once this has happened I would be grateful if the court could email the DVLA to advise them that the points have been issued in error and the fine be set aside also.

This matter is urgent and is dragging on. I cannot resolve this matter until the case is listed before either a District Judge or Magistrates so I would appreciate your cooperation. I am very disappointed that you have ignored all my emails. This is causing me stress. Can you now please resolve this legal matter by listing the case for an application to set aside the conviction? I can then forward my documents to the relevant prosecuting authority.

I await hearing from you in relation to this matter and I thank you in advance for your anticipated cooperation in this matter.

Yours faithfully

Simon Cordell

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. Email monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 07 May 2013 14:34
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: FW: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case
I think it was due to your email and the wording lolol ☺

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 07 May 2013 14:32
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: FW: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Hi Lorraine

At least progress is now being made. Keep me posted and if you need any further help then please do not hesitate to contact me on email / mobile.

Kind regards

Josephine

On Tue, May 7, 2013 at 11:48 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

I got a reply from the court about re opening the case for Simon see Below.

From: Forbes, Andrea [mailto:andrea.forbes@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk] **On Behalf Of** GL-ThamesMCList
Sent: 07 May 2013 11:11
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: RE: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Mr Cordell,

I am in receipt of your email, these have been printed off and passed to the re-opening section to deal with.

There is a backlog due to the huge number of requests to re-open, but you will hear from someone in due course.

regards,

Andrea (admin officer in Thames Customer Service dept)

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 06 May 2013 17:26
To: gl-thamesmceng@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk
Cc: EastGroupCPO
Subject: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Sir or Madam

I have emailed your court on a number of occasions regarding my conviction and sentence for no insurance. I

am making this request to have my case listed in order that I can do the following; -

1. Application to set aside the conviction
2. Re-open the case

Application to set aside the conviction: -

On 22nd July 2012 I was stopped and given a producer by the police as they did not believe that I held a valid policy of insurance. I attended the police station and I was advised that my case would be going to court and I would be summonsed in due course. I never received any summons in relation to this matter and I only became aware that the case had been dealt with in my absence when I received a letter from the DVLA advising me that I had to send in my driving licence. I disputed with the DVLA the points but I was given an ultimatum that if I did not send in my licence it would be revoked. I therefore had no choice but to send in my licence. I immediately contacted the Court by telephone and I was advised to send an email. I sent in a number of emails and I have been given a number of emails but I have not received any confirmation from the court.

I forwarded a copy of my insurance policy to the court and again this was ignored. The offence of no insurance was allegedly committed on 22nd July 2012. I had a valid policy of insurance from 23rd March 2012 and this policy was valid until 22nd February 2013. The company insuring me was Tradex Insurance Company Limited. I am the named policy holder, Mr. Simon Cordell and my policy number is L/WST/MTP/0192359.

I have points on my licence which I should not have. Can you please as a matter of urgency email me back on this matter so that I can have a date so that the conviction can be set aside and my case heard in order that I can show the court my insurance and the PLO can make the necessary enquiries. Once this has happened, I would be grateful if the court could email the DVLA to advise them that the points have been issued in error and the fine be set aside also.

This matter is urgent and is dragging on. I cannot resolve this matter until the case is listed before either a District Judge or Magistrates so I would appreciate your cooperation. I am very disappointed that you have ignored all my emails. This is causing me stress. Can you now please resolve this legal matter by listing the case for an application to set aside the conviction? I can then forward my documents to the relevant prosecuting authority.

I await hearing from you in relation to this matter and I thank you in advance for your anticipated co-operation in this matter.

Yours faithfully Simon Cordell

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all

could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 May 2014 17:24
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: CORDELL

Lorraine

1. I am still waiting for the documents and photographs served on Counsel at the hearing.
2. There is no property in a witness so police can take statements from Nike Diamond and Trevor Allway.
3. I am still waiting CPS to confirm if burglary charge damage based or items stolen - value
4. Is Simon available to attend office week commencing 9th June 2014 as I am now on annual leave (but still contactable by email / text)
5. CPS reviewing lawyer wrote and asked if only witness DC Mayhew. Will be clarifying that this is not the case by fax as waiting noise abatement and police who attended Bianca Road. I will forward a copy of fax to you by email.

Josephine

On 28 May 2014 16:29, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I called the OIC today and told him where Simon Passport was so he sent an email over to them to release the passport. Simon has collected it now so he has it back. So its now just to confirm the signing on each Sunday and not to go into Southwark council left to confirm which as far as the judge said he is not meant to be doing this.

Can you also give me an update as to if you had anything from the DX yet. As the OIC also said there is a statement from Nikki Diamond that was handed over at court last week, on the phone today. But I sure Nikki Diamond was meant to be Simon witness along with Trevor Allaway.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 24 May 2014 00:55
To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: CORDELL

Hi Lorraine

I will email court to request verification that his passport can be restored. I will then email it to you.

Regards

Josephine

On 23 May 2014 23:23, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply the OIC needs to contact Edmonton police station and put a release on Simon passport as that is where it is.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 23 May 2014 19:49

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Fwd: CORDELL

Hi Simon / Lorraine

I am forwarding Counsel's backsheet from the mention hearing. The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared and he lifted the curfew. I will seek clarification of this point. I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.

The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.

Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Irram Khan** <Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com>

Date: Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM

Subject: CORDELL

To: "josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com" <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>, "josie@michaelcarrollandco.com" <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: kyocera@nexuschambers.com [mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com]

Sent: 23 May 2014 09:23

To: Irram Khan

Subject:

TASKalfa 300i
[00:c0:ee:78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 July 2013 10:04
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday 16th July 2013
Hi Jose

You made a mistake in this Simon was never given a bail notice from Woolwich he only had the one from the other court that give him bail from the prison.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 08:40
To: listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk
Cc: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday 16th July 2013

Dear Sir or Madam

We act on behalf of our above named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

Our client's matter was listed at Woolwich Crown Court for a preliminary hearing and an application to vary our client's bail conditions. The Learned Judge on that occasion refused to deal with the application to vary bail and raised issues regarding why the surety was not present. He removed the surety requirement and our understanding is that our client was admitted to bail on the same terms as before.

A number of issues have now arisen as a result of this. Serco attended to insert an electronic tag and this was never a condition of our client's bail when he was granted bail by Woolwich Crown Court but a curfew with a door step condition was imposed. Our client explained this to Serco who left. We clarified that this information was accurate by reviewing the advocate's attendance note from 10th July and also receiving verbal confirmation from Mr Lemos to further verify this. When our client went to report to Edmonton Police Station in compliance with his bail conditions he was arrested for breaching his bail in that he refused Serco entry to install the electronic monitoring equipment. This was on 13th July 2013. Fortunately our client was able to produce a copy of the bail notice from Woolwich Crown Court and also a copy of the advocate's court attendance note who attended on 13th July 2013. He was released.

Ms Cordell contacted Serco and advise Jo badge number 3072 of this development and Serco will now wait until Tuesday 16th July 2013 to receive clarification from the court.

Can you please list this case tomorrow 16th July 2013 in order that matters can be clarified.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter and we await clarification of this.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: Miller, Joanne [joanne.miller@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 24 July 2013 11:48
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: re open matter Dear Mr Cordell,

Thank you for your email of today in relation to your case being re-opened. I have checked our system and have seen that the matter was re listed on the 22.07.13 and on that date the conviction and sentence that was imposed on the 14.11.12 has been set aside and the endorsement on your driving licence will be removed, also any financial penalty imposed will be removed and no money will be owed my you.

Hope this information helps you.

Joanne Mifljer

East London Magistrates' Court Thames, Stratford and Waltham
Forest Email joanne.miller@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Miller, Joanne [joanne.miller@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 24 July 2013 12:33 To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: re open matter Mr Cordell

There are some cases where the person involved in the re opening matter does not need to attend court but in all cases people should be informed of the date of hearing, not sure this was not done in this case, but as long as the outcome was right for you, I am pleased to hear that.

regards

Joanne Miffler

East London Magistrates' Court Thames, Stratford and Waltham Forest Email joanne.miller@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 24 July 2013 12:27 To: Miller, Joanne Subject: RE: re open matter

Dear Miller, Joanne

Thank you for the reply in this matter we were not aware the case had been listed for the 22/07/2013, But the outcome the court has made is correct.

Once again thank you for the update in this matter.

Mr. Simon Cordell

From: Miller, Joanne [mailto:joanne.miller@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 24 July 2013 11:48 To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'

Subject: re open matter

Dear Mr Cordell,

Thank you for your email of today in relation to your case being re opened. I have checked our system and have seen that the matter was re listed on the 22.07.13 and on that date the conviction and sentence that was imposed on the 14.11.12 has been set aside and the endorsement on your driving licence will be removed, also any financial penalty imposed will be removed and no money will be owed my you.

Hope this information helps you.

Joanne Miffler

East London Magistrates' Court Thames, Stratford and Waltham Forest Email joanne.miller@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all

copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 February 2014 22:57
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd: Simon Cordell
Hi Lorraine

This is the email that I sent to Jemi this morning.

I have been at court all day and then at Edmonton Police Station until 9pm and so I could not reply before now.

Regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Feb 3, 2014 at 10:39 AM
Subject: Simon Cordell
To: "J. B. Akin-Olugbade" <j.akin-olugbade@nexuschambers.com>, Del Edgeler <Del.Edgeler@nexuschambers.com>

Hi Jemi

Mr Cordell telephoned my office and stated that he discussed his case with him on 13th December 2013. He made the following allegations:

1. You told him you could not do his case as you were dealing with a murder and you did not know why we had instructed you or why you were having a conference
2. We had not forwarded any case papers to you
3. We should have put in the section 8 application and Third Party Disclosure application and because we had not done this the client states that you advised him we were not taking his case seriously and you recommended he change solicitors.

I do not for one minute believe the accusations made but if you can please just confirm the position before I apply to court to revoke the legal representation order.

If you can respond as a matter of urgency I would be grateful and please forward the amended section 8 application so he can represent himself.

Thank you.

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 26 June 2013 18:22
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd:Simon Cordell

Attachments: PST NOTES.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Anthony Clarke" <anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com>
Date: Jun 26, 2013 5:35 PM
Subject: Simon Cordell
To: "josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com" <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Josie

My police station notes from Walworth Police Station on 25th June 2013.

Anthony

This Firm is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

Michael Carroll & Co
798 High Road
Tottenham
N17 0DH

Telephone: 020 8365 9900
Fax: 020 8365 0500
DX: 36206 Edmonton One

Principal Solicitor: Michael Carroll

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 26 June 2013 20:34
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd:Simon Cordell

Attachments: PNC.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Anthony Clarke" <anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com>
Date: Jun 26, 2013 5:16 PM
Subject: Simon Cordell
To: "josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com" <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Josie
Previous convictions as requested.
Anthony

This Firm is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

Michael Carroll & Co
798 High Road
Tottenham
N17 0DH

Telephone: 020 8365 9900
Fax: 020 8365 0500
DX: 36206 Edmonton One

Principal Solicitor: Michael Carroll

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 26 June 2013 22:25
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Cc: 'anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: REASONS FOR THIS APPLICATION.doc
[Simon's bail app](#)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 26 June 2013 18:22
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd:Simon Cordell

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Anthony Clarke" <anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com>
Date: Jun 26, 2013 5:35 PM
Subject: Simon Cordell
To: "josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com" <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Josie

My police station notes from Walworth Police Station on 25th June 2013.

Anthony

This Firm is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

Michael Carroll & Co
798 High Road
Tottenham
N17 0DH

Telephone: 020 8365 9900
Fax: 020 8365 0500
DX: 36206 Edmonton One

Principal Solicitor: Michael Carroll

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 27 June 2013 00:17
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: Too Smooth Pre Catalogue 2013.pdf
[Here is the catalogue](#)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 26 June 2013 20:34
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd:Simon Cordell

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Anthony Clarke" <anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com>
Date: Jun 26, 2013 5:16 PM
Subject: Simon Cordell
To: "josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com" <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Josie

Previous convictions as requested.

Anthony

This Firm is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

Michael Carroll & Co
798 High Road
Tottenham
N17 0DH

Telephone: 020 8365 9900
Fax: 020 8365 0500
DX: 36206 Edmonton One

Principal Solicitor: Michael Carroll

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:43
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Fwd: Regina v Simon Cordell CL ref 5005393 (patel)

Attachments: STATEMENT PATEL PART 2.pdf; INDICTMENT AND PATEL STATEMENT PT 1.pdf

Lorraine / Simon

Please see forwarded email that I sent to Mr Trevor Allway.

Regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:38 AM
Subject: Re: Regina v Simon Cordell CL ref 5005393 (patel)
To: "Allaway, Trevor" <Trevor.Allaway@cl-uk.com>

Dear Mr Allway

Thank you for your email.

This case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. It is my understanding that you have been contacted directly by Mr Simon Cordell and Miss Lorraine Cordell in relation to ongoing legal proceedings.

Thank you for the indication that an application under section 35 of the Data Protection Act 1998 would be viewed favourably.

We make this application under section 35 of the Data Protection Act 1998 to request details of all insurance claims submitted by Mr Rakesh Patel in relation to a burglary committed at Unit 3 Horrisons Industrial Estate. Mr Patel alleged in a section 9 statement to the Metropolitan Police that a burglary was committed between 1st May 2013 and 8th May 2013. He alleges that goods to the value of £8220 were stolen and damage to the walls estimated to be between £8,000 - £10,000.

Our client disputes involvement in the burglary but states that he did hire out his sound equipment in order for a private party to place arranged by persons legally squatting at the premises. Mr Cordell was forensically linked to the premises by DNA on a can of drink. Mr Cordell gave an explanation for this in that he stated that he oversaw the persons using his equipment. Mr Cordell has stated that when he arrived damage had already been caused and he has produced Facebook pictures purporting to be of the alleged premises. It is our understanding that you are in possession of photographs from previous burglaries at the same premises and the damage cited by Mr Patel in his statement to the police actually relates to damage already caused during a previous burglary and not May 2013 as Mr Patel alleges.

We rely on paragraph section 35 (2)(a) and (b) which states:

Personal data are exempt from the non-disclosure provisions where the disclosure is necessary - (a) for the purposes of, or in connection with, any legal proceedings (including prospective legal proceedings), or

(b) for the purpose of obtaining legal advice,
or is otherwise necessary for the purposes of establishing, exercising or defending legal rights.

Mr Cordell faces a trial at Woolwich Crown Court in respect of an allegation of burglary. Mr Cordell contests this and alleges that he is being singled out and blamed for offences committed on earlier dates. He also denies causing the damage and alleges that this was caused on an earlier date. It is crucial to Mr Cordell's defence that any evidence that supports the fact that previous burglaries were committed and extensive damage caused be disclosed in order that Mr Cordell can defend his legal rights. Any claims for stolen stock and any invoices submitted are also requested as old invoices have been submitted as part of these proceedings to support the value of the goods stolen.

We would be grateful if the above could be disclosed as a matter of urgency. If you cannot disclose this information then please confirm this in order that we can apply to the Court for a Third Party Disclosure summons.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO

PS: We attach a copy of the statements made by Mr Patel in these proceedings and also a copy of the indictment which confirms the charge against Mr Cordell.

On Tue, Feb 11, 2014 at 11:44 AM, Allaway, Trevor <Trevor.Allaway@cl-uk.com> wrote:

Dear Sirs

We note your request for copies of our file.

Please make a section 35 DPA request and this will be viewed favourably.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor, 3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

Tel Office 0121 233 6765

Facsimile 0845 425 2850

email trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 27 June 2013 09:35

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell - application for Judge and Chambers bail application on 28th June 2013 at Southwark Crown Court.

Attachments: photo.png; viewer_014.png; viewer.png; viewer_002.png; viewer_003.png; viewer_004.png; viewer_005.png; viewer_006.png; viewer_007.png; viewer_008.png; viewer_009.png; viewer_010.png; viewer_011.png; viewer_012.png; viewer_013.png; PNC.pdf; REASONS FOR THIS APPLICATION.doc; medical letters in support of bail application.pdf
Morning Lorraine

Please accept this email as confirmation that I have requested that Simon's bail application be listed tomorrow morning for bail. He will not be produced but I may have to require you to attend with the Post Office statement and also your passport and a utility bill with your address on it.

I will confirm if you are required this afternoon.

Regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Jun 27, 2013 at 9:32 AM

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell - application for Judge and Chambers bail application on 28th June 2013 at Southwark Crown Court.

To: listing@southwark.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk

Cc: Innerlondon&Southwarkcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk

Dear Sir or Madam

We act on behalf of our above named client and request that this matter be listed for a bail application at Southwark Crown Court on 28th June 2013 at 10am.

We attach the following:

1. Notice and grounds
2. Medical letters confirming the medical ailments of Ms Lorraine Cordell and Mrs Catherine Cordell
3. Too Smooth catalogue corroborating that our client is attempting to set up his own business

Please confirm the listing at your earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Subject: re: RPF

From: Omar Lawrence (omar.niburumedia@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Tuesday, 30 July 2013, 13:16

Hi Simon,
Please find below the link for the RPF application :

<http://www.enfield.gov.uk/residentsfund>
tel:0208 379 3245

Regards.
Omar.

--

OMAR LAWRENCE
Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

Enterprise Enfield News

From: Sue King (sking@enterprisenfield.org)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Sunday, 30 June 2013, 08:49 BST

June 2013

Don't Miss Creative Market Fun at the Co-op this Sunday!

FREE craft activities and face-painting for kids!

Sunday 30th June, 12.00 - 4.00pm

At: **The Co-op** car park, 654 - 658 Hertford Road , Enfield Wash EN3 6LZ

A great way to spend a Sunday afternoon browsing stalls with **beautifully crafted handmade products** not found on the high street - such as: **jewellery... delicious cakes ...cookies... homeware ... clothing... accessories...bags... hats... cards ... gifts...** etc. We will be running FREE children's craft activities and face-painting too! (see flyer attached).

We will be holding our next market on Sunday 11th August at the Co-op - if you make handmade products and are interested in taking a stall - please download a [registration of interest form](#) here.

Opportunity to sell handmade products at Forty Hall

We have had a request from Lorraine Cox, Cultural Services Manager at Enfield Council, who would like to speak to anyone who may be interested in selling their handmade products in the shop at Forty Hall. If you are - please contact Lorraine directly on: **07903 970282/ 020 83793659** Lorraine.Cox@enfield.gov.uk

Try Out Your Business in Ponders End Rent-free!

Deadline extended to 15th July

The Architecture Foundation is looking for a start-up business or small entrepreneur to get involved in an exciting project happening in Ponders End.. They are seeking entrepreneurs who are keen to set up shop in Ponders End, to try out their business idea in a public facing venue. You will receive a **rent-free space in return for opening up and managing the day-**

to-day running of the space. This is a unique opportunity to test out your business ideas.

Deadline extended to 10am 15th July [Click for more details and to apply.](#)

London Enterprise Panel comes to North London

Friday 5th July, 10.00am to 12.00 noon

At The Dugdale Centre, 39 London Road , Enfield Town EN2 6DS

The London Enterprise Panel advises the Mayor of London on action to: provide strategic investment to support private sector growth and employment; promote enterprise and innovation; ensure the right skills for sustained employment in London ; protect and enhance London 's competitiveness. [Click for more details](#) and to book.

Business Seminars

Seminar	Date	Time	Cost
<u>Creative Market</u> (at the Co-op car park, Enfield Wash)	30 th June	12.00 - 16.00	Visitors FREE Traders £15
<u>Back to Work Boot Camp!</u> Held under the Enfield Rises and Achieves Programme (ERA). Call 020 8443 5457 to enrol for ERA and book this seminar.	9 th July	10.00 - 16.00	Free
<u>Barclays Get Ready for Business</u> Find out how to get your business idea off the ground	15 th July	10.00 - 16.00	Free
<u>Drive Traffic to Your Website</u> Find out how to develop an effective website and maximise its performance	18 th July	09.30 - 13.00	£15
<u>Barclays Get Ready for Business</u> At Oakwood Library Find out how to get your business idea off the ground	23 rd July	10.00 - 16.00	Free
<u>Get the New Biz Know-how!</u> (at North London Business Park , Oakleigh Road South N11 1NP)	29 th July	10.00 - 16.00	Free
<u>How to Use Social Media Effectively for Business</u> Promote your business effectively using social media!	31 st July	09.30 - 15.00	£20

Business Support

Aged 18 - 30 & Looking for Funding to Start a Business or Grow a Business?

(under 1 year). Enterprise Enfield offers free support to access the government's Start-up Loans Fund – this includes expert start-up advice and assistance with preparing a business plan and presenting your business proposal to secure funding. The amount you can borrow can vary - up to £20,000. This can be repaid over a period from 3 to 5 yrs with a fixed rate of 6% APR. If successful in securing a loan, you can also benefit from a whole range of free and subsidised business services including a free eBay store for 6 months. Click for [more details](#) – or call Enterprise Enfield on 020 8443 5457.

Out of Work and Living in Enfield ?

Need a helping hand to find work or start your own business!

[The Enfield Rises and Achieves Programme](#) (ERA) offers FREE support to help London Borough of Enfield residents into long-term employment or self-employment. If you are looking for employment, we can assist you with CVs, application forms and interview skills as well as job-matching with local vacancies. If you are thinking about starting a business you can access free expert, one to one advice and help as well as business skills seminars in marketing, business planning and keeping financial records. Eligibility requirements apply - call 020 8443 5457 for details on how to enrol.

Networking Meetings

How to Achieve Success in Your Business! We-network Event

On: **Wednesday 3rd July**, 6.30pm - 8.30pm

At: The Green Dragon (upstairs), Green Lanes, Winchmore Hill N21 2QP

The guest speaker will be successful businessman and social media guru, **Garry Kousoulou**.

Garry has used the guidance of Napoleon Hill as a blueprint for his own success in business and will be talking about how you too can use visioning and mindset to achieve success in your business.

To book your place visit [WeNetwork](#) For more details visit www.We-Network.org.uk or call Laura (07786 260284) or Mel (07946 551719).

North London Chamber of Commerce Meetings

Next meeting: [Summer Networking Evening](#)

Tuesday 16th July, 6.00pm at the Raglan Hotel, Muswell Hill

Special Offer: Enterprise Enfield clients can attend NLCC meetings at discounted member rates. For more information contact the NLCC on 020 8443 4464 www.nlcc.co.uk


Gold Table

Gold Table is a business networking group based in Enfield where like minded people get together over breakfast to develop solid business relationships and exchange business ideas. Meetings are held every Wednesday 7.00am - 8.30am at Enfield Golf Club. If you would like to attend the next meeting as a visitor - free of charge, contact Douglas Lee on douggielee@dal-media.co.uk For more details about the group visit www.GoldTable.co.uk

© Copyright (2013) Enterprise Enfield. All Rights Reserved. T: 020 8443 5457
www.EnterpriseEnfield.org

Enfield Enterprise Agency is a Company Limited by Guarantee, Trading as Enterprise Enfield.
Registered in England and Wales No 1926939 at Enfield Business Centre, 201 Hertford Road, Enfield, Middlesex EN3 5JH.

Unsubscribe- if you no longer wish to receive emails from Enterprise Enfield, please reply to the email and type "unsubscribe" in the subject field.

 00Creativemarket.jpg
547.8kB

 Untitled

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 01 July 2013 20:37
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon
Dear Josephine

Simon is trying to get some information for his case it comes under the Freedom of Information Act Request, but I really not sure how to go about it.

This is the sort of information he is after

All police call outs and CAD to Hymerle Road SE15, Bianca Road SE15, Industrial Estate's for reason of Squatting, Parties, noise abatement, criminal damage, Illegal Raves, Burglary, from 01/05/2012 till 01/06/2013.

Noise abatement orders and dates and council involvement which would cover the name of the council team that come out to the buildings, to these roads Haymerle Road SE15, Bianca Road SE15 Industrial Estate.

All Police officers numbers that attended to these roads Haymerle Road SE15, Bianca Road SE15 Industrial Estate's for dates 01/05/2012 till 01/06/2013.

He also wants the council person name who come with the police on the 04/06/2013 at around 1am and talked to Simon and the people that was squatting the building, council office said the noise abatement order was still in place from the 16/02/2013 party, Council and police walked around the building to test the music levels and told Simon he had to keep within safe levels, and they let the party go on.

999 calls and calls that was made to the police due to the noise on the nights of the parties.

Some notes here for you.

We do know that the other party that went on there was on the 16/02/2013 and there was a next party the week after Simon did his on the 04/05/2013 so that would have been on the 11/05/2013. But over the last year there has been more parties held in that building and other units around it. There has also been squatting in the building for some time and again also other units within them roads. Still trying to get other dates of parties that were held there within the last year at the building Simon sound system was hire in.

Also the writing on the wall in which they say Simon done the Burglary he wants someone to test it to show he never wrote it. Which will prove also he did not do that damage.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 10 July 2013 13:20

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell-Lorraine bail letter

Attachments: Bail-Lorraine.jpg

Hi Jose the mail been returned to me can you send it over please.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 10 July 2013 13:27

To: 'Anthony Clarke'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: Bail-Lorraine.jpg; BAIL VARIATION TO SOUTHWARK CROWN COURT.doc
Hello

Here is the letter you need so the Judge can change Simon bail

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 July 2013 17:55
To: Jay Lemos
Cc: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re:Cordell

Lorraine

Please see barrister's court attendance note. Mr Lemos has no recollection of a tag being mentioned but does recall a doorstep condition.

However if Serco have court paperwork then he will have to allow them access as they could call police to have arrested for breach of bail.

I will list for bail variation next week.

Josephine

On Jul 11, 2013 11:16 AM, "Jay Lemos" <jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk> wrote:

please find attached attendance note for the above.

UMAR: will you please chase the disclosure for Mohammed.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 17:12
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Advance information on Simon's case

Simons case listed tomorrow for bail clarification.

On Jul 15, 2013 12:58 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Jose

As for the Q and A records from PC Almy there is a lot he has lied about. Simon did say to him that he brought a job lot of 5 chairs and 5 gazzebos this is a fact.

But he also said the Gazzebo that was in his garden was brought from focus along with other thing he got for his kitchen.

Also none of the PC have said that me and Sheila was at the address we got there just after Simon let the police in this should have been noted and has not by any officer. I know they are meant to ask and note anyone that comes to the address when they are there.

As for the Nokia phone I was the one that told the police I got it from Tesco for Simon.

Also no colleagues secured the dog Simons put the dog out before he let the police in.

Also if you look at PC Almy statement where he said Simon was going to set the dog on them, then look at PC golden you see that both are saying something else if it was the case Simon had said he was going to set the dog on them it would have been in both statements. As should have been all the Q and A from PC Almy statements why is it the case all this is only listed on 1 statement when all officers should have heard what was said.

We also have the receipt from the guy at the party, I through Simon had given it to you the other day he must have forgot.

He is looking for the receipt from Focus still. But it must be somewhere at mine or his.

Lorraine

PS when we Simon know the time for the listing in court tomorrow do you know yet.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 09:28
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Advance information on Simon's case

Lorraine

Please find attached copies of the following:-

Advance information

You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and A's recorded in the statement of PC Almy. These were also signed by Simon.

Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial. I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defence case statement.

I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please feel free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 July 2013 18:15
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Cordell

Lorraine

Click on the paper clip.

Sending from mobile and trying to forward on. Technically rubbish. Computer can attest to that.

Regards

Josephine

On Jul 12, 2013 6:09 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi josey

There was not attachments was there meant to be the notes from the barrister' could you let me know please

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 July 2013 17:55
To: Jay Lemos
Cc: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re:Cordell

Lorraine

Please see barrister's court attendance note. Mr Lemos has no recollection of a tag being mentioned but does recall a doorstep condition.

However if Serco have court paperwork then he will have to allow them access as they could call police to have arrested for breach of bail.

I will list for bail variation next week.

Josephine

On Jul 11, 2013 11:16 AM, "Jay Lemos" <jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk> wrote:

please find attached attendance note for the above.

UMAR: will you please chase the disclosure for Mohammed.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 July 2013 18:09
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Cordell
Hi josey

There was not attachments was there meant to be the notes from the barrister' could you let me know please

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 July 2013 17:55
To: Jay Lemos
Cc: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re:Cordell

Lorraine

Please see barrister's court attendance note. Mr Lemos has no recollection of a tag being mentioned but does recall a doorstep condition.

However if Serco have court paperwork then he will have to allow them access as they could call police to have arrested for breach of bail.

I will list for bail variation next week.

Josephine

On Jul 11, 2013 11:16 AM, "Jay Lemos" <jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk> wrote:
please find attached attendance note for the above.

UMAR: will you please chase the disclosure for Mohammed.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 12 July 2013 18:23

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Cordell

There is no paper clip at all on both the emails you sent so the attachments not come with the email.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 July 2013 18:15

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Cordell

Lorraine

Click on the paper clip.

Sending from mobile and trying to forward on. Technically rubbish. Computer can attest to that.

Regards

Josephine

On Jul 12, 2013 6:09 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi josey

There was not attachments was there meant to be the notes from the barrister' could you let me know please

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 July 2013 17:55

To: Jay Lemos

Cc: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re:Cordell

Lorraine

Please see barrister's court attendance note. Mr Lemos has no recollection of a tag being mentioned but does recall a doorstep condition.

However if Serco have court paperwork then he will have to allow them access as they could call police to have arrested for breach of bail.

I will list for bail variation next week.

Josephine

On Jul 11, 2013 11:16 AM, "Jay Lemos" <jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk> wrote:
please find attached attendance note for the above.

UMAR: will you please chase the disclosure for Mohammed.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 July 2013 18:28

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon Cordell

Attachments: Att note 10.07.13.docx

Lorraine

Hope this is it. I hate technology.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 July 2013 16:24
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: CORDELL B20130035.pdf; Att note 10.07.13.docx

[Here josey](#)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 July 2013 18:28
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

Hope this is it. I hate technology.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 13 July 2013 18:10

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Jose

I just wanted to update you to something that has come to light about this case. The party that happened in the building that they say that Simon done the burglary in on May, on the 18th Feb 2013 people at that party was also meant to be done for burglary which does go to show the damage to the building was there on the 18/02/2013. so how then can they do Simon for all this when they already know the damage to the building in Feb and that people where arrested for it.

I am going to try and get some name of the people in Feb that were arrested and I will keep you updated.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 15 July 2013 09:28

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Advance information on Simon's case

Attachments: AI.pdf

Lorraine

Please find attached copies of the following:-

Advance information

You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and A's recorded in the statement of PC Almy. These were also signed by Simon.

Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial. I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defence case statement.

I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please feel free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 15 July 2013 08:40

To: listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk

Cc: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday 16th July 2013

Dear Sir or Madam

We act on behalf of our above named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

Our client's matter was listed at Woolwich Crown Court for a preliminary hearing and an application to vary our client's bail conditions. The Learned Judge on that occasion refused to deal with the application to vary bail and raised issues regarding why the surety was not present. He removed the surety requirement and our understanding is that our client was admitted to bail on the same terms as before.

A number of issues have now arisen as a result of this. Serco attended to insert an electronic tag and this was never a condition of our client's bail when he was granted bail by Woolwich Crown Court but a curfew with a door step condition was imposed. Our client explained this to Serco who left. We clarified that this information was accurate by reviewing the advocate's attendance note from 10th July and also receiving verbal confirmation from Mr Lemoso to further verify this. When our client went to report to Edmonton Police Station in compliance with his bail conditions he was arrested for breaching his bail in that he refused Serco entry to install the electronic monitoring equipment. This was on 13th July 2013. Fortunately our client was able to produce a copy of the bail notice from Woolwich Crown Court and also a copy of the advocate's court attendance note who attended on 13th July 2013. He was released.

Ms Cordell contacted Serco and advise Jo badge number 3072 of this development and Serco will now wait until Tuesday 16th July 2013 to receive clarification from the court.

Can you please list this case tomorrow 16th July 2013 in order that matters can be clarified.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter and we await clarification of this.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 10:25
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday 16th July 2013

Lorraine

It is a typo.

On Jul 15, 2013 10:03 AM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Jose

You made a mistake in this Simon was never given a bail notice from Woolwich he only had the one from the other court that give him bail from the prison.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 08:40
To: listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk
Cc: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday 16th July 2013

Dear Sir or Madam

We act on behalf of our above named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

Our client's matter was listed at Woolwich Crown Court for a preliminary hearing and an application to vary our client's bail conditions. The Learned Judge on that occasion refused to deal with the application to vary bail and raised issues regarding why the surety was not present. He removed the surety requirement and our understanding is that our client was admitted to bail on the same terms as before.

A number of issues have now arisen as a result of this. Serco attended to insert an electronic tag and this was never a condition of our client's bail when he was granted bail by Woolwich Crown Court but a curfew with a door step condition was imposed. Our client explained this to Serco who left. We clarified that this information was accurate by reviewing the advocate's attendance note from 10th July and also receiving verbal confirmation from Mr Lemos to further verify this. When our client went to report to Edmonton Police Station in compliance with his bail conditions he was arrested for breaching his bail in that he refused Serco entry to install the electronic monitoring equipment. This was on 13th July 2013. Fortunately our client was able to produce a copy of the bail notice from Woolwich Crown Court and also a copy of the advocate's court attendance note who attended on 13th July 2013. He was released.

Ms Cordell contacted Serco and advise Jo badge number 3072 of this development and Serco will now wait until Tuesday 16th July 2013 to receive clarification from the court.

Can you please list this case tomorrow 16th July 2013 in order that matters can be clarified.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter and we await clarification of this.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 June 2014 18:43

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Photos as promised

Attachments: Simon Cordell crime scene photos.pdf

Dear Lorraine & Simon

Please see attached the crime scene photographs. They do not add anything to the case. The attached statements however confirm that the police were aware that there were squatters in the premises and this contradicts the statement of the Inspector.

I think that a Saturday office appointment will be better at my office. I will confirm the time on Saturday morning as I have another two clients attending also.

I am on a legal visit in the morning and then I have a conference at Wood Green Crown Court.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 July 2013 12:59
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Advance information on Simon's case
Jose

As for the Q and A records from PC Almy there is a lot he has lied about. Simon did say to him that he brought a job lot of 5 chairs and 5 gazzebos this is a fact.

But he also said the Gazzebo that was in his garden was brought from focus along with other thing he got for his kitchen.

Also none of the PC have said that me and Sheila was at the address we got there just after Simon let the police in this should have been noted and has not by any officer. I know they are meant to ask and note anyone that comes to the address when they are there.

As for the Nokia phone I was the one that told the police I got it from Tesco for Simon.

Also no colleagues secured the dog Simons put the dog out before he let the police in.

Also if you look at PC Almy statement where he said Simon was going to set the dog on them, then look at PC golden you see that both are saying something else if it was the case Simon had said he was going to set the dog on them it would have been in both statements. As should have been all the Q and A from PC Almy statements why is it the case all this is only listed on 1 statement when all officers should have heard what was said.

We also have the receipt from the guy at the party, I through Simon had given it to you the other day he must have forgot.

He is looking for the receipt from Focus still. But it must be somewhere at mine or his.

Lorraine

PS when we Simon know the time for the listing in court tomorrow do you know yet.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 09:28
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Advance information on Simon's case

Lorraine

Please find attached copies of the following:-

Advance information

You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and A's recorded in the statement of PC Almy. These were also signed by Simon.

Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial. I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defence case statement.

I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please

feel free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 15 July 2013 18:06

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Advance information on Simon's case

Hi Jose

Yep I got the info 10am tomorrow at Woolwich, was lucky I called them today to find out what time as they had not even looked at your email, so it would not have been listed.

Is this also for bail variation also as the lady at the court said she was listing for this.

Lorraine

And omg have a nice holiday ☺

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 15 July 2013 17:12

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Advance information on Simon's case

Simons case listed tomorrow for bail clarification.

On Jul 15, 2013 12:58 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Jose

As for the Q and A records from PC Almy there is a lot he has lied about. Simon did say to him that he brought a job lot of 5 chairs and 5 gazzebos this is a fact.

But he also said the Gazzebo that was in his garden was brought from focus along with other thing he got for his kitchen.

Also none of the PC have said that me and Sheila was at the address we got there just after Simon let the police in this should have been noted and has not by any officer. I know they are meant to ask and note anyone that comes to the address when they are there.

As for the Nokia phone I was the one that told the police I got it from Tesco for Simon.

Also no colleagues secured the dog Simons put the dog out before he let the police in.

Also if you look at PC Almy statement where he said Simon was going to set the dog on them, then look at PC golden you see that both are saying something else if it was the case Simon had said he was going to set the dog on them it would have been in both statements. As should have been all the Q and A from PC Almy statements why is it the case all this is only listed on 1 statement when all officers should have heard what was said.

We also have the receipt from the guy at the party, I through Simon had given it to you the other day he must have forgot.

He is looking for the receipt from Focus still. But it must be somewhere at mine or his.

Lorraine

PS when we Simon know the time for the listing in court tomorrow do you know yet.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 09:28
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Advance information on Simon's case

Lorraine

Please find attached copies of the following:-

Advance information

You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and A's recorded in the statement of PC Almy. These were also signed by Simon.

Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial. I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defence case statement.

I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please feel free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 16 July 2013 18:05
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Advance information on Simon's case

Attachments: Woolwich-Bail.jpg

Hi Jose

Look really sorry to bother you on holiday, I tried to get Michael to deal with this but he seemed to get very upset.

Today we went to Woolwich crown court; the judge did not left the curfew as you said they may not have when we last talked.

But the bail conditions were sorted as far as I was aware, curfew with door step as before.

I even got the guy that was sent to get the bail printed out for me which I will add to this email.

I later today called Serco to make sure the tag had been lifted but again they told me the court had again said he was on tag, so I called the court and I got a rude lady who said well that's the order I have in front of me when I told her what I had she then replied that well you need to get your solicitors to re list it. I told her that was why it was listed for today but she was still being rude and unhelpful.

After I called the office and talked to someone and they called the guy that come to court for Simon, he also told your office it was door step. I was told that due to us having the letter from the court that Simon should not let the tag go on.

Worried this was when I called Michael to be honest he did not seem to care and said some thing I was not happy with. He said this was your case and he was fuking going to email you to find out what the fuck was going on. I told him not to that you were on holiday he said that does not matter you and your husband are not getting on and you would be happy for the email. I am not hiding the way in which Michael talked to me in fact he was just trying to say tell Simon to put the tag on as it did not matter. And really I think he was thinking that it was a tag from the start as I sure he was not hearing me right.

Should I tell Simon to put the Tag on or not as far as Simon said when I told him what Woolwich had done he said he was not going to let them put the tag on this is causing me so much headache. And I know they will just arrest him like they tried to do the other day. So I don't know what to do for the best. But I am really not happy how Michael talked to me.

I am sorry to have to email you on holiday this should be some time to enjoy yourself and you do need that with the hours you do.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 17:12
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Advance information on Simon's case

Simons case listed tomorrow for bail clarification.

On Jul 15, 2013 12:58 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Jose

As for the Q and A records from PC Almy there is a lot he has lied about. Simon did say to him that he brought a job lot of 5 chairs and 5 gazebos this is a fact.

But he also said the Gazzebo that was in his garden was brought from focus along with other thing he got for his kitchen.

Also none of the PC have said that me and Sheila was at the address we got there just after Simon let the police in this should have been noted and has not by any officer. I know they are meant to ask and note anyone that comes to the address when they are there.

As for the Nokia phone I was the one that told the police I got it from Tesco for Simon.

Also no colleagues secured the dog Simons put the dog out before he let the police in.

Also if you look at PC Almy statement where he said Simon was going to set the dog on them, then look at PC golden you see that both are saying something else if it was the case Simon had said he was going to set the dog on them it would have been in both statements. As should have been all the Q and A from PC Almy statements why is it the case all this is only listed on 1 statement when all officers should have heard what was said.

We also have the receipt from the guy at the party, I through Simon had given it to you the other day he must have forgot.

He is looking for the receipt from Focus still. But it must be somewhere at mine or his.

Lorraine

PS when we Simon know the time for the listing in court tomorrow do you know yet.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 09:28
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Advance information on Simon's case

Lorraine

Please find attached copies of the following:-

Advance information

You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and A's recorded in the statement of PC Almy. These were also signed by Simon.

Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial. I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defence case statement.

I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please feel free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

Regards

Josephine

From: Anthony Clarke [tony.j.clark3@gmail.com]
Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Send to this one.

Anthony

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 17 July 2013 09:50

To: 'Anthony Clarke'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: Error in bail.doc; 16-07-13-Woolwich-Bail.jpg

Hi Anthony

After the call I just made I am forwarding the information and the letter I have written about the error by the court in my sons Simon Cordell bail conditions by Woolwich Crown Court heard on the 16/07/2013.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28

To: 'anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com'

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Attachments: 16-07-13-Woolwich-Bail.jpg; Error in bail.doc

Hi Anthony

After the call I just made I am forwarding the information and the letter I have written about the error by the court in my sons Simon Cordell bail conditions by Woolwich Crown Court heard on the 16/07/2013.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28
To: 'Anthony Clarke'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: 16-07-13-Woolwich-Bail.jpg; Error in bail.doc
Hi Anthony

After the call I just made I am forwarding the information and the letter I have written about the error by the court in my sons Simon Cordell bail conditions by Woolwich Crown Court heard on the 16/07/2013.

Lorraine

From: Anthony Clarke [mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com]
Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject:

Send to this one.

Anthony

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 17 July 2013 16:19
To: 'Anthony Clarke'
Subject: RE:

Attachments: CORDELL B20130035.pdf
Hello Anthony

Here is the bail condition that was set by the court and these have not changed only the Surety was removed on the 10th July 2013 the judge on the 10 July refused to vary the bail conditions. Please read the above document from the court.

Lorraine

From: Anthony Clarke [mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com]
Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject:

Send to this one.

Anthony

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 17 July 2013 16:20
To: 'Anthony Clarke'; 'anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: CORDELL B20130035.pdf
Hello Anthony

Here is the bail condition that was set by the court and these have not changed only the Surety was removed on the 10th July 2013 the judge on the 10 July refused to vary the bail conditions. Please read the above document from the court.

Lorraine

From: Anthony Clarke [mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com]
Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject:

Send to this one.

Anthony

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 17 July 2013 17:00
To: 'Anthony Clarke'; 'anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com'
Subject: RE: Simon cordell
Anthony

Would it also please be possible for you to forward anything that the court send you to me please.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 18 July 2013 10:20
To: 'Anthony Clarke'; 'anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell
Hello Anthony

Is there any update from the court and could you please forward me anything that the court has sent to you please.

Was it left yesterday with the tag needing to be put in place can you please confirm this?

Lorraine

From: Anthony Clarke [mailto:tony.j.clark3@gmail.com]
Sent: 17 July 2013 10:28
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject:

Send to this one.

Anthony

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 24 July 2013 09:29
To: 'GL-ThamesMCList'
Subject: RE: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case
Dear Sir or Madam

I am writing this letter as I still do not have a court date to clear this matter up and I still have points on my licence which I should not have due to this.
I do understand that in your reply to my email you had a backlog but had passed on the paper to have the case re opened.
It has been some time and I have not heard anything about this and wonder if you can check into this and find out how long it will take to get a new date from the court.

Yours faithfully

Simon Cordell

From: Forbes, Andrea [mailto:andrea.forbes@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk] **On Behalf Of** GL-ThamesMCList
Sent: 07 May 2013 11:11
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: RE: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Mr Cordell,

I am in receipt of your email, these have been printed off and passed to the re-opening section to deal with.

There is a backlog due to the huge number of requests to re-open, but you will hear from someone in due course.

regards,

Andrea (admin officer in Thames Customer Service dept)

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 06 May 2013 17:26
To: gl-thamesmcenq@hmcts.gsl.gov.uk
Cc: EastGroupCPO
Subject: Wrongful conviction and request to set aside the conviction and re-open the case

Dear Sir or Madam

I have emailed your court on a number of occasions regarding my conviction and sentence for no insurance. I am making this request to have my case listed in order that I can do the following:-

1. Application to set aside the conviction
2. Re-open the case

Application to set aside the conviction:-

On 22nd July 2012 I was stopped and given a producer by the police as they did not believe that I held a valid policy of insurance. I attended the police station and I was advised that my case would be going to court and I would be summonsed in due course. I never received any summons in relation to this matter and I only became aware that the case had been dealt with in my absence when I received a letter from the DVLA advising me that I had to send in my driving licence. I disputed with the DVLA the points but I was given an ultimatum that if I did not send in my licence it would be revoked. I therefore had no choice but to send in my licence. I immediately

contacted the Court by telephone and I was advised to send an email. I sent in a number of emails and I have been given a number of emails but I have not received any confirmation from the court.

I forwarded a copy of my insurance policy to the court and again this was ignored. The offence of no insurance was allegedly committed on 22nd July 2012. I had a valid policy of insurance from 23rd March 2012 and this policy was valid until 22nd February 2013. The company insuring me was Tradex Insurance Company Limited. I am the named policy holder, Mr. Simon Cordell and my policy number is L/WST/MTP/0192359.

I have points on my licence which I should not have. Can you please as a matter of urgency email me back on this matter so that I can have a date so that the conviction can be set aside and my case heard in order that I can show the court my insurance and the PLO can make the necessary enquiries. Once this has happened, I would be grateful if the court could email the DVLA to advise them that the points have been issued in error and the fine be set aside also.

This matter is urgent and is dragging on. I cannot resolve this matter until the case is listed before either a District Judge or Magistrates so I would appreciate your cooperation. I am very disappointed that you have ignored all my emails. This is causing me stress. Can you now please resolve this legal matter by listing the case for an application to set aside the conviction? I can then forward my documents to the relevant prosecuting authority.

I await hearing from you in relation to this matter and I thank you in advance for your anticipated co-operation in this matter.

Yours faithfully Simon Cordell

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents. The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning

service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 30 July 2013 12:51
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Josephine

Hope you had a great holiday and a wonderful rest, would it be possible to email me back when you are back at work please.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 09:28
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Advance information on Simon's case

Lorraine

Please find attached copies of the following:-

Advance information

You will no doubt note that there is no detailed loser statement setting out the stock that was actually stolen, however there are a number of very unhelpful Q and A's recorded in the statement of PC Almy. These were also signed by Simon.

Can you please as a matter of urgency locate the receipt for the gazebo in the garden so that we can deal with this issue at trial. I will need confirmation of this so that I can include this in the defence case statement.

I am on annual leave as of 3pm today but I am hoping to access my email when I am away so please feel free to email me if there are any issues and questions that you have regarding this matter.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 05 August 2013 12:52

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Josephine

I am just writing this to get an update on Simon Case he wants to try for bail again on the 07/08/2013 when he is next in court. He has done some research and calls and from what he understand the judge is breaking his human rights in not letting him work.

Also I need an update as to when he is next in court for the trailer thing when he was at boomtown, I know it is Aug some time but need a date that it is listed for I am sure its for the case to be heard not sure what stage it is at.

Also Tyrone case at the police station today has been dropped the police called him and told him it was dropped.

Also I need to get these letters done for his case on the 12/08/2013 and I need to find out where he has to call to get the drugs test done.

I new you was busy over the weekend so just left you as I new you had a lot of work to do after I got your email.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 07 August 2013 22:10
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon's case

Hi Lorraine

Winchester Crown Court

This case is in the warned list for 29th August 2013. I am still waiting for Simon to provide witnesses who can corroborate the negotiations between Simon and the "seller".

Woolwich Crown Court

The main problem in this case is that Simon is unhappy that he is subject to an electronic curfew. As you are aware I did not request a curfew as I knew that this problem would arise. He was initially given a door step curfew but the Judge changed this to an electronic curfew. This is the preferable option as it is a qualifying curfew and counts half a day towards any custodial sentence, if convicted. The Judge did state an electronic tag as the clerk checked the tapes for what was said. The Judge is within his rights to do this as he will view this as relieving a burden in terms of man power from the police. I cannot challenge this as it is still a curfew.

Bail variation:

Simon has to be realistic in terms of what we can achieve here. One of my obligations as a solicitor is to manage a client's expectations. I will of course make an application to vary Simon's bail conditions but as I stated before I will require emails from Simon from clients requesting that he cover events with quotes given by Simon as to how much he will charge.

The smartest way to attempt to get Simon's bail varied is to ask for certain dates as opposed to lifting the curfew altogether as the Judge will not do this.

If Simon persists in stating that he is not allowed to work and earn his living then Simon will then have to complete a change in financial circumstances statements for both the Woolwich case and the Winchester case and the court will have to assess his monthly contributions for both cases. At present he does not have to make a contribution as he is in receipt of benefits.

I can only properly challenge the evidence in this case when I receive the case papers and then make my requests for secondary disclosure. The court would then be more amenable to an application to remove conditions of bail.

I am sorry that Simon feels that his case is not being handled properly. I sent Simon a client care letter at the commencement of this case outlining the complaints procedure. I do not believe that Michael was aware of the calls made by Simon to the office. I tried to deal with Simon's case personally and usually I do. e.g. Going to Birmingham Police Station in 2012, attending home address after his remand from Camberwell Green Magistrates Court to draft bail application.

I am not sure what happened when I was on annual leave but based on your email it appears that the complaint should be directed against me as I am the fee earner and I am meant to supervise case workers. Michael is the Principal of the Firm and not the fee earner on this case. The case workers knew I was contactable by email and did not contact me. Michael Carroll has had no dealings with this case.

(Since returning from annual leave I have dealt with 2 complex rapes, 3 GBH and a police station duty to date.

I did intend to call at your address this weekend but I was on duty and I had to deal with a complex rape at Colindale on Sunday. This case lasted all day. I can only apologise for this.)

If you do not believe that Michael can investigate and deal with your complaint then I must now advise you to address your complaint regarding this matter to Edel Speirits at the West London office. Her email is edel@michaelcarrollandco.com. If you are not satisfied with her resolution of the complaint then you can then proceed to complain to the Ombudsman.

I am sorry that Simon feels this way and I am sorry that you are disappointed in my conduct of this case.

I will forward this email to Michael Carroll in the morning but if you decide over night that you do not want Michael involved in the complaint and want to include him in the complaint then please confirm this so that I can forward your email to Edel Speirits.

Regards

Josephine Ward (Associate Solicitor)

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 08 August 2013 11:06
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon's case
Hi Jose

Simon does not blame you at all he knows you got a lot to do, and knows you do your job he just felt while you was away the office did not have a cue on what to do. He just wanted a reply as to what was going on and that was not happening. And did not in fact know that Michael Company was not involved in his case he was thinking that Michael Company was acting for him so when you went away they could deal with it which they did not. Michael also has talked to Simon about this on the phone more then once so is aware of this Simon did not like the way in which Michael talked to me or him but the last time he talked to Michael that was sorted over the phone.

Josey we don't have a problem with you at all. The problem we had was no one was able to deal with anything while you were away and that should not be the case the office should be able to deal with things if you are not around.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 07 August 2013 22:10
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon's case

Hi Lorraine

Winchester Crown Court

This case is in the warned list for 29th August 2013. I am still waiting for Simon to provide witnesses who can corroborate the negotiations between Simon and the "seller".

Woolwich Crown Court

The main problem in this case is that Simon is unhappy that he is subject to an electronic curfew. As you are aware I did not request a curfew as I knew that this problem would arise. He was initially given a door step curfew but the Judge changed this to an electronic curfew. This is the preferable option as it is a qualifying curfew and counts half a day towards any custodial sentence, if convicted. The Judge did state an electronic tag as the clerk checked the tapes for what was said. The Judge is within his rights to do this as he will view this as relieving a burden in terms of man power from the police. I cannot challenge this as it is still a curfew.

Bail variation:

Simon has to be realistic in terms of what we can achieve here. One of my obligations as a solicitor is to manage a client's expectations. I will of course make an application to vary Simon's bail conditions but as I stated before I will require emails from Simon from clients requesting that he cover events with quotes given by Simon as to how much he will charge.

The smartest way to attempt to get Simon's bail varied is to ask for certain dates as opposed to lifting the curfew altogether as the Judge will not do this.

If Simon persists in stating that he is not allowed to work and earn his living then Simon will then have to complete a change in financial circumstances statements for both the Woolwich case and the Winchester case and the court will have to assess his monthly contributions for both cases. At present he does not have to make a contribution as he is in receipt of benefits.

I can only properly challenge the evidence in this case when I receive the case papers and then make my requests for secondary disclosure. The court would then be more amenable to an application to remove conditions of bail.

I am sorry that Simon feels that his case is not being handled properly. I sent Simon a client care letter at the commencement of this case outlining the complaints procedure. I do not believe that Michael was aware of the calls made by Simon to the office. I tried to deal with Simon's case personally and usually I do. e.g. Going to Birmingham Police Station in 2012, attending home address after his remand from Camberwell Green Magistrates Court to draft bail application.

I am not sure what happened when I was on annual leave but based on your email it appears that the complaint should be directed against me as I am the fee earner and I am meant to supervise case workers. Michael is the Principal of the Firm and not the fee earner on this case. The case workers knew I was contactable by email and did not contact me. Michael Carroll has had no dealings with this case.

(Since returning from annual leave I have dealt with 2 complex rapes, 3 GBH and a police station duty to date.

I did intend to call at your address this weekend but I was on duty and I had to deal with a complex rape at Colindale on Sunday. This case lasted all day. I can only apologise for this.)

If you do not believe that Michael can investigate and deal with your complaint then I must now advise you to address your complaint regarding this matter to Edel Speirits at the West London office. Her email is edel@michaelcarrollandco.com. If you are not satisfied with her resolution of the complaint then you can then proceed to complain to the Ombudsman.

I am sorry that Simon feels this way and I am sorry that you are disappointed in my conduct of this case.

I will forward this email to Michael Carroll in the morning but if you decide over night that you do not want Michael involved in the complaint and want to include him in the complaint then please confirm this so that I can forward your email to Edel Speirits.

Regards

Josephine Ward (Associate Solicitor)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 08 August 2013 12:29

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

I am in the process of getting Simon's correspondence bundle scanned so that this can be emailed to you and Michael Carroll / Edel Speirits. Unfortunately as Simon has raised a complaint and is considering contacting the Legal Ombudsman then this will now have to be resolved as a matter of urgency.

I need confirmation as to whether Simon wants Michael himself, or Edel to investigate the complaint. Again if Simon can confirm this in writing please so that I can get this matter resolved, hopefully to the satisfaction of all parties. I understand that you are saying that Simon does not have a problem with me but I am the designated fee earner on the file and as such I have the overall responsibility, the buck stops with me.

The case papers have arrived this morning and I will scan and email you a copy before the close of business today. Can you please confirm dates when Simon will be available to attend the office to give his instructions on the case papers so that a defence case statement can be prepared.

Lorraine unfortunately I cannot now deal with Simon's case until his complaint has been resolved and another case worker will have to be resolved in the interim.

Please confirm the name of the person you want this investigate this matter by return email.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 08 August 2013 13:43

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear josey

Now Simon understands from your email he will not be taking it up with the Ombudsman or putting in a complaint so this can be dropped.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 08 August 2013 12:29

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

I am in the process of getting Simon's correspondence bundle scanned so that this can be emailed to you and Michael Carroll / Edel Speirits. Unfortunately as Simon has raised a complaint and is considering contacting the Legal Ombudsman then this will now have to be resolved as a matter of urgency.

I need confirmation as to whether Simon wants Michael himself, or Edel to investigate the complaint. Again if Simon can confirm this in writing please so that I can get this matter resolved, hopefully to the satisfaction of all parties. I understand that you are saying that Simon does not have a problem with me but I am the designated fee earner on the file and as such I have the overall responsibility, the buck stops with me.

The case papers have arrived this morning and I will scan and email you a copy before the close of business today. Can you please confirm dates when Simon will be available to attend the office to give his instructions on the case papers so that a defence case statement can be prepared.

Lorraine unfortunately I cannot now deal with Simon's case until his complaint has been resolved and another case worker will have to be resolved in the interim.

Please confirm the name of the person you want this investigate this matter by return email.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 08 August 2013 14:29

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine

Thank you for the email but I will require written confirmation from Simon to this effect.

The case papers will be sent over to you before the close of business today and I then want to arrange an appointment so that I can take Simon's instructions and draft his defence case statement.

Can Simon please provide me with a copy of his receipt for the gazebo as soon as possible as I will have to refer to this in his defence case statement.

I also need confirmation as to whether Simon was provided with a copy of his interview tape or not as a transcript will have to be prepared.

Regards

Josephine

On Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 1:43 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Dear josey

Now Simon understands from your email he will not be taking it up with the Ombudsman or putting in a complaint so this can be dropped.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 08 August 2013 12:29

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

I am in the process of getting Simon's correspondence bundle scanned so that this can be emailed to you and Michael Carroll / Edel Speirts. Unfortunately as Simon has raised a complaint and is

considering contacting the Legal Ombudsman then this will now have to be resolved as a matter of urgency.

I need confirmation as to whether Simon wants Michael himself, or Edel to investigate the complaint. Again if Simon can confirm this in writing please so that I can get this matter resolved, hopefully to the satisfaction of all parties. I understand that you are saying that Simon does not have a problem with me but I am the designated fee earner on the file and as such I have the overall responsibility, the buck stops with me.

The case papers have arrived this morning and I will scan and email you a copy before the close of business today. Can you please confirm dates when Simon will be available to attend the office to give his instructions on the case papers so that a defence case statement can be prepared.

Lorraine unfortunately I cannot now deal with Simon's case until his complaint has been resolved and another case worker will have to be resolved in the interim.

Please confirm the name of the person you want this investigate this matter by return email.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 08 August 2013 15:00

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Attachments: To Ms. Josephine Ward.doc

Hi Jose

Please read the attached letter

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 08 August 2013 14:29

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine

Thank you for the email but I will require written confirmation from Simon to this effect.

The case papers will be sent over to you before the close of business today and I then want to arrange an appointment so that I can take Simon's instructions and draft his defence case statement.

Can Simon please provide me with a copy of his receipt for the gazebo as soon as possible as I will have to refer to this in his defence case statement.

I also need confirmation as to whether Simon was provided with a copy of his interview tape or not as a transcript will have to be prepared.

Regards

Josephine

On Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 1:43 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Dear josey

Now Simon understands from your email he will not be taking it up with the Ombudsman or putting in a complaint so this can be dropped.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 08 August 2013 12:29

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

I am in the process of getting Simon's correspondence bundle scanned so that this can be emailed to

you and Michael Carroll / Edel Speirits. Unfortunately as Simon has raised a complaint and is considering contacting the Legal Ombudsman then this will now have to be resolved as a matter of urgency.

I need confirmation as to whether Simon wants Michael himself, or Edel to investigate the complaint. Again if Simon can confirm this in writing please so that I can get this matter resolved, hopefully to the satisfaction of all parties. I understand that you are saying that Simon does not have a problem with me but I am the designated fee earner on the file and as such I have the overall responsibility, the buck stops with me.

The case papers have arrived this morning and I will scan and email you a copy before the close of business today. Can you please confirm dates when Simon will be available to attend the office to give his instructions on the case papers so that a defence case statement can be prepared.

Lorraine unfortunately I cannot now deal with Simon's case until his complaint has been resolved and another case worker will have to be resolved in the interim.

Please confirm the name of the person you want this investigate this matter by return email.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 08 August 2013 15:12

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

Lorraine

Thank you for the letter.

Can you please forward a signed copy or I can collect it when I am taking client's instructions for the Woolwich matter.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 08 August 2013 16:07
To: Lorraine Cordell
Cc: Jay Lemos
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court (Burglary)

Attachments: Correspondence.pdf; Witness Statement 1-26.pdf; Exhibits 1-6.pdf; Bad character application and previous convictions.pdf; Unused Material.pdf
Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please find attached copies of the following:

1. Case papers - the exhibits are colour photographs and Simon is welcome to examine these at the office whenever he wants to.
2. Correspondence June & July
3. Correspondence August

I may have to send the correspondence as separate attachments. I have raised with the case-workers and Michael the absence of telephone call messages.

Can I suggest that you email thorough any requests or text me direct in order that I am kept informed as to any queries you / Simon may have.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 08 August 2013 16:08
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon's letter withdrawing complaint
Hi Jose yep you can pick it up as Simon has now already left to go home

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 08 August 2013 15:12
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

Lorraine

Thank you for the letter.

Can you please forward a signed copy or I can collect it when I am taking client's instructions for the Woolwich matter.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 08 August 2013 16:13

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

Hi Jose yep can you pick it up as Simon already left to go home so is not here now.

Also I got for to say I sent the letters over for Tyrone the pc has replied to say she got it the probation has not yet replied.

But Tyrone failed his Drugs test today so I don't know what's going to go on now he has been off of it since he went to court but it still showed up in his system.

The person who did the test wants to help Tyrone or so she says Tyrone said before all this went on about Brooke he was doing so well to her and passing all the drugs test since all this with Brooke he was so depressed he started again.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 08 August 2013 15:12

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon's letter withdrawing complaint

Lorraine

Thank you for the letter.

Can you please forward a signed copy or I can collect it when I am taking client's instructions for the Woolwich matter.

Regards

Josephine

Subject: Fwd: Provisional Tech Spec 2013 for Roger @ FX.xlsx
From: realravers@hotmail.co.uk (realravers@hotmail.co.uk)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Thursday, 8 August 2013, 23:18

Sent from my HTC

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "chris prince" <chris.prince@hotmail.co.uk>
Date: Thu, Aug 8, 2013 11:06 pm
Subject: Provisional Tech Spec 2013 for Roger @ FX.xlsx
To: <realravers@hotmail.co.uk>

From: Carolyn@oxjamchiswick.co.uk
To: chris.prince@hotmail.co.uk
Subject: Provisional Tech Spec 2013 for Roger @ FX.xlsx
Date: Fri, 14 Jun 2013 18:03:04 +0000

Sent from samsung mobile. On O2.

Carolyn
Fundraising Coordinator
Oxjam Chiswick



Part of the Oxjam Music Festival
Local music, global impact.

Oxjam Chiswick raises funds for Oxfam GB.
Oxfam GB Registered Charity No. England & Wales 202918, Scotland SC039042

Attachments

- Provisional Tech Spec 2013 for Roger @ FX.xlsx (0 B)
- 1.1.txt (676 B)
- 1.2.txt (2.50 KB)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 08 August 2013 12:29
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

I am in the process of getting Simon's correspondence bundle scanned so that this can be emailed to you and Michael Carroll / Edel Speirits. Unfortunately as Simon has raised a complaint and is considering contacting the Legal Ombudsman then this will now have to be resolved as a matter of urgency.

I need confirmation as to whether Simon wants Michael himself, or Edel to investigate the complaint. Again if Simon can confirm this in writing please so that I can get this matter resolved, hopefully to the satisfaction of all parties. I understand that you are saying that Simon does not have a problem with me but I am the designated fee earner on the file and as such I have the overall responsibility, the buck stops with me.

The case papers have arrived this morning and I will scan and email you a copy before the close of business today. Can you please confirm dates when Simon will be available to attend the office to give his instructions on the case papers so that a defence case statement can be prepared.

Lorraine unfortunately I cannot now deal with Simon's case until his complaint has been resolved and another case worker will have to be resolved in the interim.

Please confirm the name of the person you want this investigate this matter by return email.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 10 August 2013 12:23

To: Lorraine Cordell; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

Thank you for your telephone call today.

I am copying Michael Carroll into this email as he is now overseeing and monitoring all the work that I undertake in this case to ensure that I am preparing your case properly and to your satisfaction and complying with your instructions.

I set out below the main content of our conversation but if I have left anything out please come back to me as soon as possible.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Simon you confirmed that your benefits had been suspended and you did not have the funds to attend the police station every day. You stated that Edmonton Police Station is a 2 mile walk from where you currently live. You have asked me to make an application to remove this bail condition.

Secondly, you have asked that your curfew be suspended for an up and coming festival in Enfield.

Thirdly, you have asked me to consider the merits of making an application to dismiss the charges against you based on the fact that you entered a building that was being squatted in and therefore you were not a trespasser.

Fourthly you stated that the photographs sent to you were of poor quality and were in black and white.

Fifth, you complained that the barrister did not present your case properly.

Six, you requested your case papers from the Winchester case.

I will deal with each of the points that you have raised and the agreed action.

Point (1) I can make this application to remove the reporting the condition. I can source a map from the internet to show the distance and I can also show bus prices on an Oyster card £2.80 per day in fares as I assume only one bus is required. If I am wrong in this assumption can you please confirm by email the number of buses and the routes that the buses take at your earliest convenience. Can you also please ask your mother to email over the letters that you have sent to the Benefits Agency appealing and requesting the re-instatement of your benefits as this will assist my application.

Point (2) Again I can make an application to suspend your curfew on the dates of the festival but again I need the documentation from the Council regarding this to support your application.

Point (3) I will have to consider this point in more detail but if I can illustrate an analogy to you which I believe that the Judge will also use. Your case is that you were not a trespasser when you entered the building due to notices on both buildings which confirmed they were legal squats. You may well be right.

The law on burglary consists of entry to a building or part of a building, as a trespasser with intent to

either steal item, cause GBH or doing unlawful damage or actually does any of the aforementioned.

I will deal with the trespass point firstly. The notices in relation to the legal squat may well highlight that you were not a trespasser when you entered. (I confess that I need to research this point) I think that we can also agree that the squatters were not the owners of the building and had not made any claim for adverse possession. I have included some information on adverse possession for your ease of reference at the end of this email. Even if we can establish that you had a right to be in the building it does not follow that you had a right to any of the contents. These would still remain the property of the owner, unless you can provide me with the relevant section / legislation / case law. There are a number of instances when a person can enter premises initially by invitation but once they do an act contrary to the right of entry they then become a trespasser. i.e. a customer in a shop reaching over the counter and taking a sales assistant's purse / phone. This is burglary because the person has stolen property (a) that was not for sale (b) that was in a part of the building where the public did not have access.

The other stumbling block to an application to dismiss is your police interview. You accept presence and you accept purchasing items of garden furniture from a male called Mohammed. You state that you have a receipt. This is a trial point and one to be left to the jury as to whether you believed that Mohammed was lawfully entitled to sell the goods to you. Whilst considering this please bear in mind that although the notices allowed entry once Mohammed assumed the rights of the owner by disposing of the property and selling it he then arguably became a trespasser.

I am not concerned about the damage caused to the building as you state that this was caused on a previous occasion so I should be able to establish this from the CPS in my disclosure requests in your defence case statement.

Point (4) I can easily remedy this issue by bringing the photographs with me when I attend your mother's address near the end of next week. I will confirm the day closer to the time and I am grateful for your consideration and understanding that I cannot at this stage give you a fixed appointment. As you are no doubt aware my diary varies from day to day and emergencies do arise.

Point (5) Unfortunately I am only made aware of problems when clients tell me they are unhappy. I forwarded the correspondence bundle to your mother which demonstrated how much work I have actually undertaken in your case to date, both during social and unsocial house and even when I have been on annual leave.

Point (6) I will email the case papers over on the Winchester case under separate email as this is a separate case.

NEXT ACTION REQUIRED BY YOU:

1. Emails to Benefits Agency re appealing and requesting reinstatement of your Benefits
2. Documentation re negotiations with Enfield Council and confirmation of date when festival will take place.
3. Receipt from Focus confirming that the gazebo in the back garden of your property was not stolen.
4. List of witnesses present when you purchased the garden furniture
5. Receipt for the garden furniture

I hope that this email clarifies the information that I require.

NEXT ACTION BY MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Once I receive the above information I will email the Crown Court and the CPS and I will request an urgent application to remove the reporting conditions on the grounds of financial hardship.

I will confirm a visit nearer the end of next week in order that you can see the colour photographs. I will confirm with the barrister that they are happy to use black and white pictures and if this is the case I will give you the colour set.

Should you have any further questions then please email me back so that I can assist you further.

Regards

Josephine

PS Below I have attached some information regarding the law of adverse possession

The new regime – a brief overview

Prior to the coming into force of the LRA 2002, a squatter could acquire the right to be registered as proprietor of a registered estate if they had been in adverse possession of the land for a minimum of 12 years. However, the doctrine of adverse possession did not fit easily with the concept of indefeasibility of title that underlies the system of land registration. Nor could it be justified by the uncertainties as to ownership which can arise where land is unregistered; the legal estate is vested in the registered proprietor and they are identified in the register.

The LRA 2002 has created a new regime that applies only to registered land. This new regime is set out in Schedule 6 to the Act. It makes it more likely that a registered proprietor will be able to prevent an application for adverse possession of their land being completed. The following paragraphs provide a brief overview of the new regime; the remaining sections of this guide discuss it in more detail.

- Adverse possession of registered land for 12 years of itself will no longer affect the registered proprietor's title.
- After 10 years' adverse possession, the squatter will be entitled to apply to be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.
- On such an application being made the registered proprietor (and certain other persons interested in the land) will be notified and given the opportunity to oppose the application.
- If the application is not opposed¹, the squatter will be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.
- If the application is opposed, it will be rejected unless either:
 - it would be unconscionable because of an equity by estoppel for the registered proprietor to seek to dispossess the squatter and the squatter ought in the circumstances to be registered as proprietor
 - the squatter is for some other reason entitled to be registered as proprietor, or
 - the squatter has been in adverse possession of land adjacent to their own under the mistaken but reasonable belief that they are the owner of it, the exact line of the boundary with this adjacent land has not been determined and the estate to which the application relates was registered more than a year prior to the date of the application.
- In the event that the application is rejected but the squatter remains in adverse possession for a further two years, they will then be able, subject to certain exceptions, to reapply to be registered as proprietor and this time will be so registered whether or not anyone opposes the

application.

¹ By 'opposed' we mean that a counter notice is served; see section 8 *Giving counter notice to the registrar in response to notice*. Instead, or at the same time, the registered proprietor may object to the application on the ground that there has not been the necessary 10 years' adverse possession; see section 7 *Objecting to the squatter's application* for the implications of such an objection.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 10 August 2013 12:23

To: Lorraine Cordell; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

Thank you for your telephone call today.

I am copying Michael Carroll into this email as he is now overseeing and monitoring all the work that I undertake in this case to ensure that I am preparing your case properly and to your satisfaction and complying with your instructions.

I set out below the main content of our conversation but if I have left anything out please come back to me as soon as possible.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Simon you confirmed that your benefits had been suspended and you did not have the funds to attend the police station every day. You stated that Edmonton Police Station is a 2 mile walk from where you currently live. You have asked me to make an application to remove this bail condition.

Secondly, you have asked that your curfew be suspended for an up and coming festival in Enfield.

Thirdly, you have asked me to consider the merits of making an application to dismiss the charges against you based on the fact that you entered a building that was being squatted in and therefore you were not a trespasser.

Fourthly you stated that the photographs sent to you were of poor quality and were in black and white.

Fifth, you complained that the barrister did not present your case properly.

Six, you requested your case papers from the Winchester case.

I will deal with each of the points that you have raised and the agreed action.

Point (1) I can make this application to remove the reporting the condition. I can source a map from the internet to show the distance and I can also show bus prices on an Oyster card £2.80 per day in fares as I assume only one bus is required. If I am wrong in this assumption can you please confirm by email the number of buses and the routes that the buses take at your earliest convenience. Can you also please ask your mother to email over the letters that you have sent to the Benefits Agency appealing and requesting the re-instatement of your benefits as this will assist my application.

Point (2) Again I can make an application to suspend your curfew on the dates of the festival but again I need the documentation from the Council regarding this to support your application.

Point (3) I will have to consider this point in more detail but if I can illustrate an analogy to you which I believe that the Judge will also use. Your case is that you were not a trespasser when you entered the building due to notices on both buildings which confirmed they were legal squats. You may well be right.

The law on burglary consists of entry to a building or part of a building, as a trespasser with intent to

either steal item, cause GBH or doing unlawful damage or actually does any of the aforementioned.

I will deal with the trespass point firstly. The notices in relation to the legal squat may well highlight that you were not a trespasser when you entered. (I confess that I need to research this point) I think that we can also agree that the squatters were not the owners of the building and had not made any claim for adverse possession. I have included some information on adverse possession for your ease of reference at the end of this email. Even if we can establish that you had a right to be in the building it does not follow that you had a right to any of the contents. These would still remain the property of the owner, unless you can provide me with the relevant section / legislation / case law. There are a number of instances when a person can enter premises initially by invitation but once they do an act contrary to the right of entry they then become a trespasser. i.e. a customer in a shop reaching over the counter and taking a sales assistant's purse / phone. This is burglary because the person has stolen property (a) that was not for sale (b) that was in a part of the building where the public did not have access.

The other stumbling block to an application to dismiss is your police interview. You accept presence and you accept purchasing items of garden furniture from a male called Mohammed. You state that you have a receipt. This is a trial point and one to be left to the jury as to whether you believed that Mohammed was lawfully entitled to sell the goods to you. Whilst considering this please bear in mind that although the notices allowed entry once Mohammed assumed the rights of the owner by disposing of the property and selling it he then arguably became a trespasser.

I am not concerned about the damage caused to the building as you state that this was caused on a previous occasion so I should be able to establish this from the CPS in my disclosure requests in your defence case statement.

Point (4) I can easily remedy this issue by bringing the photographs with me when I attend your mother's address near the end of next week. I will confirm the day closer to the time and I am grateful for your consideration and understanding that I cannot at this stage give you a fixed appointment. As you are no doubt aware my diary varies from day to day and emergencies do arise.

Point (5) Unfortunately I am only made aware of problems when clients tell me they are unhappy. I forwarded the correspondence bundle to your mother which demonstrated how much work I have actually undertaken in your case to date, both during social and unsocial house and even when I have been on annual leave.

Point (6) I will email the case papers over on the Winchester case under separate email as this is a separate case.

NEXT ACTION REQUIRED BY YOU:

1. Emails to Benefits Agency re appealing and requesting reinstatement of your Benefits
2. Documentation re negotiations with Enfield Council and confirmation of date when festival will take place.
3. Receipt from Focus confirming that the gazebo in the back garden of your property was not stolen.
4. List of witnesses present when you purchased the garden furniture
5. Receipt for the garden furniture

I hope that this email clarifies the information that I require.

NEXT ACTION BY MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Once I receive the above information I will email the Crown Court and the CPS and I will request an urgent application to remove the reporting conditions on the grounds of financial hardship.

I will confirm a visit nearer the end of next week in order that you can see the colour photographs. I will confirm with the barrister that they are happy to use black and white pictures and if this is the case I will give you the colour set.

Should you have any further questions then please email me back so that I can assist you further.

Regards

Josephine

PS Below I have attached some information regarding the law of adverse possession

The new regime – a brief overview

Prior to the coming into force of the LRA 2002, a squatter could acquire the right to be registered as proprietor of a registered estate if they had been in adverse possession of the land for a minimum of 12 years. However, the doctrine of adverse possession did not fit easily with the concept of indefeasibility of title that underlies the system of land registration. Nor could it be justified by the uncertainties as to ownership which can arise where land is unregistered; the legal estate is vested in the registered proprietor and they are identified in the register.

The LRA 2002 has created a new regime that applies only to registered land. This new regime is set out in Schedule 6 to the Act. It makes it more likely that a registered proprietor will be able to prevent an application for adverse possession of their land being completed. The following paragraphs provide a brief overview of the new regime; the remaining sections of this guide discuss it in more detail.

- Adverse possession of registered land for 12 years of itself will no longer affect the registered proprietor's title.
- After 10 years' adverse possession, the squatter will be entitled to apply to be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.
- On such an application being made the registered proprietor (and certain other persons interested in the land) will be notified and given the opportunity to oppose the application.
- If the application is not opposed¹, the squatter will be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.
- If the application is opposed, it will be rejected unless either:
 - it would be unconscionable because of an equity by estoppel for the registered proprietor to seek to dispossess the squatter and the squatter ought in the circumstances to be registered as proprietor
 - the squatter is for some other reason entitled to be registered as proprietor, or
 - the squatter has been in adverse possession of land adjacent to their own under the mistaken but reasonable belief that they are the owner of it, the exact line of the boundary with this adjacent land has not been determined and the estate to which the application relates was registered more than a year prior to the date of the application.
- In the event that the application is rejected but the squatter remains in adverse possession for a further two years, they will then be able, subject to certain exceptions, to reapply to be registered as proprietor and this time will be so registered whether or not anyone opposes the

application.

¹ By 'opposed' we mean that a counter notice is served; see section 8 *Giving counter notice to the registrar in response to notice*. Instead, or at the same time, the registered proprietor may object to the application on the ground that there has not been the necessary 10 years' adverse possession; see section 7 *Objecting to the squatter's application* for the implications of such an objection.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 10 August 2013 13:27

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at Woolwich Crown Court

Attachments: Sim-DWP.doc; Sim-Baljit-saimbi-Income-surport.doc

Hi Josey

I send you the letters 1st to the DWP as to what I have written to them to get Simon claim reopened for benefit. I will need to list everything you covered 1 by 1 if that's ok so please see attached letters for Simon Benefit.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 10 August 2013 12:23

To: Lorraine Cordell; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

Thank you for your telephone call today.

I am copying Michael Carroll into this email as he is now overseeing and monitoring all the work that I undertake in this case to ensure that I am preparing your case properly and to your satisfaction and complying with your instructions.

I set out below the main content of our conversation but if I have left anything out please come back to me as soon as possible.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Simon you confirmed that your benefits had been suspended and you did not have the funds to attend the police station every day. You stated that Edmonton Police Station is a 2 mile walk from where you currently live. You have asked me to make an application to remove this bail condition.

Secondly, you have asked that your curfew be suspended for an up and coming festival in Enfield.

Thirdly, you have asked me to consider the merits of making an application to dismiss the charges against you based on the fact that you entered a building that was being squatted in and therefore you were not a trespasser.

Fourthly you stated that the photographs sent to you were of poor quality and were in black and white.

Fifth, you complained that the barrister did not present your case properly.

Six, you requested your case papers from the Winchester case.

I will deal with each of the points that you have raised and the agreed action.

Point (1) I can make this application to remove the reporting the condition. I can source a map from the internet to show the distance and I can also show bus prices on an Oyster card £2.80 per day in

fares as I assume only one bus is required. If I am wrong in this assumption can you please confirm by email the number of buses and the routes that the buses take at your earliest convenience. Can you also please ask your mother to email over the letters that you have sent to the Benefits Agency appealing and requesting the re-instatement of your benefits as this will assist my application.

Point (2) Again I can make an application to suspend your curfew on the dates of the festival but again I need the documentation from the Council regarding this to support your application.

Point (3) I will have to consider this point in more detail but if I can illustrate an analogy to you which I believe that the Judge will also use. Your case is that you were not a trespasser when you entered the building due to notices on both buildings which confirmed they were legal squats. You may well be right.

The law on burglary consists of entry to a building or part of a building, as a trespasser with intent to either steal item, cause GBH or doing unlawful damage or actually does any of the aforementioned.

I will deal with the trespass point firstly. The notices in relation to the legal squat may well highlight that you were not a trespasser when you entered. (I confess that I need to research this point) I think that we can also agree that the squatters were not the owners of the building and had not made any claim for adverse possession. I have included some information on adverse possession for your ease of reference at the end of this email. Even if we can establish that you had a right to be in the building it does not follow that you had a right to any of the contents. These would still remain the property of the owner, unless you can provide me with the relevant section / legislation / case law. There are a number of instances when a person can enter premises initially by invitation but once they do an act contrary to the right of entry they then become a trespasser. i.e. a customer in a shop reaching over the counter and taking a sales assistant's purse / phone. This is burglary because the person has stolen property (a) that was not for sale (b) that was in a part of the building where the public did not have access.

The other stumbling block to an application to dismiss is your police interview. You accept presence and you accept purchasing items of garden furniture from a male called Mohammed. You state that you have a receipt. This is a trial point and one to be left to the jury as to whether you believed that Mohammed was lawfully entitled to sell the goods to you. Whilst considering this please bear in mind that although the notices allowed entry once Mohammed assumed the rights of the owner by disposing of the property and selling it he then arguably became a trespasser.

I am not concerned about the damage caused to the building as you state that this was caused on a previous occasion so I should be able to establish this from the CPS in my disclosure requests in your defence case statement.

Point (4) I can easily remedy this issue by bringing the photographs with me when I attend your mother's address near the end of next week. I will confirm the day closer to the time and I am grateful for your consideration and understanding that I cannot at this stage give you a fixed appointment. As you are no doubt aware my diary varies from day to day and emergencies do arise.

Point (5) Unfortunately I am only made aware of problems when clients tell me they are unhappy. I forwarded the correspondence bundle to your mother which demonstrated how much work I have actually undertaken in your case to date, both during social and unsocial house and even when I have been on annual leave.

Point (6) I will email the case papers over on the Winchester case under separate email as this is a separate case.

NEXT ACTION REQUIRED BY YOU:

1. Emails to Benefits Agency re appealing and requesting reinstatement of your Benefits
2. Documentation re negotiations with Enfield Council and confirmation of date when festival will take place.
3. Receipt from Focus confirming that the gazebo in the back garden of your property was not stolen.
4. List of witnesses present when you purchased the garden furniture
5. Receipt for the garden furniture

I hope that this email clarifies the information that I require.

NEXT ACTION BY MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Once I receive the above information I will email the Crown Court and the CPS and I will request an urgent application to remove the reporting conditions on the grounds of financial hardship.

I will confirm a visit nearer the end of next week in order that you can see the colour photographs. I will confirm with the barrister that they are happy to use black and white pictures and if this is the case I will give you the colour set.

Should you have any further questions then please email me back so that I can assist you further.

Regards

Josephine

PS Below I have attached some information regarding the law of adverse possession

The new regime – a brief overview

Prior to the coming into force of the LRA 2002, a squatter could acquire the right to be registered as proprietor of a registered estate if they had been in adverse possession of the land for a minimum of 12 years. However, the doctrine of adverse possession did not fit easily with the concept of indefeasibility of title that underlies the system of land registration. Nor could it be justified by the uncertainties as to ownership which can arise where land is unregistered; the legal estate is vested in the registered proprietor and they are identified in the register.

The LRA 2002 has created a new regime that applies only to registered land. This new regime is set out in Schedule 6 to the Act. It makes it more likely that a registered proprietor will be able to prevent an application for adverse possession of their land being completed. The following paragraphs provide a brief overview of the new regime; the remaining sections of this guide discuss it in more detail.

- Adverse possession of registered land for 12 years of itself will no longer affect the registered proprietor's title.
- After 10 years' adverse possession, the squatter will be entitled to apply to be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.
- On such an application being made the registered proprietor (and certain other persons interested in the land) will be notified and given the opportunity to oppose the application.
- If the application is not opposed¹, the squatter will be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.
- If the application is opposed, it will be rejected unless either:
 - it would be unconscionable because of an equity by estoppel for the registered proprietor

to seek to dispossess the squatter and the squatter ought in the circumstances to be registered as proprietor

- the squatter is for some other reason entitled to be registered as proprietor, or
- the squatter has been in adverse possession of land adjacent to their own under the mistaken but reasonable belief that they are the owner of it, the exact line of the boundary with this adjacent land has not been determined and the estate to which the application relates was registered more than a year prior to the date of the application.
- In the event that the application is rejected but the squatter remains in adverse possession for a further two years, they will then be able, subject to certain exceptions, to reapply to be registered as proprietor and this time will be so registered whether or not anyone opposes the application.

¹ By 'opposed' we mean that a counter notice is served; see section 8 *Giving counter notice to the registrar in response to notice*. Instead, or at the same time, the registered proprietor may object to the application on the ground that there has not been the necessary 10 years' adverse possession; see section 7 *Objecting to the squatter's application* for the implications of such an objection.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 August 2013 13:40
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Ponders End Floor Plan

Attachments: Ponders-end-page-01 .jpg; Ponder-end-Page-02.jpg

Hi Josey

There is going to be 2 emails for the Ponders End Festivals 2013 for Enfield Council I had to forward these from Simon Email to mine so I can send them to you. I have also have made a copy of the flyer and will attach this to this email. Simon is meant to be supplying all the power for this event with his Gen and if all goes well he will be the main person supplying all things for Enfield Council events from this point on. He will be given the main contract if all works ok with this one.

He has not told Omar Lawrence who sets up these events for Enfield Council as to this case, and if the court would want to contract him please let Simon know as this he will need to address with the Omar Lawrence. I will send the floor plan over next which is in PDF format.

Lorraine

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 10 August 2013 13:06
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Fw: Ponders End Floor Plan

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
To: Omar Lawrence <omar.niburumedia@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, 5 August 2013, 19:07
Subject: Re: Ponders End Floor Plan

Dear Omar Lawrence

Thank you for the information i am meeting my electrician tomorrow 06/08/2013 where i should get the information that you will need as to all the power cables needed and if we have them in stock or will need to be hire in.

The electrical certificate for the Generator you will need to be handed in for the event, and the public liability for the spaceball.

All of this will be addressed this week and i will forward you all the information you need and any think that maybe of help for your event.

Simon.

From: Omar Lawrence <omar.niburumedia@gmail.com>
To: too smooth <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Thursday, 1 August 2013, 15:00
Subject: re: Ponders End Floor Plan

FYL...

--

OMAR LAWRENCE

Niburu Media.

Enfield Enterprise Centre

26-28 Queensway

Ponders end

Enfield EN3 4SA

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 August 2013 13:41
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Ponders End Floor Plan

Attachments: floor plan ponders end festival.pdf

Hi Josey

Here is the floor plan for Ponders End Festival.

Lorraine

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 10 August 2013 13:07
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Fw: Ponders End Floor Plan

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Omar Lawrence <omar.niburumedia@gmail.com>
To: too smooth <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Thursday, 1 August 2013, 15:00
Subject: re: Ponders End Floor Plan

FYI...

--

OMAR LAWRENCE
Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 10 August 2013 14:43
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon Cordell
Lorraine

Please send me the emails again re Omar Lawrence on their own without making reference to Simon not disclosing his court case to Omar Lawrence so send a fresh email as opposed to a reply to one that I have sent you otherwise all the emails will be included.

I will now progress the application to vary Simon's bail and I will copy you in on the emails. One observation that I do make and the Judge will pick up on this is the time of the festival from 12pm - 6pm. Whilst the Judge may extend the curfew time he may not suspend it. However if Simon is remaining on site with his equipment over night then I can include this with my representations for bail.

Please get back to me.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 August 2013 15:20
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Ponders End Floor Plan

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 10 August 2013 13:06
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Fw: Ponders End Floor Plan

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
To: Omar Lawrence <omar.niburumedia@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, 5 August 2013, 19:07
Subject: Re: Ponders End Floor Plan

Dear Omar Lawrence

Thank you for the information i am meeting my electrician tomorrow 06/08/2013 where i should get the information that you will need as to all the power cables needed and if we have them in stock or will need to be hire in.

The electrical certificate for the Generator you will need to be handed in for the event, and the public liability for the spaceball.

All of this will be addressed this week and i will forward you all the information you need and any think that maybe of help for your event.

Simon.

From: Omar Lawrence <omar.niburumedia@gmail.com>
To: too smooth <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Thursday, 1 August 2013, 15:00
Subject: re: Ponders End Floor Plan

FYI...

--

OMAR LAWRENCE
Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 August 2013 15:20
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Ponders End Floor Plan

Attachments: floor plan ponders end festival.pdf

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 10 August 2013 13:07
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Fw: Ponders End Floor Plan

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Omar Lawrence <omar.niburumedia@gmail.com>
To: too smooth <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Thursday, 1 August 2013, 15:00
Subject: re: Ponders End Floor Plan

FYI...

--

OMAR LAWRENCE
Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 August 2013 13:19
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon's application to vary his curfew for 25th & 26th August 2013 (12pm - 6pm)
Lorraine

Thank you for your emails to date in respect of this matter.

The emails that you have forwarded does not specifically state that Simon has been booked so I will need an email confirming this and the agreed fee etc. Can you also please confirm the number of days that the festival goes on for and the duration. The flyer refers to 26th August 2013 from 12pm -6pm but there is no time specified for the 25th August 2013.

The application that I will be making will be for curfew suspension from 25th and 26th August 2013. The Judge will however require information as to why he requires the whole of the 26th bearing in mind that the festival runs from 12pm - 6pm.

Can you provide additional instructions on this please and also an email confirming that Simon is providing the entertainment.

I will proceed and try to get the reporting condition relaxed in the interim and will await your instructions in relation to the above.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 11 August 2013 14:02

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk; kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk; Lorraine Cordell; Jay Lemos

Cc: jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary conditions of bail at Woolwich Crown Court on 16th August 2013 if matter cannot be resolved and agreed administratively

Attachments: APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.pdf; DOCUMENTATION IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL 110813.pdf; INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF VARYING THE BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.doc

Dear Sir or Madam

We continue to act on behalf of our above named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

Our client has instructed us to make an application to vary his bail conditions so that the reporting condition is removed in its entirety, and if an alternative bail condition is required then his mother is willing to stand surety £1,000.

We attach the following:

- (1) Reasons for application
- (2) Notice of application for court to consider bail
- (3) Map printed from internet supporting the distance from the client's address to the local police station

We also add that £19.60 represents 40% of his benefits when they are re-instated and this will cause our client financial hardship.

We would be grateful if you oppose this application that a representative is available for the application to be determined in Court on 16th August 2013.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 11 August 2013 14:12

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk; Jay Lemos; Lorraine Cordell; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com; kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk; jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell Bail variation request 16th August 2013 if not agreed administratively

Attachments: letters to Job centre evidence for bail variation 110813.pdf

Dear Sirs

We refer to the above.

We forgot to attach the letters sent by the family to the Job Centre. There were a number of telephone calls also made but regrettably these are not in documentary format.

Please ensure that this is married to the documentation sent on our earlier email at 2.02pm.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 11 August 2013 14:15

To: Lorraine Cordell; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com

Subject: Omar Lawrence Lorraine / Simon

The CPS and Court will undoubtedly wish to contact Omar Lawrence so if Simon will have to consider putting Mr Lawrence on notice re this.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 11 August 2013 16:38

To: wired_@ymail.com; Lorraine Cordell; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell - further application to vary bail and suspend curfew on 25th and 26th August 2013

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Simon it is my understanding that your mother is having internet difficulties at present. I sent over a number of email this weekend which I will try to forward over to you from my trash box.

Re: application to remove reporting condition.

I confirm that I have lodged this application already.

Re: application to suspend curfew for 25th and 26th August 2013

Simon I have requested written confirmation from Omar Lawrence that you have been awarded the contract together with the particulars of the contract as the Court will undoubtedly ask for this information. There is also a risk that the Court / Police will check that the contract is genuine and this may well entail telephoning Omar Lawrence to check the detail. It is not unusual for the court to check bail addresses by analogy so I am merely putting you on notice of this fact.

I will not have any control over the information that is provided by the police to Mr Omar Lawrence but they will have to identify themselves as police officers and this may raise questions about why police are making these enquiries.

Your mother has explained that you may lose the contract as a result of this and unfortunately I do not have any control over this.

The options therefore available to you are as follows:

- (1) Attend the festival during the curfew hours and then go home
- (2) Apply to the court to vary the electronic curfew and request that the court do not disclose details of your criminal history or current court case. However Mr Lawrence may well wonder why an enquiry has been made
- (3) Do not make any application and take your chances - this is not advised as you will be arrested for breaching your bail and there is a high risk of remand to prison until the conclusion of your case
- (4) Discuss the matter with Omar Lawrence beforehand so that he is aware and he can confirm this in the email to the court. The risk with this is that he may use you for this festival but may seek an alternative resource next year
- (5) The final option of course is not to make the application and attend in person during your curfew hours and get an alternative person who you trust to look after your equipment overnight on 25th August 2013. This option allows you to retain the potential for further contracts via your company, ensures that future clients are not aware of your previous criminal history and will also safeguard any future contracts with Enfield Council.

Clearly this is a matter that you need to consider very carefully and weigh up the pros and cons of each option. I am aware how important this festival is to you and your business.

If you decide to make the application to vary bail then I will need the following from you by 15th August 2013:

1. Letter from Omar Lawrence that you are hired for the festival

I will need this information by 15th August as the court and CPS will require 5 days to agree the matter administratively, failing which I will ask for a fixed hearing on 22nd or 23rd August 2013.

I await your instructions with regards to the above matter. I have confirmed to your mother that I will require your instructions in writing.

Should you have any questions then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Josephine

PS I will endeavour to send all other emails from trash box. Your mother has copies on her system.

Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell Bail variation request 16th Agust 2013 if not agreed administratively

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:42

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Aug 11, 2013 at 2:11 PM

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell Bail variation request 16th Agust 2013 if not agreed administratively

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk, Jay Lemoso <jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk>, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>, michael@michaelcarrollandco.com, kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk, jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Dear Sirs

We refer to the above.

We forgot to attach the letters sent by the family to the Job Centre. There were a number of telephone calls also made but regrettably these are not in documentary format.

Please ensure that this is married to the documentation sent on our earlier email at 2.02pm.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Attachments

- letters to Job centre evidence for bail variation 110813.pdf (35.51 KB)

Subject: Fwd: Simon's application to vary his curfew for 25th & 26th August 2013 (12pm - 6pm)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:43

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, Aug 11, 2013 at 1:18 PM
Subject: Simon's application to vary his curfew for 25th & 26th August 2013 (12pm - 6pm)
To: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>

Lorraine

Thank you for your emails to date in respect of this matter.

The emails that you have forwarded does not specifically state that Simon has been booked so I will need an email confirming this and the agreed fee etc. Can you also please confirm the number of days that the festival goes on for and the duration. The flyer refers to 26th August 2013 from 12pm -6pm but there is no time specified for the 25th August 2013.

The application that I will be making will be for curfew suspension from 25th and 26th August 2013. The Judge will however require information as to why he requires the whole of the 26th bearing in mind that the festival runs from 12pm - 6pm.

Can you provide additional instructions on this please and also an email confirming that Simon is providing the entertainment.

I will proceed and try to get the reporting condition relaxed in the interim and will await your instructions in relation to the above.

Regards

Josephine

Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary conditions of bail at Woolwich Crown Court on 16th August 2013 if matter cannot be resolved and agree

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:43

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Aug 11, 2013 at 2:02 PM

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary conditions of bail at Woolwich Crown Court on 16th August 2013 if matter cannot be resolved and agreed administratively

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk, kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>, Jay Lemoso <jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk>

Cc: jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Dear Sir or Madam

We continue to act on behalf of our above named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

Our client has instructed us to make an application to vary his bail conditions so that the reporting condition is removed in it's entirety, and if an alternative bail condition is required then his mother is willing to stand surety £1,000.

We attach the following:

- (1) Reasons for application
- (2) Notice of application for court to consider bail
- (3) Map printed from internet supporting the distance from the client's address to the local police station

We also add that £19.60 represents 40% of his benefits when they are re-instated and this will cause our client financial hardship.

We would be grateful if you oppose this application that a representative is available for the application to be determined in Court on 16th August 2013.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Attachments

- APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.pdf (69.81 KB)
- DOCUMENTATION IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL 110813.pdf (81.43 KB)

- INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF VARYING THE BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.doc (21.50 KB)

Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at Woolwich Crown Court

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:45

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Sat, Aug 10, 2013 at 1:26 PM
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at Woolwich Crown Court
To: JOSEPHINE WARD <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Hi Josey

I send you the letters 1st to the DWP as to what I have written to them to get Simon claim reopened for benefit. I will need to list everything you covered 1 by 1 if that's ok so please see attached letters for Simon Benefit.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 10 August 2013 12:23
To: Lorraine Cordell; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine / Simon

Thank you for your telephone call today.

I am copying Michael Carroll into this email as he is now overseeing and monitoring all the work that I undertake in this case to ensure that I am preparing your case properly and to your satisfaction and complying with your instructions.

I set out below the main content of our conversation but if I have left anything out please come back to me as soon as possible.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Simon you confirmed that your benefits had been suspended and you did not have the funds to attend the police station every day. You stated that Edmonton Police Station is a 2 mile walk from where you currently live. You have asked me to make an application to remove this bail condition.

Secondly, you have asked that your curfew be suspended for an up and coming festival in Enfield.

Thirdly, you have asked me to consider the merits of making an application to dismiss the charges against you based on the fact that you entered a building that was being squatted in and therefore you were not a trespasser.

Fourthly you stated that the photographs sent to you were of poor quality and were in black and white.

Fifth, you complained that the barrister did not present your case properly.

Six, you requested your case papers from the Winchester case.

I will deal with each of the points that you have raised and the agreed action.

Point (1) I can make this application to remove the reporting the condition. I can source a map from the internet to show the distance and I can also show bus prices on an Oyster card £2.80 per day in fares as I assume only one bus is required. If I am wrong in this assumption can you please confirm by email the number of buses and the routes that the buses take at your earliest convenience. Can you also please ask your mother to email over the letters that you have sent to the Benefits Agency appealing and requesting the re-instatement of your benefits as this will assist my application.

Point (2) Again I can make an application to suspend your curfew on the dates of the festival but again I need the documentation from the Council regarding this to support your application.

Point (3) I will have to consider this point in more detail but if I can illustrate an analogy to you which I believe that the Judge will also use. Your case is that you were not a trespasser when you entered the building due to notices on both buildings which confirmed they were legal squats. You may well be right.

The law on burglary consists of entry to a building or part of a building, as a trespasser with intent to either steal item, cause GBH or doing unlawful damage or actually does any of the aforementioned.

I will deal with the trespass point firstly. The notices in relation to the legal squat may well highlight that you were not a trespasser when you entered. (I confess that I need to research this point) I think that we can also agree that the squatters were not the owners of the building and had not made any claim for adverse possession. I have included some information on adverse possession for your ease of reference at the end of this email. Even if we can establish that you had a right to be in the building it does not follow that you had a right to any of the contents. These would still remain the property of the owner, unless you can provide me with the relevant section / legislation / case law. There are a number of instances when a person can enter premises initially by invitation but once they do an act contrary to the right of entry they then become a trespasser. i.e. a customer in a shop reaching over the counter and taking a sales assistant's purse / phone. This is burglary because the person has stolen property (a) that was not for sale (b) that was in a part of the building where the public did not have access.

The other stumbling block to an application to dismiss is your police interview. You accept presence and you accept purchasing items of garden furniture from a male called Mohammed. You state that you have a receipt. This is a trial point and one to be left to the jury as to whether you believed that Mohammed was lawfully

entitled to sell the goods to you. Whilst considering this please bear in mind that although the notices allowed entry once Mohammed assumed the rights of the owner by disposing of the property and selling it he then arguably became a trespasser.

I am not concerned about the damage caused to the building as you state that this was caused on a previous occasion so I should be able to establish this from the CPS in my disclosure requests in your defence case statement.

Point (4) I can easily remedy this issue by bringing the photographs with me when I attend your mother's address near the end of next week. I will confirm the day closer to the time and I am grateful for your consideration and understanding that I cannot at this stage give you a fixed appointment. As you are no doubt aware my diary varies from day to day and emergencies do arise.

Point (5) Unfortunately I am only made aware of problems when clients tell me they are unhappy. I forwarded the correspondence bundle to your mother which demonstrated how much work I have actually undertaken in your case to date, both during social and unsocial house and even when I have been on annual leave.

Point (6) I will email the case papers over on the Winchester case under separate email as this is a separate case.

NEXT ACTION REQUIRED BY YOU:

1. Emails to Benefits Agency re appealing and requesting reinstatement of your Benefits
2. Documentation re negotiations with Enfield Council and confirmation of date when festival will take place.
3. Receipt from Focus confirming that the gazebo in the back garden of your property was not stolen.
4. List of witnesses present when you purchased the garden furniture
5. Receipt for the garden furniture

I hope that this email clarifies the information that I require.

NEXT ACTION BY MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Once I receive the above information I will email the Crown Court and the CPS and I will request an urgent application to remove the reporting conditions on the grounds of financial hardship.

I will confirm a visit nearer the end of next week in order that you can see the colour photographs. I will confirm with the barrister that they are happy to use black and white pictures and if this is the case I will give you the colour set.

Should you have any further questions then please email me back so that I can assist you further.

Regards

Josephine

PS Below I have attached some information regarding the law of adverse possession

The new regime – a brief overview

Prior to the coming into force of the LRA 2002, a squatter could acquire the right to be registered as proprietor of a registered estate if they had been in adverse possession of the land for a minimum of 12 years. However, the doctrine of adverse possession did not fit easily with the concept of indefeasibility of title that underlies the system of land registration. Nor could it be justified by the uncertainties as to ownership which can arise where land is unregistered; the legal estate is vested in the registered proprietor and they are identified in the register.

The LRA 2002 has created a new regime that applies only to registered land. This new regime is set out in Schedule 6 to the Act. It makes it more likely that a registered proprietor will be able to prevent an application for adverse possession of their land being completed. The following paragraphs provide a brief overview of the new regime; the remaining sections of this guide discuss it in more detail.

- Adverse possession of registered land for 12 years of itself will no longer affect the registered proprietor's title.
- After 10 years' adverse possession, the squatter will be entitled to apply to be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.
- On such an application being made the registered proprietor (and certain other persons interested in the land) will be notified and given the opportunity to oppose the application.
- If the application is not opposed¹, the squatter will be registered as proprietor in place of the registered proprietor of the land.
- If the application is opposed, it will be rejected unless either:
 - it would be unconscionable because of an equity by estoppel for the registered proprietor to seek to dispossess the squatter and the squatter ought in the circumstances to be registered as proprietor
 - the squatter is for some other reason entitled to be registered as proprietor, or
 - the squatter has been in adverse possession of land adjacent to their own under the mistaken but reasonable belief that they are the owner of it, the exact line of the boundary with this adjacent land has not been determined and the estate to which the application relates was registered more than a year prior to the date of the application.
- In the event that the application is rejected but the squatter remains in adverse possession for a further two years, they will then be able, subject to certain exceptions, to reapply to be registered as proprietor and this time will be so registered whether or not anyone opposes the application.

¹ By 'opposed' we mean that a counter notice is served; see section 8 *Giving counter notice to the registrar in response to notice*. Instead, or at the same time, the registered proprietor may object to the application on the ground that there has not been the necessary 10 years' adverse possession; see section 7 *Objecting to the squatter's application* for the implications of such an objection.

Attachments

- Sim-DWP.doc (27.50 KB)
- Sim-Baljit-saimbi-Income-surport.doc (25.50 KB)

Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court (Burglary)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:46

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 4:06 PM
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court (Burglary)
To: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Cc: Jay Lemos <jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk>

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please find attached copies of the following:

1. Case papers - the exhibits are colour photographs and Simon is welcome to examine these at the office whenever he wants to.
2. Correspondence June & July
3. Correspondence August

I may have to send the correspondence as separate attachments. I have raised with the case-workers and Michael the absence of telephone call messages.

Can I suggest that you email thorough any requests or text me direct in order that I am kept informed as to any queries you / Simon may have.

Regards

Josephine

Attachments

- Correspondence.pdf (2.58 MB)
- Witness Statement 1-26.pdf (1.26 MB)
- Exhibits 1-6.pdf (395.36 KB)
- Bad character application and previous convictions.pdf (378.14 KB)
- Unused Material.pdf (483.69 KB)

Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:46

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 2:29 PM
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am at Woolwich Crown Court
To: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>

Dear Lorraine

Thank you for the email but I will require written confirmation from Simon to this effect.

The case papers will be sent over to you before the close of business today and I then want to arrange an appointment so that I can take Simon's instructions and draft his defence case statement.

Can Simon please provide me with a copy of his receipt for the gazebo as soon as possible as I will have to refer to this in his defence case statement.

I also need confirmation as to whether Simon was provided with a copy of his interview tape of not as a transcript will have to be prepared.

Regards

Josephine

On Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 1:43 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Dear josey

Now Simon understands from your email he will not be taking it up with the Ombudsman or putting in a complaint so this can be dropped.

Lorraine

Subject: Fwd: Tyrone Benjamin

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:47

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 11:48 AM
Subject: Re: Tyrone Benjamin
To: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>

Dear Lorraine / Tyrone

The letters appear to be very short. Can I suggest the following:

Dear Catherine

Thank you for the help that you gave me up until the date of this incident. As you are aware I have been trying my hardest to beat my addiction to Class A drugs. Up until the date of this incident I believed that we worked well together and were making progress.

Unfortunately I reacted quite badly to the news from Social Services that I would not be able to see my daughter or have my daughter stay with me at weekends and this was based on information that you provided to Social Services. I suffer from anxiety and depression and at the time I just thought about not being able to see my daughter and I blamed you for this.

I now thought about it and I know that you only did this out of concern for my daughter. I am very sorry for my behaviour and I know that you were only doing what you thought was right for my daughter. I should not have reacted as I did. I was extremely anxious and emotional and all I thought about was not being able to see my daughter so please accept my apologies.

Yours sincerely

Tyrone Benjamin

Dear PC ?

Please accept this letter as an apology for my behaviour on (date). You were not aware of the reason for my behaviour at the time but Social Services had notified me that I would not be allowed to see my daughter or have her stay at my flat. I suffer from anxiety and depression and day to day events can affect how I react. PC Ainsowrth was speaking to me and because I was emotional and crying I was embarrassed as I could not control my emotions. I did not want people looking at me in that state and I was trying to have a private conversation with PC Ainsowrth.

I now accept that you were only trying to do your job. I did not mean to be offensive, rude or as you perceived threatening. This was not my intention although I accept that my behaviour was extremely erratic.

I am not not making any excuses but I am trying to explain what I was feeling on the day. I am very sorry and I hope that I do not behave like this in the future.

Please accept my apologies.

Yours sincerely

(Name)

These letters are merely suggestions and Tyrone can phrase the letter however he wants.

The email addresses are as follows:

Catherine Chaplin - Catherine.Chaplin@london.probation.gsi.gov.uk

PC Saran Alexandra - Alexandra.h.saran@met.police.uk or Alexandra.Saran@met.pnn.police.uk

Should you have any further queries re this matter or issues / difficulties in sending the emails then please email me back and I will do my best to assist.

Regards

Josephine

On Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 10:50 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi jose

Here are the letters I done they are not long.

lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 07 August 2013 21:13

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Tyrone Benjamin

Dear Lorraine

Re: Tyrone Benjamin's case

Lorraine the letters are due by 12th August 2013 on my reading of the court note. I do not believe that Tyrone will receive a custodial sentence for this. If you can ask Tyrone to be at your address for 1pm tomorrow I will attend and help with any amendments before they are emailed.

With regards to the drug testing the barrister's attendance note is silent as to who is responsible for arranging this. I will contact Probation tomorrow for confirmation for clarification.

I believe you informed me that Tyrone missed an appointment. You did not say why this happened or indeed who had arranged this appointment.

I have discussed with you my concerns that Tyrone's behaviour is becoming more erratic and unpredictable.

Can you please confirm whether any progress has been made with regards to Tyrone accessing treatment via his GP for his addiction and counselling.

For tomorrow if you can confirm that Tyrone can be at your address for 1pm.

If I am delayed at court I will update you at my earliest convenience.

Regards

Josephine

On Aug 7, 2013 7:33 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josephine

I write this to get an update as to Tyrone case that is due in court on the 12/08/2013. Can you please tell me where I will have to call to get an update as to where Tyrone will need to call to get his drugs test done, I am going to start and write the letters the best I can and hand them in tomorrow so they can not say they never got them. At this time it looks as if he can end up going to prison as nothing has been done there is only a few days left till he is due in court.

Also can you give me an update to what is going on with Simon case, he is really upset ATM that nothing has been done he also feels that Michael Carroll can not cope while you are not there.

Even though he asked the office more than once while you was away on holiday for his case to be listed in court this was not done. He was just told by the office he will need to wait till you got back from holiday.

I have emailed you about his case since you got back from holiday and had no reply to any emails about him. Simon himself has also made many calls to the office and still nothing has been done.

It does seem there is a real break down to Simon case and it is just being left with nothing done or no replies.

He is now thinking he is going to take this up with the solicitor's ombudsman.

He is sad it has had to come to this as he has used Michael Carroll for many years as you yourself know.

I do understand that you are very busy with all the case loads you have.

But we are feeling at this point you are the only one doing any work at Michael Carroll.

And the other people working there can not cope as to even list his case to the court for an application to vary/remove bail conditions to be listed at court.

At this point we do not even know if Michael Carroll is dealing with his case any longer and just not been told, there for he is asking at this time is Michael Carroll still dealing with his case or not? If not then can you let us know by tomorrow the latest so that he can get a new solicitor's.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

Subject: Fwd: Simon's court attendance note for 16th July 2013

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:47

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 11:20 AM
Subject: Simon's court attendance note for 16th July 2013
To: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>

Lorraine / Simon

Please see the barrister's backsheet from the 16th July 2013. This should have been forwarded onto you and would have been by me if it had been emailed to me in Bulgaria. Case workers are not allowed to send over any paperwork on any client file without prior authorisation from the Solicitor with conduct, namely me. I cannot criticise the case workers for this as I am pretty strict on this.

If this had been emailed over to me in Bulgaria then I would have emailed on it but as I was on annual leave the case workers viewed this as non-urgent as the Judge refused to vary the conditions.

I am also emailing you the client care letter again which outlines the complaints procedure.

Regards

Josephine

PS As indicated when the case papers are served and the secondary disclosure served then it may be possible to make a further attempt to vary bail but at this stage I do not believe that the Court will entertain it.

Attachments

- Brief for the Defendant.pdf (18.88 KB)
- 9 Client Care Mags Letter.doc (45.00 KB)

Subject: Fwd: Simon's case

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:47

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Thu, Aug 8, 2013 at 11:05 AM
Subject: RE: Simon's case
To: JOSEPHINE WARD <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Hi Jose

Simon does not blame you at all he knows you got a lot to do, and knows you do your job he just felt while you was away the office did not have a cue on what to do. He just wanted a reply as to what was going on and that was not happening. And did not in fact know that Michael Company was not involved in his case he was thinking that Michael Company was acting for him so when you went away they could deal with it which they did not. Michael also has talked to Simon about this on the phone more then once so is aware of this Simon did not like the way in which Michael talked to me or him but the last time he talked to Michael that was sorted over the phone.

Josey we don't have a problem with you at all. The problem we had was no one was able to deal with anything while you were away and that should not be the case the office should be able to deal with things if you are not around.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 07 August 2013 22:10
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon's case

Hi Lorraine

Winchester Crown Court

This case is in the warned list for 29th August 2013. I am still waiting for Simon to provide witnesses who can corroborate the negotiations between Simon and the "seller".

Woolwich Crown Court

The main problem in this case is that Simon is unhappy that he is subject to an electronic curfew. As you are aware I did not request a curfew as I knew that this problem would arise. He was initially given a door step curfew but the Judge changed this to an electronic curfew. This is the preferable option as it is a qualifying curfew and counts half a day towards any custodial sentence, if convicted. The Judge did state an electronic tag as the clerk checked the tapes for what was said. The Judge is within his rights to do this as he will view this as relieving a burden in terms of man power from the police. I cannot challenge this as it is still a curfew.

Bail variation:

Simon has to be realistic in terms of what we can achieve here. One of my obligations as a solicitor is to manage a client's expectations. I will of course make an application to vary Simon's bail conditions but as I stated before I will require emails from Simon from clients requesting that he cover events with quotes given by Simon as to how much he will charge.

The smartest way to attempt to get Simon's bail varied is to ask for certain dates as opposed to lifting the curfew altogether as the Judge will not do this.

If Simon persists in stating that he is not allowed to work and earn his living then Simon will then have to complete a change in financial circumstances statements for both the Woolwich case and the Winchester case and the court will have to assess his monthly contributions for both cases. At present he does not have to make a contribution as he is in receipt of benefits.

I can only properly challenge the evidence in this case when I receive the case papers and then make my requests for secondary disclosure. The court would then be more amenable to an application to remove conditions of bail.

I am sorry that Simon feels that his case is not being handled properly. I sent Simon a client care letter at the commencement of this case outlining the complaints procedure. I do not believe that Michael was aware of the calls made by Simon to the office. I tried to deal with Simon's case personally and usually I do. e.g. Going to Birmingham Police Station in 2012, attending home address after his remand from Camberwell Green Magistrates Court to draft bail application.

I am not sure what happened when I was on annual leave but based on your email it appears that the complaint should be directed against me as I am the fee earner and I am meant to supervise case workers. Michael is the Principal of the Firm and not the fee earner on this case. The case workers knew I was contactable by email and did not contact me. Michael Carroll has had no dealings with this case.

(Since returning from annual leave I have dealt with 2 complex rapes, 3 GBH and a police station duty to date. I did intend to call at your address this weekend but I was on duty and I had to deal with a complex rape at Colindale on Sunday. This case lasted all day. I can only apologise for this.)

If you do not believe that Michael can investigate and deal with your complaint then I must now advise you to address your complaint regarding this matter to Edel Speirits at the West London office. Her email is edel@michaelcarrollandco.com. If you are not satisfied with her resolution of the complaint then you can then proceed to complain to the Ombudsman.

I am sorry that Simon feels this way and I am sorry that you are disappointed in my conduct of this case.

I will forward this email to Michael Carroll in the morning but if you decide over night that you do not want Michael involved in the complaint and want to include him in the complaint then please confirm this so that I

7/29/2017

Print

can forward your email to Edel Speirts.

Regards

Josephine Ward (Associate Solicitor)

Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell - further application to vary bail and suspend curfew on 25th and 26th August 2013

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Sunday, 11 August 2013, 16:55

Simon

I attach this email. I previously sent it to the wrong email and has a mail delivery failure. The previous emails I have also forwarded onto to you.

Regards

Josephine

PS I am raising these issues as the Judges have been very difficult thus far.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Aug 11, 2013 at 4:38 PM

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell - further application to vary bail and suspend curfew on 25th and 26th August 2013

To: wired_@ymail.com, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>, michael@michaelcarrollandco.com

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Simon it is my understanding that your mother is having internet difficulties at present. I sent over a number of email this weekend which I will try to forward over to you from my trash box.

Re: application to remove reporting condition.

I confirm that I have lodged this application already.

Re: application to suspend curfew for 25th and 26th August 2013

Simon I have requested written confirmation from Omar Lawrence that you have been awarded the contract together with the particulars of the contract as the Court will undoubtedly ask for this information. There is also a risk that the Court / Police will check that the contract is genuine and this may well entail telephoning Omar Lawrence to check the detail. It is not unusual for the court to check bail addresses by analogy so I am merely putting you on notice of this fact.

I will not have any control over the information that is provided by the police to Mr Omar Lawrence but they will have to identify themselves as police officers and this may raise questions about why police are making these enquiries.

Your mother has explained that you may lose the contract as a result of this and unfortunately I do not have any control over this.

The options therefore available to you are as follows:

- (1) Attend the festival during the curfew hours and then go home
- (2) Apply to the court to vary the electronic curfew and request that the court do not disclose details of your criminal history or current court case. However Mr Lawrence may well wonder why an enquiry has been made
- (3) Do not make any application and take your chances - this is not advised as you will be arrested for breaching your bail and there is a high risk of remand to prison until the conclusion of your case
- (4) Discuss the matter with Omar Lawrence beforehand so that he is aware and he can confirm this in the email to the court. The risk with this is that he may use you for this festival but may seek an alternative resource next year
- (5) The final option of course is not to make the application and attend in person during your curfew hours and get an alternative person who you trust to look after your equipment overnight on 25th August 2013. This option allows you to retain the potential for further contracts via your company, ensures that future clients are not aware of your previous criminal history and will also safeguard any future contracts with Enfield Council.

Clearly this is a matter that you need to consider very carefully and weigh up the pros and cons of each option. I am aware how important this festival is to you and your business.

If you decide to make the application to vary bail then I will need the following from you by 15th August 2013:

1. Letter from Omar Lawrence that you are hired for the festival

I will need this information by 15th August as the court and CPS will require 5 days to agree the matter administratively, failing which I will ask for a fixed hearing on 22nd or 23rd August 2013.

I await your instructions with regards to the above matter. I have confirmed to your mother that I will require your instructions in writing.

Should you have any questions then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Josephine

PS I will endeavour to send all other emails from trash box. Your mother has copies on her system.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 14 August 2013 11:27

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell; Anthony Clarke; Anthony Clarke;
michael@michaelcarrollandco.com

Subject: Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am

Attachments: NAE090813 ROTI PGS 7 - 29.pdf

Dear Simon

Please find attached a copy of the transcript of your police interview. This arrived in the DX this morning. I am hoping to attend your mother's address on Friday evening 16th August 2013 at 4.30pm

I will discuss all aspects of your case on that date and hope to be in a position to update you with regards to the application to remove the reporting condition.

In the intervening period of time should you have any questions with regards to any of the matters contained in this letter then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 14 August 2013 11:34

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: UFN01MS0218813 Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 4th September 2013 at 10am

Dear Sir or Madam

We continue to act on behalf of our above named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

We acknowledge safe receipt of the Notice of Further evidence dated 9th August 2013, received today at our office.

Can you please confirm your views in relation to our earlier application to remove our client's reporting condition at your earliest convenience and please indicate whether this can be agreed administratively.

We thank you in advance and we await hearing from you.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 14 August 2013 11:39
To: Anthony Clarke; Anthony Clarke; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth;
michael@michaelcarrollandco.com
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary bail administratively
Anthony

I emailed the Woolwich Crown Court on Sunday re an application to remove the reporting condition. If Woolwich Crown Court do not respond to us by Friday 16th August 2013 can you please email them to ascertain what the position is as that will be day 5 in which case please ask for the case to be listed for mention and please confirm the date and time of the hearing to Simon and his mother. Please copy me into the email.

Can you please email them on Friday morning before 12pm, if you have been called out to a police station please delegate to either Umar or Micheal Mc Kee.

Thanks

Josephine

PS I will need an update as I have a conference scheduled with this client on 16th August 2013 at 4.30pm at his mother's address.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 14 August 2013 12:59
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Section 144 Section 6

Attachments: NEW-LEGW-NONRES-144.pdf
Hi Josey

Here is the link to the document you need

<http://www.squatter.org.uk/files/2012/11/NEW-LEGW-NONRES1.pdf>

I will also attach the PDF of it

This document is the new section 6 with the Section 144, LASPO added to it so that it covers NOT a “residential building

Lorraine

Subject: Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com; lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk; anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com; tony.j.clark3@gmail.com; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com;

Date: Wednesday, 14 August 2013, 11:27

Dear Simon

Please find attached a copy of the transcript of your police interview. This arrived in the DX this morning. I am hoping to attend your mother's address on Friday evening 16th August 2013 at 4.30pm

I will discuss all aspects of your case on that date and hope to be in a position to update you with regards to the application to remove the reporting condition.

In the intervening period of time should you have any questions with regards to any of the matters contained in this letter then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

Regards

Josephine

Attachments

- NAE090813 ROTI PGS 7 - 29.pdf (853.23 KB)

Subject: UFN01MS0218813 Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 4th September 2013 at 10am

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk; lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk; re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 14 August 2013, 11:33

Dear Sir or Madam

We continue to act on behalf of our above named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

We acknowledge safe receipt of the Notice of Further evidence dated 9th August 2013, received today at our office.

Can you please confirm your views in relation to our earlier application to remove our client's reporting condition at your earliest convenience and please indicate whether this can be agreed administratively.

We thank you in advance and we await hearing from you.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary bail administratively

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: anthony@michaelcarrollandco.com; tony.j.clark3@gmail.com; lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk; re_wired@ymail.com; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com;

Date: Wednesday, 14 August 2013, 11:38

Anthony

I emailed the Woolwich Crown Court on Sunday re an application to remove the reporting condition. If Woolwich Crown Court do not respond to us by Friday 16th August 2013 can you please email them to ascertain what the position is as that will be day 5 in which case please ask for the case to be listed for mention and please confirm the date and time of the hearing to Simon and his mother. Please copy me into the email.

Can you please email them on Friday morning before 12pm, if you have been called out to a police station please delegate to either Umar or Micheal Mc Kee.

Thanks

Josephine

PS I will need an update as I have a conference scheduled with this client on 16th August 2013 at 4.30pm at his mother's address.

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 4th September 2013

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com; lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com;

Date: Wednesday, 14 August 2013, 18:12

Simon

I have now read through all your case papers, interview transcript and unused material.

Areas for discussion:

1. Premises - need full address of where the rave took place
2. Any emails / facebook notification of the rave / booking your sound system for the party / any invoices given to the people hosting the party
3. Full contact details for Mohammed in order that I can take a statement confirming the sale to you
4. Receipt that Mohammed gave you, presumably this itemises the goods sold and date of purchase by you - pg of your interview you make reference to leaving with your sound system and then being offered a job lot of chairs - need specifics on this see 5 below. Please also read your bottom page 20 of your ROTI "I bought it of the person who put the 144 notice on both of them premises that was living there and was a registered squatter to them buildings. What made you believe that Mohammed had a right to the property? Where did he tell you he got the property from?
5. I need confirmation of the date you purchased the items; the location where the sale took place
6. What made you believe that both premises were linked? For all intents and purposes they appear to be separate buildings and entry to Unit 3 appears to have been gained through a hole in the wall not a natural doorway. This is criminal damage and therefore illegal.
7. Confirmation of where the Notices were displayed. Any photographs from the rave to back this up would be very useful
8. Neighbours who can confirm the date the gazebo was erected
9. More information on the hole in the wall - photographs would be helpful from rave photographs and also any persons who were living there in the Unit 3 squat
10. Recordings of conversation between you and police before entering the party. Any shoulder numbers of officers who attended the premises so we can request statements etc from them re damage.
11. What proof do you have that Unit 3 was not barricaded with wood as the Director alleges and the barricades were not simply moved prior to your arrival?

Simon I will have a full discussion with you regarding the law etc on Friday but if you can concentrate on the above and collating the requested information.

I will draft your defence case statement after I have taken a full proof of evidence (statement) from you.

Regards

Josephine

Subject: Fwd: IMPORTANT..Power Provision

From: martine drake (pondersendfestivals@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Thursday, 15 August 2013, 0:58

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **daniel addo** <danaddo@kissfoods.co.uk>
Date: 14 August 2013 22:32
Subject: Re: IMPORTANT..Power Provision
To: martine drake <pondersendfestivals@gmail.com>

Hi Martine,

I will need power for 2 microwaves and one mini fridge, all running on a normal 13 amp plug.

Thanks.
Daniel

On 14 Aug 2013, at 10:01, martine drake wrote:

Hello all,

THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT!

In regards to the power provision for the Ponders End Festivals, we will have a generator so we are able to offer you power for the day.

However, in order for this to be done we need to know what your requirements are on the day. i.e. what you will be running? e.g. 2 tea urns, microwaves etc.

It would be helpful to know if you are running any thing different from a normal 13amp plug.

You will need to bring with you sufficient extension cables to plug into the generator.

Please note if you do not provide me with accurate information it may make it difficult to guarantee you will get the power you need.

Please get back to me.

Thanks.
Omar

On 11 August 2013 19:14, martine drake <pondersendfestivals@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello all,

In regards to the power provision for the Ponders End Festivals, we will have a generator so we are able to offer you power for the day.

However, in order for this to be done we need to know what your requirements are on the day. i.e. what you will be running? e.g. 2 tea urns, microwaves etc.

It would be helpful to know if you are running any thing different from a normal 13amp plug.

You will need to bring with you sufficient extension cables to plug into the generator.

Please note if you do not provide me with accurate information it may make it difficult to guarantee you will get the power you need.

Please get back to me.

Thanks.
Omar.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 14 August 2013 18:12

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 4th September 2013

Simon

I have now read through all your case papers, interview transcript and unused material.

Areas for discussion:

1. Premises - need full address of where the rave took place
2. Any emails / facebook notification of the rave / booking your sound system for the party / any invoices given to the people hosting the party
3. Full contact details for Mohammed in order that I can take a statement confirming the sale to you
4. Receipt that Mohammed gave you, presumably this itemises the goods sold and date of purchase by you - pg of your interview you make reference to leaving with your sound system and then being offered a job lot of chairs - need specifics on this see 5 below. Please also read your bottom page 20 of your ROTI "I bought it of the person who put the 144 notice on both of them premises that was living there and was a registered squatter to them buildings. What made you believe that Mohammed had a right to the property? Where did he tell you he got the property from?
5. I need confirmation of the date you purchased the items; the location where the sale took place
6. What made you believe that both premises were linked? For all intents and purposes they appear to be separate buildings and entry to Unit 3 appears to have been gained through a hole in the wall not a natural doorway. This is criminal damage and therefore illegal.
7. Confirmation of where the Notices were displayed. Any photographs from the rave to back this up would be very useful
8. Neighbours who can confirm the date the gazebo was erected
9. More information on the hole in the wall - photographs would be helpful from rave photographs and also any persons who were living there in the Unit 3 squat
10. Recordings of conversation between you and police before entering the party. Any shoulder numbers of officers who attended the premises so we can request statements etc from them re damage.
11. What proof do you have that Unit 3 was not barricaded with wood as the Director alleges and the barricades were not simply moved prior to your arrival?

Simon I will have a full discussion with you regarding the law etc on Friday but if you can concentrate on the above and collating the requested information.

I will draft your defence case statement after I have taken a full proof of evidence (statement) from you.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 17 August 2013 16:30

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

After talking yesterday and saying about the insurance we will need to get the insurance for the building and insurance of the contents from anyone who owns or rents the property as I think you will find there is more than one insurance for the place, building insurance is something else to contents, and because you got more than one person for the property you are going to need all insurance being held by any person.

Also the guys who said things was taken should be able to do a stock check any real company would be able to do this which will show the amount and numbers of items taken on the 16/02/2013 and what he was saying has been taken on between the 01/05/2013 to the 08/05/2013 a person can't just say its this amount without listing the items taken and numbers and any company should have done a stock check in Feb 2013 when this 1st happened and then again a stock check in May 2013. So far we have no amount of items that have been taken just saying it's the amount he has said and that don't add up.

Also looking on the LI-Lo Orders there Venice chairs are not listed on the order invoices he has given, the only item there is the Harrogate Gazebo, but he is saying in his statement that beds, trampolines, so where is the invoice for these items.

There has got to be a list of how many items were taken he can't just guess the total amount so has to know the items and numbers that were taken on both dates.

Also on the land registry is this listed as one unit the reason I have asked this is the way the main section is to enter the building there does seem to be only one main section the other side may have been added after to make the unit to make it into 3 units. If this is the case and it was 1 unit to start with has planning permission been granted for the unit to be made into 3 units?

Lorraine

Subject: Fwd: IMPORTANT..Power Provision The beauty club

From: martine drake (pondersendfestivals@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Monday, 19 August 2013, 9:02

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Team Beauty Club**
Date: Monday, 19 August 2013
Subject: Re: IMPORTANT..Power Provision The beauty club
To: martine drake <pondersendfestivals@gmail.com>

Hi festival team

I write to confirm that we require power provision for salon tools 2 hairdryers and hot tools (tongs and straighteners 1 lamp for photographic purposes.

Kinds regards

Anita

Sent from my Sony Ericsson X10

martine drake <pondersendfestivals@gmail.com> wrote:

>Hello all,
>
>THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT!
>
>In regards to the power provision for the Ponders End Festivals, we will
>have a generator so we are able to offer you power for the day.
>However, in order for this to be done we need to know what your
>requirements are on the day. i.e. what you will be running? e.g. 2 tea
>urns, microwaves etc.
>It would be helpful to know if you are running any thing different from a
>normal 13amp plug.
>
>You will need to bring with you sufficient extension cables to plug into
>the generator.
>
>Please note if you do not provide me with accurate information it may make
>it difficult to guarantee you will get the power you need.
>
>Please get back to me.
>
>Thanks.

>Omar

>

>

>On 11 August 2013 19:14, martine drake <pondersendfestivals@gmail.com>wrote:

>

>> Hello all,

>> In regards to the power provision for the Ponders End Festivals, we will

>> have a generator so we are able to offer you power for the day.

>> However, in order for this to be done we need to know what your

>> requirements are on the day. i.e. what you will be running? e.g. 2 tea

>> urns, microwaves etc.

>> It would be helpful to know if you are running any thing different from a

>> normal 13amp plug.

>>

>> You will need to bring with you sufficient extension cables to plug into

>> the generator.

>>

>> Please note if you do not provide me with accurate information it may make

>> it difficult to guarantee you will get the power you need.

>>

>> Please get back to me.

>>

>> Thanks.

>> Omar.

>>

Subject: Re: Fwd: The Ponders End Festivals 25th/26th Aug

From: Rewired Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: omar.niburumedia@gmail.com;

Date: Tuesday, 20 August 2013, 16:04

Hi omar

I dont know why but facebook closed the 1st page that was made so had to make a new one this is the new link

<https://www.facebook.com/events/505646482846521/>

please join

Simon

From: Omar Lawrence <omar.niburumedia@gmail.com>
To: too smooth <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, 14 August 2013, 9:55
Subject: Fwd: The Ponders End Festivals 25th/26th Aug

Thanks.
Omar

OMAR LAWRENCE
Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

This message may contain confidential and privileged information.
If you are not the intended recipient you should not disclose, copy
or distribute information in this e-mail or take any action in reliance
on its contents. To do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.
Please inform the sender that this message has gone astray before
deleting it

--

OMAR LAWRENCE

Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 August 2013 12:13
To: 'pondersendfestival@gamil.com'
Subject: Re: facebook
<https://www.facebook.com/events/195330197309571/>

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 August 2013 12:15
To: "pondersendfestivals@gamil.com"
Subject: RE: Re: facebook
<https://www.facebook.com/events/195330197309571/>

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 August 2013 12:13
To: 'pondersendfestival@gamil.com'
Subject: Re: facebook
<https://www.facebook.com/events/195330197309571/>

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 August 2013 12:17
To: 'pondersendfestivals@gmail.com'
Subject: RE: Re: facebook
<https://www.facebook.com/events/195330197309571/>

Subject: Re: Gen Electrical Certificates

From: martine drake (pondersendfestivals@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Thursday, 22 August 2013, 23:00

Hi Simon,
yes, received the info, I will have a look through later..

Regards.
Omar

On 22 August 2013 19:59, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Dear Omar Lawrence

Please find attached the Electrical Certificates for the generator.

Please let me know you got the information.

Many Thanks

Simon

Subject: Re: Fwd: Confirmation Letter etc.. Ponders End Family Festival

From: Rewired Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: ponderseendfestivals@gmail.com;

Date: Friday, 23 August 2013, 11:19

Hi Omar

I just wanted to confirm with you the dates i am needed for the power is it the 25/08/2013 and the 26/08/2013 or just the 26/08/2013. can you tell me the times i will be needed and which days the space ball will be needed. as due to the email below i am a little confused as it says the Monday 26/08/2013.

Simon

From: martine drake <ponderseendfestivals@gmail.com>
To: reflections4nature@aol.com; Nischa Hammond <thebeautyclub-ineden@hotmail.co.uk>; ray adams <ray.adams12@btinternet.com>; Syeda Saadia Ameer <saadia15@gmail.com>; Bling Wear <blingwear@hotmail.com>; "info@steppaz.co.uk" <info@steppaz.co.uk>; danaddo@kissfoods.co.uk; ellie.robles@enfield.gov.uk; Nelson Williams Foundation <corsetart@aol.com>; Ginnie Landon <Ginnie@enfieldwomen.org.uk>; info@communityaid.org.uk; Jomir Hussain <jomir@firstaidcall.co.uk>; Muna Talad <munas599@yahoo.co.uk>; Martin Chadwick <martin@izorb.org>; "rina@communityaid.org.uk" <rina@communityaid.org.uk>; roswest1@aol.com; Robert Winchester <robert.winchester@jayshomecare.co.uk>; too smooth <re_wired@ymail.com>; re-wired@ymail.com; "enfieldsc@yahoo.co.uk" <enfieldsc@yahoo.co.uk>; amaraisi@yahoo.co.uk; philip.french@nclondon.nhs.uk; pamholloway17@yahoo.co.uk; rupshi u <rupshana@msn.com>; guy@enfieldtimebank.org.uk; conor.cusack@enfield.gov.uk; Yes Cepo <yes.cepo@talktalk.net>; "roomhirepecdt@btconnect.com" <roomhirepecdt@btconnect.com>; claire@ecyps.org.uk; jacquelin.matyr@enfield.gov.uk; jacqueline.martyr@enfield.gov.uk; Marcia Cabey <marcia.cabey@enfieldcab.org.uk>
Sent: Friday, 23 August 2013, 10:37
Subject: Fwd: Confirmation Letter etc.. Ponders End Family Festival

Hi All,
just in case anyone hasn't received this info before, I'm sending it out again..
please find attached a copy of your confirmation letter in regards to the Family Festival on Mon aug 26th.
The major incident plan, festival layout and a stallholders list.
Please note we will be on site from 8am (you are welcome to start setting up from 9am) and the information stall is not the Brace Security Stall as listed.
There will be someone to meet you on arrival to direct you to where your space is.
I'm sure you all know to drive at 5mph with your hazards on.
Look forward to seeing you on Monday

Thanks.
Omar

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 22 August 2013 21:20

To: 'Omar Lawrence'

Subject: RE: Generator Electrical Certificates

Attachments: Generator-Electrical-Certificate.pdf

Dear Omar Lawrence

Please find attached the Electrical Certificate for the generator.

Please let me know you got the information.

Many Thanks

Simon

Subject: Re: public liability insurance

From: martine drake (pondersendfestivals@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Saturday, 24 August 2013, 23:19

Hi Si,
just had a read of your liability..
Did I read right? Your liability is only up to £500k?
If so, realise I would be taking a risk as the council usually require a liability a lot higher than that..
We will have to run with it but if your going to do more local jobs we will need to check this out next week.

Thx.
O.

On 24 August 2013 11:42, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Hi Omar

Please see attached public liability insurance.

Many Thanks

Simon

Insurance Documents - Policy Number 50350

From: info@leisureinsure.co.uk

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Saturday, 24 August 2013, 11:21 BST

Dear simon

Thank you for purchasing a policy from us.

We take pleasure in enclosing a link to your [Certificate of Insurance](#) which we trust you will find to be in order. If the link above does not work, please copy and paste the following link into your browser address bar - <http://www.leisureinsure.co.uk/documents/?ID=5035098524>

We would ask you to read all documents carefully, particularly the Terms, Conditions and Exclusions. If you have any doubts about the cover provided please do not hesitate to contact us.

If the policy includes Employers Liability, the appropriate Statutory Certificate is enclosed. This should be displayed at your premises and retained for a period of 40 years.

We cannot stress too strongly that you should:

1. Ensure that you fully understand the Policy Conditions and Statement of Fact. Breach of any Condition or Warranty could result in the Insurer avoiding a claim
2. If you are insuring property against loss or damage, ensure that the sums insured provided by you are adequate. Under insurance could result in partial settlement only of a claim
3. Ensure that you have disclosed everything of a material nature to your Insurer - again, non-disclosure could result in the Insurer avoiding payment of a claim

A copy of the documentation for this policy is available below. Please note that all documents available on the links below may change over time. Accordingly, please can you download and save these to your computer so that you can be sure that you refer to the correct documents at a later date.

[Policy Summary](#).

[Policy Wording](#)

[Legal Care Policy Summary](#).

[Legal Care Policy Wording](#)

[Our Terms of Business](#)

Jackson Reforms - applicable to a liability claim

Please refer to the following document for further details on personal injury claims in England and Wales, occurring on or after 31st July 2013. Please click [here](#) to view it. For further assistance please feel free to contact us.

Best Regards

Leisure Insure

Network Point, Range Road, Witney, OX29 0YN

phone: +44(0)1993 700761

fax: +44(0)870 7668392

email: info@leisureinsure.co.uk

web: www.leisureinsure.co.uk

Leisureinsure LLP is Authorised and Regulated by the Financial Services Authority no 430849. This can be checked on the [FSA's Register](#) by visiting the FSA's website or by contacting the FSA on 0845 606 1234. The Information contained in or attached to this email is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are not authorised to and must not disclose, copy, distribute, or retain this message or any part of it. It may contain information that is confidential and/or covered by legal, professional or other privilege (or other rules or laws with similar effect in jurisdictions outside England and Wales). Thank you for your compliance. Any opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not the Firm

Subject: Re: Update

From: Rewired Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: pondersendfestivals@gmail.com;

Date: Tuesday, 27 August 2013, 12:04

Hello Omar

Hello Omar

I have tried to contact you today to see when you are going to be able to pay me. Can you please get back to me as i do need to pay the people i had working for me for the 2 days.

Many Thanks

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 27 August 2013 12:21
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon's case
Hi Josey

Can you please send the case papers over for this case for Simon. This case is very near now and Simon is still trying to sort out with his friends they won't get in trouble.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 07 August 2013 22:10
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon's case

Hi Lorraine

Winchester Crown Court

This case is in the warned list for 29th August 2013. I am still waiting for Simon to provide witnesses who can corroborate the negotiations between Simon and the "seller".

Woolwich Crown Court

The main problem in this case is that Simon is unhappy that he is subject to an electronic curfew. As you are aware I did not request a curfew as I knew that this problem would arise. He was initially given a door step curfew but the Judge changed this to an electronic curfew. This is the preferable option as it is a qualifying curfew and counts half a day towards any custodial sentence, if convicted. The Judge did state an electronic tag as the clerk checked the tapes for what was said. The Judge is within his rights to do this as he will view this as relieving a burden in terms of man power from the police. I cannot challenge this as it is still a curfew.

Bail variation:

Simon has to be realistic in terms of what we can achieve here. One of my obligations as a solicitor is to manage a client's expectations. I will of course make an application to vary Simon's bail conditions but as I stated before I will require emails from Simon from clients requesting that he cover events with quotes given by Simon as to how much he will charge.

The smartest way to attempt to get Simon's bail varied is to ask for certain dates as opposed to lifting the curfew altogether as the Judge will not do this.

If Simon persists in stating that he is not allowed to work and earn his living then Simon will then have to complete a change in financial circumstances statements for both the Woolwich case and the Winchester case and the court will have to assess his monthly contributions for both cases. At present he does not have to make a contribution as he is in receipt of benefits.

I can only properly challenge the evidence in this case when I receive the case papers and then make my requests for secondary disclosure. The court would then be more amenable to an application to remove conditions of bail.

I am sorry that Simon feels that his case is not being handled properly. I sent Simon a client care letter at the commencement of this case outlining the complaints procedure. I do not believe that

Michael was aware of the calls made by Simon to the office. I tried to deal with Simon's case personally and usually I do. e.g. Going to Birmingham Police Station in 2012, attending home address after his remand from Camberwell Green Magistrates Court to draft bail application.

I am not sure what happened when I was on annual leave but based on your email it appears that the complaint should be directed against me as I am the fee earner and I am meant to supervise case workers. Michael is the Principal of the Firm and not the fee earner on this case. The case workers knew I was contactable by email and did not contact me. Michael Carroll has had no dealings with this case.

(Since returning from annual leave I have dealt with 2 complex rapes, 3 GBH and a police station duty to date.

I did intend to call at your address this weekend but I was on duty and I had to deal with a complex rape at Colindale on Sunday. This case lasted all day. I can only apologise for this.)

If you do not believe that Michael can investigate and deal with your complaint then I must now advise you to address your complaint regarding this matter to Edel Speirits at the West London office. Her email is edel@michaelcarrollandco.com. If you are not satisfied with her resolution of the complaint then you can then proceed to complain to the Ombudsman.

I am sorry that Simon feels this way and I am sorry that you are disappointed in my conduct of this case.

I will forward this email to Michael Carroll in the morning but if you decide over night that you do not want Michael involved in the complaint and want to include him in the complaint then please confirm this so that I can forward your email to Edel Speirits.

Regards

Josephine Ward (Associate Solicitor)

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 28 August 2013 09:18

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon's case Winchester case

Hi Josey

Can you please send the papers over for the Winchester case so Simon can read over them he can't remember much as it was over a year ago and as the case is in the warned list from the 29/08/2013 he wanted to have a read over of the case. Not sure if Diana or Prince or the other girl will go court but if they do can they still say what they heard I think its going to a day thing if they turn up or not.

Subject: Re: Enfield scouts

From: Rewired Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: darrenleonard@tiscali.co.uk;

Date: Thursday, 29 August 2013, 18:38

Hi Darren

The generator with no fuel and an electrician will be £380, this will be due to me not taking a deposit that is why i want my own electrician on site.

if you want to hire the generator without an electrician then a deposit would need to be paid, this would be given back once my electrician confirms the generator is in the same state as it was before the event.

We have already given a 50% discount on the price for this event.

Many Thanks

Simon

From: Darren Leonard <darrenleonard@tiscali.co.uk>
To: 'Rewired Rewired' <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Thursday, 29 August 2013, 9:17
Subject: RE: Enfield scouts

Hello Simon,

I'm sorry but we won't be able to use the bouncy castles for the Town Show as the organisers have given the all the inflatable concessions to one company.. thanks for your time on this..

We still want to use your spaceball.

Ref the generator for 2nd Nov. We would be interested in a dry hire for the day. We have a team of electricians on site doing the sound, light and laser show. We need to keep the cost down. We would also be able to supply the fuel ourselves, we run our other generators on red diesel. Please let me know if you can supply the genny on this basis and what the dry hire price would be..

Many thanks

Darren Leonard
07799 068080

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 28 August 2013 15:25
To: Darren Leonard
Subject: Re: Enfield scouts

Hi Darren

sorry for the late reply thank you for the email as we talked the cost for the Space Ball for the event for both days.

Bouncy Castles for the event for both days is one kids from up to 3 years old, one adventure playground 5 years old and above, and a 12x12 which is all ages.

I will do all of these for £300 this will include a man for the space ball and the Space Ball as this event is for the Enfield Scouts.

can you tell me the power for this event and how it will be powered.

Also the 70KVA generator with all leads and distribution board for Saturday 2nd November in Enfield Town Park, will be a cost of £300 for the generator plus fuel but the fuel would need to be paid up front which i would say is around £50. the generator has all its Electrical-Certificates.

For Saturday 2nd November in Enfield Town Park there will be an Electrician with me for safely reasons the Electrician cost will be £80.

I will send my public liability insurance and Electrical-Certificates and risk assessment when needed.

Can you please confirm the prices, and dates.

Many Thanks

Simon

From: Darren Leonard <darrenleonard@tiscali.co.uk>
To: 'Rewired Rewired' <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Friday, 23 August 2013, 9:57
Subject: RE: Enfield scouts

Hi Simon,

Thanks for the email. Is there any chance we could also book your bouncy castle for the same weekend.. please advise price for both activities. We are checking we can get an electricity supply as generators are not allowed.

Both activities will need to in place on Friday between 4pm and 8pm. The park will then be secured and security guards will patrol through the night. The show is open to the public between 10am and 6pm both days.

We are very happy to organise the activities ourselves including set up and tear down if that keeps the price down. Taking down is always chaos and if you want we can tow from park and return to your address.

As far as the fireworks is concerned can you quote for your big generator for the day please. Our display is on Saturday 2nd November in Enfield Town Park.

Many thanks

Darren

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 22 August 2013 21:09
To: Darren Leonard
Subject: Re: Enfield scouts

Hi Darren

Thank you i did send this to the wrong email please see below.

After speaking to you today about the space ball you want to hire i can confirm that i can do the dates of 07/09/2013 and the 08/09/2013 at the Price of £60 per day and i will send someone down to manage it. Can you confirm the hours that you will need the space ball to be hire for please.

Also as said when we talked i would love to get involved with you with the Enfield Fire works night for the power and anything else you need. Also what would be the power requirements you will need for this night.

Please can you reply to this email to let me know you got it.

Many Thanks

Simon

From: Darren Leonard <darrenleonard@tiscali.co.uk>
To: re_wired@ymail.com
Sent: Thursday, 22 August 2013, 20:41
Subject: Enfield scouts

Hi Simon,

I just thought I would send you an email to make sure you have my address.

Regards

Darren Leonard
Enfield Scouts

Re: Simon Invoice

From: Rewired Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: omar.niburumedia@gmail.com

Date: Friday, 30 August 2013, 13:35 BST

Hi Omar

Here is the invoice you asked me to send over.

Below is the bank info

Barclays Bank
Miss Lorraine Cordell
20-29-77
80717177

Can you please delete the Bank information please.

Simon



Ponders End Festival Invoice.doc
116kB

SRNO:508402-274677349: Business Support Advisor Appointment

From: Enquiry@businesslinkhelpline.co.uk

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Thursday, 30 May 2013, 17:31 BST

Dear Simon,

Thank you for contacting the Business Link helpline.

Further to our telephone conversation today, I am writing to confirm that I have booked an appointment for you to speak with an advisor, Paul Kirtley on the 3rd June 2013 from 09:00 to discuss your business needs.

The advisor will be in touch no later than 15 minutes after this time as they may need to fully research your issue and identify the very best sources of information and assistance for you.

Yours Sincerely,

Business Link Helpline Team

Gov.uk (www.gov.uk) is government's online resource for businesses.

Simple to use and practical, Gov.uk provides guidance, information on regulations and access to government services whether you work for a large organisation or are on your way to starting up.

Any information you give us may be passed to an appropriate department or agency for the purposes of responding to feedback. While the information will only be used for this purpose and will be managed in accordance with the Data Protection act, please avoid sending any information of a commercially sensitive nature.

Follow us on Twitter <http://twitter.com/BusinessLinkGov>
or on Facebook <http://www.facebook.com/BusinessLinkGov>

Subject: Regina . Simon Cordell for trial at Winchester Crown Court on 23rd September 2013

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk; re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 4 September 2013, 11:39

Dear Lorraine / Simon

RE: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL
FOR TRIAL AT WINCHESTER CROWN COURT ON 23RD SEPTEMBER 2013
ALLEGATIONS: HANDLING STOLEN GOODS

Please find attached copies of the following:-

1. Unused material

Regards

Josephine

Attachments

- UNUSED MATERIAL.pdf (1.00 MB)

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 (Burglary)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk; re_wired@ymail.com; jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk;

Date: Wednesday, 4 September 2013, 11:48

Dear Lorraine / Simon / Jay

Please find attached a copy of the court listing for 9th September 2013 in relation to the above case,

Regards

Josephine

Attachments

- court listing for 09.09.2013.pdf (11.63 KB)

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Courton 9th September 2013

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: jayotislemosa@hotmail.co.uk; lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk; re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 4 September 2013, 21:08

Dear Jay / Simon / Lorraine

Please see attached the amended defence case statement.

Regards

Josephine

PS Jay, Simon will sign this on Monday so can you please serve it direct to CPS and court and confirm this by email.

Attachments

- DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL.doc (46.50 KB)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 04 September 2013 10:16

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Jay Lemos

Subject: Regina v. Simon Paul Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 at 10am

Attachments: DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL.doc

Dear Simon / Lorraine / Jay

Please find attached a copy of the defence case statement that I have drafted for you. You will note that I have made reference to the following:-

1. Receipt for the gazebo - I will require this as it will have to be exhibited to the defence case statement
2. Receipt from Mohamed for the sale of the original items
3. Focus catalogues showing the gazebos on sale at an earlier date to that claimed by Mr Patel or at the very least the link. If the link then I will have to amend the DCS

If you wish to amend the DCS then please email me back with the areas that you are not happy with or seek amendment to.

Any questions please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

I am out of the office most of the day tomorrow but I will be contactable by mobile or email. I am also flying to Ireland on Thursday evening but will be contactable by mobile on Friday or over the weekend should you have any queries. My mobile is 07817 702 893.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 04 September 2013 11:36

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Paul Cordell for trial at Winchester Crown Court on 23rd September 2013

Attachments: CORDELL SIMON WINCHESTER CC ROTI.pdf

Dear Lorraine

RE: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL
FOR TRIAL AT WINCHESTER CROWN COURT ON 23RD SEPTEMBER 2013
ALLEGATIONS: HANDLING STOLEN GOODS

I refer to the above matters.

Please find attached copies of the following:- (sent in 3 emails)

1. ROTI

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 04 September 2013 11:38

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina . Simon Cordell for trial at Winchester Crown Court on 23rd September 2013

Attachments: CASE PAPERS.pdf

Dear Lorraine / Simon

RE: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL
FOR TRIAL AT WINCHESTER CROWN COURT ON 23RD SEPTEMBER 2013
ALLEGATIONS: HANDLING STOLEN GOODS

Please find attached copies of the following:-

1. Case papers
2. Bad character application

Kindly acknowledge safe receipt.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 04 September 2013 11:39

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina . Simon Cordell for trial at Winchester Crown Court on 23rd September 2013

Attachments: UNUSED MATERIAL.pdf

Dear Lorraine / Simon

RE: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL
FOR TRIAL AT WINCHESTER CROWN COURT ON 23RD SEPTEMBER 2013
ALLEGATIONS: HANDLING STOLEN GOODS

Please find attached copies of the following:-

1. Unused material

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 04 September 2013 11:48

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Jay Lemos

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 (Burglary)

Attachments: court listing for 09.09.2013.pdf

Dear Lorraine / Simon / Jay

Please find attached a copy of the court listing for 9th September 2013 in relation to the above case,

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 04 September 2013 15:16

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Paul Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 at 10am

Hi Josey

There is some thing that need to be added and a changed I will send it over later if that's ok its only a small bits.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 04 September 2013 10:16

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Jay Lemos

Subject: Regina v. Simon Paul Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 at 10am

Dear Simon / Lorraine / Jay

Please find attached a copy of the defence case statement that I have drafted for you. You will note that I have made reference to the following:-

1. Receipt for the gazebo - I will require this as it will have to be exhibited to the defence case statement
2. Receipt from Mohamed for the sale of the original items
3. Focus catalogues showing the gazebos on sale at an earlier date to that claimed by Mr Patel or at the very least the link. If the link then I will have to amend the DCS

If you wish to amend the DCS then please email me back with the areas that you are not happy with or seek amendment to.

Any questions please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

I am out of the office most of the day tomorrow but I will be contactable by mobile or email. I am also flying to Ireland on Thursday evening but will be contactable by mobile on Friday or over the weekend should you have any queries. My mobile is 07817 702 893.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 04 September 2013 21:09

To: Jay Lemos; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Courton 9th September 2013

Attachments: DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL.doc

Dear Jay / Simon / Lorraine

Please see attached the amended defence case statement.

Regards

Josephine

PS Jay, Simon will sign this on Monday so can you please serve it direct to CPS and court and confirm this by email.

Subject: RE: Generator

From: Daisy Mathebula (Daisy@cplondon.org.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Cc: jaswinder@gmail.com;

Date: Friday, 6 September 2013, 15:54

Dear Simon,

I would like to start by thanking you for helping us out at such short notice with the generator.

The Muswell Hill Festival is our biggest fundraising community event organised and in aid of the centre and school. We support children with cerebral palsy and their families from across London and the home county's and this event helps raise much needed funds as well as help raise awareness of the specialist work we do. You can find out about the work we do on our website: <http://www.cplondon.org.uk/>

Our facebook page is <https://www.facebook.com/pages/The-Muswell-Hill-Festival/402403273151406>

Our twitter page is <https://twitter.com/LCCCP>

Once again thank you for your help and support, which we truly appreciate.

Kind regards,
Daisy

Daisy Mathebula

Corporate & Events Fundraiser

The London Centre for Children with Cerebral Palsy

54 Muswell Hill

London N10 3ST

Tel: 020 3074 1803 (directline)

Tel: 020 8444 7242

Fax: 020 8444 7241

daisy@cplondon.org.uk

Muswell Hill Festival 2013

Join us for the Muswell Hill Festival at Cherry Tree Wood on 8 September in aid of the London Centre for Children with Cerebral Palsy . We have a great selection of stalls, exciting kids' zone with the popular donkey and steam rides, international food court and fantastic live music.

White Water Raft Challenge 2013

Sign up for an exhilarating adrenalin packed challenge for the autumn. Join us on the 5 October and enjoy navigating the action packed rapids of the Olympic course and help raise funds for us. For more information or a registration form please contact us. We guarantee fun, thrills and excitement!

The London Centre for Children with Cerebral Palsy is the operating name of The Peter Rigby Trust (previously known as The Hornsey Trust).

Registered Charity No. 1124524 – Registered office: 54 Muswell Hill, London N10 3ST

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]

Sent: 06 September 2013 15:47

To: jaswinder chadha; Daisy Mathebula

Subject: Re: Generator

Hello Jas Chadha

Thank you for the email the date is booked for the 08/09/2013 at the cost of £200 out of that we will cover £40 in fuel but if it goes over £40 which i hope it will not then you will have to cover the extra cost for the fuel.

Matt will be the electrician for the day and that's also covered in the cost.

Daisy we have spoken to Jas and he said to ask you for an Facebook event page and other event pages as we maybe able to help with promotion of the event.

Many Thanks

Simon

From: jaswinder chadha <jaswinderc@gmail.com>
To: re_wired@ymail.com
Cc: Daisy Mathebula <Daisy@cplondon.org.uk>
Sent: Friday, 6 September 2013, 14:20
Subject: Generator

Hi Simon

Thanks for your help, the generator is needed for the Muswell Hill Festival at Cherry Tree Wood, Summerlee Avenue, Finchley N2 9QH, on Sunday 8th September 2013. Access to the site is from 6am and the event finishes at 6pm. The generator has to be operational by 8.30am.

The event is run for the benefit of The London Centre for Children with Cerebral Palsy, this centre is self funding as it receives no local authority or government funding as it does not use therapies which are approved by these bodies. The centre has a reputation for excellence as it uses therapies developed in other countries.

Your generous offer to only £ 200 is very much appreciated and I cannot thank you enough.

Regards

Jas Chadha

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 09 September 2013 12:38

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Simon's representation at Woolwich Crown Court for PCMH on 9th September 2013

Dear Lorraine

Thank you for your telephone call today. I am sorry that Simon is upset regarding his representation. Can you please send me an email with all of Simon's complaint so that I can discuss this with Michael Carroll. Michael will also want to discuss your concerns with the barrister and we will change the barrister as it is not acceptable that the barrister did not attend court with the correct paperwork. The amended defence case statement was emailed to the barrister on 4th September 2013 and Simon had to bring the exhibits to accompany the defence case statement to today's hearing. If he did not do this then can you please ensure that they are scanned and emailed over to me so that I can email them to the CPS and the Crown Court.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 September 2013 13:07
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Simon's complaint

Lorraine

I am on my way to a police station but this will be sorted today. I am not happy about this either as it is my reputation on the line.

I will be in touch.

Josephine

On Sep 9, 2013 1:04 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I agree and do not blame you at all as I know full well you sent the edited paper work over to Jay Thursday of last week which should be well in time for him to have access to a printer and get up to speed with the case.

I also know you were not complaining that Simon forgot the documentation I just added it to my email so you was fully aware Simon did not bring it to court.

Lorraine

I will also send the documents you need over my email later today ATM I am writing some letters for Sheila.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 September 2013 12:53
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon's complaint

Lorraine

Thank you for your email. This will forwarded to Michael Carroll to deal with when he gets in this afternoon.

I was not complaining that Simon forgot the documentation as clearly Jay should have brought his and he should have been familiar with the case.

I will revert to you in due course.

Josephine

PS Any queries please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 09 September 2013 12:45

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 (Burglary)

Hi Josey

After just taking to you on the phone about Jay who was at court today with Simon to deal with the above matter Jay come to court today with no papers. The judge was not happy and ordered him to supply said papers to the court today by 4pm also he had not prepared for the case so when the judge was asking thing Jay did not know what to say and had to say to the judge I have to think about that. I do agree Simon was meant to bring papers with him but Jay also should have had the paper work you had sent him and have had a printer in order to print it of for the court. Lying to the judge to say he had tried to email them does not go down well with any judge. And the Judge saw through this as he said don't you mean you tried to print the paper work to bring to court. This is not the 1st time Jay has turned up with no paper work for this case and tried to also use he turned to email it as he did not have access to a printer. Does his offices have no printers as I know full well you sent the paper work to him well on time for him to have had access to a printer before today's hearing.

Simon did indeed get upset due to jay no having anything or knowing anything to say to the judge in his case and did stand up and try to explain himself to the judge which the judge did not like and in the end told Simon to leave the court and wait out side. But Simon should not have needed to stand up Jay should have known what to tell the judge and been prepared for the case.

It was also said about Simon curfew in court and it has now been said it is a door step as the prosecution said also in court it has never been electronic curfew so the judge accepted this and said it was door step.

Simon no longer wants Jay to act for him on any matters can you please sort a next person to be in court for him if you are able can you get the guy that Simon has had in the pass I forget his name but he dealt with a case a while ago for him.

If you need Simon to sign this then I will get him to do this when he gets home from court but he does not want Jay on any of his cases again.

Many Thanks

Lorraine and Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 04 September 2013 11:48

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Jay Lemos

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 (Burglary)

Dear Lorraine / Simon / Jay

Please find attached a copy of the court listing for 9th September 2013 in relation to the above case,

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 09 September 2013 12:53

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon's complaint

Lorraine

Thank you for your email. This will be forwarded to Michael Carroll to deal with when he gets in this afternoon.

I was not complaining that Simon forgot the documentation as clearly Jay should have brought his and he should have been familiar with the case.

I will revert to you in due course.

Josephine

PS Any queries please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 September 2013 13:04
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon's complaint
Hi Josey

I agree and do not blame you at all as I know full well you sent the edited paper work over to Jay Thursday of last week which should be well in time for him to have access to a printer and get up to speed with the case.

I also know you were not complaining that Simon forgot the documentation I just added it to my email so you was fully aware Simon did not bring it to court.

Lorraine

I will also send the documents you need over my email later today ATM I am writing some letters for Sheila.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 September 2013 12:53
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon's complaint

Lorraine

Thank you for your email. This will be forwarded to Michael Carroll to deal with when he gets in this afternoon.

I was not complaining that Simon forgot the documentation as clearly Jay should have brought his and he should have been familiar with the case.

I will revert to you in due course.

Josephine

PS Any queries please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 10 September 2013 12:42

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Can you please scan over to me the receipt and the links for the catalogue as referred to in the defence case statement in order that I can serve this document today.

I have discussed what happened with your case yesterday Simon and it is clear that there has been a total breakdown in the relationship between you and the instructed advocate. Michael has approved the immediate removal of your case from Mr Lemos's diary and I will instruct the Advocate who represented you for the bladed article case.

I am sorry that you were disappointed with the instructed Advocate. I hope that the above is a satisfactory solution. Can you please keep me updated as to any additional concerns that you have regarding any future instructed advocates.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further problems.

Regards

Josephine

PS Please ensure that you provide the receipts and the links by return email as the defence case statement should have been served yesterday.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 09 September 2013 18:24

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon's complaint

Lorraine

I have sent a copy of your email of complaint to the barrister and I have asked him to respond in writing. I have copied Michael Carroll in on the email and Michael is not happy that Simon was upset at Court today. Michael will be taking action on this but first we have to go through the process of awaiting the barrister's response and I am hoping to respond to you by tomorrow evening, Wednesday evening at the latest.

Any questions please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 10 September 2013 12:42

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Can you please scan over to me the receipt and the links for the catalogue as referred to in the defence case statement in order that I can serve this document today.

I have discussed what happened with your case yesterday Simon and it is clear that there has been a total breakdown in the relationship between you and the instructed advocate. Michael has approved the immediate removal of your case from Mr Lemos's diary and I will instruct the Advocate who represented you for the bladed article case.

I am sorry that you were disappointed with the instructed Advocate. I hope that the above is a satisfactory solution. Can you please keep me updated as to any additional concerns that you have regarding any future instructed advocates.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further problems.

Regards

Josephine

PS Please ensure that you provide the receipts and the links by return email as the defence case statement should have been served yesterday.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 September 2013 12:45
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Hi Lorraine

Further to our telephone call I am returning the email that you forwarded to me. I will require the original receipt that I can photocopy. I assume that there are no issues re the catalogue links.

I will send over an amended defence case statement that Simon will have to sign before I can serve

Josephine

On Sep 11, 2013 9:53 AM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi josey

Really sorry I forgot yesterday after I helped Sheila out I was so tired.

Here is the information you need.

Also did you ask the police if you can have access to the building to take our own pictures?

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 10 September 2013 12:42
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Can you please scan over to me the receipt and the links for the catalogue as referred to in the defence case statement in order that I can serve this document today.

I have discussed what happened with your case yesterday Simon and it is clear that there has been a total breakdown in the relationship between you and the instructed advocate. Michael has approved the immediate removal of your case from Mr Lemos's diary and I will instruct the Advocate who represented you for the bladed article case.

I am sorry that you were disappointed with the instructed Advocate. I hope that the above is a satisfactory solution. Can you please keep me updated as to any additional concerns that you have regarding any future instructed advocates.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further problems.

Regards

Josephine

PS Please ensure that you provide the receipts and the links by return email as the defence case statement should have been served yesterday.

Subject: Re: Invoice for Lock too Lock Festival

From: Omar Lawrence (omar.niburumedia@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Tuesday, 17 September 2013, 14:50

Hi Simon,
please find attached a new invoice with some changes..

Thx.
O

On Tue, Sep 17, 2013 at 9:43 AM, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Hi Omar

I have redone the invoice for you.

Many Thanks

Simon

From: Omar Lawrence <omar.niburumedia@gmail.com>
To: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Monday, 16 September 2013, 17:29
Subject: Re: Invoice for Lock too Lock Festival

Hi Simon,
Please address invoice to:
NIBURU MEDIA
ENFIELD ENTERPRISE CENTRE
26-28 QUEENSWAY
PONDERS END
EN3 4SA

Thanks.
Omar.

On Monday, September 16, 2013, Rewired Rewired wrote:

Hi Omar

Here is the invoice you have asked for.

Many Thanks

Simon

--

OMAR LAWRENCE
Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

--

OMAR LAWRENCE
Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

Attachments

- TooSweet Invoice..doc (112.00 KB)

Subject: Re: Lock2Lock Invoice

From: Rewired Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To: omar.niburumedia@gmail.com;

Date: Friday, 27 September 2013, 17:25

Hi Omar

can you pay it into my mums account please info below

Barclays Bank
Miss Lorraine Cordell
20-29-77
80717177

Simon

From: Omar Lawrence <omar.niburumedia@gmail.com>
To: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 24 September 2013, 18:13
Subject: Re: Lock2Lock Invoice

Hi Si'
Got meeting tomoro and so will update you then..

Thx.
O.

On Tue, Sep 24, 2013 at 1:22 PM, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Hi Omar

Is there any update as to when the invoice can be paid?

Simon

From: Omar Lawrence <omar.niburumedia@gmail.com>
To: too smooth <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 17 September 2013, 15:06
Subject: re: Lock2Lock Invoice

EZ Si'
please find attached a copy of the invoice, check it over and make sure you are kool with it..

Thx.
O.

--

OMAR LAWRENCE

Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

--

OMAR LAWRENCE
Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

Subject: Fwd: Fw: Vote Lurine Cato for MOBO Award 2013 - Best Gospel Act

From: Omar Lawrence (omar.niburumedia@gmail.com)

To: angela.agor@gmail.com;

Bcc: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Tuesday, 1 October 2013, 12:01

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Marlene Cato**
Date: Tuesday, October 1, 2013
Subject: Fw: Vote Lurine Cato for MOBO Award 2013 - Best Gospel Act
To:

VOTE FOR LURINE CATO FOT MOBO AWARD - BEST GOSPEL ACT

Lurine Cato is a great singer songwriter to reaches out to people through her songs, she also goes to prisons, hostels, events for young people who have been fostered and adopted and women who have had traumatic experiences with her songs. She has sung at the Royal Festival Hall, headlined at the Barcelona Festival and Millfield Theatre and has already won other music awards including this year GMA -Best International Song Italy - Following the Star (Closer). See her her at the OBV Arena in Hamburg, Germany singing I Believe I Can Fly <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tiLTA7fcOng>

We appreciated everyone who have voted so far, but if you haven't or your friends and family PLEASE register, then VOTE at <http://www.mobo.com/voting2013/best-gospel> Thank you

Thank you for your support and vote. For more information and bookings please contact Fresh Manna
fresh.manna@rocketmail.com

--

OMAR LAWRENCE
Niburu Media.
Enfield Enterprise Centre
26-28 Queensway
Ponders end
Enfield EN3 4SA

Attachments

- Lurine.Lurine (631.19 KB)

Payment Due for Your Vistaprint Order

From: Vistaprint (vistaprint@rtm.vistaprint.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Friday, 4 October 2013, 10:02 BST

Make sure vistaprint@e.vistaprint.com is in your address book

 **My Account**
Account No. 5323-6629-7244

Payment Failed for Your Vistaprint Order

Dear si,

In our last e-mail we informed you that your order referenced below was pending approval of your payment.

Unfortunately, we were unable to authorise your payment.

We invite you to click on the link below to attempt a new payment to finalise this order. Your order will not be processed and sent until you complete this step.

<http://www.vistaprint.co.uk/vp/pmtlink.aspx?o=HHC6F-F2A30-4N4>

Order Number: HHC6F-F2A30-4N4

Order Date: 04/10/2013

Delivery Option: Standard

You can expect to receive your order in 14 Days once payment has been validated.

Item	Name	Quantity	Price
Documents			
B71-001	Premium Business Card	250	£9.99
Options			
912-001	Blank Reverse Side	-	INCLUDED
AX3-001	Matte	-	INCLUDED
Subtotal :			£9.99
Shipping & Processing :			FREE
VAT :			£2.00
Total :			£11.99

This is an automatically generated email upon failure to process payment for your order, so it's not possible to reply directly. If you have any questions regarding your order, please click [here](#) to contact our customer service.

Thank you for shopping with us. We appreciate your business and look forward to serving all of your printing needs.

Sincerely,
The Vistaprint Customer Support Team

Please do NOT reply to this message, as it is coming from an unmonitored e-mail address.

All prices excluding VAT and delivery. To see prices inc. VAT please select this option on our website. Product upgrades and delivery are not included unless otherwise specific. Discounts valid on lowest quantity and smallest size of each product, and not valid on previous purchases or in combination with any other offers. Please note that Vistaprint reserves the right to

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court 10 at 11am

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk; re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Monday, 21 October 2013, 18:10

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Your case has been listed tomorrow for a mention hearing. You are both welcome to attend if you so desire but you are not required to attend.

As you have not been able to provide me with the original receipt of internet link for the Focus catalogue I have edited the defence case statement accordingly.

I now attach the amended defence case statement which I have instructed your barrister to serve at tomorrow's hearing. This will trigger secondary disclosure in the case and I will be hoping to offer you an appointment in four weeks time for a conference with your barrister.

If you have any further requests to vary your bail then please provide me with adequate notice as the courts tend to want the CPS and solicitors to attempt to agree the variation administratively before resorting to the court.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards

Josephine

PS Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office. Thanks

Attachments

- DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL AMENDED VERSION.doc (41.00 KB)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 21 October 2013 18:11

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court 10 at 11am

Attachments: DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL AMENDED VERSION.doc

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Your case has been listed tomorrow for a mention hearing. You are both welcome to attend if you so desire but you are not required to attend.

As you have not been able to provide me with the original receipt of internet link for the Focus catalogue I have edited the defence case statement accordingly.

I now attach the amended defence case statement which I have instructed your barrister to serve at tomorrow's hearing. This will trigger secondary disclosure in the case and I will be hoping to offer you an appointment in four weeks time for a conference with your barrister.

If you have any further requests to vary your bail then please provide me with adequate notice as the courts tend to want the CPS and solicitors to attempt to agree the variation administratively before resorting to the court.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards

Josephine

PS Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office. Thanks

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 21 October 2013 19:51

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court 10 at 11am

Hi Josey

Just gone over the document you sent over and on number 17 you made a mistake with the date

The Defendant denies entering as a trespasser the said premises. The Defendant accepts that his DNA may have been found on a can but that this may have been moved at any time during the night of the party on the 4th February 2013. The Defendant denies stealing from the premises and he denies causing any damage to the premises

It should be the 4th May 2013

Also the link for the catalogue for focus is correct

<http://web.archive.org/web/20110321003352/http://diy.focusdiy.co.uk/nav/cat2/gazebos/cat/gardenbuildings/0>

It does show the Harrogate Gazebo for the catalogue for focus and it's the website URL archive dated 11 March 2011. It does take a little while to load from the archive servers but does load and you can see the items of gazebos that focus was selling on the 11 March 2011 you have to look down the list to see the Harrogate Gazebo information.

If you load the URL and then right click on the page and select Save As in the menu you can save it to your computer also.

The only thing I cannot find is the original receipt for Simon Gazebo that was at his home when the police come.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 21 October 2013 18:11

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court 10 at 11am

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Your case has been listed tomorrow for a mention hearing. You are both welcome to attend if you so desire but you are not required to attend.

As you have not been able to provide me with the original receipt of internet link for the Focus catalogue I have edited the defence case statement accordingly.

I now attach the amended defence case statement which I have instructed your barrister to serve at tomorrow's hearing. This will trigger secondary disclosure in the case and I will be hoping to offer you an appointment in four weeks time for a conference with your barrister.

If you have any further requests to vary your bail then please provide me with adequate notice as the courts tend to want the CPS and solicitors to attempt to agree the variation administratively before resorting to the court.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards

Josephine

PS Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office. Thanks

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 21 October 2013 19:56

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court 10 at 11am

Okay thanks Lorraine the date can be amended at court by the barrister Thanks for drawing my attention to it.

Regards

Josephine

On Oct 21, 2013 7:51 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Just gone over the document you sent over and on number 17 you made a mistake with the date

The Defendant denies entering as a trespasser the said premises. The Defendant accepts that his DNA may have been found on a can but that this may have been moved at any time during the night of the party on the 4th February 2013. The Defendant denies stealing from the premises and he denies causing any damage to the premises

It should be the 4th May 2013

Also the link for the catalogue for focus is correct

<http://web.archive.org/web/20110321003352/http://diy.focusdiy.co.uk/nav/cat2/gazebos/cat/gardenbuildings/0>

It does show the Harrogate Gazebo for the catalogue for focus and it's the website URL archive dated 11 March 2011. It does take a little while to load from the archive servers but does load and you can see the items of gazebos that focus was selling on the 11 March 2011 you have to look down the list to see the Harrogate Gazebo information.

If you load the URL and then right click on the page and select Save As in the menu you can save it to your computer also.

The only thing I cannot find is the original receipt for Simon Gazebo that was at his home when the police come.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 21 October 2013 18:11

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court 10 at 11am

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Your case has been listed tomorrow for a mention hearing. You are both welcome to attend if you so desire but you are not required to attend.

As you have not been able to provide me with the original receipt of internet link for the Focus catalogue I have edited the defence case statement accordingly.

I now attach the amended defence case statement which I have instructed your barrister to serve at tomorrow's hearing. This will trigger secondary disclosure in the case and I will be hoping to offer you an appointment in four weeks time for a conference with your barrister.

If you have any further requests to vary your bail then please provide me with adequate notice as the courts tend to want the CPS and solicitors to attempt to agree the variation administratively before resorting to the court.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards

Josephine

PS Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office. Thanks

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards

Josephine

PS Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office. Thanks

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 24 October 2013 09:20
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Court today
Hi josey

They are about 45 mins away from Southampton court so they maybe a little late or get there about 10am

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 September 2013 12:45
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Hi Lorraine

Further to our telephone call I am returning the email that you forwarded to me. I will require the original receipt that I can photocopy. I assume that there are no issues re the catalogue links.

I will send over an amended defence case statement that Simon will have to sign before I can serve

Josephine

On Sep 11, 2013 9:53 AM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi josey

Really sorry I forgot yesterday after I helped Sheila out I was so tired.

Here is the information you need.

Also did you ask the police if you can have access to the building to take our own pictures?

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 10 September 2013 12:42
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Can you please scan over to me the receipt and the links for the catalogue as referred to in the defence case statement in order that I can serve this document today.

I have discussed what happened with your case yesterday Simon and it is clear that there has been a total breakdown in the relationship between you and the instructed advocate. Michael has approved the immediate removal of your case from Mr Lemos's diary and I will instruct the Advocate who represented you for the bladed article case.

I am sorry that you were disappointed with the instructed Advocate. I hope that the above is a satisfactory solution. Can you please keep me updated as to any additional concerns that you have regarding any future instructed advocates.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further problems.

Regards

Josephine

PS Please ensure that you provide the receipts and the links by return email as the defence case statement should have been served yesterday.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 24 October 2013 13:13

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Case

Hi Josey

I just got a call from Simon and it seems that the prosecution have seemed to not have the CCTV the judge has also allowed this to go on without it and the prosecution not saying what happened correctly at all in how it was meant to have happened and without the CCTV to prove it how can Simon prove that they are saying is wrong?

Also there is no one from there side that has tuned up they are just using there statements. And also there saying this is a 2 day trial so want Simon back there tomorrow again this is a lot of money to get up there and back.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 24 October 2013 16:20
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Case
Lorraine

The loser's statement is not being disputed. He said he was the owner he reported the trailer as being stolen from the location that Simon collected the trailer from. The CCTV will not change the case in any way either as Simon accepts that he collected the trailer and removed the trailer. The issue in the case is whether Simon bought the trailer in good faith. The jury will consider the price paid, where the contract was conducted and also the circumstances. They will undoubtedly question our inability to provide any defence witnesses to corroborate Simon's version of events. With regards to the trial lasting two days I do not have any control over the trial length.

Simon gives his version of events and the jury either believe him or they do not. The CCTV evidence does not add to the case in any way. Simon accepted in his interview collecting the trailer so I am not sure how the CCTV alters this.

With regards to the case being in the Crown Court this was Simon's choice. The issue is when, where and what Simon said to satisfy himself that the person selling the trailer was the true owner. This is a question of fact.

I hope that this clarifies the position.

Regards

Josephine

On Thu, Oct 24, 2013 at 1:13 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I just got a call from Simon and it seems that the prosecution have seemed to not have the CCTV the judge has also allowed this to go on without it and the prosecution not saying what happened correctly at all in how it was meant to have happened and without the CCTV to prove it how can Simon prove that they are saying is wrong?

Also there is no one from there side that has tuned up they are just using there statements. And also there saying this is a 2 day trial so want Simon back there tomorrow again this is a lot of money to get up there and back.

Lorraine

Subject: RE: 02/11/2013 fireworks night Enfield park

From: Darren Leonard (darrenleonard@tiscali.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Friday, 25 October 2013, 9:17

Hi Simon,

Yes we still want your Genny, if you could confirm the price, and what cabling and connections you have. We need 3 phase supply for a smoke machine and could do with as long an extension as you can supply..

We would need you on site at midday. We make our final decision at midday about the weather and if we are go or no go..

Regards

Darren

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 24 October 2013 13:46
To: Darren Leonard
Subject: RE: 02/11/2013 fireworks night Enfield park

Hi Darren

Just wanted to confirm the 02/11/2013 for the gen with you, and if you still need the gen on this day. if you do can you give me the information as to times that i would need to be there please.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 25 October 2013 15:22
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Case
Hi josey

Just letting you no the outcome of Simon case he was found not guilty ☺ thank you

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 24 October 2013 16:20
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Case

Lorraine

The loser's statement is not being disputed. He said he was the owner he reported the trailer as being stolen from the location that Simon collected the trailer from. The CCTV will not change the case in any way either as Simon accepts that he collected the trailer and removed the trailer. The issue in the case is whether Simon bought the trailer in good faith. The jury will consider the price paid, where the contract was conducted and also the circumstances. They will undoubtedly question our inability to provide any defence witnesses to corroborate Simon's version of events. With regards to the trial lasting two days I do not have any control over the trial length.

Simon gives his version of events and the jury either believe him or they do not. The CCTV evidence does not add to the case in any way. Simon accepted in his interview collecting the trailer so I am not sure how the CCTV alters this.

With regards to the case being in the Crown Court this was Simon's choice. The issue is when, where and what Simon said to satisfy himself that the person selling the trailer was the true owner. This is a question of fact.

I hope that this clarifies the position.

Regards

Josephine

On Thu, Oct 24, 2013 at 1:13 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

I just got a call from Simon and it seems that the prosecution have seemed to not have the CCTV the judge has also allowed this to go on without it and the prosecution not saying what happened correctly at all in how it was meant to have happened and without the CCTV to prove it how can Simon prove that they are saying is wrong?

Also there is no one from there side that has tuned up they are just using there statements. And also there saying this is a 2 day trial so want Simon back there tomorrow again this is a lot of money to get up there and back.

Lorraine

Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<34> OpenAttach Documents

From: Gaheris Edwards (gaheris@broadsuredirect.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Monday, 28 October 2013, 15:20

As requested, please find attached your full certificate of motor insurance. A duplicate will be posted to you today

Kind Regards,

Gaheris Edwards

Administration Department

t: 01843 594477

f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Attachments

- image2013-10-28-161744._GE_.pdf (290.94 KB)
- image001.png (6.81 KB)

From: 'iCarCheck.net - Vehicle checks' [support@icarcheck.net]

Sent: 28 October 2013 15:13

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: Your iCarCheck Vehicle History Receipt

Attachments: iCarCheck_receipt6807f19d-353c-4ffb-98e6-836b23042a92.pdf

Please find attached your receipt for your iCarcheck purchase

From: 'iCarCheck.net - Vehicle checks' [support@icarcheck.net]

Sent: 28 October 2013 15:17

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: Your iCarCheck Vehicle History Receipt

Attachments: iCarCheck_receipt48a03c3e-b535-4dae-82fa-2b4886025698.pdf

Please find attached your receipt for your iCarcheck purchase

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 05 November 2013 13:18

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell Case info

Attachments: 001.jpg; IMG_3893.JPG; IMG_3894.JPG; names.doc; party names and dates.doc; Sim-Moses-Howe-Deadbeats Bank Holiday Bender-Rental-Invoice-04-05-2013.doc; Picture of trolley in party in the room with red shutters it was in when simon left the party.jpg; 1 Bianca Road address of party on the 04-5-2013.png; 62646_469671246434851_212091727_n.jpg; 255604_469671833101459_675140176_n.jpg; 922944_469671186434857_568631502_n.jpg; 935721_469671259768183_1881687900_n.jpg; 936830_469671569768152_1848895760_n.jpg; 941336_469668209768488_1744153675_n.jpg; 942414_469671343101508_1514956726_n.jpg; Deadbeats Bank Holiday Bender - May 13.png; Deadbeats Bank Holiday Bender event page - May 13.jpg; EIS party event page for 16-02-2013.png; Mosses with Simon Amps in back of picture.jpg; Part of Simon system in the party.jpg

Hi Josey

I got the receipt you needed, I have taken 2 pictures of it and I have also done a scan of it.

Also Simon has asked me to send over some other info.

I have also got some pictures of the event that Simon was hired out for. And also one of the event page for the event that was held on the 16/2/1013

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 November 2013 21:08

To: Lorraine Cordell **Subject:** Conversation of today Lorraine

I have not received the emails you referred to in our conversation of this evening. Can you please resend it?

Thanks

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 06 November 2013 11:08

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Conversation of today

Attachments: 001.jpg; IMG_3893.JPG; IMG_3894.JPG; names.doc; party names and dates.doc; Sim-Moses-Howe-Deadbeats Bank Holiday Bender-Rental-Invoice-04-05-2013.doc

Oh Josey just got this msg

I will send it over in 3 emails maybe it was the size of the email why you did not get it.

This is what I said

Hi Josey

I got the receipt you needed, I have taken 2 pictures of it and I have also done a scan of it.

Also Simon has asked me to send over some other info.

I have also got some pictures of the event that Simon was hired out for. And also one of the event page for the event that was held on the 16/2/1013

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 05 November 2013 21:08

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Conversation of today

Lorraine

I have not received the emails you referred to in our conversation of this evening. Can you please re-send it.

Thanks

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 06 November 2013 11:10

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Conversation of today

Attachments: 1 Bianca Road address of party on the 04-5-2013.png; Deadbeats Bank Holiday Bender - May 13.png; Deadbeats Bank Holiday Bender event page - May 13 .jpg; E1S party event page for 16-02-2013.png; Part of Simon system in the party.jpg; Picture of trolley in party in the room with red shutters it was in when simon left the party.jpg

Hi Josey

2nd email

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 06 November 2013 11:11

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Conversation of today

Attachments: 942414_469671343101508_1514956726_n.jpg;
62646_469671246434851_212091727_n.jpg; 255604_469671833101459_675140176_n.jpg;
922944_469671186434857_568631502_n.jpg; 935721_469671259768183_1881687900_n.jpg;
936830_469671569768152_1848895760_n.jpg; 941336_469668209768488_1744153675_n.jpg
[3rd email](#)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 05 November 2013 21:08

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Conversation of today

Lorraine

I have not received the emails you referred to in our conversation of this evening. Can you please re-send it.

Thanks

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 06 November 2013 11:12
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Conversation of today
Hi Josey

Can you confirm you got all 3 emails with attachments please

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 November 2013 21:08
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Conversation of today

Lorraine

I have not received the emails you referred to in our conversation of this evening. Can you please re-send it.

Thanks

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 08 November 2013 01:13

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell Case info

Hi Lorraine

I have been very busy this week. I have just arrived in from the police station and am knackered. I will respond to your email over the weekend if that is okay as I have a number of prior authorities to send off (x6) and they are time consuming.

Regards

Josephine

On Tue, Nov 5, 2013 at 1:17 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I got the receipt you needed, I have taken 2 pictures of it and I have also done a scan of it.

Also Simon has asked me to send over some other info.

I have also got some pictures of the event that Simon was hired out for. And also one of the event page for the event that was held on the 16/2/1013

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 08 November 2013 09:12

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell Case info

That's cool josey I just wanted to know you got them after not getting the 1st one I sent to you try and relax a bit and have some rest ☺

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 08 November 2013 01:13

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell Case info

Hi Lorraine

I have been very busy this week. I have just arrived in from the police station and am knackered. I will respond to your email over the weekend if that is okay as I have a number of prior authorities to send off (x6) and they are time consuming.

Regards

Josephine

On Tue, Nov 5, 2013 at 1:17 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

I got the receipt you needed, I have taken 2 pictures of it and I have also done a scan of it.

Also Simon has asked me to send over some other info.

I have also got some pictures of the event that Simon was hired out for. And also one of the event page for the event that was held on the 16/2/1013

Lorraine

From: Vaughan Barnes [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]

Sent: 12 November 2013 16:00

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Guntree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

☎ +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

✉ vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 November 2013 16:03 To: 'Vaughan Barnes'
Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads Hello Vaughan

1 got the email but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

From: Vaughan Barnes [mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]
Sent: 12 November 2013 16:00 To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk Subject: description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

p

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | **Gumtree**

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

EI vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

From: Vaughan Barnes [Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]
Sent: 12 November 2013 16:10
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads

Attachments: bar stool.docx Hello Simon,

1 I have no sorry about that.

No problem I have attached it in a word doc this time.

Please get back to me if you can not view it.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Gumtree
Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000
2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)
E vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 5:02 PM
To: Vaughan Barnes
Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads

Hello Vaughan

1 I got the email but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

From: Vaughan Barnes [mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]
Sent: 12 November 2013 16:00 To:
lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk Subject:
description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Gumtree
Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000
2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)
E vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 November 2013 17:42 To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: FW: description of bar stool ads

Attachments: bar stool.docx; shopfront-google-map.png; Sim Chair.jpg Hi Josey

Today Simon called Gumtree up to see if he could in fact get the ad which was placed on Gumtree from the shop where Mohammad worked and got the items he sold to Simon. Gumtree was very helpful and found the ad for Simon and sent it over in the below email, the only thing they could not put in the email was the contact information of the person who posted the ad on Gumtree, but have said they will give this out to the police if the police contact them.

The shop was called MJD'S 98 Tower Bridge Road, London SE1 4TP and this is where Mohammad worked. This is the name of the shop where the gazebos chairs and stools were for sale and the shop that advertised them on gumtree.

When Simon Ordered the chairs from Mohammad there were 2 different types Simon got 4 of the Milan bar stools and one of the Venice Chair. The Venice Chair is what the police have at the police station.

He sold 4 of the Milan Stools he ordered from Mohammad which were different from the one found at Simon address. All of these carry the What! Logo.

Simon has since be able to get one of the people he sold the stool to which did keep the box and was able to get the label of it which I have scanned and will send over with this email. Which is clear it is the same chair in the ad on Gumtree.

Bar stool.doc is the attachment from gumtree The other attachments are from me.

Lorraine

Below is what Gumtree sent to Simon

From: Vaughan Barnes [mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]
Sent: 12 November 2013 16:10 To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads Hello Simon,

1 I have no sorry about that.

No problem I have attached it in a word doc this time.

Please get back to me if you cannot view it.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | **Gumtree**
Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000
2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)
E1 vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 5:02 PM

To: Vaughan Barnes

Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads Hello Vaughan

From: Vaughan Barnes [<mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu>]

Sent: 12 November 2013 16:00 To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk Subject: description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

+356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

El vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

I got the email but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 November 2013 08:31
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail. com'
Subject: FW: Re: Simon Cordell

Attachments: bar stool.docx; shopfront-google-map.png; Sim Chair.jpg

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 November 2013 17:42 To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: FW: description of bar stool ads

Hi Josey

Today Simon called Gumtree up to see if he could in fact get the ad which was placed on Gumtree from the shop where Mohammad worked and got the items he sold to Simon. Gumtree was very helpful and found the ad for Simon and sent it over in the below email, the only thing they could not put in the email was the contact information of the person who posted the ad on Gumtree, but have said they will give this out to the police if the police contact them.

The shop was called MJD'S 98 Tower Bridge Road, London SE1 4TP and this is where Mohammad worked. This is the name of the shop where the gazebos chairs and stools were for sale and the shop that advertised them on gumtree.

When Simon Ordered the chairs from Mohammad there were 2 different types Simon got 4 of the Milan bar stools and one of the Venice Chair. The Venice Chair is what the police have at the police station.

He sold 4 of the Milan Stools he ordered from Mohammad which were different from the one found at Simon address. All of these carry the What! Logo.

Simon has since be able to get one of the people he sold the stool to which did keep the box and was able to get the label of it which I have scanned and will send over with this email. Which is clear it is the same chair in the ad on Gumtree.

Bar stool.doc is the attachment from gumtree The other attachments are from me.

Lorraine

Below is what Gumtree sent to Simon

From: Vaughan Barnes [mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]
Sent: 12 November 2013 16:10 To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads Hello Simon,

I have no sorry about that.
No problem I have attached it in a word doc this time.
Please get back to me if you cannot view it.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | **Gumtree**

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000 2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

H vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 5:02 PM To: Vaughan Barnes

Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads Hello Vaughan

I got the email but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

From: Vaughan Barnes [mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]

Sent: 12 November 2013 16:00 To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk Subject: description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

P

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | **Gumtree**

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000 2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

H vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 13 November 2013 08:32

To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Attachments: bar stool.docx; shopfront-google-map.png; Sim Chair.jpg Hi Josey did you get this email I sent yesterday

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 12 November 2013 17:42 To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: FW: description of bar stool ads

Hi Josey

Today Simon called Gumtree up to see if he could in fact get the ad which was placed on Gumtree from the shop where Mohammad worked and got the items he sold to Simon. Gumtree was very helpful and found the ad for Simon and sent it over in the below email, the only thing they could not put in the email was the contact information of the person who posted the ad on Gumtree, but have said they will give this out to the police if the police contact them.

The shop was called MJD'S 98 Tower Bridge Road, London SE1 4TP and this is where Mohammad worked.

This is the name of the shop where the gazebos chairs and stools were for sale and the shop that advertised them on gumtree.

When Simon Ordered the chairs from Mohammad there were 2 different types Simon got 4 of the Milan bar stools and one of the Venice Chair. The Venice Chair is what the police have at the police station.

He sold 4 of the Milan Stools he ordered from Mohammad which were different from the one found at Simon address. All of these carry the What! Logo.

Simon has since be able to get one of the people he sold the stool to which did keep the box and was able to get the label of it which I have scanned and will send over with this email. Which is clear it is the same chair in the ad on Gumtree.

Bar stool.doc is the attachment from gumtree the other attachments are from me.

Lorraine

Below is what Gumtree sent to Simon

From: Vaughan Barnes [mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]

Sent: 12 November 2013 16:10 To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads Hello Simon,

I have no sorry about that.

No problem I have attached it in a word doc this time.

Please get back to me if you cannot view it.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | **Gumtree**

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000 2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

H vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 5:02 PM To: Vaughan Barnes

Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads Hello Vaughan

1 got the email but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you again but would it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

From: Vaughan Barnes [mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]

Sent: 12 November 2013 16:00 To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk Subject: description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.

p

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | **Gumtree**

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000 2 +356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)

H vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 15 November 2013 13:07

To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear Martin

Today the 15/11/13 I made a call to be able to speak to you with regard to what happened yesterday the 14/11/2013 with the police. I have talked to Oilly today and he asked me to write this email to you as they are thinking of closing my insurance policy.

Yesterday I went for a meeting with the owner of a night club for a future job position the meeting was set for 14.00 hours..

I drove down for my meeting and got there at around 13.00 hours there were some police at the road side doing stops on cars etc, as I drove pass and pulled over to park by the night club, my friend who was with me got out of the van to get some drinks and food while I waited in the van, as I got to the club early for my meeting.

The police that were doing the stops came up to me, they told me they wanted to do some checks on the van I was sitting in, I asked why and they told me under the road traffic act.

I then passed the police my insurance policy for them to go over, the police officer also asked me why I had stopped there.

I explained to him I had a meeting in the night club that we were outside, as it was due to open to the public in 1 month time to secure my future position there. While I was there I was also planning on asking if there were any painting jobs, I explained all this to the police officer I was talking to.

The police looked inside the van and clearly saw it was empty and that there was also no signs on the van showing it to be a company van. But the police officer was still unhappy. He talked to yourselves as the insurance company on the phone and was told I was not insured, at what point I called you myself as my insurance company as I knew I was insured. I explained the situation to yourselves to be told I was covered for commuting to work, social and domestic, as well as motor trade, but not for carriage of goods for a company, which did not matter as my van was empty and still is whilst being in the police impound.

I am putting in a complaint to the independent complaints commission in regards to the unlawful seizure of my vehicle.

Further to this would it please be possible to find out the status of my insurance policy and the reasons as to why I have been told you are in the process of closing my policy.

Yours sincerely

Mr simon cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: Van-recipept-10-11-2013.jpg
Dear martin

After a next call and talking to oily he asked me to send over the receipt of me buying the van please see attached scan. I am waiting for the new logbook to come from DVLA.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:07
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear Martin

Today the 15/11/13 I made a call to be able to speak to you with regard to what happened yesterday the 14/11/2013 with the police. I have talked to Oilly today and he asked me to write this email to you as they are thinking of closing my insurance policy.

Yesterday I went for a meeting with the owner of a night club for a future job position the meeting was set for 14.00 hours..

I drove down for my meeting and got there at around 13.00 hours there were some police at the road side doing stops on cars etc, as I drove pass and pulled over to park by the night club, my friend who was with me got out of the van to get some drinks and food while I waited in the van, as I got to the club early for my meeting.

The police that were doing the stops came up to me, they told me they wanted to do some checks on the van I was sitting in, I asked why and they told me under the road traffic act.

I then passed the police my insurance policy for them to go over, the police officer also asked me why I had stopped there.

I explained to him I had a meeting in the night club that we were outside, as it was due to open to the public in 1 month time to secure my future position there. While I was there I was also planning on asking if there were any painting jobs, I explained all this to the police officer I was talking to.

The police looked inside the van and clearly saw it was empty and that there was also no signs on the van showing it to be a company van. But the police officer was still unhappy. He talked to yourselves as the insurance company on the phone and was told I was not insured, at what point I called you myself as my insurance company as I knew I was insured. I explained the situation to yourselves to be told I was covered for commuting to work, social and domestic, as well as motor trade, but not for carriage of goods for a company, which did not matter as my van was empty and still is whilst being in the police impound.

I am putting in a complaint to the independent complaints commission in regards to the unlawful seizure of my vehicle.

Further to this would it please be possible to find out the status of my insurance policy and the reasons as to why I have been told you are in the process of closing my policy.

Yours sincerely

Mr simon cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 18 November 2013 20:52
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Martin

Can you let me know by email if I am going to still have insurance as from the 27/11/2013 please, I got a letter saying my insurance will be closed from the 27/11/2013, and I cannot see the reason for this as I have done nothing wrong.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear martin

After a next call and talking to oily he asked me to send over the receipt of me buying the van please see attached scan. I am waiting for the new logbook to come from DVLA.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:07
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear Martin

Today the 15/11/13 I made a call to be able to speak to you with regard to what happened yesterday the 14/11/2013 with the police. I have talked to Oilly today and he asked me to write this email to you as they are thinking of closing my insurance policy.

Yesterday I went for a meeting with the owner of a night club for a future job position the meeting was set for 14.00 hours..

I drove down for my meeting and got there at around 13.00 hours there were some police at the road side doing stops on cars etc, as I drove pass and pulled over to park by the night club, my friend who was with me got out of the van to get some drinks and food while I waited in the van, as I got to the club early for my meeting.

The police that were doing the stops came up to me, they told me they wanted to do some checks on the van I was sitting in, I asked why and they told me under the road traffic act.

I then passed the police my insurance policy for them to go over, the police officer also asked me why I had stopped there.

I explained to him I had a meeting in the night club that we were outside, as it was due to open to the public in 1 month time to secure my future position there. While I was there I was also planning on asking if there were any painting jobs, I explained all this to the police officer I was talking to.

The police looked inside the van and clearly saw it was empty and that there was also no signs on the van showing it to be a company van. But the police officer was still unhappy. He talked to yourselves as the insurance company on the phone and was told I was not insured, at what point I called you myself as my insurance company as I knew I was insured. I explained the situation to yourselves to be told I was covered for commuting to work, social and domestic, as well as motor trade, but not for carriage of goods for a company,

which did not matter as my van was empty and still is whilst being in the police impound.

I am putting in a complaint to the independent complaints commission in regards to the unlawful seizure of my vehicle.

Further to this would it please be possible to find out the status of my insurance policy and the reasons as to why I have been told you are in the process of closing my policy.

Yours sincerely

Mr simon cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 18 November 2013 20:53
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Martin

Can you let me know by email if I am going to still have insurance as from the 27/11/2013 please, I got a letter saying my insurance will be closed from the 27/11/2013, and I cannot see the reason for this as I have done nothing wrong.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear martin

After a next call and talking to oily he asked me to send over the receipt of me buying the van please see attached scan. I am waiting for the new logbook to come from DVLA.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:07
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear Martin

Today the 15/11/13 I made a call to be able to speak to you with regard to what happened yesterday the 14/11/2013 with the police. I have talked to Oilly today and he asked me to write this email to you as they are thinking of closing my insurance policy.

Yesterday I went for a meeting with the owner of a night club for a future job position the meeting was set for 14.00 hours..

I drove down for my meeting and got there at around 13.00 hours there were some police at the road side doing stops on cars etc, as I drove pass and pulled over to park by the night club, my friend who was with me got out of the van to get some drinks and food while I waited in the van, as I got to the club early for my meeting.

The police that were doing the stops came up to me, they told me they wanted to do some checks on the van I was sitting in, I asked why and they told me under the road traffic act.

I then passed the police my insurance policy for them to go over, the police officer also asked me why I had stopped there.

I explained to him I had a meeting in the night club that we were outside, as it was due to open to the public in 1 month time to secure my future position there. While I was there I was also planning on asking if there were any painting jobs, I explained all this to the police officer I was talking to.

The police looked inside the van and clearly saw it was empty and that there was also no signs on the van showing it to be a company van. But the police officer was still unhappy. He talked to yourselves as the insurance company on the phone and was told I was not insured, at what point I called you myself as my insurance company as I knew I was insured. I explained the situation to yourselves to be told I was covered for commuting to work, social and domestic, as well as motor trade, but not for carriage of goods for a company,

which did not matter as my van was empty and still is whilst being in the police impound.

I am putting in a complaint to the independent complaints commission in regards to the unlawful seizure of my vehicle.

Further to this would it please be possible to find out the status of my insurance policy and the reasons as to why I have been told you are in the process of closing my policy.

Yours sincerely

Mr simon cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 14:24
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: Police-Meno.pdf; Police complaint.doc; CX52JRZ-Mid-database.png
Hello Martin

After the call you made today and talked to myself Miss Lorraine Cordell I am sending over the information you asked for. I do feel also that KMG asking to speak to the police office is in breach of my data protection but I will be willing to write and allow them to do this. As I said to KMG yesterday on a phone that was made to them when I talked to Kelly Tilley I have not been found guilty at a court of law for not having no insurance and it is for a court of law to find me guilty not a police officer who thinks I done something when I have not. Even if KGM does speak to the police office he will still say what he feels and that is not a fact that I am guilty of anything. To be found guilty it would have to be done in front of a judge.

Also Martin as you said on the phone today when you in fact talked to the police on that day not once did they say I had anything in my van that would void my insurance, and in fact I believe at this stage if the police did in fact have proof they would have said to you that my van was full of things which is not the case.

I would like to also know if my insurance is going to carry on while this matter is addressed or if it will be closed down on the 27/11/2013 as the letter says that KGM have sent me. And if KMG is going to close down my policy I would like full written conditions of what part of my policy I have broken.

As for the letter of complain that will be going to the police Simon still has not fully read over it to make sure there is no errors or anything else that needs adding but it does go into details as to what went on, it is only for use by yourself and KGM to read only.

Also as I said on the phone to you today the police are also telling me that the 3 times that my vehicles were seized and I had to pay for them to be taken out of the compound, I will need to claim this back from my insurance company as they had not done there job and put them on the database so they are at fault not the police.

You said to me today that KGM are looking into this as they are on the database and it should show up to the police that I am in fact insured. This has not been the case for me and I have suffered badly due to this and this is the reason I have to carry my insurance policy at all times so when I get pulled over by the police I can show them my documents, as the police say it does not show up as I am insured, this is also the reason the insurance company have had so many calls from the police to asked if I am insured or not since my policy started.

Could you please send me proof that the vehicles where on the database so I can put the claim in to the police to get my money back for the times my vehicles were seized. As at this stage I do not want to have to put a freedom of information act into yourselves or the police to the amount of times that the police have in fact had to call my insurance company to see if I was insured due to the police saying I was not insured..

I have always been very happy with Broadsure Direct that is why I have still use them and would like my insurance to carry on under them.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you let me know by email if I am going to still have insurance as from the 27/11/2013 please, I got a letter saying my insurance will be closed from the 27/11/2013, and I cannot see the reason for this as I have done nothing wrong.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear martin

After a next call and talking to oily he asked me to send over the receipt of me buying the van please see attached scan. I am waiting for the new logbook to come from DVLA.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:07
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear Martin

Today the 15/11/13 I made a call to be able to speak to you with regard to what happened yesterday the 14/11/2013 with the police. I have talked to Oilly today and he asked me to write this email to you as they are thinking of closing my insurance policy.

Yesterday I went for a meeting with the owner of a night club for a future job position the meeting was set for 14.00 hours..

I drove down for my meeting and got there at around 13.00 hours there were some police at the road side doing stops on cars etc, as I drove pass and pulled over to park by the night club, my friend who was with me got out of the van to get some drinks and food while I waited in the van, as I got to the club early for my meeting.

The police that were doing the stops came up to me, they told me they wanted to do some checks on the van I was sitting in, I asked why and they told me under the road traffic act.

I then passed the police my insurance policy for them to go over, the police officer also asked me why I had stopped there.

I explained to him I had a meeting in the night club that we were outside, as it was due to open to the public in 1 month time to secure my future position there. While I was there I was also planning on asking if there were any painting jobs, I explained all this to the police officer I was talking to.

The police looked inside the van and clearly saw it was empty and that there was also no signs on the van showing it to be a company van. But the police officer was still unhappy. He talked to yourselves as the insurance company on the phone and was told I was not insured, at what point I called you myself as my insurance company as I knew I was insured. I explained the situation to yourselves to be told I was covered for commuting to work, social and domestic, as well as motor trade, but not for carriage of goods for a company, which did not matter as my van was empty and still is whilst being in the police impound.

I am putting in a complaint to the independent complaints commission in regards to the unlawful seizure of my vehicle.

Further to this would it please be possible to find out the status of my insurance policy and the reasons as to why I have been told you are in the process of closing my policy.

Yours sincerely

Mr simon cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 15:15
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell
Hello Martin

I made a mistake on the date that KGM said they were going to close my insurance policy it's the 23/11/2013 so I will need to know today if I will still be insured as from 23/11/2013 until this matter is sorted.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 14:24
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell

Hello Martin

After the call you made today and talked to myself Miss Lorraine Cordell I am sending over the information you asked for. I do feel also that KMG asking to speak to the police office is in breach of my data protection but I will be willing to write and allow them to do this. As I said to KMG yesterday on a phone that was made to them when I talked to Kelly Tilley I have not been found guilty at a court of law for not having no insurance and it is for a court of law to find me guilty not a police officer who thinks I done something when I have not. Even if KGM does speak to the police office he will still say what he feels and that is not a fact that I am guilty of anything. To be found guilty it would have to be done in front of a judge.

Also Martin as you said on the phone today when you in fact talked to the police on that day not once did they say I had anything in my van that would void my insurance, and in fact I believe at this stage if the police did in fact have proof they would have said to you that my van was full of things which is not the case.

I would like to also know if my insurance is going to carry on while this matter is addressed or if it will be closed down on the 27/11/2013 as the letter says that KGM have sent me. And if KMG is going to close down my policy I would like full written conditions of what part of my policy I have broken.

As for the letter of complain that will be going to the police Simon still has not fully read over it to make sure there is no errors or anything else that needs adding but it does go into details as to what went on, it is only for use by yourself and KGM to read only.

Also as I said on the phone to you today the police are also telling me that the 3 times that my vehicles were seized and I had to pay for them to be taken out of the compound, I will need to claim this back from my insurance company as they had not done there job and put them on the database so they are at fault not the police.

You said to me today that KGM are looking into this as they are on the database and it should show up to the police that I am in fact insured. This has not been the case for me and I have suffered badly due to this and this is the reason I have to carry my insurance policy at all times so when I get pulled over by the police I can show them my documents, as the police say it does not show up as I am insured, this is also the reason the insurance company have had so many calls from the police to asked if I am insured or not since my policy started.

Could you please send me proof that the vehicles where on the database so I can put the claim in to the police to get my money back for the times my vehicles were seized. As at this stage I do not want to have to put a freedom of information act into yourselves or the police to the amount of times that the police have in fact had to call my insurance company to see if I was insured due to the police saying I was not insured..

I have always been very happy with Broadsure Direct that is why I have still use them and would like my insurance to carry on under them.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you let me know by email if I am going to still have insurance as from the 27/11/2013 please, I got a letter saying my insurance will be closed from the 27/11/2013, and I cannot see the reason for this as I have done nothing wrong.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear martin

After a next call and talking to oily he asked me to send over the receipt of me buying the van please see attached scan. I am waiting for the new logbook to come from DVLA.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:07
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear Martin

Today the 15/11/13 I made a call to be able to speak to you with regard to what happened yesterday the 14/11/2013 with the police. I have talked to Oilly today and he asked me to write this email to you as they are thinking of closing my insurance policy.

Yesterday I went for a meeting with the owner of a night club for a future job position the meeting was set for 14.00 hours..

I drove down for my meeting and got there at around 13.00 hours there were some police at the road side doing stops on cars etc, as I drove pass and pulled over to park by the night club, my friend who was with me got out of the van to get some drinks and food while I waited in the van, as I got to the club early for my meeting.

The police that were doing the stops came up to me, they told me they wanted to do some checks on the van I was sitting in, I asked why and they told me under the road traffic act.

I then passed the police my insurance policy for them to go over, the police officer also asked me why I had stopped there.

I explained to him I had a meeting in the night club that we were outside, as it was due to open to the public in 1 month time to secure my future position there. While I was there I was also planning on asking if there were any painting jobs, I explained all this to the police officer I was talking to.

The police looked inside the van and clearly saw it was empty and that there was also no signs on the van showing it to be a company van. But the police officer was still unhappy. He talked to yourselves as the insurance company on the phone and was told I was not insured, at what point I called you myself as my insurance company as I knew I was insured. I explained the situation to yourselves to be told I was covered for commuting to work, social and domestic, as well as motor trade, but not for carriage of goods for a company, which did not matter as my van was empty and still is whilst being in the police impound.

I am putting in a complaint to the independent complaints commission in regards to the unlawful seizure of my vehicle.

Further to this would it please be possible to find out the status of my insurance policy and the reasons as to why I have been told you are in the process of closing my policy.

Yours sincerely

Mr simon cordell

From: Martin Jenkin [martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 22 November 2013 15:50
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: [1] RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Lorraine,

Please find response from your insurers

I have listened to the call that took place between the police and my colleague Jessica advising that Mr Simon Cordell was carrying tools in his vehicle so unfortunately we have no other opportunity but to continue with the cancellation of the policy.

Unfortunately the policy will cease as of tomorrow as per the letter from KGM.

The only way to stop this is to either get the Police officer to contact kgm or to get a signed statement from him before 1700hrs today.

Regards

Martin

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 15:15
To: martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell

Hello Martin

I made a mistake on the date that KGM said they were going to close my insurance policy it's the 23/11/2013 so I will need to know today if I will still be insured as from 23/11/2013 until this matter is sorted.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 14:24
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell

Hello Martin

After the call you made today and talked to myself Miss Lorraine Cordell I am sending over the information you asked for. I do feel also that KMG asking to speak to the police office is in breach of my data protection but I will be willing to write and allow them to do this. As I said to KMG yesterday on a phone that was made to them when I talked to Kelly Tilley I have not been found guilty at a court of law for not having no insurance and it is for a court of law to find me guilty not a police officer who thinks I done something when I have not.

Even if KGM does speak to the police office he will still say what he feels and that is not a fact that I am guilty of anything. To be found guilty it would have to be done in front of a judge.

Also Martin as you said on the phone today when you in fact talked to the police on that day not once did they say I had anything in my van that would void my insurance, and in fact I believe at this stage if the police did in fact have proof they would have said to you that my van was full of things which is not the case.

I would like to also know if my insurance is going to carry on while this matter is addressed or if it will be closed down on the 27/11/2013 as the letter says that KGM have sent me. And if KMG is going to close down my policy I would like full written conditions of what part of my policy I have broken.

As for the letter of complain that will be going to the police Simon still has not fully read over it to make sure there is no errors or anything else that needs adding but it does go into details as to what went on, it is only for use by yourself and KGM to read only.

Also as I said on the phone to you today the police are also telling me that the 3 times that my vehicles were seized and I had to pay for them to be taken out of the compound, I will need to claim this back from my insurance company as they had not done there job and put them on the database so they are at fault not the

police.

You said to me today that KGM are looking into this as they are on the database and it should show up to the police that I am in fact insured. This has not been the case for me and I have suffered badly due to this and this is the reason I have to carry my insurance policy at all times so when I get pulled over by the police I can show them my documents, as the police say it does not show up as I am insured, this is also the reason the insurance company have had so many calls from the police to asked if I am insured or not since my policy started.

Could you please send me proof that the vehicles where on the database so I can put the claim in to the police to get my money back for the times my vehicles were seized. As at this stage I do not want to have to put a freedom of information act into yourselves or the police to the amount of times that the police have in fact had to call my insurance company to see if I was insured due to the police saying I was not insured..

I have always been very happy with Broadsure Direct that is why I have still use them and would like my insurance to carry on under them.

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you let me know by email if I am going to still have insurance as from the 27/11/2013 please, I got a letter saying my insurance will be closed from the 27/11/2013, and I cannot see the reason for this as I have done nothing wrong.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear martin

After a next call and talking to oily he asked me to send over the receipt of me buying the van please see attached scan. I am waiting for the new logbook to come from DVLA.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:07
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear Martin

Today the 15/11/13 I made a call to be able to speak to you with regard to what happened yesterday the 14/11/2013 with the police. I have talked to Oilly today and he asked me to write this email to you as they are thinking of closing my insurance policy.

Yesterday I went for a meeting with the owner of a night club for a future job position the meeting was set for 14.00 hours..

I drove down for my meeting and got there at around 13.00 hours there were some police at the road side doing

stops on cars etc, as I drove pass and pulled over to park by the night club, my friend who was with me got out of the van to get some drinks and food while I waited in the van, as I got to the club early for my meeting.

The police that were doing the stops came up to me, they told me they wanted to do some checks on the van I was sitting in, I asked why and they told me under the road traffic act.

I then passed the police my insurance policy for them to go over, the police officer also asked me why I had stopped there.

I explained to him I had a meeting in the night club that we were outside, as it was due to open to the public in 1 month time to secure my future position there. While I was there I was also planning on asking if there were any painting jobs, I explained all this to the police officer I was talking to.

The police looked inside the van and clearly saw it was empty and that there was also no signs on the van showing it to be a company van. But the police officer was still unhappy. He talked to yourselves as the insurance company on the phone and was told I was not insured, at what point I called you myself as my insurance company as I knew I was insured. I explained the situation to yourselves to be told I was covered for commuting to work, social and domestic, as well as motor trade, but not for carriage of goods for a company, which did not matter as my van was empty and still is whilst being in the police impound.

I am putting in a complaint to the independent complaints commission in regards to the unlawful seizure of my vehicle.

Further to this would it please be possible to find out the status of my insurance policy and the reasons as to why I have been told you are in the process of closing my policy.

Yours sincerely

Mr simon cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 16:50
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: [1] RE: Simon Cordell
Hello Martin

Kelly from KGM has just called and said that they will keep the cover till Monday at 12.00 for us to have time to get information from the police that there was in fact no tools in the van. Simon has already been on the phone to the police and been told to contract the compound 6the van was taken to as they have to check every vehicle that is taken there, he is at this time on the phone to the compound in order to try and get the information that KGM needs.

The Compound it was taken to is

Charlton vehicle pound
8 Bramshot Avenue,
Charlton,
London,
SE7 7HY

Simon

From: Martin Jenkin [mailto:martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 22 November 2013 15:50
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: [1] RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine,

Please find responce from your insurers

I have listened to the call that took place between the police and my colleague Jessica advising that Mr Simon Cordell was carrying tools in his vehicle so unfortunately we have no other opportunity but to continue with the cancellation of the policy.

Unfortunately the policy will cease as of tomorrow as per the letter from KGM.

The only way to stop this is to either get the Police officer to contact kgm or to get a signed statement from him before 1700hrs today.

Regards

Martin

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 15:15
To: martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell

Hello Martin

I made a mistake on the date that KGM said they were going to close my insurance policy it's the 23/11/2013 so I will need to know today if I will still be insured as from 23/11/2013 until this matter is sorted.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 14:24
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell

Hello Martin

After the call you made today and talked to myself Miss Lorraine Cordell I am sending over the information you asked for. I do feel also that KMG asking to speak to the police office is in breach of my data protection but I will be willing to write and allow them to do this. As I said to KMG yesterday on a phone that was made to them when I talked to Kelly Tilley I have not been found guilty at a court of law for not having no insurance and it is for a court of law to find me guilty not a police officer who thinks I done something when I have not. Even if KGM does speak to the police office he will still say what he feels and that is not a fact that I am guilty of anything. To be found guilty it would have to be done in front of a judge.

Also Martin as you said on the phone today when you in fact talked to the police on that day not once did they say I had anything in my van that would void my insurance, and in fact I believe at this stage if the police did in fact have proof they would have said to you that my van was full of things which is not the case.

I would like to also know if my insurance is going to carry on while this matter is addressed or if it will be closed down on the 27/11/2013 as the letter says that KGM have sent me. And if KMG is going to close down my policy I would like full written conditions of what part of my policy I have broken.

As for the letter of complain that will be going to the police Simon still has not fully read over it to make sure there is no errors or anything else that needs adding but it does go into details as to what went on, it is only for use by yourself and KGM to read only.

Also as I said on the phone to you today the police are also telling me that the 3 times that my vehicles were seized and I had to pay for them to be taken out of the compound, I will need to claim this back from my insurance company as they had not done there job and put them on the database so they are at fault not the police.

You said to me today that KGM are looking into this as they are on the database and it should show up to the police that I am in fact insured. This has not been the case for me and I have suffered badly due to this and this is the reason I have to carry my insurance policy at all times so when I get pulled over by the police I can show them my documents, as the police say it does not show up as I am insured, this is also the reason the insurance company have had so many calls from the police to asked if I am insured or not since my policy started.

Could you please send me proof that the vehicles where on the database so I can put the claim in to the police to get my money back for the times my vehicles were seized. As at this stage I do not want to have to put a freedom of information act into yourselves or the police to the amount of times that the police have in fact had to call my insurance company to see if I was insured due to the police saying I was not insured..

I have always been very happy with Broadsure Direct that is why I have still use them and would like my insurance to carry on under them.

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you let me know by email if I am going to still have insurance as from the 27/11/2013 please, I got a letter saying my insurance will be closed from the 27/11/2013, and I cannot see the reason for this as I have done nothing wrong.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 15 November 2013 13:57
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear martin

After a next call and talking to oily he asked me to send over the receipt of me buying the van please see attached scan. I am waiting for the new logbook to come from DVLA.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]

Sent: 15 November 2013 13:07

To: 'martinjenkin@broadshuredirect.com'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear Martin

Today the 15/11/13 I made a call to be able to speak to you with regard to what happened yesterday the 14/11/2013 with the police. I have talked to Oilly today and he asked me to write this email to you as they are thinking of closing my insurance policy.

Yesterday I went for a meeting with the owner of a night club for a future job position the meeting was set for 14.00 hours..

I drove down for my meeting and got there at around 13.00 hours there were some police at the road side doing stops on cars etc, as I drove pass and pulled over to park by the night club, my friend who was with me got out of the van to get some drinks and food while I waited in the van, as I got to the club early for my meeting.

The police that were doing the stops came up to me, they told me they wanted to do some checks on the van I was sitting in, I asked why and they told me under the road traffic act.

I then passed the police my insurance policy for them to go over, the police officer also asked me why I had stopped there.

I explained to him I had a meeting in the night club that we were outside, as it was due to open to the public in 1 month time to secure my future position there. While I was there I was also planning on asking if there were any painting jobs, I explained all this to the police officer I was talking to.

The police looked inside the van and clearly saw it was empty and that there was also no signs on the van showing it to be a company van. But the police officer was still unhappy. He talked to yourselves as the insurance company on the phone and was told I was not insured, at what point I called you myself as my insurance company as I knew I was insured. I explained the situation to yourselves to be told I was covered for commuting to work, social and domestic, as well as motor trade, but not for carriage of goods for a company, which did not matter as my van was empty and still is whilst being in the police impound.

I am putting in a complaint to the independent complaints commission in regards to the unlawful seizure of my vehicle.

Further to this would it please be possible to find out the status of my insurance policy and the reasons as to why I have been told you are in the process of closing my policy.

Yours sincerely

Mr simon cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 22 November 2013 17:14

To: 'vrescharlton@met.police.uk'

Subject: RE: CX52JRZ

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after calling the police and being told to contact yourselves about a matter I am trying to sort out.

My Van reg CX52 JRZ was impounded to Charlton Car Pound, 8 Bramshot Avenue, London, SE7 7HY on the 14/11/2013 tickets No: 01/ J63181495

I have been told by the police when I called them that each vehicle that is impounded you do a check on to list what items are in there.

I would like to know how I can go about getting a list of items that was in my van reg CX52 JRZ as I need this list to give it to my insurance company.

Or if my insurance company emails you can you give them a list.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 17:20
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: CX52JRZ
Hello Martin

Please see the below email that I have been told by the police to contract Charlton Car Pound as when any vehicle is impounded they do a check and list all the items in the vehicle. I am hoping to get a reply to my email on how to go about getting the list shortly.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 17:14
To: 'vrescharlton@met.police.uk'
Subject: RE: CX52JRZ

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after calling the police and being told to contract yourselves about a matter I am trying to sort out.

My Van reg CX52 JRZ was impounded to Charlton Car Pound, 8 Bramshot Avenue, London, SE7 7HY on the 14/11/2013 tickets No: 01/ J63181495

I have been told by the police when I called them that each vehicle that is impounded you do a check on to list what items are in there.

I would like to know how I can go about getting a list if items that was in my van reg CX52 JRZ as I need this list to give it to my insurance company.

Or if my insurance company emails you can you give them a list.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 24 November 2013 13:33
To: 'charltondocuments@met.police.uk'
Subject: FW: RE: CX52JRZ
Hello

Can anyone please tell me how I can deal with this issue below

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 17:14
To: 'vrescharlton@met.police.uk'
Subject: RE: CX52JRZ

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after calling the police and being told to contract yourselves about a matter I am trying to sort out.

My Van reg CX52 JRZ was impounded to Charlton Car Pound, 8 Bramshot Avenue, London, SE7 7HY on the 14/11/2013 tickets No: 01/ J63181495

I have been told by the police when I called them that each vehicle that is impounded you do a check on to list what items are in there.

I would like to know how I can go about getting a list if items that was in my van reg CX52 JRZ as I need this list to give it to my insurance company.

Or if my insurance company emails you can you give them a list.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 25 November 2013 10:06
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: RE: Simon Cordell
Hello Martin

I have spent all weekend trying to get hold of the to Charlton Car Pound as that is where the police have said there will hold a list of what was in the van. The police have told me that the compound has to make a list of every vehicle that is impounded so it covers them also so they will have a list. I have sent 2 emails over the weekend which I do know they have read as I had tracking on them.

I have spoken to Kelly today and she has asked me to make sure you have the information for the compound and can you pass it over to her asap. Also can you find out from Kelly Tiller if Simon will be covered after 12.00 today while she gets hold of the compound please and let us know as soon as possible.

Emails for the compound

charltondocuments@met.police.uk

vrescharlton@met.police.uk

Address and ticket number

Charlton Car Pound, 8 Bramshot Avenue, London, SE7 7HY on the 14/11/2013 tickets No: 01/J63181495

Charlton Car Pound 02082848661 telephone number that I can find.

Van Reg CX52JRZ and the date was taken in was the 14/11/2013

I have also been speaking to Sally Browne, duty inspector at Lambeth police station, I spoken to her on the 22/11/2013 and the 23/11/2013 when she called me back. I was told that due to this going to court the police would not be able to talk to us and that they will not be back on duty till the end of this week and they will be doing night shaft.

CAD 7548/22Nov13 and also CAD 10164/22Nov13 these are the 2 CAD numbers for me asking to speak to the inspector Silly Browne.

Please see the read receipts below for the emails I sent over to the compound

Your message

To: Austin PAUL R - DoR CCServ
Subject: RE: CX52JRZ
Sent: Friday, November 22, 2013 5:14:12 PM (UTC) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London

was read on Saturday, November 23, 2013 4:41:48 AM (UTC) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London.

Total Policing is the Met's commitment to be on the streets and in your communities to catch offenders, prevent crime and support victims. We are here for London, working with you to make our capital safer.

Consider our environment - please do not print this email unless absolutely necessary.

NOTICE - This email and any attachments may be confidential, subject to copyright and/or legal privilege and are intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. To avoid incurring legal liabilities, you must not distribute or copy the

information in this email without the permission of the sender. MPS communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law. Consequently, any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude any binding agreement on behalf of the MPS by email. The MPS accepts no responsibility for unauthorised agreements reached with other employees or agents. The security of this email and any attachments cannot be guaranteed. Email messages are routinely scanned but malicious software infection and corruption of content can still occur during transmission over the Internet. Any views or opinions expressed in this communication are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS).

Find us at:

Facebook: [Facebook.com/metpoliceuk](https://www.facebook.com/metpoliceuk)

Twitter: [@metpoliceuk](https://twitter.com/metpoliceuk)

Your message

To: Guy Rob - DoR CCServ
Subject: FW: RE: CX52JRZ
Sent: Sunday, November 24, 2013 1:32:58 PM (UTC) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London

was read on Sunday, November 24, 2013 5:01:36 PM (UTC) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London.

Total Policing is the Met's commitment to be on the streets and in your communities to catch offenders, prevent crime and support victims. We are here for London, working with you to make our capital safer.

Consider our environment - please do not print this email unless absolutely necessary.

NOTICE - This email and any attachments may be confidential, subject to copyright and/or legal privilege and are intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. To avoid incurring legal liabilities, you must not distribute or copy the information in this email without the permission of the sender. MPS communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law. Consequently, any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude any binding agreement on behalf of the MPS by email. The MPS accepts no responsibility for unauthorised agreements reached with other employees or agents. The security of this email and any attachments cannot be guaranteed. Email messages are routinely scanned but malicious software infection and corruption of content can still occur during transmission over the Internet. Any views or opinions expressed in this communication are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS).

Find us at:

Facebook: [Facebook.com/metpoliceuk](https://www.facebook.com/metpoliceuk)

Twitter: [@metpoliceuk](https://twitter.com/metpoliceuk)

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 24 November 2013 13:33
To: 'charltondocuments@met.police.uk'
Subject: FW: RE: CX52JRZ

Hello

Can anyone please tell me how I can deal with this issue below

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 November 2013 17:14 To: 'vrescharlton@met.police.uk'
Subject: RE: CX52JRZ

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after calling the police and being told to contact yourselves about a matter I am trying to sort out.

My Van reg CX52 JRZ was impounded to Charlton Car Pound, 8 Bramshot Avenue, London, SE7 7HY on the 14/11/2013 tickets
No: 01/ J63181495

I have been told by the police when I called them that each vehicle that is impounded you do a check on to list what items are in there.

I would like to know how I can go about getting a list of items that was in my van reg CX52 JRZ as I need this list to give it to my insurance company.

Or if my insurance company emails you can you give them a list.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Vicky Beale [vickybeale@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 25 November 2013 16:10
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: FW: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<42> Urgent

Importance: High

Kind Regards,

Vicky Beale

dd:image001.png@01CC9F89.E

Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Vicky Beale [mailto:vickybeale@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 25 November 2013 15:53 **To:** 'lorriane32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<42> Urgent **Importance:** High

Good Afternoon,

Can you please ask Simon to contact me urgently, as we need him to go to the compound and when he is there to contact Kelly at KGM on 02085301811, if he can't do this that we need a report form from the compound and for him to contact 02071613500 and request a subject access request of report.

Kind Regards,

Vicky Beale

cid:image001.png@01CC9F89.E

Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 25 November 2013 17:12 To: 'Vicky Beale'
Subject: RE: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<42> Urgent Hello Vicky Beale

After the call I made to yourself about the email you sent me I will go to the compound in the morning due to the time now I would not get there, till very late due to the tariff and by the time I got there

I do not believe Kelly Tiller would still be working so I will go in the morning and when I get there, I will call Kelly. Many Thanks Simon

Cordell

From: Vicky Beale [mailto:vickybeale@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 25 November 2013 16:10
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: FW: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<42> Urgent
Importance: High

Kind Regards,

Vicky Beale

cid:image001.png@01CC9F89.E

Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Vicky Beale [mailto:vickybeale@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 25 November 2013 15:53 To: 'lorriane32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT04-ID<42> Urgent Importance: High

Good Afternoon,

Can you please ask Simon to contact me urgently, as we need him to go to the compound and when he is there to contact Kelly at KGM on 02085301811, if he can't do this that we need a report form from the compound and for him to contact 02071613500 and request a subject access request of report.

Kind Regards,

Vicky Beale

cid:image001.png@01CC9F89.E

Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 26 November 2013 15:46 To: 'Martin Jenkin'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Attachments: Data-Protection-KGM.doc; Kelly-Tiller-KGM.doc Hello Martin,

Thank you for the phone call today to tell me I was still insured.

Could you please pass on the attached documents to Kelly Tiller at KGM one is a Subject access request under the Data Protection Act 1998.

The other letter is just to get Kelly Tiller to confirm by email I am in fact still insured so I have this in writing and also to found out why my van is still not showing up on the database.

And also, about the logbooks which I am still waiting for them from DVLA I called DVLA today when I got back from the compound and was told it can take 8 weeks for me to get the new logbooks, I will therefore send them right over to you by email as soon as I get them.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 28 November 2013 13:41
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Case update

Hi Josey

Is there any update as to Simon case have you got any of the information from the crown yet and how is the 3-party discloser going with the insurance company as the case is on the WL for the 16/12/2013 and there not many weeks left till this date?

Also, an update as to the other day when the police seized Simon case. The police officer called Simon insurance it was at this point he told the Insurance company that Simon had tools in his van. And the insurance company has this recorded. The insurance company was then going to void Simon insurance due to what the police office had told them. The insurance was going to be closed as of the 23/11/2013.

Simon then told the insurance company if they close his insurance down that he will sure as he did not have any tools the insurance company give him till the 25/11/2013 at 12.00 hours to prove in fact he did not have tools in his van.

We called the police and was told to contract the compound the van was taken to as they have to list everything that's in any vehicles that are in a vehicle that is taken to any of these compounds. The insurance company asked Simon to go to the compound and call them when he got there to be able to talk to the police at the compound with Simon being there as they would need Simon to be there to be able give the police permission to talk to the insurance company.

Simon did this on the 26/11/2013 when he got to the compound, he asked to speak to a manager which he was allowed to do the manager looked on their system and told Simon his van had nothing in there. A call was then made to his insurance company and the manager told them the Van had no tools in there in fact it had nothing in there as if it did it would have been noted on their system and the items would need to be taken out and locked up or they could be liable.

The insurance company now have not closed Simon insurance down and now know that the police officer lied to them.

So, in fact the police was setting Simon up again.

Lorraine

Subject: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com; lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk;

Date: Thursday, 28 November 2013, 17:30

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please see the CPS response to our defence case statement. This was received in the DX today. It does not advance our case.

I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers. He has personal appointments tomorrow and can not as previously advised to a conference at Croydon Crown Court. I have therefore booked a fixed conference for 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.

Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

Regards

Josephine

Attachments

- CPS RESPONSE TO DCS.pdf (876.76 KB)

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 28 November 2013 19:54
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference
Hi Josey

Thank you for the email I just got it so will let simon look over this tomorrow.

Can I ask something the receipt from Li-Lo Leisure is that the receipt for the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 as it seems to be the same receipt.

What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the 04/05/2013 and also the same for the 16/02/2013 someone cant say an amount in £s of damage and items where taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the 04/05/2013 and the 16/02/2013 all of the items listed on the receipts where taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts. There is also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to the this so called building on the 04/05/2013, Which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.

Also there is no information as to really anything for the 16/02/2013, And no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.

There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from 16/02/2013 so show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.

There is far to much data that is missing.

And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?

There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair trial without the full facts.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 November 2013 17:30
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please see the CPS response to our defence case statement. This was received in the DX today. It does not advance our case.

I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers. He has personal appointments tomorrow and can not as previously advised to a conference at Croydon Crown Court. I have therefore booked a fixed conference for 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.

Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 02 December 2013 14:58

To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'

Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.

There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb 2013 case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.

1. Both the invoices from Li-Lo Leisure products Ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May 2013 and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.
2. On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice was not delivered to the address the partys were held in, in Feb 2013 and also May 2013 they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the partys were held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
3. There has never been a list of items that Mr petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May 2013
4. So far we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb 2013, and seeing at Mr petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that where taken
5. what was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb 2013 as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has listed from his insurance they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May 2013-12-02
6. Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb 2013 claim out till after the claim in May 2013? Why did they only pay out after the May 2013 claim is it due that someone was arrested and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon. As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April 2013 yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet. Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb 2013 and before May 2013.
7. What was the costs of damage to the building in Feb 2013 and the value of the items taken.
8. What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken.

In short how could the property have been stolen in May 2013 if it had already been stolen in Feb 2013 as the invoice is the same?

Also the damage to the building from Feb 2013 seems to have been added to the costs to the May 2013 but yet Simon has not been charged with the party in Feb 2013.

It seems they have mixed both cases together and Simon is taking the blame for all of it within the costs.

The Crown does need to give us all the information to the Feb 2013 case including pictures so we can see ourselves the damage to the building and they need to tell us if anyone was changed in Feb 2013 and what was the outcome.

If the crown does not do this then this is an unfair trail they will be holding as to Simon case.

Also we need to see all the insurance claims to see the total costs of damage in Feb 2013 and also for the claim in May 2013 and the reasons as to why both cases have been linked together by the insurance company.

Also where is the insurance claim for the items within the warehouse that was meant to have been taken as so far I can only see a claim for the damage to the building?

Any claim would need to include a list of the items that where taken and would need to be replaced. Someone cannot just come up with a figure of a cost for items that where taken and so far it seems as if that has what has been done in both cases Feb 2013 and May 2013.

they only pay out after the May 2013 claim is it due that someone was arrested and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon. As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April 2013 yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet. Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb 2013 and before May 2013.

6. What was the costs of damage to the building in Feb 2013 and the value of the items taken.

7. What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken.

In short how could the property have been stolen in May 2013 if it had already been stolen in Feb 2013 as the invoice is the same?

Also the damage to the building from Feb 2013 seems to have been added to the costs to the May 2013 but yet Simon has not been charged with the party in Feb 2013.

It seems they have mixed both cases together and Simon is taking the blame for all of it within the costs.

The Crown does need to give us all the information to the Feb 2013 case including pictures so we can see ourselves the damage to the building and they need to tell us if anyone was changed in Feb 2013 and what was the outcome.

If the crown does not do this then this is an unfair trail they will be holding as to Simon case.

Also we need to see all the insurance claims to see the total costs of damage in Feb 2013 and also for the claim in May 2013 and the reasons as to why both cases have been linked together by the insurance company.

Also where is the insurance claim for the items within the warehouse that was meant to have been taken as so far I can only see a claim for the damage to the building?

Any claim would need to include a list of the items that where taken and would need to be replaced.

Someone cannot just come up with a figure of a cost for items that where taken and so far it seems as if that has what has been done in both cases Feb 2013 and May 2013.

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 28 November 2013 19:54

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

Thank you for the email I just got it so will let simon look over this tomorrow.

Can I ask something the receipt from Li-Lo Leisure is that the receipt for the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 as it seems to be the same receipt.

What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the 04/05/2013 and also the same for the 16/02/2013 someone cant say an amount in £s of damage and items where taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the 04/05/2013 and the 16/02/2013 all of the items listed on the receipts where taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts. There is also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to the this so called building on the 04/05/2013, Which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.

Also there is no information as to really anything for the 16/02/2013, And no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.

There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from 16/02/2013 so show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.

There is far to much data that is missing.

And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?

There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair tail without the full facts.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 28 November 2013 17:30

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

Subject: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please see the CPS response to our defence case statement. This was received in the DX today. It does not advance our case.

I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers. He has personal appointments tomorrow and can not as previously advised to a conference at Croydon Crown Court. I have therefore booked a fixed conference for 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.

Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 December 2013 02:41
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference
Hi Lorraine

I am working through my emails slowly and by priority at the moment. I will endeavour to deal with this by Wednesday at the latest. I have been on duty all weekend and today I was in the police station from 9.30am until after midnight. I am aware of the documents being duplicated also. I will deal with each point you raise in due course.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 2:57 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.

There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb 2013 case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.

1. Both the invoices from Li-Lo Leisure products Ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May 2013 and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.
2. On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice was not delivered to the address the partys were held in, in Feb 2013 and also May 2013 they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the partys were held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
3. There has never been a list of items that Mr petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May 2013
4. So far we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb 2013, and seeing at Mr petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that where taken
5. what was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb 2013 as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has listed from his insurance they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May 2013-12-02
6. Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb 2013 claim out till after the claim in May 2013? Why did they only pay out after the May 2013 claim is it due that someone was arrested and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon. As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April 2013 yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet. Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb 2013 and before May 2013.
7. What was the costs of damage to the building in Feb 2013 and the value of the items taken.
8. What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken.

In short how could the property have been stolen in May 2013 if it had already been stolen in Feb 2013 as the invoice is the same?

Also the damage to the building from Feb 2013 seems to have been added to the costs to the May 2013 but yet Simon has not been charged with the party in Feb 2013.

It seems they have mixed both cases together and Simon is taking the blame for all of it within the costs.

The Crown does need to give us all the information to the Feb 2013 case including pictures so we can see ourselves the damage to the building and they need to tell us if anyone was changed in Feb 2013 and what was the outcome.

If the crown does not do this then this is an unfair trail they will be holding as to Simon case.

Also we need to see all the insurance claims to see the total costs of damage in Feb 2013 and also for the claim in May 2013 and the reasons as to why both cases have been linked together by the insurance company.

Also where is the insurance claim for the items within the warehouse that was meant to have been taken as so far I can only see a claim for the damage to the building?

Any claim would need to include a list of the items that where taken and would need to be replaced. Someone cannot just come up with a figure of a cost for items that where taken and so far it seems as if that has what has been done in both cases Feb 2013 and May 2013.

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 28 November 2013 19:54
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

Thank you for the email I just got it so will let simon look over this tomorrow.

Can I ask something the receipt from Li-Lo Leisure is that the receipt for the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 as it seems to be the same receipt.

What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the 04/05/2013 and also the same for the 16/02/2013 someone cant say an amount in £s of damage and items where taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the 04/05/2013 and the 16/02/2013 all of the items listed on the receipts where taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts. There is also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to the this so called building on the 04/05/2013, Which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.

Also there is no information as to really anything for the 16/02/2013, And no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.

There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from 16/02/2013 so show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.

There is far to much data that is missing.

And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?

There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair trial without the full facts.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 November 2013 17:30
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please see the CPS response to our defence case statement. This was received in the DX today. It does not advance our case.

I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers. He has personal appointments tomorrow and can not as previously advised to a conference at Croydon Crown Court. I have therefore booked a fixed conference for 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.

Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

Regards

Josephine

From: enquiries@ipcc.gsi.gov.uk
Sent: 03 December 2013 13:30
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Complaint form
Thank you.

Your completed form has been sent to the appropriate authority you have selected in the complaint form for them to consider.

They will be in contact with you directly in due course.

Regards,

Independent Police Complaints Commission

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 December 2013 23:40
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference
Hi josey

Can you please confirm the conference on 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers for Simon and if all is ok can you please send the address over for Nexus Chambers please
Also can you give us an update to his case and if the crown or insurance company have given you any more information or is it the information you sent over is all that the crown is going to give.
And do you still need to see Simon to take the finalise proof of evidence from him as in the email you sent when you made the conference for him to see his barrister you said you would like to see him before the date of seeing his barrister.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 December 2013 02:41
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Lorraine

I am working through my emails slowly and by priority at the moment. I will endeavour to deal with this by Wednesday at the latest. I have been on duty all weekend and today I was in the police station from 9.30am until after midnight. I am aware of the documents being duplicated also. I will deal with each point you raise in due course.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 2:57 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.
There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb 2013 case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.

Both the invoices from Li-Lo Leisure products ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May 2013 and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.

1. On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice was not delivered to the address the partys were held in, in Feb 2013 and also May 2013 they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the partys were held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
2. There has never been a list of items that Mr petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May 2013
3. So far we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb 2013, and seeing at Mr petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that where taken
4. what was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb 2013 as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has listed from his insurance they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May 2013-12-02
5. Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb 2013 claim out till after the claim in May 2013? Why did

The Release on bail is subject to the conditions set out in the order.

Edmonton Police Station - Please be aware that Ms Lorraine Cordell will be attending your station in order to provide details of the Surety in the figure of £1000

Please confirm receipt of this email.

Kind Regards

Paula Matthews | Court Clerk

Crown Court at Southwark | 1 English Grounds | London | SE1 2HU DX 39913 London Bridge South |Tel:020 7522 7234

Working pattern Tuesday to Friday

paula.matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message

by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Attachments

- CORDELL B20130035.pdf (49.67 KB)

Subject: FW: Simon Cordell A5698CY
From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:06

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 June 2013 14:14
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd: Simon Cordell A5698CY

confirmation of bail grant from court

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Micheal" <m.mckee@michaelcarrollandco.com>
Date: Jun 28, 2013 1:27 PM
Subject: Fwd: Simon Cordell A5698CY
To: <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>, <josephinewardsolicitor@googlemail.com>

Please see the below. Do you want me to get in touch with Scrubs? It looks like they have listed his prison number.

Kind regards,

micheal

----- Original Message -----

From: "Matthews, Paula" <Paula.Matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>
To:
Date: 28 June 2013 at 13:15
Subject: Simon Cordell A5698CY

To whom it may concern,

Please find attached a copy of the Grant of Bail for Simon Cordell who is currently held at HMP Wormwood Scrubs.

Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell - application for Judge and Chambers bail application on 28th June 2013 at Southwark Crown Court.

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:06

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 27 June 2013 09:35
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell - application for Judge and Chambers bail application on 28th June 2013 at Southwark Crown Court.

Morning Lorraine

Please accept this email as confirmation that I have requested that Simon's bail application be listed tomorrow morning for bail. He will not be produced but I may have to require you to attend with the Post Office statement and also your passport and a utility bill with your address on it.

I will confirm if you are required this afternoon.

Regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Jun 27, 2013 at 9:32 AM
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell - application for Judge and Chambers bail application on 28th June 2013 at Southwark Crown Court .
To: listing@southwark.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk
Cc: Innerlondon&Southwarkcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk

Dear Sir or Madam

We act on behalf of our above named client and request that this matter be listed for a bail application at Southwark Crown Court on 28th June 2013 at 10am.

We attach the following:

1. Notice and grounds

2. Medical letters confirming the medical ailments of Ms Lorraine Cordell and Mrs Catherine Cordell
3. Too Smooth catalogue corroborating that our client is attempting to set up his own business

Please confirm the listing at you earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Attachments

- photo.png (640 B)
- viewer_014.png (5.09 KB)
- viewer.png (7.26 KB)
- viewer_002.png (5.61 KB)
- viewer_003.png (113.86 KB)
- viewer_004.png (7.53 KB)
- viewer_005.png (7.85 KB)
- viewer_006.png (6.88 KB)
- viewer_007.png (6.56 KB)
- viewer_008.png (8.71 KB)
- viewer_009.png (4.98 KB)
- viewer_010.png (9.06 KB)
- viewer_011.png (72.75 KB)
- viewer_012.png (5.08 KB)
- viewer_013.png (7.62 KB)
- PNC.pdf (275.64 KB)
- REASONS FOR THIS APPLICATION.doc (37.50 KB)
- medical letters in support of bail application.pdf (773.71 KB)
- 1776503430-gview_local_gview_base_mod.js (337.11 KB)
- sem_a0af21c60b0dddc27b96d9294b7d5d8f.js (55.07 KB)

Subject: FW: Simon Cordell A5698CY
From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:06

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 June 2013 14:14
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd: Simon Cordell A5698CY

confirmation of bail grant from court

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Micheal" <m.mckee@michaelcarrollandco.com>
Date: Jun 28, 2013 1:27 PM
Subject: Fwd: Simon Cordell A5698CY
To: <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>, <josephinewardsolicitor@googlemail.com>

Please see the below. Do you want me to get in touch with Scrubs? It looks like they have listed his prison number.

Kind regards,

micheal

----- Original Message -----

From: "Matthews, Paula" <Paula.Matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>
To:
Date: 28 June 2013 at 13:15
Subject: Simon Cordell A5698CY

To whom it may concern,

Please find attached a copy of the Grant of Bail for Simon Cordell who is currently held at HMP Wormwood Scrubs.

The Release on bail is subject to the conditions set out in the order.

Edmonton Police Station - Please be aware that Ms Lorraine Cordell will be attending your station in order to provide details of the Surety in the figure of £1000

Please confirm receipt of this email.

Kind Regards

Paula Matthews | Court Clerk

Crown Court at Southwark | 1 English Grounds | London | SE1 2HU DX 39913 London Bridge South |Tel:020 7522 7234

Working pattern Tuesday to Friday

paula.matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message

by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Attachments

- CORDELL B20130035.pdf (49.67 KB)

Subject: FW: Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:07

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 July 2013 18:28
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

Hope this is it. I hate technology.

Regards

Josephine

Attachments

- Att note 10.07.13.docx (12.16 KB)

Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday 16th July 2013

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:08

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 10:25
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday 16th July 2013

Lorraine

It is a typo.

On Jul 15, 2013 10:03 AM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Jose

You made a mistake in this Simon was never given a bail notice from Woolwich he only had the one from the other court that give him bail from the prison.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 15 July 2013 08:40
To: listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk
Cc: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to clarify bail conditions and vary bail conditions on Tuesday 16th July 2013

Dear Sir or Madam

We act on behalf of our above named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

Our client's matter was listed at Woolwich Crown Court for a preliminary hearing and an application to vary our client's bail conditions. The Learned Judge on that occasion refused to deal with the application to vary bail and raised issues regarding why the surety was not present. He removed the surety requirement and our understanding is that our client was admitted to bail on the same terms as before.

A number of issues have now arisen as a result of this. Serco attended to insert an electronic tag and this was never a condition of our client's bail when he was granted bail by Woolwich Crown Court but a curfew with a door step condition was imposed. Our client explained this to Serco who left. We clarified that this information was accurate by reviewing the advocate's attendance note from 10th July and also receiving verbal confirmation from Mr Lemoso to further verify this. When our client went to report to Edmonton Police Station in compliance with his bail conditions he was arrested for breaching his bail in that he refused Serco entry to install the electronic monitoring equipment. This was on 13th July 2013. Fortunately our client was able to produce a copy of the bail notice from Woolwich Crown Court and also a copy of the advocate's court attendance note who attended on 13th July 2013. He was released.

Ms Cordell contacted Serco and advise Jo badge number 3072 of this development and Serco will now wait until Tuesday 16th July 2013 to receive clarification from the court.

Can you please list this case tomorrow 16th July 2013 in order that matters can be clarified.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter and we await clarification of this.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Subject: FW: Simon's court attendance note for 16th July 2013

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:09

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 08 August 2013 11:21
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon's court attendance note for 16th July 2013

Lorraine / Simon

Please see the barrister's backsheet from the 16th July 2013. This should have been forwarded onto you and would have been by me if it had been emailed to me in Bulgaria . Case workers are not allowed to send over any paperwork on any client file without prior authorisation from the Solicitor with conduct, namely me. I cannot criticise the case workers for this as I am pretty strict on this.

If this had been emailed over to me in Bulgaria then I would have emailed on it but as I was on annual leave the case workers viewed this as non-urgent as the Judge refused to vary the conditions.

I am also emailing you the client care letter again which outlines the complaints procedure.

Regards

Josephine

PS As indicated when the case papers are served and the secondary disclosure served then it may be possible to make a further attempt to vary bail but at this stage I do not believe that the Court will entertain it.

Attachments

- Brief for the Defendant.pdf (18.88 KB)
- 9 Client Care Mags Letter.doc (45.00 KB)

Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary conditions of bail at Woolwich Crown Court on 16th August 2013 if matter cannot be resolved and agreed

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:11

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 August 2013 14:02
To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk; kiran.hayre@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk; Lorraine Cordell; Jay Lemos
Cc: jennifer.devaney@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell application to vary conditions of bail at Woolwich Crown Court on 16th August 2013 if matter cannot be resolved and agreed administratively

Dear Sir or Madam

We continue to act on behalf of our above named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

Our client has instructed us to make an application to vary his bail conditions so that the reporting condition is removed in it's entirety, and if an alternative bail condition is required then his mother is willing to stand surety £1,000.

We attach the following:

- (1) Reasons for application
- (2) Notice of application for court to consider bail
- (3) Map printed from internet supporting the distance from the client's address to the local police station

We also add that £19.60 represents 40% of his benefits when they are re-instated and this will cause our client financial hardship.

We would be grateful if you oppose this application that a representative is available for the application to be determined in Court on 16th August 2013.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

Attachments

- APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.pdf (69.81 KB)
- DOCUMENTATION IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION TO VARY BAIL 110813.pdf (81.43 KB)
- INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF VARYING THE BAIL CONDITIONS 110813.doc (21.50 KB)

Subject: FW: Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am
From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:13

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 14 August 2013 11:27
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell; Anthony Clarke; Anthony Clarke; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com
Subject: Simon Cordell for plea and case management hearing on 4th September 2013 at 10am

Dear Simon

Please find attached a copy of the transcript of your police interview. This arrived in the DX this morning. I am hoping to attend your mother's address on Friday evening 16th August 2013 at 4.30pm

I will discuss all aspects of your case on that date and hope to be in a position to update you with regards to the application to remove the reporting condition.

In the intervening period of time should you have any questions with regards to any of the matters contained in this letter then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

Regards

Josephine

Attachments

- NAE090813 ROTI PGS 7 - 29.pdf (853.23 KB)

Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 4th September 2013

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:13

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 14 August 2013 18:12
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 4th September 2013

Simon

I have now read through all your case papers, interview transcript and unused material.

Areas for discussion:

1. Premises - need full address of where the rave took place
2. Any emails / facebook notification of the rave / booking your sound system for the party / any invoices given to the people hosting the party
3. Full contact details for Mohammed in order that I can take a statement confirming the sale to you
4. Receipt that Mohammed gave you, presumably this itemises the goods sold and date of purchase by you - pg of your interview you make reference to leaving with your sound system and then being offered a job lot of chairs - need specifics on this see 5 below. Please also read your bottom page 20 of your ROTI "I bought it of the person who put the 144 notice on both of them premises that was living there and was a registered squatter to them buildings. What made you believe that Mohammed had a right to the property? Where did he tell you he got the property from?
5. I need confirmation of the date you purchased the items; the location where the sale took place
6. What made you believe that both premises were linked? For all intents and purposes they appear to be separate buildings and entry to Unit 3 appears to have been gained through a hole in the wall not a natural doorway. This is criminal damage and therefore illegal.
7. Confirmation of where the Notices were displayed. Any photographs from the rave to back this up would be very useful
8. Neighbours who can confirm the date the gazebo was erected

9. More information on the hole in the wall - photographs would be helpful from rave photographs and also any persons who were living there in the Unit 3 squat

10. Recordings of conversation between you and police before entering the party. Any shoulder numbers of officers who attended the premises so we can request statements etc from them re damage.

11. What proof do you have that Unit 3 was not barricaded with wood as the Director alleges and the barricades were not simply moved prior to your arrival?

Simon I will have a full discussion with you regarding the law etc on Friday but if you can concentrate on the above and collating the requested information.

I will draft your defence case statement after I have taken a full proof of evidence (statement) from you.

Regards

Josephine

Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 (Burglary)
From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:14

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 04 September 2013 11:48
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Jay Lemos
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Court on 9th September 2013 (Burglary)

Dear Lorraine / Simon / Jay

Please find attached a copy of the court listing for 9th September 2013 in relation to the above case,

Regards

Josephine

Attachments

- court listing for 09.09.2013.pdf (11.63 KB)

Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Courton 9th September 2013

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:15

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 04 September 2013 21:09
To: Jay Lemos; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for PCMH at Woolwich Crown Courton 9th September 2013

Dear Jay / Simon / Lorraine

Please see attached the amended defence case statement.

Regards

Josephine

PS Jay, Simon will sign this on Monday so can you please serve it direct to CPS and court and confirm this by email.

Attachments

- DEFENCE CASE STATEMENT SIMON CORDELL.doc (46.50 KB)

Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court 10 at 11am
From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:18

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 21 October 2013 19:56
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court 10 at 11am

Okay thanks Lorraine the date can be amended at court by the barrister Thanks for drawing my attention to it.

Regards

Josephine

On Oct 21, 2013 7:51 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Just gone over the document you sent over and on number 17 you made a mistake with the date

The Defendant denies entering as a trespasser the said premises. The Defendant accepts that his DNA may have been found on a can but that this may have been moved at any time during the night of the party on the 4th February 2013. The Defendant denies stealing from the premises and he denies causing any damage to the premises

It should be the 4th May 2013

Also the link for the catalogue for focus is correct

<http://web.archive.org/web/20110321003352/http://diy.focusdiy.co.uk/nav/cat2/gazebos/cat/gardenbuildings/0>

It does show the Harrogate Gazebo for the catalogue for focus and it's the website URL archive dated 11 March 2011. It does take a little while to load from the archive servers but does load and you can see the items of gazebos that focus was selling on the 11 March 2011 you have to look down the list to see the Harrogate Gazebo information.

If you load the URL and then right click on the page and select Save As in the menu you can save it to your computer also.

The only thing I cannot find is the original receipt for Simon Gazebo that was at his home when the police come.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 21 October 2013 18:11
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 22nd October 2013 in Court 10 at 11am

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Your case has been listed tomorrow for a mention hearing. You are both welcome to attend if you so desire but you are not required to attend.

As you have not been able to provide me with the original receipt of internet link for the Focus catalogue I have edited the defence case statement accordingly.

I now attach the amended defence case statement which I have instructed your barrister to serve at tomorrow's hearing. This will trigger secondary disclosure in the case and I will be hoping to offer you an appointment in four weeks time for a conference with your barrister.

If you have any further requests to vary your bail then please provide me with adequate notice as the courts tend to want the CPS and solicitors to attempt to agree the variation administratively before resorting to the court.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Regards

Josephine

PS Simon can you please sign this defence case statement and either scan and email it back to me or post it to my office. Thanks

Subject: FW: description of bar stool ads

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:20

From: Vaughan Barnes [mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]
Sent: 12 November 2013 16:10
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

I have no sorry about that.

No problem I have attached it in a word doc this time.

Please get back to me if you can not view it.

Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Gumtree
Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000
☎ **+356 2364 4444 / +356 99812961 +356 2124 2905**
✉ vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 5:02 PM
To: Vaughan Barnes
Subject: RE: description of bar stool ads

Hello Vaughan

I got the email but the screen shot has not come with the email I so sorry to bother you againb but wuld it please be possible to send it over it will really help me out a great deal.

Simon

From: Vaughan Barnes [mailto:Vaughan.Barnes@centrecom.eu]
Sent: 12 November 2013 16:00
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: description of bar stool ads

Hello Simon,

As per our telephony conversation her is the information from the ad.



Kind regards

Vaughan Barnes | Fraud Agent | Gumtree

Centrecom | Aviation Centre First Floor St. Thomas Street | Luqa | Malta | LQA5000

☎ **+356 2364 4444/+356 99812961 +356 2124 2905)**

✉ vaughan.barnes@centrecom.eu

Attachments

- bar stool.docx (355.32 KB)

Subject: FW: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference
From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Wednesday, 11 December 2013, 22:20

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 December 2013 02:41
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Lorraine

I am working through my emails slowly and by priority at the moment. I will endeavour to deal with this by Wednesday at the latest. I have been on duty all weekend and today I was in the police station from 9.30am until after midnight. I am aware of the documents being duplicated also. I will deal with each point you raise in due course.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 2:57 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.

There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb 2013 case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.

1. Both the invoices from Li-Lo Leisure products Ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May 2013 and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.

2. On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice was not delivered to the address the party were held in, in Feb 2013 and also May 2013 they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the party were held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
3. There has never been a list of items that Mr Petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May 2013
4. So far we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb 2013, and seeing at Mr Petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that were taken
5. what was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb 2013 as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has listed from his insurance they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May 2013-12-02
6. Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb 2013 claim out till after the claim in May 2013? Why did they only pay out after the May 2013 claim is it due that someone was arrested and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon. As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April 2013 yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet. Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb 2013 and before May 2013.
7. What was the costs of damage to the building in Feb 2013 and the value of the items taken.
8. What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken.

In short how could the property have been stolen in May 2013 if it had already been stolen in Feb 2013 as the invoice is the same?

Also the damage to the building from Feb 2013 seems to have been added to the costs to the May 2013 but yet Simon has not been charged with the party in Feb 2013.

It seems they have mixed both cases together and Simon is taking the blame for all of it within the costs.

The Crown does need to give us all the information to the Feb 2013 case including pictures so we can see ourselves the damage to the building and they need to tell us if anyone was charged in Feb 2013 and what was the outcome.

If the crown does not do this then this is an unfair trial they will be holding as to Simon case.

Also we need to see all the insurance claims to see the total costs of damage in Feb 2013 and also for the claim in May 2013 and the reasons as to why both cases have been linked together by the insurance company.

Also where is the insurance claim for the items within the warehouse that was meant to have been taken as so far I can only see a claim for the damage to the building?

Any claim would need to include a list of the items that were taken and would need to be replaced. Someone cannot just come up with a figure of a cost for items that were taken and so far it seems as if that has what has been done in both cases Feb 2013 and May 2013.

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 28 November 2013 19:54
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

Thank you for the email I just got it so will let simon look over this tomorrow.

Can I ask something the receipt from Li-Lo Leisure is that the receipt for the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 as it seems to be the same receipt.

What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the 04/05/2013 and also the same for the 16/02/2013 someone cant say an amount in £s of damage and items where taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the 04/05/2013 and the 16/02/2013 all of the items listed on the receipts where taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts. There is also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to the this so called building on the 04/05/2013, Which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.

Also there is no information as to really anything for the 16/02/2013, And no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.

There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from 16/02/2013 so show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.

There is far to much data that is missing.

And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?

There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair trial without the full facts.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD mailto:iosephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com

Sent: 28 November 2013 17:30

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

Subject: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please see the CPS response to our defence case statement. This was received in the DX today. It does not advance our case.

I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers. He has personal appointments tomorrow and cannot as previously advised to a conference at Croydon Crown Court. I have therefore booked a fixed conference for 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.

Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

Regards

Josephine

From: Vicki.McQueen@met.pnn.police.uk
Sent: 11 December 2013 12:44
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Public complaint by your son Simon Cordell
Ms Cordell,

I tried to call you today to ask you a question about your son's complaint. I was unable to get hold of you. Please can you reply and let me know if your son is disputing his penalty notice or not. If he has requested a Court hearing (which his complaint would tend to indicate) the complaint will be classed as 'sub-judice' which means it will be put on hold until Court proceedings are complete.

Regards,

Victoria McQueen
Detective Sergeant
Customer Service Team

Metropolitan Police Service
Directorate of Professional Standards
Empress State Building
SW61TR

Tel; 02071616455 (786455)

MetSec Code Restricted

Total Policing is the Met's commitment to be on the streets and in your communities to catch offenders, prevent crime and support victims. We are here for London, working with you to make our capital safer.

Consider our environment - please do not print this email unless absolutely necessary.

NOTICE - This email and any attachments may be confidential, subject to copyright and/or legal privilege and are intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. To avoid incurring legal liabilities, you must not distribute or copy the information in this email without the permission of the sender. MPS communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law. Consequently, any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude any binding agreement on behalf of the MPS by email. The MPS accepts no responsibility for unauthorised agreements reached with other employees or agents. The security of this email and any attachments cannot be guaranteed. Email messages are routinely scanned but malicious software infection and corruption of content can still occur during transmission over the Internet. Any views or opinions expressed in this communication are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS).

Find us at:

Facebook: [Facebook.com/metpoliceuk](https://www.facebook.com/metpoliceuk)
Twitter: [@metpoliceuk](https://twitter.com/metpoliceuk)

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 11 August 2013 14:05

To: Lorraine Cordell; michael@michaelcarrollandco.com

Subject: Bail variation

Lorraine / Simon

Further to me earlier email I have now emailed the court, cps and instructed advocate with the request to remove the reporting condition.

Once I am in receipt of the information requested earlier then I will also endeavour to get the curfew either suspended or extended for 25th and 26th August 2013 but I will require the email confirming the booking for 25th and 26th August 2013.

If I can be of any further assistance please do not hesitate to contact me by email or text.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 December 2013 23:16

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Conference at Isleworth Crown Court

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please accept this email as confirmation that the conference will go ahead tomorrow with your barrister at Isleworth Crown Court at 12.30pm. If you have any problems contacting the barrister at Isleworth Crown Court please phone the office on 0208 365 9900 in order that one of the boys can telephone the barrister's clerk to ensure that he meets you.

Regards

Josephine

PS I will have my mobile with me but I am in and out of reception so a text is probably better

[Isleworth Crown Court](#)

Address :	36 Ridgeway Road
:	Isleworth
:	London
:	TW7 5LP

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 16 December 2013 12:43
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Meeting with barrister Simon Cordell
Hello Josey

After Simon went to see the barrister on 13/12/2013 the barrister cannot do anything for Simon until at least 18/12/2013 as he is on a next case.

He also did not know anything about Simon case and had not had any papers from you.

He has asked Simon for some information here is the list

Anything from 16/02/2013 case including all

All Cads
List of items that were taken
List of all damage to building
And anything else to do with that case
All crime scene pictures
And any insurance claims

He also said he will need the same for the 04/05/2013 case

All Cads
List of items that were taken
List of damage to building
And anything else for that case
And any insurance claims

As the invoices that have been given are hear say due to the fact the guy would need proof that these items were still in fact inside the warehouse

He does not seem to understand when this went to court and the judge said that we can't have this information. So could you please talk with him and update him as to what has gone on at the court, as we did not know until last week that the judge had said this we cant have this information.

But at any case it seems the barrister needs updating about this case as he does not know anything and did not even understand why Simon had gone to see him when he was on a case already which was going to over run till this week at some point, but not before Wednesday. And Simon case is in the WL from 16/12/2013.

From what we have found out when Simon called the insurance company the claim for the insurance that was put in was only for the building and no content.

Simon called them on the 13/12/2013 and talked to someone as Nikki Diamond was not due back in the office until 16/12 2013.

The insurance company did tell |Simon both claims have been put into one as from what the lady could see who looked up the claim REF could see no one from the insurance saw the damage to the building until after 04/05/2013 which should not come down to Simon as Simon did not cause any damage on the build at any time.

Insurance details

Cunningham Lindsey

Person dealing with claim is Nikki Diamond claim ref 5005393
Address: Aquila House, 35 London Rd, Redhill, Surrey RH1 1NJ
Phone: 01737 784600
Email: nikki.diamond@cl-uk.com

They asked Simon to send anything over to them and if he had anything for the Feb 2013 case can he send any information over for this, and that Nikki Diamond would get it on Monday and to include a contact number that she can get hold of us.

He also asked about 3rd party discloser and was told Nikki Diamond could deal with this.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 December 2013 02:41
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Lorraine

I am working through my emails slowly and by priority at the moment. I will endeavour to deal with this by Wednesday at the latest. I have been on duty all weekend and today I was in the police station from 9.30am until after midnight. I am aware of the documents being duplicated also. I will deal with each point you raise in due course.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 2:57 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.

There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb 2013 case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.

1. Both the invoices from Li-Lo Leisure products ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May 2013 and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.
2. On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice was not delivered to the address the partys were held in, in Feb 2013 and also May 2013 they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the partys were held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
3. There has never been a list of items that Mr petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May 2013
4. So far we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb 2013, and seeing at Mr petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that where taken
5. what was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb 2013 as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has

listed from his insurance they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May 2013-12-02

6. Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb 2013 claim out till after the claim in May 2013? Why did they only pay out after the May 2013 claim is it due that someone was arrested and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon. As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April 2013 yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet. Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb 2013 and before May 2013.
7. What was the costs of damage to the building in Feb 2013 and the value of the items taken.
8. What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken.

In short how could the property have been stolen in May 2013 if it had already been stolen in Feb 2013 as the invoice is the same?

Also the damage to the building from Feb 2013 seems to have been added to the costs to the May 2013 but yet Simon has not been charged with the party in Feb 2013.

It seems they have mixed both cases together and Simon is taking the blame for all of it within the costs.

The Crown does need to give us all the information to the Feb 2013 case including pictures so we can see ourselves the damage to the building and they need to tell us if anyone was changed in Feb 2013 and what was the outcome.

If the crown does not do this then this is an unfair trail they will be holding as to Simon case.

Also we need to see all the insurance claims to see the total costs of damage in Feb 2013 and also for the claim in May 2013 and the reasons as to why both cases have been linked together by the insurance company.

Also where is the insurance claim for the items within the warehouse that was meant to have been taken as so far I can only see a claim for the damage to the building?

Any claim would need to include a list of the items that where taken and would need to be replaced. Someone cannot just come up with a figure of a cost for items that where taken and so far it seems as if that has what has been done in both cases Feb 2013 and May 2013.

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 28 November 2013 19:54
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

Thank you for the email I just got it so will let simon look over this tomorrow.

Can I ask something the receipt from Li-Lo Leisure is that the receipt for the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 as it seems to be the same receipt.

What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the 04/05/2013 and also the same for the 16/02/2013 someone cant say an amount in £s of damage and items where taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the 04/05/2013 and the 16/02/2013 all of the items listed on the receipts where taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts. There is also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to the this so called building on the 04/05/2013, Which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.

Also there is no information as to really anything for the 16/02/2013, And no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.

There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from 16/02/2013 so show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.

There is far to much data that is missing.

And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?

There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair trial without the full facts.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 November 2013 17:30
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please see the CPS response to our defence case statement. This was received in the DX today. It does not advance our case.

I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers. He has personal appointments tomorrow and can not as previously advised to a conference at Croydon Crown Court. I have therefore booked a fixed conference for 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.

Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 16 December 2013 13:06
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Meeting with barristerSimon Cordell
Hi Josey

Please still read the below email.

But I also forgot to say that the barrister also said Simon needs to go over the tapes of his interview and highlight and mark anything that is wrong.

Also can I ask will the PNC check be updated as Simon has been found not guilty for at least 4 of the cases that show on there? So his last case should show as 2009.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 16 December 2013 12:43
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Meeting with barristerSimon Cordell

Hello Josey

After Simon went to see the barrister on 13/12/2013 the barrister cannot do anything for Simon until at least 18/12/2013 as he is on a next case.

He also did not know anything about Simon case and had not had any papers from you.

He has asked Simon for some information here is the list

Anything from 16/02/2013 case including all

- All Cads
- List of items that were taken
- List of all damage to building
- And anything else to do with that case
- All crime scene pictures
- And any insurance claims

He also said he will need the same for the 04/05/2013 case

- All Cads
- List of items that were taken
- List of damage to building
- And anything else for that case
- And any insurance claims

As the invoices that have been given are hear say due to the fact the guy would need proof that these items where still in fact inside the warehouse

He does not seem to understand when this went to court and the judge said that we can't have this information. So could you please talk with him and update him as to what has gone on at the court, as we did not know until last week that the judge had said this we cant have this information.

But at any case it seems the barrister needs updating about this case as he does not know anything and did not even understand why Simon had gone to see him when he was on a case already which was

going to over run till this week at some point, but not before Wednesday. And Simon case is in the WL from 16/12/2013.

From what we have found out when Simon called the insurance company the claim for the insurance that was put in was only for the building and no content.

Simon called them on the 13/12/2013 and talked to someone as Nikki Diamond was not due back in the office until 16/12 2013.

The insurance company did tell |Simon both claims have been put into one as from what the lady could see who looked up the claim REF could see no one from the insurance saw the damage to the building until after 04/05/2013 which should not come down to Simon as Simon did not cause any damage on the build at any time.

Insurance details

Cunningham Lindsey

Person dealing with claim is Nikki Diamond claim ref 5005393

Address: Aquila House, 35 London Rd, Redhill, Surrey RH1 1NJ

Phone: 01737 784600

Email: nikki.diamond@cl-uk.com

They asked Simon to send anything over to them and if he had anything for the Feb 2013 case can he send any information over for this, and that Nikki Diamond would get it on Monday and to include a contact number that she can get hold of us.

He also asked about 3rd party discloser and was told Nikki Diamond could deal with this.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 December 2013 02:41
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Lorraine

I am working through my emails slowly and by priority at the moment. I will endeavour to deal with this by Wednesday at the latest. I have been on duty all weekend and today I was in the police station from 9.30am until after midnight. I am aware of the documents being duplicated also. I will deal with each point you raise in due course.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 2:57 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.

There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem

to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb 2013 case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.

1. Both the invoices from Li-Lo Leisure products Ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May 2013 and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.
2. On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice was not delivered to the address the party was held in, in Feb 2013 and also May 2013 they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the party was held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
3. There has never been a list of items that Mr Petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May 2013
4. So far we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb 2013, and seeing at Mr Petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that were taken
5. What was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb 2013 as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has listed from his insurance they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May 2013-12-02
6. Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb 2013 claim out till after the claim in May 2013? Why did they only pay out after the May 2013 claim is it due that someone was arrested and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon. As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April 2013 yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet. Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb 2013 and before May 2013.
7. What was the cost of damage to the building in Feb 2013 and the value of the items taken.
8. What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken.

In short how could the property have been stolen in May 2013 if it had already been stolen in Feb 2013 as the invoice is the same?

Also the damage to the building from Feb 2013 seems to have been added to the costs to the May 2013 but yet Simon has not been charged with the party in Feb 2013.

It seems they have mixed both cases together and Simon is taking the blame for all of it within the costs.

The Crown does need to give us all the information to the Feb 2013 case including pictures so we can see ourselves the damage to the building and they need to tell us if anyone was changed in Feb 2013 and what was the outcome.

If the crown does not do this then this is an unfair trial they will be holding as to Simon case.

Also we need to see all the insurance claims to see the total costs of damage in Feb 2013 and also for the claim in May 2013 and the reasons as to why both cases have been linked together by the insurance company.

Also where is the insurance claim for the items within the warehouse that was meant to have been taken as so far I can only see a claim for the damage to the building?

Any claim would need to include a list of the items that were taken and would need to be replaced. Someone cannot just come up with a figure of a cost for items that were taken and so far it seems as if that has what has been done in both cases Feb 2013 and May 2013.

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 28 November 2013 19:54

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

Thank you for the email I just got it so will let simon look over this tomorrow.

Can I ask something the receipt from Li-Lo Leisure is that the receipt for the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 as it seems to be the same receipt.

What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the 04/05/2013 and also the same for the 16/02/2013 someone cant say an amount in £s of damage and items where taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the 04/05/2013 and the 16/02/2013 all of the items listed on the receipts where taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts. There is also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to the this so called building on the 04/05/2013, Which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.

Also there is no information as to really anything for the 16/02/2013, And no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.

There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from 16/02/2013 so show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.

There is far to much data that is missing.

And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?

There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair trial without the full facts.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 November 2013 17:30
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please see the CPS response to our defence case statement. This was received in the DX today. It does not advance our case.

I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers. He has personal appointments tomorrow and can not as previously advised to a conference at Croydon Crown Court. I have therefore booked a fixed conference for 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.

Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 17 December 2013 11:02
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: RE: Meeting with barristerSimon Cordell
Hi Josey

Can we get an update to Simon case please and as to what's going on with the barrister and if he cant do Simon case which Barrister Simon will be using please. And any update to the emails I sent yesterday to you,

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 16 December 2013 13:06
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Meeting with barristerSimon Cordell

Hi Josey

Please still read the below email.

But I also forgot to say that the barrister also said Simon needs to go over the tapes of his interview and highlight and mark anything that is wrong.

Also can I ask will the PNC check be updated as Simon has been found not guilty for at least 4 of the cases that show on there? So his last case should show as 2009.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 16 December 2013 12:43
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Meeting with barristerSimon Cordell

Hello Josey

After Simon went to see the barrister on 13/12/2013 the barrister cannot do anything for Simon until at least 18/12/2013 as he is on a next case.

He also did not know anything about Simon case and had not had any papers from you.

He has asked Simon for some information here is the list

Anything from 16/02/2013 case including all

- All Cads
- List of items that were taken
- List of all damage to building
- And anything else to do with that case
- All crime scene pictures
- And any insurance claims

He also said he will need the same for the 04/05/2013 case

- All Cads
- List of items that were taken
- List of damage to building
- And anything else for that case

And any insurance claims

As the invoices that have been given are hear say due to the fact the guy would need proof that these items where still in fact inside the warehouse

He does not seem to understand when this went to court and the judge said that we can't have this information. So could you please talk with him and update him as to what has gone on at the court, as we did not know until last week that the judge had said this we cant have this information.

But at any case it seems the barrister needs updating about this case as he does not know anything and did not even understand why Simon had gone to see him when he was on a case already which was going to over run till this week at some point, but not before Wednesday. And Simon case is in the WL from 16/12/2013.

From what we have found out when Simon called the insurance company the claim for the insurance that was put in was only for the building and no content.

Simon called them on the 13/12/2013 and talked to someone as Nikki Diamond was not due back in the office until 16/12 2013.

The insurance company did tell |Simon both claims have been put into one as from what the lady could see who looked up the claim REF could see no one from the insurance saw the damage to the building until after 04/05/2013 which should not come down to Simon as Simon did not cause any damage on the build at any time.

Insurance details

Cunningham Lindsey

Person dealing with claim is Nikki Diamond **claim ref 5005393**

Address: Aquila House, 35 London Rd, Redhill, Surrey RH1 1NJ

Phone: 01737 784600

Email: nikki.diamond@cl-uk.com

They asked Simon to send anything over to them and if he had anything for the Feb 2013 case can he send any information over for this, and that Nikki Diamond would get it on Monday and to include a contact number that she can get hold of us.

He also asked about 3rd party discloser and was told Nikki Diamond could deal with this.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 03 December 2013 02:41

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Lorraine

I am working through my emails slowly and by priority at the moment. I will endeavour to deal with this by Wednesday at the latest. I have been on duty all weekend and today I was in the police station from 9.30am until after midnight. I am aware of the documents being duplicated also. I will deal with each point you raise in due course.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 2:57 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

I tried to call you today re Simon case not sure if you got the below email so I will send this again over to you.

There are some points I really do not understand and until the crown gives us information as to this it does seem to me that Simon case at court has the claim for Feb 2013 case in it as they are not giving a list of what was taken.

1. Both the invoices from Li-Lo Leisure products Ltd that have been given for Simon Case in May 2013 and the information that the crown has just given you are the same.
2. On the invoices the address that the items in the invoice was not delivered to the address the party was held in, in Feb 2013 and also May 2013 they were delivered to Marks wholesale which is a big shop, so how did they get to the address the party was held in I am sure Marks wholesale would have storage space and it does seem very funny that they would move items that were ordered to sell at one of his large shops?
3. There has never been a list of items that Mr Petal said was taken in May just an invoice so is Mr Petal saying that all the items on the list was taken at the party in May 2013
4. So far we do not even know the list of items that were taken in Feb 2013, and seeing at Mr Petal seems to be using the same invoice for both dates there should be 2 lists of items that were taken
5. what was the cost of the damage to the building in Feb 2013 as it seems from the emails Mr Petal has listed from his insurance they have put both claims into one which in fact would then go over to the date Simon hired his sound system out in May 2013-12-02
6. Why did the insurance company not pay the Feb 2013 claim out till after the claim in May 2013? Why did they only pay out after the May 2013 claim is it due that someone was arrested and they could put both claims over to the court case of Simon. As if you look at the dates of the insurance part it does not make any sense as the last date shows April 2013 yet it says they are paying out both claims, how could they pay out both claims when the party in May had not even happened yet. Or is it the case there was a next party after Feb 2013 and before May 2013.
7. What was the costs of damage to the building in Feb 2013 and the value of the items taken.
8. What was the damage to the building in May 2013 and the value of the items taken.

In short how could the property have been stolen in May 2013 if it had already been stolen in Feb 2013 as the invoice is the same?

Also the damage to the building from Feb 2013 seems to have been added to the costs to the May 2013 but yet Simon has not been charged with the party in Feb 2013.

It seems they have mixed both cases together and Simon is taking the blame for all of it within the costs.

The Crown does need to give us all the information to the Feb 2013 case including pictures so we can see ourselves the damage to the building and they need to tell us if anyone was charged in Feb 2013 and what was the outcome.

If the crown does not do this then this is an unfair trail they will be holding as to Simon case.

Also we need to see all the insurance claims to see the total costs of damage in Feb 2013 and also for the claim in May 2013 and the reasons as to why both cases have been linked together by the insurance company.

Also where is the insurance claim for the items within the warehouse that was meant to have been taken as so far I can only see a claim for the damage to the building?

Any claim would need to include a list of the items that were taken and would need to be replaced. Someone cannot just come up with a figure of a cost for items that were taken and so far it seems as if that has what has been done in both cases Feb 2013 and May 2013.

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 28 November 2013 19:54
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Hi Josey

Thank you for the email I just got it so will let Simon look over this tomorrow.

Can I ask something the receipt from Li-Lo Leisure is that the receipt for the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 as it seems to be the same receipt.

What we want is a full list of items and amounts that were taken on the 04/05/2013 and also the same for the 16/02/2013 someone can't say an amount in £s of damage and items were taken yet not have a full list, or are they saying on each of the receipts for the 04/05/2013 and the 16/02/2013 all of the items listed on the receipts were taken it does not make sense as they seem to be the same receipts. There is also no invoices for the repair of the damage on the 16/02/2013 or the 04/05/2013 for someone to come up with the amounts that they have for the damages to the this so called building on the 04/05/2013, which he would have had to get invoices for his insurance companies.

Also there is no information as to really anything for the 16/02/2013, and no information as to the people that were arrested and what happened to them.

There is also nothing to show any work was carried out on the building from 16/02/2013 so show anything was fixed as I am sure there should have been.

There is far too much data that is missing.

And I wonder why how can a police officer not have any full lists of items that were taken yet be able to have a total amount of damage that were caused how could they come to these sums?

There seems to me a lot in this case is being covered up by the police and I would like this addressed as how can someone have a fair trial without the full facts.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 November 2013 17:30
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: CPS response to secondary disclosure and confirmation of conference

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please see the CPS response to our defence case statement. This was received in the DX today. It does not advance our case.

I am also confirming that your barrister can only do a conference on 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers. He has personal appointments tomorrow and can not as previously advised to a conference at Croydon Crown Court. I have therefore booked a fixed conference for 12th December 2013 at 10am at Nexus Chambers so that he will not be caught up in a trial and there is no risk of you breaching your curfew.

Before that date I would also like to finalise your proof of evidence and I would hope to do that Tuesday afternoon or the following Monday.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further questions regarding this case.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 17 December 2013 20:09
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re:FW: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393) Re: Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

Are the insurance company aware that Simon is awaiting trial for burglary. I assume you have disclosed this to them. I would have to disclose this fact to them before requesting information and informer to do this I would require Simon's signed written consent.

The next stage is getting the case and listed for mention.

Any questions please email me direct.

Thanks

Josephine

On Dec 17, 2013 5:10 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi josey

This is the manager now dealing with the insurance claim Mr Patel put in for the building that the party was in.

He is setting up a meeting with Mr Patel asking him to sign a form that would allow him to disclose any information to any person that has cause to see the claim. But not telling Mr Patel that he has spoke to us or would like a meeting with us.

He said some other things also about the claim Mr Patel put in, he said that in Feb 2013 he did put a claim in and was asked by the insurance to do some things which Mr Patel did not do so the insurance closed the claim down and Mr Patel did not do anything else with that claim to say he wanted to keep it opened.

Then after May 2013 Mr Patel again contacted the insurance and said there was a next claim.

In the invoice Mr Patel has handed into the insurance it is all in one invoice for one claim.

The Insurance Company also have not paid him out yet for the claim.

He told me to contact the solicitor dealing with the case as he did not want to step on anyone feet and see about a meeting to be setup.

Please see the below email from the Manger dealing with the insurance claim.

Lorraine

-----Original Message-----

From: trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com [mailto:trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com]

Sent: 17 December 2013 16:29

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: Re: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref : 5005393

Insurer's Claim Ref : 1849692

Re : R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

My details as discussed .

Please advise your solicitor.

I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Commercial Special Investigator

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor, 3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

Tel: 0121 233 6765

Fax: 0845 425 2850

Website: www.cunninghamlindsey.com

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 17 December 2013 21:16
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: FW: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393) Re: Simon Cordell
Hi Josey

I told them that Simon was arrested due to DNA being found at the warehouse and has been charged and it is going to crown court so yes they are aware Simon was arrested for the building as I told him Simon is getting done for all of the damages, and it seems from the files they have linked both cases of the 16 Feb 2013 and the 04 May 2013, but Simon was not charged with the case from the 16 Feb 2013 only with the case from the 04 May 2013. And that Simon was not there on the 16 Feb 2013 and can prove this.

I have even told them that 4 people were arrested on 16 Feb 2013 from what I can tell they don't seem to have the information as to even the person in the police who was dealing with the case so it seems that Mr Patel is not telling the insurance company a lot of the things they should know as when I was telling him about things in the case he seemed to be very shocked and un aware of a lot of the things for Feb 2013 and May 2013.

But yes he is very aware that Simon was arrested and that's why I made the call when I saw they had joined the insurance claim under one case for both dates.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 17 December 2013 20:09
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re:FW: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393) Re: Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

Are the insurance company aware that Simon is awaiting trial for burglary. I assume you have disclosed this to them. I would have to disclose this fact to them before requesting information and informer to do this I would require Simon's signed written consent.

The next stage is getting the case and listed for mention.

Any questions please email me direct.

Thanks

Josephine

On Dec 17, 2013 5:10 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi josey

This is the manager now dealing with the insurance claim Mr Patel put in for the building that the party was in.

He is setting up a meeting with Mr Patel asking him to sign a form that would allow him to disclose any information to any person that has cause to see the claim. But not telling Mr Patel that he has spoke to us or would like a meeting with us.

He said some other things also about the claim Mr Patel put in, he said that in Feb 2013 he did put a claim in and was asked by the insurance to do some things which Mr Patel did not do so the insurance closed the claim down and Mr Patel did not do anything else with that claim to say he wanted to keep

it opened.

Then after May 2013 Mr Patel again contacted the insurance and said there was a next claim.

In the invoice Mr Patel has handed into the insurance it is all in one invoice for one claim.

The Insurance Company also have not paid him out yet for the claim.

He told me to contact the solicitor dealing with the case as he did not want to step on anyone feet and see about a meeting to be setup.

Please see the below email from the Manger dealing with the insurance claim.

Lorraine

-----Original Message-----

From: trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com [mailto:trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com]

Sent: 17 December 2013 16:29

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: Re: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref : 5005393

Insurer's Claim Ref : 1849692

Re : R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

My details as discussed .

Please advise your solicitor.

I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Commercial Special Investigator

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor, 3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

Tel: 0121 233 6765

Fax: 0845 425 2850

Website: www.cunninghamlindsey.com

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this

message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 17 December 2013 21:27
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: FW: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393) Re: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

I will need Simon's permission to disclose information to the insurance company i.e. signed consent.

Regards

Josephine

On Dec 17, 2013 9:15 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I told them that Simon was arrested due to DNA being found at the warehouse and has been charged and it is going to crown court so yes they are aware Simon was arrested for the building as I told him Simon is getting done for all of the damages, and it seems from the files they have linked both cases of the 16 Feb 2013 and the 04 May 2013, but Simon was not charged with the case from the 16 Feb 2013 only with the case from the 04 May 2013. And that Simon was not there on the 16 Feb 2013 and can prove this.

I have even told them that 4 people were arrested on 16 Feb 2013 from what I can tell they don't seem to have the information as to even the person in the police who was dealing with the case so it seems that Mr Patel is not telling the insurance company a lot of the things they should know as when I was telling him about things in the case he seemed to be very shocked and un aware of a lot of the things for Feb 2013 and May 2013.

But yes he is very aware that Simon was arrested and that's why I made the call when I saw they had joined the insurance claim under one case for both dates.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 17 December 2013 20:09
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re:FW: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393) Re: Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

Are the insurance company aware that Simon is awaiting trial for burglary. I assume you have disclosed this to them. I would have to disclose this fact to them before requesting information and informer to do this I would require Simon's signed written consent.

The next stage is getting the case and listed for mention.

Any questions please email me direct.

Thanks

Josephine

On Dec 17, 2013 5:10 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi josey

This is the manager now dealing with the insurance claim Mr Patel put in for the building that the party was in.

He is setting up a meeting with Mr Patel asking him to sign a form that would allow him to disclose any information to any person that has cause to see the claim. But not telling Mr Patel that he has spoke to us or would like a meeting with us.

He said some other things also about the claim Mr Patel put in, he said that in Feb 2013 he did put a claim in and was asked by the insurance to do some things which Mr Patel did not do so the insurance closed the claim down and Mr Patel did not do anything else with that claim to say he wanted to keep it opened.

Then after May 2013 Mr Patel again contacted the insurance and said there was a next claim.

In the invoice Mr Patel has handed into the insurance it is all in one invoice for one claim.

The Insurance Company also have not paid him out yet for the claim.

He told me to contact the solicitor dealing with the case as he did not want to step on anyone feet and see about a meeting to be setup.

Please see the below email from the Manger dealing with the insurance claim.

Lorraine

-----Original Message-----

From: trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com [mailto:trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com]

Sent: 17 December 2013 16:29

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: Re: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref : 5005393

Insurer's Claim Ref : 1849692

Re : R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

My details as discussed .

Please advise your solicitor.

I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway
Commercial Special Investigator

Cunningham Lindsey
1st Floor, 3160 Park Square
Birmingham Business Park
Solihull, B37 7YN
Tel: 0121 233 6765
Fax: 0845 425 2850

Website: www.cunninghamlindsey.com

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

Subject: Regina v Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk; re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 18 December 2013, 16:56

Dear Lorraine & Simon

Simon's case will be listed for mention tomorrow morning court 7 not before 11am.

I will update you tomorrow after the hearing.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 18 December 2013 13:06
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Martin

I have still not had a reply from KGM about the data protection or an email from them to say he is in fact still insured. And on the Mid database the van is still showing as not insured, due to this Simon is not driving the van as he does not want to get pulled again by the police which I don't think is right as he has in fact paid his insurance to be able to drive it if he needs to can this please be sorted out as he really does not want any more problems with getting pulled over due to it not showing up as insured. I also have called DVLA again about the log book and have been told that they should be with us soon.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 26 November 2013 15:46
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hello Martin,

Thank you for the phone call today to tell me I was still insured.

Could you please pass on the attached documents to Kelly Tiller at KGM one is a Subject access request under the Data Protection Act 1998.

The other letter is just to get Kelly Tiller to confirm by email I am in fact still insured so I have this in writing and also to found out why my van is still not showing up on the database.

And also about the logbooks which I am still waiting for them from DVLA I called DVLA today when I got back from the compound and was told it can take 8 weeks for me to get the new logbooks, I will there fore send then right over to you by email as soon as I get them.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 18 December 2013 16:57

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court

Dear Lorraine & Simon

Simon's case will be listed for mention tomorrow morning court 7 not before 11am.

I will update you tomorrow after the hearing.

Regards

Josephine

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to vary bail on 23rd December 2013

From: JOSEPHINE WARD (josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com)

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk;

Cc: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Thursday, 19 December 2013, 18:47

Simon / Lorraine

The case was listed in court this morning but unfortunately the application to vary bail was not dealt with so I have asked for the case to be listed again on 23rd December 2013.

With regards to the applications in relation to non-disclosure the court have directed that the defence make a section 8 application and also an application for Third Party Disclosure. This has to be made by 16th January 2014. The prosecution must respond by 16th February 2014. If the Defence have not been provided with disclosure we need to list the case again after 16th February 2014.

The case has now been placed in the warned list for the week commencing 30th June 2014.

I will update you with regards to the application to vary bail.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 19 December 2013 18:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Cc: too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for application to vary bail on 23rd December 2013
Simon / Lorraine

The case was listed in court this morning but unfortunately the application to vary bail was not dealt with so I have asked for the case to be listed again on 23rd December 2013.

With regards to the applications in relation to non-disclosure the court have directed that the defence make a section 8 application and also an application for Third Party Disclosure. This has to be made by 16th January 2014. The prosecution must respond by 16th February 2014. If the Defence have not been provided with disclosure we need to list the case again after 16th February 2014.

The case has now been placed in the warned list for the week commencing 30th June 2014.

I will update you with regards to the application to vary bail.

Regards

Josephine

From: Martin Jenkin [martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]

Sent: 02 January 2014 16:51 To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: Simon Cordell

Lorraine, as per telephone conversation today please find a screen print from the insurance company KGM confirming that vehicle reg CX52 JRZ should be showing on the database.

I have today contacted the MIB and spoken to a young lady named Sam who has confirmed the vehicle in question IS showing as insured with KGM Insurance, there seems to be an issue with the ASK mid database but Sam has assured me that the Police National Computer accesses the MID direct and this should not cause a problem.

If the police contacted the MIB regarding the vehicle they will confirm that the vehicle is insured under policy number MT3574694



Regards

Martin Jenkin DDI 01843 598744
cid:image001.png@01CC9FAC.(

t: 01843 594477

f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 02 January 2014 21:52
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court
Hi Josey

Hope you had a Happy Christmas and New Year. And I hope 2014 gives you all you want.

Also I just wanted to get any updates as to if the section 8 application has been put in yet for Simon case and the application for Third Party Disclosure.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 January 2014 18:51
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: FW: Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court
Hi Josey

Just wanting to find out what was going on as I not heard from you about Simon case with the text I sent you and the emails.

I know this case has been hard work and if you feel you don't want do deal with this case then can you let us know so we can find a next company to deal with it. I know you got a lot of work on and are dealing with big cases so not sure if it's down to that why we have not heard from you.

Simon / Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 02 January 2014 21:52
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court

Hi Josey

Hope you had a Happy Christmas and New Year. And I hope 2014 gives you all you want.

Also I just wanted to get any updates as to if the section 8 application has been put in yet for Simon case and the application for Third Party Disclosure.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 13 January 2014 16:50
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell re insurance

Hi Lorraine

Do you have an email for Nikki Diamond and do they have an office in London.

I will need a statement from Nikki Diamond re the photographs to have them admitted as evidence.

Regards

Josephine

On Jan 13, 2014 3:28 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

As said on the phone to you we had a meeting with the insurance man Mr Trevor Allaway today, the meeting went well for both of us in the fact that information was given on both side and the Trevor Allaway said this will help both of us.

There is some fact he told us and confirmed that with Nikki Diamond while here on the phone as Nikki Diamond was the main person dealing with the insurance claim before I talked to her on the phone some time ago about the claim Mr Patel & D Patel had put in. She then handed it over to Trevor Allaway.

We have been told that the problem the insurance company had with the claim that was put in Feb 2013 was that they did not believe them. When taking out insurance you have to state the conduction of the property they believe he did not do this correctly as when the damage was reported and Nikki Diamond went to see the property the damage and conduction to the property did not look correct at all. He also said that he is not insured for the contents in the building. He only has insurance for the building.

The insurance company also said that it is down to the owner to keep the building in a conduction of repair which was not the case when Nikki Diamond went to see it on the 28/03/2013. you could see there was damage that was being claimed for that was done a lot earlier. And the building was not kept to a good state.

There is 3 cases that have been put in total in damage on the invoice that was put in was under one invoice as Mr Petal told the insurance company he could not see what damage had been done when this is why the case was joined together after he put the claim in on the 07/06/2013 the invoice was for a total cost of £40,000.

The dates claims was made for are

16/02/2013 after the party

25/03/2013 a next claim was put in for the alarm. Which he was meant to have

fixed but I sure he did not as there was a next break in on that date.

Nikki Diamond then went to see the building for the 1st time on the 28/03/2013 she took pictures of all the damage at this time.

Then they put a next claim in on the 07/06/2013

Now when Nikki Diamond went to the building on the 28/03/2013 and took pictures of the damage, they are the same as the pictures the police took of inside the building in May 2013, nothing had been done to that building the mess was still there where the stock was, and the list of damage they have for the earlier case is all the damage the roof the brick walls the graffiti, the front fore door, the alarm, the staircase and more was listed. This damage to this building was there when Nikki Diamond went to see the building on the 28/03/2013 so how could Simon have done any of this damage.

Mr petal also never told the insurance company about the building being squatted he only said the building was broken into.

There was more said but the end part is really we help them they help us and Mr Trevor Allaway said that we need to get Simon solicitors to set a meeting with Nikki Diamond so a statement can be taken from Nikki Diamond about the claims. I asked him will she do this and he replied yes she will do a statement about the case for Simon. He also said he needs to go back and have a think about what to do with this claim as some of the things he was told by us.

So can you please set up a meeting with Nikki Diamond to take a statement from her for Simon Case.

Lorraine/Simon

-----Original Message-----

From: Allaway, Trevor [mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cl-uk.com]

Sent: 08 January 2014 15:53

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Hi

I will discuss the claim with you and I will have my file to hand.

I cannot say whether or to what extent you may have copies of the file prior to our meeting and I would need my principals consent.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor,3160 Park Square
Birmingham Business Park
Solihull, B37 7YN
Tel Office 0121 233 6765
Facsimile 0845 425 2850
email trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 08 January 2014 14:18
To: Allaway, Trevor
Subject: RE: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Dear Trevor Allaway

After the call I made and spoke to you today a date has been set for a meeting on Monday 13/01/2014 at 11am

I will give the address in email so I know you got it

23 Byron Terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

I was also wondering as you said on the phone that we can see the complete claim file which is great news but what I was wondering is could you do a copy of the file so that we can keep a copy of it for our case.

Many thanks

Lorraine Cordell / Simon Cordell

-----Original Message-----

From: trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com [mailto:trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com]
Sent: 17 December 2013 16:29
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Re: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref : 5005393
Insurer's Claim Ref : 1849692
Re : R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

My details as discussed .

Please advise your solicitor.

I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway
Commercial Special Investigator

Cunningham Lindsey
1st Floor, 3160 Park Square
Birmingham Business Park
Solihull, B37 7YN
Tel: 0121 233 6765
Fax: 0845 425 2850

Website: www.cunninghamlindsey.com

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex

Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 January 2014 18:34
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell re insurance

Hi josey

Yes I forgot to add the information for her

Nikki Diamond
cunningham and Lindsey
Aquila House,
35 London Rd,
Redhill,
Surrey
RH1 1NJ

I am sure they do have a London address also,

Nikki Diamond direct number is 07766785525 the office number is 01737 784600
I called the office when I 1st contacted them and they give me Nikki mobile number
I am sure I had her email but I can't find it now but the office or calling her you should be able to get it.

Trevor Allaway said there would be no problems with the statements and pictures as it was direct information from the insurance company not the insurer.

I am also sending over the to him the pictures that we got from the police as he would like Nikki Diamond to see them as they are the same mess as in her pictures which we saw today as he had a copy of them here in the file.

Lorraine/Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 13 January 2014 16:50
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell re insurance

Hi Lorraine

Do you have an email for Nikki Diamond and do they have an office in London.

I will need a statement from Nikki Diamond re the photographs to have them admitted as evidence.

Regards

Josephine

On Jan 13, 2014 3:28 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

As said on the phone to you we had a meeting with the insurance man Mr

Trevor Allaway today, the meeting went well for both of us in the fact that information was given on both side and the Trevor Allaway said this will help both of us.

There is some fact he told us and confirmed that with Nikki Diamond while here on the phone as Nikki Diamond was the main person dealing with the insurance claim before I talked to her on the phone some time ago about the claim Mr Patel & D Patel had put in. She then handed it over to Trevor Allaway.

We have been told that the problem the insurance company had with the claim that was put in Feb 2013 was that they did not believe them. When taking out insurance you have to state the conduction of the property they believe he did not do this correctly as when the damage was reported and Nikki Diamond went to see the property the damage and conduction to the property did not look correct at all. He also said that he is not insured for the contents in the building. He only has insurance for the building.

The insurance company also said that it is down to the owner to keep the building in a conduction of repair which was not the case when Nikki Diamond went to see it on the 28/03/2013. you could see there was damage that was being claimed for that was done a lot earlier. And the building was not kept to a good state.

There is 3 cases that have been put in total in damage on the invoice that was put in was under one invoice as Mr Petal told the insurance company he could not see what damage had been done when this is why the case was joined together after he put the claim in on the 07/06/2013 the invoice was for a total cost of £40,000.

The dates claims was made for are

16/02/2013 after the party

25/03/2013 a next claim was put in for the alarm. Which he was meant to have fixed but I sure he did not as there was a next break in on that date.

Nikki Diamond then went to see the building for the 1st time on the 28/03/2013 she took pictures of all the damage at this time.

Then they put a next claim in on the 07/06/2013

Now when Nikki Diamond went to the building on the 28/03/2013 and took pictures of the damage, they are the same as the pictures the police took of inside the building in May 2013, nothing had been done to that building the mess was still there where the stock was, and the list of damage they have for the earlier case is all the damage the roof the brick walls the graffiti, the front fore door, the alarm, the staircase and more was listed. This damage to this building was there when Nikki Diamond went to see the building on the 28/03/2013 so how could Simon have done any of this damage.

Mr petal also never told the insurance company about the building being squatted he only said the building was broken into.

There was more said but the end part is really we help them they help us and Mr Trevor Allaway said that we need to get Simon solicitors to set a meeting with Nikki Diamond so a statement can be taken from Nikki Diamond about the claims. I asked him will she do this and he replied yes she will do a statement about the case for Simon. He also said he needs to go back and have a think about what to do with this claim as some of the things he was told by us.

So can you please set up a meeting with Nikki Diamond to take a statement from her for Simon Case.

Lorraine/Simon

-----Original Message-----

From: Allaway, Trevor [mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cl-uk.com]
Sent: 08 January 2014 15:53
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Hi

I will discuss the claim with you and I will have my file to hand.
I cannot say whether or to what extent you may have copies of the file prior to our meeting and I would need my principals consent.
Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey
1st Floor,3160 Park Square
Birmingham Business Park
Solihull, B37 7YN
Tel Office 0121 233 6765
Facsimile 0845 425 2850
email trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 08 January 2014 14:18
To: Allaway, Trevor
Subject: RE: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Dear Trevor Allaway

After the call I made and spoke to you today a date has been set for a meeting on Monday 13/01/2014 at 11am

I will give the address in email so I know you got it

23 Byron Terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

I was also wondering as you said on the phone that we can see the complete claim file which is great news but what I was wondering is could you do a copy of the file so that we can keep a copy of it for our case.

Many thanks

Lorraine Cordell / Simon Cordell

-----Original Message-----

From: trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com [mailto:trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com]

Sent: 17 December 2013 16:29

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: Re: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref : 5005393

Insurer's Claim Ref : 1849692

Re : R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

My details as discussed .

Please advise your solicitor.

I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Commercial Special Investigator

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor, 3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

Tel: 0121 233 6765

Fax: 0845 425 2850

Website: www.cunninghamlindsey.com

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 January 2014 18:37
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell re insurance
Hi josey

Yes I forgot to add the information for her

Nikki Diamond
cunningham and Lindsey
Aquila House,
35 London Rd,
Redhill,
Surrey
RH1 1NJ

I am sure they do have a London address also,

Nikki Diamond direct number is 07766785525 the office number is 01737 784600
I called the office when I 1st contacted them and they give me Nikki mobile number
I am sure I had her email but I can't find it now but the office or calling her you should be able to get it.

Trevor Allaway said there would be no problems with the statements and pictures as it was direct information from the insurance company not the insurer. He said it would be good for you to take a full statement from Nikki Diamond as she got all the case information for the claim so not only is the pictures good she will know a lot of information.

I am also sending over the to him the pictures that we got from the police as he would like Nikki Diamond to see them as they are the same mess as in her pictures which we saw today as he had a copy of them here in the file.

Lorraine/Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 13 January 2014 16:50
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell re insurance

Hi Lorraine

Do you have an email for Nikki Diamond and do they have an office in London.

I will need a statement from Nikki Diamond re the photographs to have them admitted as evidence.

Regards

Josephine

On Jan 13, 2014 3:28 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

As said on the phone to you we had a meeting with the insurance man Mr Trevor Allaway today, the meeting went well for both of us in the fact that information was given on both side and the Trevor Allaway said this will help both of us.

There is some fact he told us and confirmed that with Nikki Diamond while here on the phone as Nikki Diamond was the main person dealing with the insurance claim before I talked to her on the phone some time ago about the claim Mr Patel & D Patel had put in. She then handed it over to Trevor Allaway.

We have been told that the problem the insurance company had with the claim that was put in Feb 2013 was that they did not believe them. When taking out insurance you have to state the conduction of the property they believe he did not do this correctly as when the damage was reported and Nikki Diamond went to see the property the damage and conduction to the property did not look correct at all. He also said that he is not insured for the contents in the building. He only has insurance for the building.

The insurance company also said that it is down to the owner to keep the building in a conduction of repair which was not the case when Nikki Diamond went to see it on the 28/03/2013. you could see there was damage that was being claimed for that was done a lot earlier. And the building was not kept to a good state.

There is 3 cases that have been put in total in damage on the invoice that was put in was under one invoice as Mr Petal told the insurance company he could not see what damage had been done when this is why the case was joined together after he put the claim in on the 07/06/2013 the invoice was for a total cost of £40,000.

The dates claims was made for are

16/02/2013 after the party

25/03/2013 a next claim was put in for the alarm. Which he was meant to have fixed but I sure he did not as there was a next break in on that date.

Nikki Diamond then went to see the building for the 1st time on the 28/03/2013 she took pictures of all the damage at this time.

Then they put a next claim in on the 07/06/2013

Now when Nikki Diamond went to the building on the 28/03/2013 and took pictures of the damage, they are the same as the pictures the police took of inside the building in May 2013, nothing had been done to that building the mess was still there where the stock was, and the list of damage they have for the earlier case is all the damage the roof the brick walls the graffiti, the front fore door, the alarm, the staircase and more was listed. This damage to this building was there when Nikki Diamond went to see the building on the 28/03/2013 so how could Simon have done any of this damage.

Mr petal also never told the insurance company about the building being

squatted he only said the building was broken into.

There was more said but the end part is really we help them they help us and Mr Trevor Allaway said that we need to get Simon solicitors to set a meeting with Nikki Diamond so a statement can be taken from Nikki Diamond about the claims. I asked him will she do this and he replied yes she will do a statement about the case for Simon. He also said he needs to go back and have a think about what to do with this claim as some of the things he was told by us.

So can you please set up a meeting with Nikki Diamond to take a statement from her for Simon Case.

Lorraine/Simon

-----Original Message-----

From: Allaway, Trevor [mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cl-uk.com]

Sent: 08 January 2014 15:53

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Hi

I will discuss the claim with you and I will have my file to hand.

I cannot say whether or to what extent you may have copies of the file prior to our meeting and I would need my principals consent.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor,3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

Tel Office 0121 233 6765

Facsimile 0845 425 2850

email trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 08 January 2014 14:18

To: Allaway, Trevor

Subject: RE: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Dear Trevor Allaway

After the call I made and spoke to you today a date has been set for a meeting on Monday 13/01/2014 at 11am

I will give the address in email so I know you got it

23 Byron Terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

I was also wondering as you said on the phone that we can see the complete claim file which is great news but what I was wondering is could you do a copy of the file so that we can keep a copy of it for our case.

Many thanks

Lorraine Cordell / Simon Cordell

-----Original Message-----

From: trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com [mailto:trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com]

Sent: 17 December 2013 16:29

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: Re: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref : 5005393

Insurer's Claim Ref : 1849692

Re : R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim

Hi

My details as discussed .

Please advise your solicitor.

I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway
Commercial Special Investigator

Cunningham Lindsey
1st Floor, 3160 Park Square
Birmingham Business Park
Solihull, B37 7YN
Tel: 0121 233 6765
Fax: 0845 425 2850

Website: www.cunninghamlindsey.com

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this

message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 January 2014 15:35
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell re insurance
Hi Josey

Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 13 January 2014 16:50
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell re insurance

Hi Lorraine

Do you have an email for Nikki Diamond and do they have an office in London.

I will need a statement from Nikki Diamond re the photographs to have them admitted as evidence.

Regards

Josephine

On Jan 13, 2014 3:28 PM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

As said on the phone to you we had a meeting with the insurance man Mr Trevor Allaway today, the meeting went well for both of us in the fact that information was given on both side and the Trevor Allaway said this will help both of us.

There is some fact he told us and confirmed that with Nikki Diamond while here on the phone as Nikki Diamond was the main person dealing with the insurance claim before I talked to her on the phone some time ago about the claim Mr Patel & D Patel had put in. She then handed it over to Trevor Allaway.

We have been told that the problem the insurance company had with the claim that was put in Feb 2013 was that they did not believe them. When taking out insurance you have to state the conduction of the property they believe he did not do this correctly as when the damage was reported and Nikki Diamond went to see the property the damage and conduction to the property did not look correct at all. He also said that he is not insured for the contents in the building. He only has insurance for the building.

The insurance company also said that it is down to the owner to keep the building in a conduction of repair which was not the case when Nikki Diamond went to see it on the 28/03/2013. you could see there was damage that was

being claimed for that was done a lot earlier. And the building was not kept to a good state.

There is 3 cases that have been put in total in damage on the invoice that was put in was under one invoice as Mr Petal told the insurance company he could not see what damage had been done when this is why the case was joined together after he put the claim in on the 07/06/2013 the invoice was for a total cost of £40,000.

The dates claims was made for are

16/02/2013 after the party

25/03/2013 a next claim was put in for the alarm. Which he was meant to have fixed but I sure he did not as there was a next break in on that date.

Nikki Diamond then went to see the building for the 1st time on the 28/03/2013 she took pictures of all the damage at this time.

Then they put a next claim in on the 07/06/2013

Now when Nikki Diamond went to the building on the 28/03/2013 and took pictures of the damage, they are the same as the pictures the police took of inside the building in May 2013, nothing had been done to that building the mess was still there where the stock was, and the list of damage they have for the earlier case is all the damage the roof the brick walls the graffiti, the front fore door, the alarm, the staircase and more was listed. This damage to this building was there when Nikki Diamond went to see the building on the 28/03/2013 so how could Simon have done any of this damage.

Mr petal also never told the insurance company about the building being squatted he only said the building was broken into.

There was more said but the end part is really we help them they help us and Mr Trevor Allaway said that we need to get Simon solicitors to set a meeting with Nikki Diamond so a statement can be taken from Nikki Diamond about the claims. I asked him will she do this and he replied yes she will do a statement about the case for Simon. He also said he needs to go back and have a think about what to do with this claim as some of the things he was told by us.

So can you please set up a meeting with Nikki Diamond to take a statement from her for Simon Case.

Lorraine/Simon

-----Original Message-----

From: Allaway, Trevor [mailto:Trevor.Allaway@cl-uk.com]

Sent: 08 January 2014 15:53
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Hi

I will discuss the claim with you and I will have my file to hand.
I cannot say whether or to what extent you may have copies of the file prior to our meeting and I would need my principals consent.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey
1st Floor,3160 Park Square
Birmingham Business Park
Solihull, B37 7YN
Tel Office 0121 233 6765
Facsimile 0845 425 2850
email trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 08 January 2014 14:18
To: Allaway, Trevor
Subject: RE: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Dear Trevor Allaway

After the call I made and spoke to you today a date has been set for a meeting on Monday 13/01/2014 at 11am

I will give the address in email so I know you got it

23 Byron Terrace
Edmonton
London
N9 7DG

I was also wondering as you said on the phone that we can see the complete claim file which is great news but what I was wondering is could you do a copy of the file so that we can keep a copy of it for our case.

Many thanks

Lorraine Cordell / Simon Cordell

-----Original Message-----

From: trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com [mailto:trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com]
Sent: 17 December 2013 16:29
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Re: Your Ref 1849692 (Our Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393)

Cunningham Lindsey Ref : 5005393
Insurer's Claim Ref : 1849692
Re : R Patel & D Patel Insurance Claim
Hi

My details as discussed .

Please advise your solicitor.

I would like to meet with you and ask if your son is willing to complete a statement.

Regards

Trevor Allaway
Commercial Special Investigator

Cunningham Lindsey
1st Floor, 3160 Park Square
Birmingham Business Park
Solihull, B37 7YN
Tel: 0121 233 6765
Fax: 0845 425 2850

Website: www.cunninghamlindsey.com

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good

practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 18 January 2014 12:05

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell case

Hi Josey

Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 18 January 2014 17:09
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell case
Lorraine / Simon

Thank you for your email. As I advised you on Monday I would arrange an appointment with Nikki this coming week for either myself or a colleague to take a statement. This is subject to her convenience. With regards to Third Party Disclosure, this now no longer applies as Nikki is willing, you say to disclose her file to us. If she is not then we rely on Third Party Disclosure again. The Section 8 application has been requested from Jemi and I am awaiting his response.

I will update you again next week with a confirmed time for the appointment with Nikki. I have been tied up for three days at Snaresbrook Crown Court and will be again on Monday and Tuesday of this week. I have a client who is facing a lengthy / possibly discretionary life sentence and the client is vulnerable. This requires me to attend court on a regular basis on short notice. There are still disclosure issues in that case and I anticipate that the trial will start on Tuesday so I can turn my attention to all other outstanding cases.

I hope this clarifies the above and I will of course confirm the date and time that we will be taking the statement from Nikki.

Regards

Josephine

On Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 12:04 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 January 2014 00:00
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell case
Hi josey

Last week when we called you and Simon spoke to you, you said due to the statement we was told that Nikki diamond would do you told simon there was a chance you could address his curfew for his birthday on the 26/01/2014 is there still a chance of this or will this not happen as I know you need to apply to the court to get this addressed. And Simon is wanting to know if this can still happen.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 18 January 2014 17:09
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

Thank you for your email. As I advised you on Monday I would arrange an appointment with Nikki this coming week for either myself or a colleague to take a statement. This is subject to her convenience. With regards to Third Party Disclosure, this now no longer applies as Nikki is willing, you say to disclose her file to us. If she is not then we rely on Third Party Disclosure again. The Section 8 application has been requested from Jemi and I am awaiting his response.

I will update you again next week with a confirmed time for the appointment with Nikki. I have been tied up for three days at Snaresbrook Crown Court and will be again on Monday an Tuesday of this week. I have a client who is facing a lengthy / possibly discretionary life sentence and the client is vulnerable. This requires me to attend court on a regular basis on short notice. There are still disclosure issues in that case and I anticipate that the trial will start on Tuesday so I can turn my attention to all other outstanding cases.

I hope this clarifies the above and I will of course confirm the date and time that we will be taking the statement from Nikki.

Regards

Josephine

On Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 12:04 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 21 January 2014 07:22
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

Please email me details of planned birthday celebration i.e restaurant etc.

Regards

Josephine

On Jan 21, 2014 12:00 AM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi josey

Last week when we called you and Simon spoke to you, you said due to the statement we was told that Nikki diamond would do you told simon there was a chance you could address his curfew for his birthday on the 26/01/2014 is there still a chance of this or will this not happen as I know you need to apply to the court to get this addressed. And Simon is wanting to know if this can still happen.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 18 January 2014 17:09
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

Thank you for your email. As I advised you on Monday I would arrange an appointment with Nikki this coming week for either myself or a colleague to take a statement. This is subject to her convenience. With regards to Third Party Disclosure, this now no longer applies as Nikki is willing, you say to disclose her file to us. If she is not then we rely on Third Party Disclosure again. The Section 8 application has been requested from Jemi and I am awaiting his response.

I will update you again next week with a confirmed time for the appointment with Nikki. I have been tied up for three days at Snaresbrook Crown Court and will be again on Monday an Tuesday of this week. I have a client who is facing a lengthy / possibly discretionary life sentence and the client is vulnerable. This requires me to attend court on a regular basis on short notice. There are still disclosure issues in that case and I anticipate that the trial will start on Tuesday so I can turn my attention to all other outstanding cases.

I hope this clarifies the above and I will of course confirm the date and time that we will be taking the statement from Nikki.

Regards

Josephine

On Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 12:04 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 21 January 2014 11:17

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Simon Cordell bail application

Lorraine / Simon

Can you please confirm the birthday arrangements and where the celebration will be as I need to apply to vary Simon's bail.

Can you please let me have this information by return email.

Thank you

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 21 January 2014 12:00
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell case

Hi Josey

Simon will be going out for a meal on the night of the 25th in the evening and then going on to a club with his girlfriend which at this time he is trying to save his relationship with. They have not chosen yet where they going for the meal or which club yet. But with the statement from Nikki Diamond being shown in court I sure the court will see that all the damage that they are saying Simon has done is not he case here as it was done before May 2013. I through this was the information that was going to be shown to the crown in order to show them there case is badly flawed. That's why I through you said to Simon if you get the statement and it says what we have said there should be no problem with the bail application for his birthday.

That's why I passed the information over to you last week in order for the meeting to be setup with Nikki diamond so you had the statement from her for the bail application.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 21 January 2014 07:22
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

Please email me details of planned birthday celebration i.e restaurant etc.

Regards

Josephine

On Jan 21, 2014 12:00 AM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi josey

Last week when we called you and Simon spoke to you, you said due to the statement we was told that Nikki diamond would do you told simon there was a chance you could address his curfew for his birthday on the 26/01/2014 is there still a chance of this or will this not happen as I know you need to apply to the court to get this addressed. And Simon is wanting to know if this can still happen.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 18 January 2014 17:09
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

Thank you for your email. As I advised you on Monday I would arrange an appointment with Nikki this coming week for either myself or a colleague to take a statement. This is subject to her convenience. With regards to Third Party Disclosure, this now no longer applies as Nikki is willing, you say to disclose her file to us. If she is not then we rely on Third Party Disclosure again. The Section 8 application has been requested from Jemi and I am awaiting his response.

I will update you again next week with a confirmed time for the appointment with Nikki. I have been tied up for three days at Snaresbrook Crown Court and will be again on Monday and Tuesday of this week. I have a client who is facing a lengthy / possibly discretionary life sentence and the client is vulnerable. This requires me to attend court on a regular basis on short notice. There are still disclosure issues in that case and I anticipate that the trial will start on Tuesday so I can turn my attention to all other outstanding cases.

I hope this clarifies the above and I will of course confirm the date and time that we will be taking the statement from Nikki.

Regards

Josephine

On Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 12:04 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

Enterprise Enfield News

From: Sue King (sking@enterpriseenfield.org)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Tuesday, 21 January 2014, 20:00 GMT

January 2014

Forthcoming Seminars:

For full details please check www.EnterpriseEnfield.org

Seminar	Date	Time	Cost
<u>Barclays Get Ready for Business</u> <i>(at Enfield Town Library)</i>	29 th Jan	10.00 - 16.00	Free
<u>How to Use Social Media Effectively for Business</u> <i>Promote your business using social media!</i>	12 th Feb	09.30 - 15.00	£20
<u>Back to Work Boot Camp!</u> <i>Call 020 8443 5457 to enrol onto our ERA Programme and book this session</i>	26 th Feb	10.00 - 16.00	Free
<u>Barclays Get Ready for Business</u> <i>(at Edmonton Green Library)</i>	18 th Feb	10.00 - 16.00	Free
<u>Drive Traffic to Your Website</u> <i>Find out how to develop an effective website and maximise its performance</i>	27 th Feb	09.30 - 13.00	£15

Web in Feb: Tap into the British Library FREE Business Webinars

The British Library Business and IP Centre are running a number of FREE webinars during February - on topics such as **Intellectual Property, Social Media, Business Information** and **Lean Start Up**. [Click here](#) to find out details of the schedule.

Enfield's Pop Up Shop Coming Soon! More Traders Required!

Are you interested in trading in a new **Pop Up Shop** in Enfield Town ? Enterprise Enfield is looking for more traders to sell their products or services in the former Cash Converters premises at 9 & 10 Savoy Parade, Southbury Road EN1 1RT (near Tesco's).

The shop will be open in February and March, traders will be charged £100 for 2 weeks (this includes a £50 deposit which will be returned to you at the end of this period). In order to trade in the pop up shop you must be running a registered business and have public liability insurance – priority will be given to Enfield based businesses. If you are interested please email your contact details and what you intend to sell to info@enterpriseenfield.org

Business and Employability Support

Looking for Funding to Start Your Business?

(Pre-starts and business owners- under 1 year of trading, are eligible to apply)

The age limit to access a **Start Up Loan** has been lifted. The amount you can borrow can vary – (the average is around **£5,000**) to be repaid over a period from 3 to 5 years at a total interest rate of 6%. Click for [more details and to apply](#).

Get FREE Support to Find Work or Start Your Own Business!

If you are unemployed and living in Enfield , you can access FREE support to find work or start your own business through the [The Enfield Rises and Achieves Programme](#) (ERA). Maximise your employment potential by improving your CV, polishing up your interview skills, attending our Back to Work Boot Camp and access our job-matching service. To enrol for this scheme you must live in Enfield and be looking for work (and not already receiving support from another programme). Call 020 8443 457 for details.

Forthcoming Job Fairs

Exhibitors for both the following events will include employers with vacancies as well as organisations offering details of training and apprenticeships and support/ advice services to start your own business.

- Enfield Council will be holding a Recruitment and Training Fair, on **Thursday 20th February**, 10am - 3:00pm, at Enfield College Hertford Road Enfield EN3 5HA
- Nick de Bois MP is organising a Job Fair on **7th March, 11am - 3pm** at Southbury Leisure Centre, 192 Southbury Road , Enfield , EN1 1YP .

Other News

Broadband Vouchers Available for Enfield Businesses

Your business can now get up to **£3,000** for a faster broadband connection, usually of 30Mbit/s or more. With high speed broadband you can store and access files via the cloud, transfer large files quickly and securely and enjoy a more productive and reliable service each and every day.

The scheme is being rolled out by the Mayor of London in selected boroughs, including Enfield .

For details on how to apply [click here](#).

Innovation Competition- Win £5,000!

Do you have a great idea for a business product or service? Enter the [Enfield Innovation Competition](#) for your chance to win **£5,000** to make your idea happen! Closing date Monday

27th January.

Win 3 weeks FREE Trading in Wood Green Mall

The annual small business competition, 'Retail Factor', is now open for entries. The competition gives aspiring small and start up businesses an opportunity to trade for 3 weeks free in The Mall. It's the perfect opportunity to get access to thousands of potential shoppers and test your product or service in a real shopping environment. Whether you make cupcakes or jewellery as a hobby, or have a shop on ebay, this is an easy way to turn your talent into a business.

Entries will be assessed by a panel of judges with shortlisted entrants invited to pitch their idea in person. Local winners get to trade for free in The Mall with the most successful business from all our Malls also winning cash contribution towards stock and mentoring from a leading industry entrepreneur. The deadline for entries is **23rd February**. [Find out more & enter here](#)

Business Growth Vouchers

At the end of January, the government will launch a £30 million research programme to test the value to small businesses of accessing professional advice. The subsidised business support will be delivered in the form of randomly allocated **Growth Vouchers**, enabling businesses to receive a **50% subsidy towards the cost of obtaining advice on 5 key topics that help businesses to grow.**

The majority of small businesses that participate in the programme will be allocated vouchers to spend on strategic advice from suppliers in the private sector, matched with their own funds, on one of 5 categories of support:

- Managing cashflow, late payments and negotiating finance
- Developing skills and taking on staff
- Improving Leadership and Management
- Marketing, attracting and keeping customers
- Making the most of digital technology

Click for [more details](#) about how to apply.

Could You be a Business Mentor ?

Helping the next generation of entrepreneurs

[Start Up Loans London](#) delivers a government-backed programme, offering low-cost finance and support to help people get their business up and running. The organisation is currently looking to recruit mentors to guide these entrepreneurs through the journey of setting up and managing their new business.

If you are interested in finding out what's involved, please call **020 8366 8244** or visit:

<http://www.startuploanslondon.co.uk>

Networking Meetings

We-Network

On: **Wednesday 5th February** (*the first Wednesday of the month*), 6.30pm - 8.30pm

At: Forty Hall, Forty Hill, Enfield EN2 9HA

For details of the next meeting visit www.we-network.org.uk

or FB <https://www.facebook.com/events/210475122445985/>

Tel: 07946 551719 (Mel) or 07786 260284 (Laura)

Enfield Together Business Networking and Workshop

Presentation: *Using Media to Get Results*, with Douglas Lee, DAL Media/ EN Magazine

On: **Thursday 20th February**, 7pm-9pm, at: Holtwhites Sports & Social Club, cost: £15

Find out how you can ensure you are getting the best results from the different media available and how to blend your media, from print, leaflet advertising and PR to social media and merchandise. Pick up tips to avoid the pitfalls and help to grow your business using media of all kinds. [Click for details](#)

North London Chamber of Commerce Meetings

Special Offer: Enterprise Enfield clients can attend NLCC networking meetings at discounted member rates. For more information on forthcoming events visit www.nlcc.co.uk

Next Meeting: Networking and Entertainment at the Arts Depot

Tuesday 25th February, 6pm - 8.30pm at Arts Depot, North Finchley , N12 0GA . Cost: £20 (NLCC members and Enterprise Enfield clients). Includes buffet, networking and entertainment - a 15 minute excerpt from the National Theatre Connections Regional Showcase. [Book here](#)

Gold Table

Gold Table is a business networking group based in Enfield where like minded people get together over breakfast to develop solid business relationships and exchange business ideas. Meetings are held every Wednesday 7.00am - 8.30am at Enfield Golf Club. If you would like to attend the next meeting as a visitor - free of charge, contact Douglas Lee on douggielee@dal-media.co.uk For more details about the group visit www.GoldTable.co.uk

© Copyright (2013) Enterprise Enfield. All Rights Reserved. T: 020 8443 5457
www.EnterpriseEnfield.org

Enfield Enterprise Agency is a Company Limited by Guarantee, Trading as Enterprise Enfield. Registered in England and Wales No 1926939 at Enfield Business Centre, 201 Hertford Road , Enfield, Middlesex EN3 5JH. **Unsubscribe-** if you no longer wish to receive emails from Enterprise Enfield, please reply to the email and type "unsubscribe" in the subject field.



Untitled

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 22 January 2014 13:14
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell case
Lorraine

I need the specific places, restaurant, club etc otherwise I cannot make the bail variation application.

A message has been left for Nikki Diamond to get back in touch with me so that we can arrange an appointment and I have not heard.

Jemi is drafting the section 8 application at present.

Regards

Josephine

On Tue, Jan 21, 2014 at 12:00 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Simon will be going out for a meal on the night of the 25th in the evening and then going on to a club with his girlfriend which at this time he is trying to save his relationship with. They have not chosen yet where they going for the meal or which club yet. But with the statement from Nikki Diamond being shown in court I sure the court will see that all the damage that they are saying Simon has done is not he case here as it was done before May 2013. I through this was the information that was going to be shown to the crown in order to show them there case is badly flawed. That's why I through you said to Simon if you get the statement and it says what we have said there should be no problem with the bail application for his birthday.

That's why I passed the information over to you last week in order for the meeting to be setup with Nikki diamond so you had the statement from her for the bail application.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 21 January 2014 07:22
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

Please email me details of planned birthday celebration i.e restaurant etc.

Regards

Josephine

On Jan 21, 2014 12:00 AM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi josey

Last week when we called you and Simon spoke to you, you said due to the statement we was told that Nikki diamond would do you told simon there was a chance you could address his curfew for his birthday on the 26/01/2014 is there still a chance of this or will this not happen as I know you need to apply to the court to get this addressed. And Simon is wanting to know if this can still happen.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 18 January 2014 17:09
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell case

Lorraine / Simon

Thank you for your email. As I advised you on Monday I would arrange an appointment with Nikki this coming week for either myself or a colleague to take a statement. This is subject to her convenience. With regards to Third Party Disclosure, this now no longer applies as Nikki is willing, you say to disclose her file to us. If she is not then we rely on Third Party Disclosure again. The Section 8 application has been requested from Jemi and I am awaiting his response.

I will update you again next week with a confirmed time for the appointment with Nikki. I have been tied up for three days at Snaresbrook Crown Court and will be again on Monday and Tuesday of this week. I have a client who is facing a lengthy / possibly discretionary life sentence and the client is vulnerable. This requires me to attend court on a regular basis on short notice. There are still disclosure issues in that case and I anticipate that the trial will start on Tuesday so I can turn my attention to all other outstanding cases.

I hope this clarifies the above and I will of course confirm the date and time that we will be taking the statement from Nikki.

Regards

Josephine

On Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 12:04 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Did you sort out a date with Nikki Diamond yet, and also is the section 8 and the 3 party been put into the court yet can you give us an update please.

Many thanks

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 January 2014 15:39
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell bail application
Hi Josey

Simon would like the bail application put in as

On the night of the 25/01/2014 he will be going round family homes with his girlfriend and then later in the evening he will be going out to dinner at Nando's near the Bank, he will then be going to a night club called

Fabric
77A Charterhouse St
London EC1M 6HJ

And spending the early morning of the 26/01/2014 there, they are thinking also of maybe staying in a hotel after this local to the night club.

As said on the phone due to the curfew nothing has been booked as yet, but after the court hears the application and Simon knows if he can get his birthday off he can.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 21 January 2014 11:17
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Simon Cordell bail application

Lorraine / Simon

Can you please confirm the birthday arrangements and where the celebration will be as I need to apply to vary Simon's bail.

Can you please let me have this information by return email.

Thank you

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 24 January 2014 16:58

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell case

Hi josey

Can you let me know the outcome of the bail application for Simon birthday please?

Lorraine / Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 27 January 2014 11:02
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Attachments: Letter to insurance company.doc
Dear Simon / Lorraine

Thank you for your email.

I am writing to confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served. I am also attaching the letter that I am proposing to forward to the insurance company. There is a process that I must go through in order to secure an application for Third Party Disclosure and one of the processes is requesting the insurance files from the Loss Adjustors. I anticipate that they will be refused and this will then form the basis of the Third Party Disclosure application.

I regret that I was unable to submit the application to vary your bail conditions for your birthday. This was due to a number of factors as follows:

There is a procedure which must be satisfied, namely writing to the court and giving five clear days notice. (Bail variations are different to applications to bail which must be heard within 24 hours if the request to list is made before 10am on the previous day.) This could not be done in this case as I was waiting confirmation of the dates and location of the restaurant, night club etc. The added difficulty is the attitude of the Court to previous bail applications and also to our defence case statement.

Can you please let me know your views on the proposed letter to Miss Diamond. I would like to get this document emailed to her today so that I can then apply for the Third Party Disclosure.

Simon can you please attend my office on Friday 31st January 2014 at 3pm so that I can finalise your proof of evidence. If this appointment is not convenient then please confirm this so that I can make alternative arrangements.

I will forward the amended section 8 application in due course.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 27 January 2014 11:38

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Venice chair

Dear Simon

I have checked the invoice / delivery note from Li-Lo Leisure Products and there is no reference to Venice chairs on this invoice. There is reference to Monaco chairs. I have raised this issue with Jemi and I have asked him what he wishes to do tactically in relation to this matter. I state this for the following reason Mr Patel will have to give evidence in the witness box regarding his claims to the insurance company. He will also have to give evidence in relation to the statement that he made to the police in relation to the Venice chairs he alleged in his section 9 statement that he recognised. At present he has not produced an invoice confirming that he was ever in possession of the Venice chair. He will be asked about this when he is giving evidence.

We will be seeking stock movement also as the goods were delivered on 19th January 2012 and allegedly stolen in February 2013. We will be seeking confirmation of the movement of stock from the premises.

I will also be emailing the CPS to make arrangements for the original invoice for the purchase of the gazebo seized from your rear garden to be examined and agreed prior to the trial. Please confirm that you are still in possession of the original invoice in order that I can do an addendum to your defence case statement.

I will be requesting that the CPS take a detailed statement from Mr Patel together with invoices, delivery notes and stock movement from the premises at Unit 3 Horrisons Industrial Estate.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 29 January 2014 15:57
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell
Hi Lorraine / Simon

Sorry to hear that you have been unwell.

The date of 30th April 2013 is not significant and does not add to the prosecution case. This is the date preferred by the prosecution. As you are aware the premises being checked and being secured by Mr Patel will be open to rigorous cross-examination. The date used by me in my letter is the date specified in the indictment that is before the court.

I will amend my letter and specify that Simon was there to hire his equipment. It would be helpful if Simon can bring to the meeting on Friday a copy of the invoice confirming this and also any company accounts that he has kept since the start of his company to show that he is in business.

The statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure. I am in possession of the interview tape of Simon which you can listen to at my office. I will see if Anthony can burn a copy so that Simon can bring it to you.

I will update you once I receive confirmation from the insurance company.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Jan 29, 2014 at 3:32 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Sorry for the late reply to your email I have been very unwell; Simon can come for the meeting at your office on Friday.

As for the letter there is some mistakes please see below.

- Between 30th April 2013 and 9th May 2013 having entered a building, namely Unit 3, Horrisons Industrial Estate as a trespasser stole therein a chair and a gazebo.

The dates are wrong Mr Patel left the building at 14.00 on the 1st May 2013 and then did not return till 8th May 2013 at 10.30 so he knew the building was ok until the 1st May 2013 not sure why the 30th April 2013 has been put in. also Simon was charged with Burglary and this is what we have told the insurance company he was charged with when we had the meeting.

- Our client has denied any involvement in the burglary matter but concedes that he was a DJ at

a party hosted by persons who had established a legal squat at the commercial premises. Our client further contends that when he arrived at the premises in May 2013 the wall had already been damaged and he also contends that this damage had been done at an earlier date back in February 2013 when there was another private party.

He was not a DJ at the party he was there due to Hiring his sound system out.

Also Simon still wants a statement from Nikki Diamond taken, as he feels if the statement was taken then this could help his case now in the fact if this was shown to the crown they would have to look at this impact on the case, it would also help Simon case for her to stand up in court in front of the jury and say what went on within the claim that Mr Patel made.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 27 January 2014 11:02
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Thank you for your email.

I am writing to confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served. I am also attaching the letter that I am proposing to forward to the insurance company. There is a process that I must go through in order to secure an application for Third Party Disclosure and one of the processes is requesting the insurance files from the Loss Adjustors. I anticipate that they will be refused and this will then form the basis of the Third Party Disclosure application.

I regret that I was unable to submit the application to vary your bail conditions for your birthday. This was due to a number of factors as follows:

There is a procedure which must be satisfied, namely writing to the court and giving five clear days notice. (Bail variations are different to applications to bail which must be heard within 24 hours if the request to list is made before 10am on the previous day.) This could not be done in this case as I was waiting confirmation of the dates and location of the restaurant, night club etc. The added difficulty is the attitude of the Court to previous bail applications and also to our defence case statement.

Can you please let me know your views on the proposed letter to Miss Diamond. I would like to get this document emailed to her today so that I can then apply for the Third Party Disclosure.

Simon can you please attend my office on Friday 31st January 2014 at 3pm so that I can finalise your proof of evidence. If this appointment is not convenient then please confirm this so that I can make alternative arrangements.

I will forward the amended section 8 application in due course.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 29 January 2014 15:33
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell
Hi Josey

Sorry for the late reply to your email I have been very unwell; Simon can come for the meeting at your office on Friday.

As for the letter there is some mistakes please see below.

- Between 30th April 2013 and 9th May 2013 having entered a building, namely Unit 3, Horrisons Industrial Estate as a trespasser stole therein a chair and a gazebo.

The dates are wrong Mr Patel left the building at 14.00 on the 1st May 2013 and then did not return till 8th May 2013 at 10.30 so he new the building was ok until the 1st May 2013 not sure why the 30th April 2013 has been put in. also Simon was charged with Burglary and this is what we have told the insurance company he was charged with when we had the meeting.

- Our client has denied any involvement in the burglary matter but concedes that he was a DJ at a party hosted by persons who had established a legal squat at the commercial premises. Our client further contends that when he arrived at the premises in May 2013 the wall had already been damaged and he also contends that this damage had been done at an earlier date back in February 2013 when there was another private party.

He was not a DJ at the party he was there due to Hiring his sound system out.

Also Simon still wants a statement from Nikki Diamond taken, as he feels if the statement was taken then this could help his case now in the fact if this was shown to the crown they would have to look at this impact on the case, it would also help Simon case for her to stand up in court in front of the jury and say what went on within the claim that Mr Patel made.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 27 January 2014 11:02
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Thank you for your email.

I am writing to confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served. I am also attaching the letter that I am proposing to forward to the insurance company. There is a process that I must go through in order to secure an application for Third Party Disclosure and one of the processes is requesting the insurance files from the Loss Adjustors. I anticipate that they will be refused and this will then form the basis of the Third Party Disclosure application.

I regret that I was unable to submit the application to vary your bail conditions for your birthday. This was due to a number of factors as follows:

There is a procedure which must be satisfied, namely writing to the court and giving five clear days notice. (Bail variations are different to applications to bail which must be heard within 24 hours if the request to list is made before 10am on the previous day.) This could not be done in this case as I was waiting confirmation of the dates and location of the restaurant, night club etc. The added difficulty is the attitude of the Court to previous bail applications and also to our defence case statement.

Can you please let me know your views on the proposed letter to Miss Diamond. I would like to get this document emailed to her today so that I can then apply for the Third Party Disclosure.

Simon can you please attend my office on Friday 31st January 2014 at 3pm so that I can finalise your proof of evidence. If this appointment is not convenient then please confirm this so that I can make alternative arrangements.

I will forward the amended section 8 application in due course.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 29 January 2014 16:09
To: nikki.diamond@cl-uk.com
Cc: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court

Attachments: Letter to insurance company approved draft.doc
Dear Ms Diamond

We act on behalf of our above named client.

We attach a copy of a letter in which we seek disclosure of a client's insurance claims. We appreciate the constraints of client confidentiality but would be grateful if you could confirm a willingness or otherwise to disclose so that we can then progress to the next stage of applying for an application for Third Party disclosure.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO
798 HIGH ROAD
TOTTENHAM
LONDON N17 0DH
TEL: 0208 365 9900
FAX: 0208 365 0500

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 29 January 2014 16:20
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell
Hi Josey

In reply to the other email about the invoices we already know this is many mistakes, there is also mistakes as to the address on the invoices, all but one was del to a address off

Marks Wholesale LTD
East Building
Canada water retails Park
Surrey Quays Road
Surrey Quays
London
SE16 2XD

One of the pages of the invoice was del to a next address of

Marks Wholesale LTD

Unit 3-4 Hatmerle Road
Peckham
London
SE15 0SA

The real address of the building is

Unit 3 – 4 Haymerle Road
London
SE15 6SG

There is no notes on the invoice to say there was any address problems which there should have been because Unit 3-4 Hatmerle Road, Peckham, London, SE15 0SA is not an address.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 29 January 2014 15:57
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine / Simon

Sorry to hear that you have been unwell.

The date of 30th April 2013 is not significant and doe snot add to the prosecution case. This is the date preferred by the prosecution. As you are aware the premises being checked and being secured by Mr Patel will be open to rigorous cross-examination. The date used by me in my letter is the date specified int eh indictment that is before the court.

I will amend my letter and specify that Simon was there to hire his equipment. It would be helpful if Simon can bring to the meeting on Friday a copy of the invoice confirming this and also any company accounts that he has kept sincethe start of his company to show that he is in business.

The statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure. I am in possession of the interview tape of Simon which you can listen to at my office. I will see if Anthony can burn a copy so

that Simon can bring it to you.

I will update you once I receive confirmation from the insurance company.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Jan 29, 2014 at 3:32 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Sorry for the late reply to your email I have been very unwell; Simon can come for the meeting at your office on Friday.

As for the letter there is some mistakes please see below.

- Between 30th April 2013 and 9th May 2013 having entered a building, namely Unit 3, Horrisons Industrial Estate as a trespasser stole therein a chair and a gazebo.

The dates are wrong Mr Patel left the building at 14.00 on the 1st May 2013 and then did not return till 8th May 2013 at 10.30 so he new the building was ok until the 1st May 2013 not sure why the 30th April 2013 has been put in. also Simon was charged with Burglary and this is what we have told the insurance company he was charged with when we had the meeting.

- Our client has denied any involvement in the burglary matter but concedes that he was a DJ at a party hosted by persons who had established a legal squat at the commercial premises. Our client further contends that when he arrived at the premises in May 2013 the wall had already been damaged and he also contends that this damage had been done at an earlier date back in February 2013 when there was another private party.

He was not a DJ at the party he was there due to Hiring his sound system out.

Also Simon still wants a statement from Nikki Diamond taken, as he feels if the statement was taken then this could help his case now in the fact if this was shown to the crown they would have to look at this impact on the case, it would also help Simon case for her to stand up in court in front of the jury and say what went on within the claim that Mr Patel made.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 27 January 2014 11:02
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Thank you for your email.

I am writing to confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served. I am also attaching the letter that I am proposing to forward to the insurance company. There is a process that I must go through in order to secure an application for Third Party Disclosure and one of the processes is requesting the insurance files from the Loss Adjustors. I anticipate that they will refused and this will then form the basis of the Third Party Disclosure application.

I regret that I was unable to submit the application to vary your bail conditions for your birthday. This was due to a number of factors as follows:

There is a procedure which must be satisfied, namely writing to the court and giving five clear days notice. (Bail variations are different to applications to bail which must be heard within 24 hours if the request to list is made before 10am on the previous day.) This could not be done in this case as I was waiting confirmation of the dates and location of the restaurant, night club etc. The added difficulty is the attitude of the Court to previous bail applications and also to our defence case statement.

Can you please let me know your views on the proposed letter to Miss Diamond. I would like to get this document emailed to her today so that I can then apply for the Third Party Disclosure.

Simon can you please attend my office on Friday 31st January 2014 at 3pm so that I can finalise your proof of evidence. If this appointment is not convenient then please confirm this so that I can make alternative arrangements.

I will forward the amended section 8 application in due course.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 31 January 2014 11:48 To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Simon's conference at office at 3pm 31st January 2014 Simon / Lorraine

I am sorry but I will have to re-arrange the conference for this afternoon as I have two clients in the Police Station. Can Simon please attend my office Tuesday afternoon 4th February 2014 at 2pm.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 02 February 2014 14:31
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation
Simon

Further to your telephone conversation with me today during which you have accused me of not representing you correctly and not defending your case properly you leave me with little choice but to list the case at Woolwich Crown Court in order that your legal representation order can be revoked.

I am cancelling your 2pm confernece with me for Tuesday 4th February 2014.

I am not prepared to be subjected to threats about you blackening my name over Facebook. I work very hard for all my clients and my track record as a Criminal Defence Solicitors attests to that.

I have represented you since you were a juvenile to the best of my abilities and I have never not defended a client properly or tried my best for my client.

You stated that during the telephone conversation that the Judge asked you to change your solicitors at the last bail hearing on Christmas Eve. As you are aware I was on annual leave from 23rd December 2013. I made the bail variation as requested and you were not happy as the court did not suspend your curfew. That is the simple fact of the matter here. You also stated that during a conference with Jemi, the barrister that I appointed for you that he also advised you that I was a poor solicitor and not acting in your best interests. You only raised this today and I will most certainly be taking this accusation up with Jemi and his clerks. I asked you to confirm this in writing and you have refused to do this. I again ask you to confirm this in writing as Jemi will have to respond to this allegation. I will be asking Jemi and his clerks to comment on the allegations that you have made. You will of course appreciate that if Jemi does not agree with your accusations that he will probably refuse to represent you. You are accusing him of slandering my reputation by saying that Jemi says I am not representing you properly and that he advised you to change your solicitors.

With regards to your complaint in relation to the bail variation you were asked in a number of emails to provide full details of your plans, venues etc and you did not do this instead you shouted down the phone and refused to give specific instructions. When you eventually did give the requested information it was too late to apply to have the bail position varied. I suspect you know what the court's attitude to the requested variation would be in any event.

With regards to the request for the original receipt I have to provide this to the court in an addendum to your defence case statement and the police will then make their enquiries.

I am sorry that this position has arisen but you have left me little choice in the matter. I wish you good luck with your case and I will confirm the date that your case is listed for the revocation of legal aid. I will aim fro Tuesday or Wednesday of this coming week.

Can you please confirm by return email the advice that Jemi gave you at your conference at Isleworth Crown Court and also please confirm why it took you so long to raise it with me. You telephoned me on a Sunday, reserved for emergency phone calls. You should have raised it back when you had the conference with Jemi. You have raised it now and I will deal with the accusations now.

I await hearing from you by return email with regards to Jemi.

Regards

Josephine Ward

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 02 February 2014 14:42

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon's case

Lorraine

I am sorry that I am left with no choice but to apply to Woolwich Crown Court to have Simon's legal representation order revoked.

I hope that this will not affect my friendship with you, Benjy, Dionne or Tyrone. This is not a personal decision or one that I have come to lightly. My professional integrity has been called in to question and slanderous threats made and I cannot condone that.

Take care and I will chat you hopefully in the near future.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 02 February 2014 23:58
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation

Hi Lorraine

It is 10.47pm. I do not have Simon's file at home with me. I will ask Jemi to respond to the allegations made by Simon re his conference on 13th December 2013. At the same time I will ask him and his clerks to respond to why the Christmas bail variation was not made on 19th December 2013.

As you are both aware I was on annual leave until 6th January 2014. Simon's case is listed for trial in June 2014. There is enough time to secure the information but there is a process.

With regards to the section 8 application Jemi has been on notice that this has been required since before Christmas. A detailed defence case statement was submitted and as a result of this document and the Crown's failure to disclose the requested items that the Third Party Disclosure arise as did the section 8 application. If the Insurance company believe Mr Patel has committed a fraud then it is their decision whether he is prosecuted.

Nikki Diamond has to confirm her unwillingness to disclose the file before I can apply for Third Party Disclosure. I cannot take a statement from her as part of her statement will require her to disclose and exhibit as part of that statement the original insurance claims and she cannot do this as client confidentiality arises.

Re Simon's most recent request to vary bail he did not provide the information when requested. I have dealt with this point already as I have re the Third Party Disclosure and the section 8 application.

I am not prepared to have my professional judgement or integrity called into question. Simon threatened to blacken my name on Facebook Lorraine. I am reliant on instructions from my client re bail variations. I am reliant on Counsel drafting applications re section 8. I drafted Simon's original bail application and defence case statement well within the time limits. All other requests to vary bail I have made. Due to the number of bail variations Simon is aware of the process and trying to get the variations agreed administratively. He is also aware of the attitude if the Court to his case

The issues regarding Simon's case when I am on leave I have no control over.

Simon himself caused problems in this case by (a) his behaviour on arrest (b) his comments to police when the police were at his house re the number of items bought as a job lot. There was no evidence of this apart from what came from Simon himself. (c) The gazebo in his garden was easily dealt with as he had the original receipt (d) Simon ignoring legal advice in his interviews (e) Simon's inaccurate interpretation on the law on burglary and what squatters can and cannot do whilst squatting in a building.

There is a risk that Simon will be convicted in this case and this is not through the fault of Michael Carroll & Co or my representation but through his own conduct on his arrest and at the police station. To try to blame other people for the position he finds himself in is very wrong.

Simple questions to consider:

1. Did the police know about or have any evidence re the job lot - answer No until Simon himself revealed this
2. Could Simon explain his DNA in a moveable object - answer. Yes and he would have achieved the same result as Naomi
3. Could police prove the Venice chair came from warehouse - no. Information on this again came from Simon

4. Is Mohammed likely to be a witness as to sale of the items? No because he will have to be advised to obtain independent legal advice as he could incriminate himself

This was better left to cross examination at trial as too late then to produce invoices but Simon obviously does not agree. This will be an example of Simon making a further tactical mistake as he did during the comments to police at his address and again in interview.

The Judge and prosecution will be influenced in making decisions in this case based on Simon's conduct before arrest, in interview and his previous convictions.

The point that Simon is missing is that a prima facie case exists and this case will go to trial. Simon should re-read his interview and his attitude in his interview where he effectively suggests it serves the owner of the premises right for having his premises burgled.

If Simon had not made such foolish comments when he was arrested and instead followed sound legal advice at the police station then we could have made representations to review the case but based on his admissions at his address, coupled with his interview this was impossible.

At the start of this case Simon was very much mistaken with regards to the law. Tactics and timing are important in cases. Simon does not want to allow Solicitors to make tactical decisions so the best of luck to him.

Once I get an email back from Jemi re the conference and section 8 application I will be listing this case to have the legal representation order revoked.

During the telephone conversation today Simon again was abusive, he questioned my professional integrity, my ability to defend my clients and he threatened to slander my name. I heard him loud and clear Lorraine.

All I can say is he has a very short memory. I know exactly how many times I have represented Simon and how many of those cases have resulted in a charge or a conviction.

I am duty solicitor at court tomorrow and I will email Jemi in the morning. I will request an urgent response from him and I will then let you know when the case will be listed.

Kind regards

Josephine

Hi Josey

Simon has asked me to write this email so I am just writing what has been asked and the facts he is saying. As you are aware Simon is not great with Emails or letters and replying to them due to his writing and spelling.

Simon has said he is not treating you in anyway, yes he did say he was going to put his papers on Facebook to ask people what they feel about his case. He would then be able to obtain feedback from the general public.

He feels there have been many errors in his case, some of these errors he wants me to list below.

His case was listed for the warn list for the 16/12/2013, this could not happen due to issues with the crown not giving the information over. You at this time wanted it to go to trial and the morning the trial started wanted to list information that was needed for Simon case.

When Simon went to see his Barrister on the 13/12/2013 the barrister knew nothing about the case and asked Simon to explain what his case was about. While Simon was explaining his barrister told Simon not to rush, Simon showed the barrister the invoices for the case and the barrister said these invoices were hearsay, can he prove the items were even in the building at the time Simon was there.

The Barrister asked where the disclosure, as what we showed him was rubbish. We had most of the case files with us that you had emailed to us.

The Barrister asked has a section 8 and Third Party Disclosure been put in, we told him no he said as soon as we get back get your solicitors to put a section 8 and Third Party Disclosure in it should of been done already don't delay get it done today. Solicitors aren't doing the job properly get onto them.

The Barrister asked when is this in court we said next week, to which he said I don't even know why you've been sent to me for this meeting as I'm on another case until Tuesday, Wednesday so won't be able to represent you.

The barrister also told me to get a copy of my interview tapes and go over them and mark any part that is wrong on the crown's paper work.

Simon had about a 20 min with his barrister before the barrister had to go back into court.

When an application for a Section 8 and Third Party Disclosure was put into the court on the 19/12/2013 there was a time given that Simon's solicitor had till the 16/01/2014 to submit the section 8 and the Third Party Disclosure to the court.

And that then the crown had until the 16/02/2014 to give the information that had been requested.

If the crown failed to give the information the case would need to be relisted in court so this could be addressed.

As of today's date 02/02/2014 we still do not know if the section 8 and the Third Party Disclosure has been given to the court.

The last email from you on this subject was dated the 27/01/2014 where you told us that Jemi has drafted the section 8 applications that require minor amendments before it can be served.

As for the Third Party Disclosure as of 29/01/2014 a letter to Nikki Diamond from the insurance company had just been sent over by yourself, I believe that this is the process that needs to happen before a Third Party Disclosure application can be put in as you need it in writing that the insurance company is not willing to hand over the file without the Third Party Disclosure.

On the 13/12/2013 a call was made to Cunningham Lindsey where we talked to someone about the case for the insurance part of the claim, we was told that Nikki Diamond was not due back into the office until the 16/12/13.

On the 16/12/2013 my mum called Cunningham Lindsey to talk to Nikki Diamond where we was told that Nikki Diamond was working from home that day and given Nikki Diamonds mobile number to call her.

My mum then made a call to Nikki Diamond and we chatted about the case telling her what had happened in Simon case and what we believed had gone on. Nikki Diamond was concerned about what we had told her over the phone, and at this told us she needs to put this to her manager and could she take our phone number so that her or her manager could call us back. I give Nikki Diamond our contact information.

On the 17/12/2013 my mum took a call from Trevor Allaway Commercial Special Investigator for Cunningham Lindsey my mum and Trevor Allaway talked for some time over the phone about the case at which point in asked that could he have a meeting with Simon to take a statement from him, he also said he did not want to step on Simon solicitor feet and to please advise our solicitor as to what was going on and that he would like to have the meeting with Simon.

After the called I Emailed you what had gone on and forwarded you a copy of the email Trevor Allaway had sent.

You replied asking if the insurance company aware that Simon is awaiting trial for burglary.

I replied yes they were as I had disclosed this to them on the calls that were made.

Nothing was done with this information so on the 08/01/2014 my mum made a call to Trevor Allaway to setup a meeting with him at my mum address this was set for the 13/01/2014 at 11am, when my mum spoke to Trevor Allaway he told her that he will be being the complete file for the claim with him to the meeting.

On the 13/01/2014 the meeting with Trevor Allaway went ahead. Where information was given by both parties. There was shock also on both sides about parts of information that was spoke about. Trevor Allaway even showed us pictures of which Nikki Diamond had taken on the 28/03/2014, and was shocked to see the picture the police had taken for my case as they were the same pictures Mr Patel & D Patel had done nothing to the building and now I was paying the price due to this. Trevor Allaway also made a call to Nikki Diamond to get dates for claims etc and to make sure he had all the facts correct. Nikki Diamond gave the dates of

17/02/2013 for the 1st claim

25/03/2013 for a 2nd claim

07/06/2013 for the 3rd claim

We were shocked there was in fact a next claim we new nothing for the 25/03/2013, and also the date for the last claim of the 07/06/2013 when in fact Simon case was for the 04/05/2013

We asked Trevor Allaway why the claim for 17/02/2013 and the 25/03/2013 was not paid out he said we did not believe the claim was real.

He also said that when someone takes out insurance they have to put down the condition of the property, and they also believed a lot of the damage to the building was from an earlier date.

Trevor Allaway also said that to get out solicitors to go and get a statement from Nikki Diamond as she would be a creditable witness for Simon.

All this was explained to you with an email that was sent to you on the 13/01/2014 by my mum and a phone call where I talked to you when you was on your way to the prison to see someone. I at this asked you also about his birthday and you said if Nikki Diamond could do a statement that said everything i had said then there should be no problem for my birthday.

My mum did get a next email from you that day asking for Nikki Diamond information as when the 1st email had been sent to you my mum had forgot to put it, my mum replied with the information for Nikki Diamond being mobile number and office address she could not at this point find Nikki Diamonds email address so this was not included.

Emails was sent on the 15/01/2014 and the 18/01/2014 asking if a date had been setup for you to take the statement from Nikki Diamond and if the section 8 and the Third Party Disclosure has been given to the court and for an update. You replied to this on the 18/01/2014.

On the 21/01/2014 my mum emailed you about the application for my curfew for my birthday, you replied to this asking for his plains for his birthday so you could put the application into the court. On the 22/01/2014 when I went to mums she showed me the email i was upset with this due to the fact I did not see why I needed to give the locations as to where I was going. You said you had to have this to put the application in. My mum emailed you the details as to where I was going.

As we had not heard anything I believed the application had been put in and that it should have been heard on the 24/01/2014 my mum late on the 24/01/2014 emailed you and texted you to get an update as to the application. There was no reply to this from you until the 27/01/2014 where you told us the application could not be put in due the notice you need to give the court.

You also in that email explained the process needed for the Third Party Disclosure application. In which was the 1st time I new a letter would be needed to be sent to the insurance company and they would need to refuse to hand over the files before you could go for the Third Party Disclosure application through the court.

And also give me an update as to the section 8 application that you could confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served.

But you new this was needed when the application was put into the court on the 19/12/2014 when the application was heard for the section 8 and Third Party Disclosure. So why was this only being address on 27/01/2014, when this then had already passed the date of the 16/01/2014 to hand the application into the court?

I feel this delay will again make my case take longer as there is still going to be a time given by the court to the crown to reply to the applications.

On the 29/01/2013 a reply was made by email from my mum. Explaining parts in the letter that you attached to the email you sent on the 27/01/2014 for Nikki Diamond about the discloser of the file was not correct and needed amended.

She also wrote that I still wanted a statement taken from Nikki Diamond due to this helping my case.

You replied to this email on the 29/01/2014 saying that, the statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance Company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure.

I do feel this statement is 100% needed sooner not later as once this is shown to the crown I sure they will rethink there case on me. As I feel at this time this is an unfair trail due to how Mr Patel has used my case to be able to manipulate his insurance claim due to what has already been discussed with Trevor Allaway. And this is a clear beach in my human rights to have a fair trial.

Also on the 19/01/2013 when the application went into the court a bail application was meant to have been done at the same time for my bail conditions over the holidays that where upcoming. For some reason the bail application was not heard so you relisted this for 23/12/2013 to be heard by the court, you sent a text to my mum after you found out the outcome of this bail application in which you said I was on an electric tag to my mum address, and that the curfew was not lifted that Serco would come to my mums address to install the tag there. I got very upset with hearing this as I for one reason I was never on an electric tag for this case from the start of it.

I did try and call you and I got no reply so I called the court explained to the court the issues I had and was advised to call my solicitor and ask them to relist the case for the 24/12/2013 this would need to be done within the next 20 mins.

I then called the office and explained this to someone in the office and was told I would get a call back. I did not get a call back but at around 21.47 that evening you texted my mum saying that Simon needed to be at Woolwich crown court at 09.45 on the 24/12/2013.

On the 24/12/2013 I went to court and my mum come with me, when we got there we were told the case was not listed before 11am. So we waited at the court at around 10.50 as a barrister had not turned up for me my mum texted for to ask the name of the barrister that would be coming that we were outside court 7 waiting,

there was no reply to this text so at around 11.10 I made a call to the office and spoke to someone there that told me a barrister would not be attending, I was really upset with this but what could I say.

I then saw the usher of the court and told her what was going on, she said why had the solicitors told me to come here and yet now there would not be a barrister and not tell me this before hand, she told me she would talk to the crown who was in the court room and explain to him what was going on and then let me know what could be done.

About 5 mins later she came out of the court room and told me that the crown was going to call it on and see what could be done.

It was called into court and when the judge heard I did not have a barrister she asked the clerk of the court to call the office and see what was going on, which the clerk on the court did, when she got off the phone she told the judge no one was coming to the court for me.

I stood up and tried to explain to the judge what had gone on. My mum at this asked the judge if she could explain it and the judge said yes to come forward she could hear what my mum was saying.

My mum explained to the judge about the 19/12/2013 and the 23/12/2013 the judge was at a loss of what could be done as the rule that had been made on the 23/01/2014 she could not change as this would be dealing with a judicial review and she could not hear that.

The crown then asked if he could speak to the judge and said that due to new evidence she could hear it on them grounds.

The judge at that replied yes she would like to hear this but I did not have a barrister she then went on to ask the barristers in the court room would they be willing to represent me and told them that I had legal aid and that it would be marked on the file. One stood up and said yes she would stand in. the judge then said to us to go outside with the barrister and speak to her and when ready it would go back into court.

We went out explained to the barrister what was going on. She told us that even from what she had heard in court that I should really think about changing solicitors.

It went back into court and the judge heard the case and my bail was varied

On the 01/01/2014 my mum again texted you due to an issue where I got arrested she had tried to call the office but there was no answer of a voice mail message to give a number to call.

No one got back to my mum so when she got to court she had to ask a solicitor that was on duty that day to help me. He said he was not meant to as I had legal aid for the case already but he would go and speak to me, he did in fact represent me in court that day.

On the 03/01/2014 I asked my mum sent you an email to ask if my case was too much for you as I knew you had a lot of work on. You told me you were still on annual leave and I return to work on Monday.

On the 31/01/2014 I was due to have a meeting in the office which you had to cancel as you were dealing with other cases. This was re booked for 04/01/2014 at 2pm.

I have called you many times and it has just gone to voice mail and you have not called me back. I have also called the office about things I feel are wrong and told someone would call me back which has not happened.

I can prove this with statements from the phones that were used.

There are emails that have been sent to you and no reply to them emails and what I have asked have been given.

There was a break down before in this case as you are aware and I dropped the complaint.

Josey I have used you as a solicitor for many years and don't just look at you as a solicitor you are a friend of the family's.

All I want is for my case to be dealt with in a correct manner, which will lead me to have a speedy and fair trial, and I don't want to feel like I am being punished for a crime that I have not been convicted of.

And I feel with the statement from Nikki Diamond that would show somewhat to Mr Patel ways in how he has dealt with his insurance case in this matter that clearly is not correct all ready.

Could you please email back at the points that have been included in this email, and if you feel I am wrong on any points or the way in which I am feeling.

Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 02 February 2014 14:31
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation

Simon

Further to your telephone conversation with me today during which you have accused me of not representing you correctly and not defending your case properly you leave me with little choice but to list the case at Woolwich Crown Court in order that your legal representation order can be revoked.

I am cancelling your 2pm confernece with me for Tuesday 4th February 2014.

I am not prepared to be subjected to threats about you blackening my name over Facebook. I work very hard for all my clients and my track record as a Criminal Defence Solicitors attests to that.

I have represented you since you were a juvenile to the best of my abilities and I have never not defended a client properly or tried my best for my client.

You stated that during the telephone conversation that the Judge asked you to change your solicitors at the last bail hearing on Christmas Eve. As you are aware I was on annual leave from 23rd December 2013. I made the bail variation as requested and you were not happy as the court did not suspend your curfew. That is the simple fact of the matter here. You also stated that during a conference with Jemi, the barrister that I appointed for you that he also advised you that I was a

poor solicitor and not acting in your best interests. You only raised this today and I will most certainly be taking this accusation up with Jemi and his clerks. I asked you to confirm this in writing and you have refused to do this. I again ask you to confirm this in writing as Jemi will have to respond to this allegation. I will be asking Jemi and his clerks to comment on the allegations that you have made. You will of course appreciate that if Jemi does not agree with your accusations that he will probably refuse to represent you. You are accusing him of slandering my reputation by saying that Jemi says I am not representing you properly and that he advised you to change your solicitors.

With regards to your complaint in relation to the bail variation you were asked in a number of emails to provide full details of your plans, venues etc and you did not do this instead you shouted down the phone and refused to give specific instructions. When you eventually did give the requested information it was too late to apply to have the bail position varied. I suspect you know what the court's attitude to the requested variation would be in any event.

With regards to the request for the original receipt I have to provide this to the court in an addendum to your defence case statement and the police will then make their enquiries.

I am sorry that this position has arisen but you have left me little choice in the matter. I wish you good luck with your case and I will confirm the date that your case is listed for the revocation of legal aid. I will aim for Tuesday or Wednesday of this coming week.

Can you please confirm by return email the advice that Jemi gave you at your conference at Isleworth Crown Court and also please confirm why it took you so long to raise it with me. You telephoned me on a Sunday, reserved for emergency phone calls. You should have raised it back when you had the conference with Jemi. You have raised it now and I will deal with the accusations now.

I await hearing from you by return email with regards to Jemi.

Regards

Josephine Ward

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 02 February 2014 22:32
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation
Hi Josey

Simon has asked me to write this email so I am just writing what has been asked and the facts he is saying. As you are aware Simon is not great with Emails or letters and replying to them due to his writing and spelling.

Simon has said he is not treating you in anyway, yes he did say he was going to put his papers on Facebook to ask people what they feel about his case. He would then be able to obtain feedback from the general public.

He feels there have been many errors in his case, some of these errors he wants me to list below.

His case was listed for the warn list for the 16/12/2013, this could not happen due to issues with the crown not giving the information over. You at this time wanted it to go to trial and the morning the trial started wanted to list information that was needed for Simon case.

When Simon went to see his Barrister on the 13/12/2013 the barrister knew nothing about the case and asked Simon to explain what his case was about. While Simon was explaining his barrister told Simon not to rush, Simon showed the barrister the invoices for the case and the barrister said these invoices were hearsay, can he prove the items were even in the building at the time Simon was there.

The Barrister asked where the disclosure, as what we showed him was rubbish. We had most of the case files with us that you had emailed to us.

The Barrister asked has a section 8 and Third Party Disclosure been put in, we told him no he said as soon as we get back get your solicitors to put a section 8 and Third Party Disclosure in it should of been done already don't delay get it done today. Solicitors aren't doing the job properly get onto them.

The Barrister asked when is this in court we said next week, to which he said I don't even know why you've been sent to me for this meeting as I'm on another case until Tuesday, Wednesday so won't be able to represent you.

The barrister also told me to get a copy of my interview tapes and go over them and mark any part that is wrong on the crown's paper work.

Simon had about a 20 min with his barrister before the barrister had to go back into court.

When an application for a Section 8 and Third Party Disclosure was put into the court on the 19/12/2013 there was a time given that Simon's solicitor had till the 16/01/2014 to submit the section 8 and the Third Party Disclosure to the court.

And that then the crown had until the 16/02/2014 to give the information that had been requested.

If the crown failed to give the information the case would need to be relisted in court so this could be addressed.

As of today's date 02/02/2014 we still do not know if the section 8 and the Third Party Disclosure has been given to the court.

The last email from you on this subject was dated the 27/01/2014 where you told us that Jemi has drafted the section 8 applications that require minor amendments before it can be served.

As for the Third Party Disclosure as of 29/01/2014 a letter to Nikki Diamond from the insurance company had just been sent over by yourself, I believe that this is the process that needs to happen before a Third Party Disclosure application can be put in as you need it in writing that the insurance company is not willing to hand over the file without the Third Party Disclosure.

On the 13/12/2013 a call was made to Cunningham Lindsey where we talked to someone about the case for the insurance part of the claim, we were told that Nikki Diamond was not due back into the office until the 16/12/13.

On the 16/12/2013 my mum called Cunningham Lindsey to talk to Nikki Diamond where we were told that Nikki Diamond was working from home that day and given Nikki Diamond's mobile number to call her.

My mum then made a call to Nikki Diamond and we chatted about the case telling her what had happened in Simon case and what we believed had gone on. Nikki Diamond was concerned about what we had told her over the phone, and at this told us she needs to put this to her manager and could she take our phone number so that her or her manager could call us back. I give Nikki Diamond our contact information.

On the 17/12/2013 my mum took a call from Trevor Allaway Commercial Special Investigator for Cunningham Lindsey my mum and Trevor Allaway talked for some time over the phone about the case at which point in asked that could he have a meeting with Simon to take a statement from him, he also said he did not want to step on Simon solicitor feet and to please advise our solicitor as to what was going on and that he would like to have the meeting with Simon.

After the called I Emailed you what had gone on and forwarded you a copy of the email Trevor Allaway had sent.

You replied asking if the insurance company aware that Simon is awaiting trial for burglary. I replied yes they were as I had disclosed this to them on the calls that were made.

Nothing was done with this information so on the 08/01/2014 my mum made a call to Trevor Allaway to setup a meeting with him at my mum address this was set for the 13/01/2014 at 11am, when my mum spoke to Trevor Allaway he told her that he will be being the complete file for the claim with him to the meeting.

On the 13/01/2014 the meeting with Trevor Allaway went ahead. Where information was given by both parties. There was shock also on both sides about parts of information that was spoke about. Trevor Allaway even showed us pictures of which Nikki Diamond had taken on the 28/03/2014, and was shocked to see the picture the police had taken for my case as they were the same pictures Mr Patel & D Patel had done nothing to the building and now I was paying the price due to this. Trevor Allaway also made a call to Nikki Diamond to get dates for claims etc and to make sure he had all the facts correct. Nikki Diamond gave the dates of

17/02/2013 for the 1st claim

25/03/2013 for a 2nd claim

07/06/2013 for the 3rd claim

We were shocked there was in fact a next claim we new nothing for the 25/03/2013, and also the date for the last claim of the 07/06/2013 when in fact Simon case was for the 04/05/2013

We asked Trevor Allaway why the claim for 17/02/2013 and the 25/03/2013 was not paid out he said we did not believe the claim was real.

He also said that when someone takes out insurance they have to put down the condition of the property, and they also believed a lot of the damage to the building was from an earlier date.

Trevor Allaway also said that to get out solicitors to go and get a statement from Nikki Diamond as she would be a creditable witness for Simon.

All this was explained to you with an email that was sent to you on the 13/01/2014 by my mum and a phone call where I talked to you when you was on your way to the prison to see someone. I at this asked you also about his birthday and you said if Nikki Diamond could do a statement that said everything i had said then there should be no problem for my birthday.

My mum did get a next email from you that day asking for Nikki Diamond information as when the 1st email had been sent to you my mum had forgot to put it, my mum replied with the information for Nikki Diamond being mobile number and office address she could not at this point find Nikki Diamonds email address so this was not included.

Emails was sent on the 15/01/2014 and the 18/01/2014 asking if a date had been setup for you to take the statement from Nikki Diamond and if the section 8 and the Third Party Disclosure has been given to the court and for an update. You replied to this on the 18/01/2014.

On the 21/01/2014 my mum emailed you about the application for my curfew for my birthday, you replied to this asking for his plains for his birthday so you could put the application into the court. On the 22/01/2014 when I went to mums she showed me the email i was upset with this due to the fact I did not see why I needed to give the locations as to where I was going. You said you had to have this to put the application in. My mum emailed you the details as to where I was going.

As we had not heard anything I believed the application had been put in and that it should have been heard on the 24/01/2014 my mum late on the 24/01/2014 emailed you and texted you to get an update as to the

application. There was no reply to this from you until the 27/01/2014 where you told us the application could not be put in due the notice you need to give the court.

You also in that email explained the process needed for the Third Party Disclosure application. In which was the 1st time I new a letter would be needed to be sent to the insurance company and they would need to refuse to hand over the files before you could go for the Third Party Disclosure application through the court.

And also give me an update as to the section 8 application that you could confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served.

But you new this was needed when the application was put into the court on the 19/12/2014 when the application was heard for the section 8 and Third Party Disclosure. So why was this only being address on 27/01/2014, when this then had already passed the date of the 16/01/2014 to hand the application into the court?

I feel this delay will again make my case take longer as there is still going to be a time given by the court to the crown to reply to the applications.

On the 29/01/2013 a reply was made by email from my mum. Explaining parts in the letter that you attached to the email you sent on the 27/01/2014 for Nikki Diamond about the discloser of the file was not correct and needed amended.

She also wrote that I still wanted a statement taken from Nikki Diamond due to this helping my case.

You replied to this email on the 29/01/2014 saying that, the statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance Company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure.

I do feel this statement is 100% needed sooner not later as once this is shown to the crown I sure they will rethink there case on me. As I feel at this time this is an unfair trail due to how Mr Patel has used my case to be able to manipulate his insurance claim due to what has already been discussed with Trevor Allaway. And this is a clear beach in my human rights to have a fair trial.

Also on the 19/01/2013 when the application went into the court a bail application was meant to have been done at the same time for my bail conditions over the holidays that where upcoming. For some reason the bail application was not heard so you relisted this for 23/12/2013 to be heard by the court, you sent a text to my mum after you found out the outcome of this bail application in which you said I was on an electric tag to my mum address, and that the curfew was not lifted that Serco would come to my mums address to install the tag there. I got very upset with hearing this as I for one reason I was never on an electric tag for this case from the start of it.

I did try and call you and I got no reply so I called the court explained to the court the issues I had and was advised to call my solicitor and ask them to relist the case for the 24/12/2013 this would need to be done within the next 20 mins.

I then called the office and explained this to someone in the office and was told I would get a call back. I did not get a call back but at around 21.47 that evening you texted my mum saying that Simon needed to be at Woolwich crown court at 09.45 on the 24/12/2013.

On the 24/12/2013 I went to court and my mum come with me, when we got there we were told the case was not listed before 11am. So we waited at the court at around 10.50 as a barrister had not turned up for me my mum texted for to ask the name of the barrister that would be coming that we were outside court 7 waiting, there was no reply to this text so at around 11.10 I made a call to the office and spoke to someone there that told me a barrister would not be attending, I was really upset with this but what could I say.

I then saw the usher of the court and told her what was going on, she said why had the solicitors told me to come here and yet new there would not be a barrister and not tell me this before hand, she told me she would talk to the crown who was in the court room and explain to him what was going on and then let me know what could be done.

About 5 mins later she came out of the court room and told me that the crown was going to call it on and see what could be done.

It was called into court and when the judge heard I did not have a barrister she asked the clerk of the court to call the office and see what was going on, which the clerk on the court did, when she got of the phone she told the judge no one was coming to the court for me.

I stood up and tried to explain to the judge what had gone on. My mum at this asked the judge if she could explain it and the judge said yes to come forward she could hear what my mum was saying.

My mum explained to the judge about the 19/12/2013 and the 23/12.2013 the judge was at at lost of what could be done as the rule that had been made on the 23/01/2014 she could not change as this would be dealing with a judicial review and she could not hear that.

The crown then asked if he could speak to the judge and said that due to new evidence she could hear it on them grounds.

The judge at that replied yes she would like to hear this but I did not have a barrister she then went on to ask the

barristers in the court room would they be willing to represent me and told them that I had legal aid and that it would be marked on the file. One stood up and said yes she would stand in. the judge then said to us to go outside with the barrister and speak to her and when ready it would go back into court. We went out explained to the barrister what was going on. She told us that even from what she had heard in court that I should really think about changing solicitors. It went back into court and the judge heard the case and my bail was varied

On the 01/01/2014 my mum again texted you due to an issue where I got arrested she had tried to call the office but there was no answer of a voice mail message to give a number to call. No one got back to my mum so when she got to court she had to ask a solicitor that was on duty that day to help me. He said he was not meant to as I had legal aid for the case already but he would go and speak to me, he did in fact represent me in court that day.

On the 03/01/2014 I asked my mum sent you an email to ask if my case was too much for you as I new you had a lot of work on. You told me you were still on annual leave and I return to work on Monday.

On the 31/01/2014 I was due to have a meeting in the office which you had to cancel as you was dealing with other cases. This was re booked for 04/01/2014 at 2pm.

I have called you many times and it has just gone to voice mail and you have not called me back. I have also called the office about things I feel are wrong and told someone would call me back which has not happened. I can prove this with statements from the phones that were used. There are emails that have been sent to you and no reply to them emails and what I have asked have been given.

There was a break down before in this case as you are aware and I dropped the complaint.

Josey I have used you as a solicitor for many years and don't just look at you as a solicitor you are a friend of the family's.

All I want is for my case to be dealt with in a correct manner, which will lead me to have a speedy and fair trial, and I don't want to feel like I am being punished for a crime that I have not been convicted of. And I feel with the statement from Nikki Diamond that would show somewhat to Mr Patel ways in how he has dealt with his insurance case in this matter that clearly is not correct all ready.

Could you please email back at the points that have been included in this email, and if you feel I am wrong on any points or the way in which I am feeling.

Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 02 February 2014 14:31
To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation

Simon

Further to your telephone conversation with me today during which you have accused me of not representing you correctly and not defending your case properly you leave me with little choice but to list the case at Woolwich Crown Court in order that your legal representation order can be revoked.

I am cancelling your 2pm confernece with me for Tuesday 4th February 2014.

I am not prepared to be subjected to threats about you blackening my name over Facebook. I work very hard for all my clients and my track record as a Criminal Defence Solicitors attests to that.

I have represented you since you were a juvenile to the best of my abilities and I have never not defended a client properly or tried my best for my client.

You stated that during the telephone conversation that the Judge asked you to change your solicitors at

the last bail hearing on Christmas Eve. As you are aware I was on annual leave from 23rd December 2013. I made the bail variation as requested and you were not happy as the court did not suspend your curfew. That is the simple fact of the matter here. You also stated that during a conference with Jemi, the barrister that I appointed for you that he also advised you that I was a poor solicitor and not acting in your best interests. You only raised this today and I will most certainly be taking this accusation up with Jemi and his clerks. I asked you to confirm this in writing and you have refused to do this. I again ask you to confirm this in writing as Jemi will have to respond to this allegation. I will be asking Jemi and his clerks to comment on the allegations that you have made. You will of course appreciate that if Jemi does not agree with your accusations that he will probably refuse to represent you. You are accusing him of slandering my reputation by saying that Jemi says I am not representing you properly and that he advised you to change your solicitors.

With regards to your complaint in relation to the bail variation you were asked in a number of emails to provide full details of your plans, venues etc and you did not do this instead you shouted down the phone and refused to give specific instructions. When you eventually did give the requested information it was too late to apply to have the bail position varied. I suspect you know what the court's attitude to the requested variation would be in any event.

With regards to the request for the original receipt I have to provide this to the court in an addendum to your defence case statement and the police will then make their enquiries.

I am sorry that this position has arisen but you have left me little choice in the matter. I wish you good luck with your case and I will confirm the date that your case is listed for the revocation of legal aid. I will aim for Tuesday or Wednesday of this coming week.

Can you please confirm by return email the advice that Jemi gave you at your conference at Isleworth Crown Court and also please confirm why it took you so long to raise it with me. You telephoned me on a Sunday, reserved for emergency phone calls. You should have raised it back when you had the conference with Jemi. You have raised it now and I will deal with the accusations now.

I await hearing from you by return email with regards to Jemi.

Regards

Josephine Ward
MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 03 February 2014 23:51

To: Lorraine Cordell

Hi Josey

Lorraine as a matter of respect to you I have responded to the most recent email now that I have some time. My replies to Simon are in bold. I accept that Simon believes his comments before being arrested are not damaging to his case and he believes that his interview is well thought out and legally reasoned. Unfortunately, I do not agree with Simon's belief that his comments and interview are not damaging to the case - they are what made the difference in this case.

Thank you for the reply to Simon's email, Simon has asked me to write this email for him.

The section of my email that was sent to you yesterday that address Jemi and the meeting we had come from my friend Katie who did attend the meeting with Jemi with me, so new what was said and I used her words as to what she heard at the meeting as to what Jemi said to me.

If needed Katie could confirm this so can my mum as she was the one writing the email for me that was sent yesterday.

Could you also please send me a copy as to what you have sent over to Jemi by email and his reply to your email?

(a) Simon / Lorraine I have emailed the email I sent to Jemi and his response. This was based on the conversation and accusations made by Simon on the telephone Sunday 2nd February 2014. With regards to Jemi's non-availability. Jemi was booked for Simon's case and his jury was out and at risk of over running. You were advised of this fact at the time. What does happen sometimes is that once speeches are done in a case the barrister can get an alternative barrister to baby sit the case. Also, Simon's case was in the warned list, not given a fixture so there was no guarantee that the case would be listed. The case Jemi was dealing with at the time should have been a five-day case but it over ran,

I agree the case is now listed for the warned list for the week commencing 30th June 2014.

As you are aware on the 19/12/2013 when my mum got the email from you about my case about the application that was put to the court that day, when my mum got the email she was very worried due to the date for the listing for the new trial date, so she herself called you before she had even showed me the email. She knew the new trial date would cause a delay in my case by 6 months or more.

she asked you some things on the phone on the 19/01/2014 one being if we got the information from the crown could the date of the 30/06/2014 be taken sooner.

You replied once we get the information form the crown and Third-Party Disclosure it could be listed back in court and there maybe was a chance to being the date forward for the hearing, this is the information she told me.

(b) Yes, Simon I agree I did say this to your mother. I said there was a chance and I did not put it any higher than that.

It is this that is upsetting me so much as the longer it takes to put the applications into the court the longer it will take to get the information that is needed in my case.

As you know I am on bail conditions that have stopped me doing anything for my business that I have been setting up for many years now. In fact, I have lost a lot of business due to the bail conditions I am on.

I do not think it is right that a judge to say to me that I can sub contract my business out, I am not talking here a small amount of money for my sound system or any of my equipment, if it was lost or damaged it could take a long time in which to recover the costs. This is why when my equipment is hired, I also go with it due to me being able to look after it and make sure they don't red line my equipment or it is damage or taken, I myself take care of it.

And I do feel my human rights are being violated by the court and crown not allowing me to do my work.

The longer it takes this case to go to court for trial is the longer my business cannot run this is why I am so upset that things are not being put in on time to the court. I have spent many years getting to where I am today

I believe also that it could be taken to the high court for a judicial review about my bail condition but I was never made aware of this. Seeing as the crown court attitude in this case has never been good as you have put it. And that the court is unwilling to see that I need to work or face losing my business.

(g) The bail conditions imposed by the Court are not unreasonable. They are imposed to prevent the commission of further offences and failure to surrender.

I have never tried to blame other people for the position I find myself in, what I am saying is I don't feel as if things are being done in a timely manner.

If you just look at the Third Party Disclosure, if you new that you had to write to Nikki Diamond and get her to say in writing her unwillingness to disclose the file before you could then apply for the Third Party Disclosure, why did you not write the letter back in Dec 2013 after the application was put into the court on the 19/12/2013 or after you come back from annual leave, due to knowing that you needed this before the 16/01/2014 that the judge had said.

(h) The information that forms part of Third-Party Disclosure was originally requested in your defence case statement. Your trial is now scheduled for June 2014 and yes in an ideal world I should have sent a letter to Nikki Diamond and the insurance company. Nikkii Diamond has to refuse to give the information first of all before the Court will even entertain an application for Third Party Disclosure and then the Court could direct the information to be brought to court for the trial. This is what happens in Social Services cases by way of example. We would request paper copies, failing that then a statement from Nikki Diamond. If the insurance file is served then Nikki Diamond is not required as a witness as the insurance claims and reports can be introduced under the hearsay rules.

I do understand you were waiting for Jemi to do the write up for the section 8 and that was out of your control. But the Third-Party Disclosure was not.

Also why was it when we told you about getting a statement from Nikki Diamond did you not say then you had to wait until the Third-Party Disclosure was given as she would not be able to do a statement without client confidentiality arising which would have caused issues.

You told me on the phone that if you got the statement from Nikki Diamond then the bail condition change, I wanted for my birthday should not be a problem.

(i) I said it was worth a try. I did not put it any higher than this. I do not recall saying it would not be a problem but words to the effect that it would be worth a try. We are covering old ground here as I was also reliant on specific information that you refused to give as you felt the court had no right to know your movements.

Can you also keep me updated as to the date for court so I can attend?

(j) No problem I am hoping to resolve this matter once I speak to Michael Carroll tomorrow in the afternoon so I will be asking for a hearing on either Thursday or Friday of this week.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 February 2014 18:51
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation

Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply to Simon's email, Simon has asked me to write this email for him.

The section of my email that was sent to you yesterday that address Jemi and the meeting we had come from my friend Katie who did attend the meeting with Jemi with me, so new what was said and I used her words as to what she heard at the meeting as to what Jemi said to me.

If needed Katie could confirm this so can my mum as she was the one writing the email for me that was sent yesterday.

Could you also please send me a copy as to what you have sent over to Jemi by email and his reply to your email?

I agree the case is now listed for the warned list for the week commencing 30th June 2014.

As you are aware on the 19/12/2013 when my mum got the email from you about my case about the application that was put to the court that day, when my mum got the email she was very worried due to the date for the listing for the new trial date, so she herself called you before she had even showed me the email. She knew the new trial date would cause a delay in my case by 6 months or more.

she asked you some things on the phone on the 19/01/2014 one being if we got the information from the crown could the date of the 30/06/2014 be taken sooner.

You replied once we get the information form the crown and Third-Party Disclosure it could be listed back in court and there maybe was a chance to being the date forward for the hearing, this is the information she told me.

It is this that is upsetting me so much as the longer it takes to put the applications into the court the longer it will take to get the information that is needed in my case.

As you know I am on bail conditions that have stopped me doing anything for my business that I have been setting up for many years now. In fact, I have lost a lot of business due to the bail conditions I am on.

I do not think it is right that a judge to say to me that I can sub contract my business out, I am not talking here a small amount of money for my sound system or any of my equipment, if it was lost or damaged it could take a long time in which to recover the costs. This is why when my equipment is hired, I also go with it due to me being able to look after it and make sure they don't red line my equipment or it is damage or taken, I myself take care of it.

And I do feel my human rights are being violated by the court and crown not allowing me to do my work.

The longer it takes this case to go to court for trial is the longer my business cannot run this is why I am so upset that things are not being put in on time to the court. I have spent many years getting to where I am today within my business and due to this case, my business is has suffered.

Yes, I agree my case is in the warned list for the week of the 30/06/2014 but would like the information well before this date so that maybe the trial can be taken forward.

I would also like it sooner than later so the facts as to how Mr Patel has done this can be shown to the crown.

As for what I said at my home and at police station is not the issue here I knows what I said and was being honest as I did not think I had done anything wrong. I did buy the items from Mohammed in good faith.

For it to have been a job lot of 5 of each or just 1 gazebo, and 1 chair which was found at my address I think the police would have taken the same said outcome to this case. They wanted someone for this case and I was that person.

This can be shown by any information we have asked for not being given they still have not even given the CAD reports for that day in truth that there were other police at the scene and let me in the building knowing I was there to hire my sound system for a private party that night as I explained this to them when I got there. Let alone how many times they attended the building on that evening even down to the council attending with the police due to noise.

There is also the case as to when I turned up at the building and the police was already there, how is it when they did their checks, they did not see that a Burglary had happened on that building on the 16/02/2013 and let us

enter the building knowing this as really they would have got that report back on there checks that evening

Which in my eyes does show a cover up as where are them reports as it is to do directly with my case.

The DC dealing with my case also dealt with the other case on 16/02/2013 so would also been aware of the condition of the building after the 16/02/2013 pictures where taken for the case of the 16/02/2013, so he would have been well aware the pictures taken for my case was the same so how could he then charge me with all the damage to the building?

Where is the information that the police should have asked Mr Patel as to him securing the building after the 16/02/2013?

Yes I am upset as I do feel I have been setup by the police and they by doing this have allowed Mr Patel to use my case to make his claim to the insurance company go ahead was this planned from the start and yes I have asked this to myself many times and others I have spoken to have said the same said thing.

So yes I want my trial sooner then later as I want to get on with my life and my business and yes I do understand I could be found guilty for this case but until I do I should be able to run my business. And I don't think I am asking too much here.

I believe also that it could be taken to the high court for a judicial review about my bail condition but I was never made aware of this. Seeing as the crown court attitude in this case has never been good as you have put it. And that the court is unwilling to see that I need to work or face losing my business.

I have never tried to blame other people for the position I find myself in, what I am saying is I don't feel as if things are being done in a timely manner.

If you just look at the Third Party Disclosure, if you new that you had to write to Nikki Diamond and get her to say in writing her unwillingness to disclose the file before you could then apply for the Third Party Disclosure, why did you not write the letter back in Dec 2013 after the application was put into the court on the 19/12/2013 or after you come back from annual leave, due to knowing that you needed this before the 16/01/2014 that the judge had said.

I do understand you were waiting for Jemi to do the write up for the section 8 and that was out of your control. But the Third Party Disclosure was not.

Also why was it when we told you about getting a statement from Nikki Diamond did you not say then you had to wait until the Third Party Disclosure was given as she would not be able to do a statement without client confidentiality arising which would have caused issues.

You told me on the phone that if you got the statement from Nikki Diamond then the bail condition change I wanted for my birthday should not be a problem.

Can you also keep me updated as to the date for court so I can attend?

Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 02 February 2014 23:58
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation

Hi Lorraine

It is 10.47pm. I do not have Simon's file at home with me. I will ask Jemi to respond to the allegations made by Simon re his conference on 13th December 2013. At the same time I will ask him and his clerks to respond to why the Christmas bail variation was not made on 19th December 2013.

As you are both aware I was on annual leave until 6th January 2014. Simon's case is listed for trial in June 2014. There is enough time to secure the information but there is a process.

With regards to the section 8 application Jemi has been on notice that this has been required since before Christmas. A detailed defence case statement was submitted and as a result of this document and the Crown's failure to disclose the requested items that the Third Party Disclosure arise as did the section 8 application. If the Insurance company believe Mr Patel has committed a fraud then it is their decision whether he is prosecuted.

Nikki Diamond has to confirm her unwillingness to disclose the file before I can apply for Third Party Disclosure. I cannot take a statement from her as part of her statement will require her to disclose and exhibit as part of that statement the original insurance claims and she cannot do this as client confidentiality arises.

Re Simon's most recent request to vary bail he did not provide the information when requested. I have dealt with this point already as I have re the Third Party Disclosure and the section 8 application.

I am not prepared to have my professional judgement or integrity called into question. Simon threatened to blacken my name on Facebook Lorraine. I am reliant on instructions from my client re bail variations. I am reliant on Counsel drafting applications re section 8. I drafted Simon's original bail application and defence case statement well within the time limits. All other requests to vary bail I have made. Due to the number of bail variations Simon is aware of the process and trying to get the variations agreed administratively. He is also aware of the attitude if the Court to his case

The issues regarding Simon's case when I am on leave I have no control over.

Simon himself caused problems in this case by (a) his behaviour on arrest (b) his comments to police when the police were at his house re the number of items bought as a job lot. There was no evidence of this apart from what came from Simon himself. (c) The gazebo in his garden was easily dealt with as he had the original receipt (d) Simon ignoring legal advice in his interviews (e) Simon's inaccurate interpretation on the law on burglary and what squatters can and cannot do whilst squatting in a building.

There is a risk that Simon will be convicted in this case and this is not through the fault of Michael Carroll & Co or my representation but through his own conduct on his arrest and at the police station. To try to blame other people for the position he finds himself in is very wrong.

Simple questions to consider:

1. Did the police know about or have any evidence re the job lot - answer No until Simon himself revealed this
2. Could Simon explain his DNA in a moveable object - answer. Yes and he would have achieved the same result as Naomi
3. Could police prove the Venice chair came from warehouse - no. Information on this again came from Simon
4. Is Mohammed likely to be a witness as to sale of the items? No because he will have to be advised to obtain independent legal advice as he could incriminate himself

This was better left to cross examination at trial as too late then to produce invoices but Simon obviously does not agree. This will be an example of Simon making a further tactical mistake as he did during the comments to police at his address and again in interview.

The Judge and prosecution will be influenced in making decisions in this case based on Simon's conduct before arrest, in interview and his previous convictions.

The point that Simon is missing is that a prima facie case exists and this case will go to trial. Simon should re-read his interview and his attitude in his interview where he effectively suggests it serves the owner of the premises right for having his premises burgled.

If Simon had not made such foolish comments when he was arrested and instead followed sound legal advice at the police station then we could have made representations to review the case but based on his admissions at his address, coupled with his interview this was impossible.

At the start of this case Simon was very much mistaken with regards to the law. Tactics and timing are important in cases. Simon does not want to allow Solicitors to make tactical decisions so the best of luck to him.

Once I get an email back from Jemi re the conference and section 8 application I will be listing this case to have the legal representation order revoked.

During the telephone conversation today Simon again was abusive, he questioned my professional integrity, my ability to defend my clients and he threatened to slander my name. I heard him loud and clear Lorraine.

All I can say is he has a very short memory. I know exactly how many times I have represented Simon and how many of those cases have resulted in a charge or a conviction.

I am duty solicitor at court tomorrow and I will email Jemi in the morning. I will request an urgent response from him and I will then let you know when the case will be listed.

Kind regards

Josephine

Hi Josey

Simon has asked me to write this email so I am just writing what has been asked and the facts he is saying. As you are aware Simon is not great with Emails or letters and replying to them due to his writing and spelling.

Simon has said he is not treating you in anyway, yes he did say he was going to put his papers on Facebook to ask people what they feel about his case. He would then be able to obtain feedback from the general public.

He feels there have been many errors in his case, some of these errors he wants me to list below.

His case was listed for the warn list for the 16/12/2013, this could not happen due to issues with the crown not giving the information over. You at this time wanted it to go to trial and the morning the trail started wanted to list information that was needed for Simon case.

When Simon went to see his Barrister on the 13/12/2013 the barrister new nothing about the case and asked Simon to explain what his case was about. While Simon was explaining his barrister told Simon not to rush, Simon showed the barrister the invoices for the case and the barrister said these invoices were hear say, can he prove the items were even in the building at the time Simon was there.

The Barrister asked where the disclosure, as what we showed him was rubbish. We had most of the case files with us that you had emailed to us.

The Barrister asked has a section 8 and Third Party Disclosure been put in, we told him no he said as soon as we get back get your solicitors to put a section 8 and Third Party Disclosure in it should of been done already don't delay get it done today. Solicitors aren't doing the job properly get onto them.

The Barrister asked when is this in court we said next week, to which he said I don't even know why you've been sent to me for this meeting as I'm on another case until Tuesday, Wednesday so won't be able to represent you.

The barrister also told me to get a copy of my interview tapes and go over them and mark any part that is wrong on the crowns paper work.

Simon had about a 20 min with his barrister before the barrister had to go back into court.

When an application for a Section 8 and Third Party Disclosure was put into the court on the 19/12/2013 there was a time given that Simon solicitor had till the 16/01/2014 to submit the section 8 and the Third Party Disclosure to the court.

And that then the crown had until the 16/02/2014 to give the information that had been requested.

If the crown failed to give the information the case would need to be relisted in court so this could be address.

As of today's date 02/02/2014 we still do not know if the section 8 and the Third Party Disclosure has been given to the court.

The last email from you on this subject was dated the 27/01/2014 where you told us that Jemi has drafted the section 8 applications that require minor amendments before it can be served.

As for the Third Party Disclosure as of 29/01/2014 a letter to Nikki Diamond from the insurance company had just been sent over by yourself, I believe that this is the process that needs to happen before a Third Party Disclosure application can be put in as you need it in writing that the insurance company is not willing to hand over the file without the Third Party Disclosure.

On the 13/12/2013 a call was made to Cunningham Lindsey were we talked to someone about the case for the insurance part of the claim, we was told that Nikki Diamond was not due back into the office until the 16/12/13.

On the 16/12/2013 my mum called Cunningham Lindsey to talk to Nikki Diamond where we was told that Nikki Diamond was working from home that day and given Nikki Diamonds mobile number to call her.

My mum then made a call to Nikki Diamond and we chatted about the case telling her what had happened in Simon case and what we believed had gone on. Nikki Diamond was concerned about what we had told her over the phone, and at this told us she needs to put this to her manager and could she take our phone number so that her or her manager could call us back. I give Nikki Diamond our contact information.

On the 17/12/2013 my mum took a call from Trevor Allaway Commercial Special Investigator for Cunningham Lindsey my mum and Trevor Allaway talked for some time over the phone about the case at which point in asked that could he have a meeting with Simon to take a statement from him, he also said he did not want to step on Simon solicitor feet and to please advise our solicitor as to what was going on and that he would like to have the meeting with Simon.

After the called I Emailed you what had gone on and forwarded you a copy of the email Trevor Allaway had sent.

You replied asking if the insurance company aware that Simon is awaiting trial for burglary. I replied yes they were as I had disclosed this to them on the calls that were made.

Nothing was done with this information so on the 08/01/2014 my mum made a call to Trevor Allaway to setup a meeting with him at my mum address this was set for the 13/01/2014 at 11am, when my mum spoke to Trevor Allaway he told her that he will be being the complete file for the claim with him to the meeting.

On the 13/01/2014 the meeting with Trevor Allaway went ahead. Where information was given by both parties. There was shock also on both sides about parts of information that was spoke about. Trevor Allaway even showed us pictures of which Nikki Diamond had taken on the 28/03/2014, and was shocked to see the picture the police had taken for my case as they were the same pictures Mr Patel & D Patel had done nothing to the building and now I was paying the price due to this. Trevor Allaway also made a call to Nikki Diamond to get dates for claims etc and to make sure he had all the facts correct. Nikki Diamond gave the dates of

17/02/2013 for the 1st claim

25/03/2013 for a 2nd claim

07/06/2013 for the 3rd claim

We were shocked there was in fact a next claim we new nothing for the 25/03/2013, and also the date for the last claim of the 07/06/2013 when in fact Simon case was for the 04/05/2013

We asked Trevor Allaway why the claim for 17/02/2013 and the 25/03/2013 was not paid out he said we did not believe the claim was real.

He also said that when someone takes out insurance, they have to put down the condition of the property, and they also believed a lot of the damage to the building was from an earlier date.

Trevor Allaway also said that to get out solicitors to go and get a statement from Nikki Diamond as she would be a creditable witness for Simon.

All this was explained to you with an email that was sent to you on the 13/01/2014 by my mum and a phone call where I talked to you when you were on your way to the prison to see someone. I at this asked you also about his birthday and you said if Nikki Diamond could do a statement that said everything I had said then there should be no problem for my birthday.

My mum did get a next email from you that day asking for Nikki Diamond information as when the 1st email had been sent to you my mum had forgot to put it, my mum replied with the information for Nikki Diamond being mobile number and office address she could not at this point find Nikki Diamonds email address so this was not included.

Emails was sent on the 15/01/2014 and the 18/01/2014 asking if a date had been setup for you to take the statement from Nikki Diamond and if the section 8 and the Third-Party Disclosure has been given to the court and for an update. You replied to this on the 18/01/2014.

On the 21/01/2014 my mum emailed you about the application for my curfew for my birthday, you replied to this asking for his plains for his birthday so you could put the application into the court. On the 22/01/2014 when I went to mums, she showed me the email I was upset with this due to the fact I did not see why I needed to give the locations as to where I was going. You said you had to have this to put the application in. My mum emailed you the details as to where I was going.

As we had not heard anything, I believed the application had been put in and that it should have been heard on the 24/01/2014 my mum late on the 24/01/2014 emailed you and texted you to get an update as to the application. There was no reply to this from you until the 27/01/2014 where you told us the application could not be put in due the notice you need to give the court.

You also in that email explained the process needed for the Third-Party Disclosure application. In which was the 1st time I new a letter would be needed to be sent to the insurance company and they would need to refuse to hand over the files before you could go for the Third-Party Disclosure application through the court.

And also give me an update as to the section 8 application that you could confirm that Jemi has drafted the section 8 application that requires minor amendments before it can be served.

But you knew this was needed when the application was put into the court on the 19/12/2014 when the application was heard for the section 8- and Third-Party Disclosure. So why was this only being address on 27/01/2014, when this then had already passed the date of the 16/01/2014 to hand the application into the court?

I feel this delay will again make my case take longer as there is still going to be a time given by the court to the crown to reply to the applications.

On the 29/01/2013 a reply was made by email from my mum. Explaining parts in the letter that you attached to the email you sent on the 27/01/2014 for Nikki Diamond about the discloser of the file was not correct and needed amended.

She also wrote that I still wanted a statement taken from Nikki Diamond due to this helping my case.

You replied to this email on the 29/01/2014 saying that, the statement from Nikki Diamond will follow after the Insurance Company discloses the claims made by Mr Patel, whether voluntarily or by Third Party disclosure.

I do feel this statement is 100% needed sooner not later as once this is shown to the crown I sure they will rethink their case on me. As I feel at this time this is an unfair trail due to how Mr Patel has used my case to be able to manipulate his insurance claim due to what has already been discussed with Trevor Allaway. And this is a clear beach in my human rights to have a fair trial.

Also, on the 19/01/2013 when the application went into the court a bail application was meant to have been done at the same time for my bail conditions over the holidays that where upcoming. For some reason the bail application was not heard so you relisted this for 23/12/2013 to be heard by the court, you sent a text to my mum after you found out the outcome of this bail application in which you said I was on an electric tag to my mum address, and that the curfew was not lifted that Serco would come to my mums address to install the tag there. I got very upset with hearing this as I for one reason I was never on an electric tag for this case from the start of it.

I did try and call you and I got no reply so I called the court explained to the court the issues I had and was

advised to call my solicitor and ask them to relist the case for the 24/12/2013 this would need to be done within the next 20 mins.

I then called the office and explained this to someone in the office and was told I would get a call back. I did not get a call back but at around 21.47 that evening you texted my mum saying that Simon needed to be at Woolwich crown court at 09.45 on the 24/12/2013.

On the 24/12/2013 I went to court and my mum come with me, when we got there, we were told the case was not listed before 11am. So we waited at the court at around 10.50 as a barrister had not turned up for me my mum texted for to ask the name of the barrister that would be coming that we were outside court 7 waiting, there was no reply to this text so at around 11.10 I made a call to the office and spoke to someone there that told me a barrister would not be attending, I was really upset with this but what could I say.

I then saw the usher of the court and told her what was going on, she said why had the solicitors told me to come here and yet new there would not be a barrister and not tell me this beforehand, she told me she would talk to the crown who was in the court room and explain to him what was going on and then let me know what could be done.

About 5 mins later she came out of the court room and told me that the crown was going to call it on and see what could be done.

It was called into court and when the judge heard I did not have a barrister she asked the clerk of the court to call the office and see what was going on, which the clerk on the court did, when she got off the phone, she told the judge no one was coming to the court for me.

I stood up and tried to explain to the judge what had gone on. My mum at this asked the judge if she could explain it and the judge said yes to come forward, she could hear what my mum was saying.

My mum explained to the judge about the 19/12/2013 and the 23/12.2013 the judge was at lose of what could be done as the rule that had been made on the 23/01/2014 she could not change as this would be dealing with a judicial review and she could not hear that.

The crown then asked if he could speak to the judge and said that due to new evidence, she could hear it on them grounds.

The judge at that replied yes, she would like to hear this but I did not have a barrister she then went on to ask the barristers in the court room would they be willing to represent me and told them that I had legal aid and that it would be marked on the file. One stood up and said yes, she would stand in. the judge then said to us to go outside with the barrister and speak to her and when ready it would go back into court.

We went out explained to the barrister what was going on. She told us that even from what she had heard in court that I should really think about changing solicitors.

It went back into court and the judge heard the case and my bail was varied

On the 01/01/2014 my mum again texted you due to an issue where I got arrested, she had tried to call the office but there was no answer of a voice mail message to give a number to call.

No one got back to my mum so when she got to court, she had to ask a solicitor that was on duty that day to help me. He said he was not meant to as I had legal aid for the case already but he would go and speak to me, he did in fact represent me in court that day.

On the 03/01/2014 I asked my mum sent you an email to ask if my case was too much for you as I knew you had a lot of work on. You told me you were still on annual leave and I return to work on Monday.

On the 31/01/2014 I was due to have a meeting in the office which you had to cancel as you were dealing with other cases. This was re booked for 04/01/2014 at 2pm.

I have called you many times and it has just gone to voice mail and you have not called me back. I have also called the office about things I feel are wrong and told someone would call me back which has not happened.

I can prove this with statements from the phones that were used.

There are emails that have been sent to you and no reply to them emails and what I have asked have been given.

There was a break down before in this case as you are aware and I dropped the complaint.

Josey, I have used you as a solicitor for many years and don't just look at you as a solicitor you are a friend of the families.

All I want is for my case to be dealt with in a correct manner, which will lead me to have a speedy and fair trial, and I don't want to feel like I am being punished for a crime that I have not been convicted of.

And I feel with the statement from Nikki Diamond that would show somewhat to Mr Patel ways in how he has dealt with his insurance case in this matter that clearly is not correct all ready.

Could you please email back at the points that have been included in this email, and if you feel I am wrong on

any points or the way in which I am feeling.

Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 02 February 2014 14:31

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation

Simon

Further to your telephone conversation with me today during which you have accused me of not representing you correctly and not defending your case properly you leave me with little choice but to list the case at Woolwich Crown Court in order that your legal representation order can be revoked.

I am cancelling your 2pm confernece with me for Tuesday 4th February 2014.

I am not prepared to be subjected to threats about you blackening my name over Facebook. I work very hard for all my clients and my track record as a Criminal Defence Solicitors attests to that.

I have represented you since you were a juvenile to the best of my abilities and I have never not defended a client properly or tried my best for my client.

You stated that during the telephone conversation that the Judge asked you to change your solicitors at the last bail hearing on Christmas Eve. As you are aware I was on annual leave from 23rd December 2013. I made the bail variation as requested and you were not happy as the court did not suspend your curfew. That is the simple fact of the matter here. You also stated that during a conference with Jemi, the barrister that I appointed for you that he also advised you that I was a poor solicitor and not acting in your best interests. You only raised this today and I will most certainly be taking this accusation up with Jemi and his clerks. I asked you to confirm this in writing and you have refused to do this. I again ask you to confirm this in writing as Jemi will have to respond to this allegation. I will be asking Jemi and his clerks to comment on the allegations that you have made. You will of course appreciate that if Jemi does not agree with your accusations that he will probably refuse to represent you. You are accusing him of slandering my reputation by saying that Jemi says I am not representing you properly and that he advised you to change your solicitors.

With regards to your complaint in relation to the bail variation you were asked in a number of emails to provide full details of your plans, venues etc and you did not do this instead you shouted down the phone and refused to give specific instructions. When you eventually did give the requested information it was too late to apply to have the bail position varied. I suspect you know what the court's attitude to the requested variation would be in any event.

With regards to the request for the original receipt I have to provide this to the court in an addendum to your defence case statement and the police will then make their enquiries.

I am sorry that this position has arisen but you have left me little choice in the matter. I wish you good luck with your case and I will confirm the date that your case is listed for the revocation of legal aid. I will aim for Tuesday or Wednesday of this coming week.

Can you please confirm by return email the advice that Jemi gave you at your conference at Isleworth Crown Court and also please confirm why it took you so long to raise it with me. You telephoned me on a Sunday, reserved for emergency phone calls. You should have raised it back when you had the conference with Jemi. You have raised it now and I will deal with the

accusations now.

I await hearing from you by return email with regards to Jemi.

Regards

Josephine Ward
MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 03 February 2014 23:02

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

I am forwarding below Jemi's reply. I received this at 1.11pm. I am hoping to speak to Michael Carroll tomorrow afternoon in order to get his authorisation to apply to court to get the legal representation order revoked.

I will of course confirm the listing in due course.

Regards

Josephine

Utter nonsense, in every particular save that I could not do his case as then listed.

Josie you know Simon has ADHDO and can get particularly anxious.

I know that makes hard work, but I seem to be able to co-operate with him.

If you wish I'll call him and see if we can extend that co-operation and respect to all his representatives.

Jemi

Sent from my iPhone

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 03 February 2014 23:04

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

By way of update I am still awaiting the amended section 8 application from Jemi and no reply from Nikki Diamond to the email that I sent her.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 February 2014 01:41
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Josey

Simon has asked me to email you and ask if his legal aid is to be revoked can it be transferred to a new solicitor rather than you revoke it. Simon has asked me to say he has stayed with Michael Carroll & Co since he was 14 years old and feels also that due this case being dealt with emails for the 1st time it has highlighted some of the problems that have been sent in the emails before, such as things not being put in time as said by the judge.

And all he has asked is for his case to be dealt with in a timely and professional manner. At no time did he say anything about your professional integrity and made slanderous threats towards you as a person.

As for what has been said from yourself to Jemi and Jemi reply I feel you have taken my words out of context and not used what you asked me for which was an email with a statement of what was said.

Simon.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 February 2014 23:02
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

I am forwarding below Jemi's reply. I received this at 1.11pm. I am hoping to speak to Michael Carroll tomorrow afternoon in order to get his authorisation to apply to court to get the legal representation order revoked.

I will of course confirm the listing in due course.

Regards

Josephine

Utter nonsense, in every particular save that I could not do his case as then listed.

Josie you know Simon has ADHD and can get particularly anxious.

I know that makes hard work, but I seem to be able to co-operate with him.

If you wish I'll call him and see if we can extend that co-operation and respect to all his representatives.

Jemi

Sent from my iPhone

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 04 February 2014 07:48
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

Simon was very clear in what he said on the phone re his meeting with Jemi. I did not misinterpret or misquote what he said. He also told me I was a bad solicitor and he did make the threat to publicise how I was dealing with him on Facebook because I clearly heard you tell him "No you are not". My interpretation of you saying this is that you knew he had gone too far by the threats he had issued.

"All that he wants is to be dealt with in a timely and professional manner" - really Lorraine but you state Simon is not casting aspersions on my professional integrity. Re the timely manner Simon's main complaint is that his bail was not varied - again specific instructions not provided when requested and Third Party Disclosure. The trial is in June. Both the Third Party Disclosure and section 8 application would be dealt with at the same time.

I started dealing with Simon when he was 16. I observed Michael when Simon was accused of committing a robbery in the lifts at Edmonton Green. Simon was wearing a yellow coat and you a navy and cream ski type coat. A care worker was in the interview with him also. Unis I think so nothing wrong with my recollection. Identification parades took place. I recall the police sending him a birthday card shortly after that to mark the occasion of his 17th birthday so he would not require an appropriate adult.

Simon has been represented by Michael Carroll & Co and me personally always to a high standard. My track record as a criminal defence solicitor attests to this. In 15 years I have successfully defended a number of very serious cases. I know how to make correct tactical decisions for clients. I should not have to defend my reputation to either Simon or you as you both know very well from past experiences how hard I try for people

I am perfectly within my rights to ask for legal representation to be revoked in this case but because of the length of time I have known both you and Simon I will suggest to the court a transfer of legal representation on the ground of breakdown in relationship and the client alleging breakdown in trust and confidence in solicitor.

I am at HMP Belmarsh this morning I will try to speak to Michael Carroll on the phone so he can authorise this.

Best of luck to both you and Simon. You have all the case papers already. Anything you do not have copies of email me in a list. Please confirm details of the solicitor who you wish to transfer the case over to at your earliest convenience.

Josephine

On Feb 4, 2014 1:41 AM, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Simon has asked me to email you and ask if his legal aid is to be revoked can it be transferred to a new solicitor rather than you revoke it. Simon has asked me to say he has stayed with Michael Carroll & Co since he was 14 years old and feels also that due this case being dealt with emails for the 1st time it has highlighted some of the problems that have been sent in the emails before, such as things not being put in time as said by the judge.

And all he has asked is for his case to be dealt with in a timely and professional manner. At no time did he say anything about your professional integrity and made slanderous threats towards you as a person.

As for what has been said from yourself to Jemi and Jemi's reply I feel you have taken my words out of context and not used what you asked me for which was a statement of what was said.

Simon.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 February 2014 23:02
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

I am forwarding below Jemi's reply. I received this at 1.11pm. I am hoping to speak to Michael Carroll tomorrow afternoon in order to get his authorisation to apply to court to get the legal representation order revoked.

I will of course confirm the listing in due course.

Regards

Josephine

Utter nonsense, in every particular save that I could not do his case as then listed.

Josie you know Simon has ADHDO and can get particularly anxious.

I know that makes hard work, but I seem to be able to co-operate with him.

If you wish I'll call him and see if we can extend that co-operation and respect to all his representatives.

Jemi

Sent from my iPhone

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 05 February 2014 20:21

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Request for mobile telephone number
Lorraine

I have lost all my telephone contacts as my smart phone has died. Can you please email me your telephone number and Tyrone's please. I remember your old number 07961 833 021 but cannot remember the other one or your house number.

I will hopefully list the case next week. Can you confirm the new Solicitor details at your earliest convenience. I have also asked Jemi he can still do the case but you will probably have to tell the new solicitors that he is your preferred barrister.

If you give them my contact details I can email over all the information etc.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 February 2014 12:15
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Request for mobile telephone number
Hi Josey

Sorry its taken me a while to reply I have not been well, my home phone number is 0208 245 7454 and my other mob is 07807333545 Tyrone mob is 07572600589, as for the new Solicitor details Simon is seeing a Solicitor today so is not sure yet if they will take the case on until after the meeting. If they are going to do so I will send over later today the information.

Also I am contacting the City Of London Magistrates court today due to the failure to surrender for his bail, as you are aware that this should not be on Simon PNC and I have spoken to you before about this many times, and the court a long while back and they said they was going to put it right and have not done so. You have always said not to worry about this on his PNC yet they are using this so to impose more bail conditions on this case due to failure to surrender. I am going to try and get this sorted as they are holding this against Simon and have done so more then once so I do feel this does needs addressing and not left any longer.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 February 2014 20:21
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Request for mobile telephone number

Lorraine

I have lost all my telephone contacts as my smart phone has died. Can you please email me your telephone number and Tyrone's please. I remember your old number 07961 833 021 but cannot remember the other one or your house number.

I will hopefully list the case next week. Can you confirm the new Solicitor details at your earliest convenience. I have also asked Jemi he can still do the case but you will probably have to tell the new solicitors that he is your preferred barrister.

If you give them my contact details I can email over all the information etc.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 February 2014 10:06
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Request for mobile telephone number
Hi Lorraine

Can you please confirm the details of Simon's new solicitor so that I can apply to get his case listed so that the transfer can proceed.

Regards

Josephine

PS Thanks for the contact details of yourself and Tyrone

On Mon, Feb 10, 2014 at 12:14 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Sorry its taken me a while to reply I have not been well, my home phone number is 0208 245 7454 and my other mob is 07807333545 Tyrone mob is 07572600589, as for the new Solicitor details Simon is seeing a Solicitor today so is not sure yet if they will take the case on until after the meeting. If they are going to do so I will send over later today the information.

Also I am contacting the City Of London Magistrates court today due to the failure to surrender for his bail, as you are aware that this should not be on Simon PNC and I have spoken to you before about this many times, and the court a long while back and they said they was going to put it right and have not done so. You have always said not to worry about this on his PNC yet they are using this so to impose more bail conditions on this case due to failure to surrender. I am going to try and get this sorted as they are holding this against Simon and have done so more then once so I do feel this does needs addressing and not left any longer.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 February 2014 20:21
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Request for mobile telephone number

Lorraine

I have lost all my telephone contacts as my smart phone has died. Can you please email me your telephone number and Tyrone's please. I remember your old number 07961 833 021 but cannot remember the other one or your house number.

I will hopefully list the case next week. Can you confirm the new Solicitor details at your earliest convenience. I have also asked Jemi he can still do the case but you will probably have to tell the new solicitors that he is your preferred barrister.

If you give them my contact details I can email over all the information etc.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 11 February 2014 17:47
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Request for mobile telephone number

Hi Josey

Thank you for the email Simon went to see the solicitor yesterday and due to how far the case is they would not take it over he has a next meeting tomorrow with a next solicitor. Until he gets a solicitor I cannot give you the details. I will update you tomorrow if he cant get a solicitor he will deal with it himself in court. Also if Jemi has done the section 8 can you email that over and have you had a reply from Nikki Diamond as of yet. As Simon wants to get them into the court as soon as possible as it is already well past the date the judge said he will hand them in his self if needed.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 February 2014 10:06
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Request for mobile telephone number

Hi Lorraine

Can you please confirm the details of Simon's new solicitor so that I can apply to get his case listed so that the transfer can proceed.

Regards

Josephine

PS Thanks for the contact details of yourself and Tyrone

On Mon, Feb 10, 2014 at 12:14 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Sorry its taken me a while to reply I have not been well, my home phone number is 0208 245 7454 and my other mob is 07807333545 Tyrone mob is 07572600589, as for the new Solicitor details Simon is seeing a Solicitor today so is not sure yet if they will take the case on until after the meeting. If they are going to do so I will send over later today the information.

Also I am contacting the City Of London Magistrates court today due to the failure to surrender for his bail, as you are aware that this should not be on Simon PNC and I have spoken to you before about this many times, and the court a long while back and they said they was going to put it right and have not done so. You have always said not to worry about this on his PNC yet they are using this so to impose more bail conditions on this case due to failure to surrender. I am going to try and get this sorted as they are holding this against Simon and have done so more then once so I do feel this does needs addressing and not left any longer.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 February 2014 20:21
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Request for mobile telephone number

Lorraine

I have lost all my telephone contacts as my smart phone has died. Can you please email me your telephone number and Tyrone's please. I remember your old number 07961 833 021 but cannot remember the other one or your house number.

I will hopefully list the case next week. Can you confirm the new Solicitor details at your earliest convenience. I have also asked Jemi he can still do the case but you will probably have to tell the new solicitors that he is your preferred barrister.

If you give them my contact details I can email over all the information etc.

Regards

Josephine

Enterprise Enfield News

From: Sue King (sking@enterpriseenfield.org)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Tuesday, 11 February 2014, 19:27 GMT

February 2014

News Updates

Pop Up Emporium Opened by Dragons' Den Contestant!

Local entrepreneur and Dragons' Den winner, Laban Roomes, opened Enterprise Enfield's new Pop Up Emporium in Savoy Parade, Southbury Road, Enfield Town (near Tesco's, next to the Enfield Exchange) on Tuesday 4th February. Laban won £60k investment for his gold-plating business, Goldgenie, from James Caan in 2007.

This week we have nine traders selling an array of wonderful products, many of which are handmade - retailers will alternate every fortnight. Come and browse, or pick up a Valentines gift - **funky jewellery, skincare products, potted plants and flowers, personalised gift hampers, accessories - scarves and ponchos, hot pepper sauces, artisan footwear - there's also a cafe area with delicious homemade cakes, teas and coffees too!** We are also organising a range of kids activities in the premises - more details soon. [Click for launch photos](#) Get the latest news on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/EnfieldEmporium>

New Biz Support Programme for Start Ups!

Enterprise Enfield and Enfield Libraries are delighted to announce the launch of a new [Enterprising Libraries New Biz Programme](#) which offers **FREE support** to help people start their own businesses.

The 6 week scheme includes all the help you need to prepare a viable business plan and start trading, items include: **expert advice and guidance Business Adviser** (for 6 weeks), **business workshops, online resources and a FREE Microsoft Office 365 (Cloud) package for 1 year** with training (on completion of the programme)! From business planning and marketing to bookkeeping and raising finance - you will get everything you need to get your business off to the right start - all FREE!

We will be launching the programme on **Tuesday 18th February**, 4.15pm at an event at Edmonton Library - where there will be an opportunity to hear from local entrepreneurs, register for the scheme and enjoy some networking, refreshments and launch cake too! If you would like to attend please email info@enterpriseenfield.org For full details about the programme [click here](#)

Looking to Raise Finance to Start or Grow Your Business? Come to the Access to Finance Networking Event

Monday 10th March, 10am - 3pm (*Free event- includes a buffet and refreshments*)

At: The Dugdale Centre, Thomas Hardy House, 39 London Road , Enfield , EN2 6DS

No matter what type of business you are running, there's no avoiding the fact that finance can be fundamental to success. Securing funding can be a complex and difficult task, taking valuable time and specialist understanding of the various finance options.

The North London Chamber of Commerce, Enterprise Enfield, London Community Finance and Enfield Council are working in partnership to host this free Access to Finance event **to help local business owners and those looking to start a business, find out what finance options are available to them.** Come along to find out about: Start Up Loans, crowd funding, peer to peer finance, business angels and community development finance.

Advice on raising finance will also be available from Enterprise Enfield and London Community Finance as well as representatives from local banks (Barclays, HSBC, RBS, Lloyds, Handelsbanken and Bank of Cyprus) who will be in attendance. Click for more [details and to book.](#)

Kick Start Your Business with a Start Up Loan

(Pre-starts and business owners under one year of trading, are eligible to apply)

The amount you can borrow can vary (average **£5,000**) to be repaid over a period from 3 to 5 years at a total interest rate of 6%. Click for [more details about how to apply.](#)

Apply for Business Growth Vouchers

Business Growth Vouchers are a government initiative to help small businesses get expert advice in 5 areas. These include: finance and cash flow; recruiting / developing staff; improving leadership and management skills; marketing and making the most of digital technology. Some businesses will be randomly chosen to get a voucher for up to £2,000 to help finance specialist business support – matched by their own funds. Visit <https://www.gov.uk/apply-growth-vouchers>

Forthcoming Seminars:

For full details please check www.EnterpriseEnfield.org

Seminar	Date	Time	Cost
How to Use Social Media Effectively for Business <i>Promote your business using social media!</i>	12 th Feb	09.30 - 15.00	£20
Barclays Get Ready for Business <i>(at Enfield Town Library)</i>	18 th Feb	10.00 - 16.00	Sold Out

<u>Back to Work Boot Camp!</u> <i>Boost your job prospects - call 020 8443 5457 to enrol onto our Enfield Rises and Achieves Programme and book this session</i>	26 th Feb	10.00 - 16.00	Free
<u>Drive Traffic to Your Website</u> <i>Find out how to develop an effective website and maximise its performance</i>	27 th Feb	09.30 - 13.00	£15
<u>Barclays Get Ready for Business</u> <i>(at Enfield Town Library)</i>	3 rd March	10.00 - 16.00	Free
<u>How to Use Social Media Effectively for Business</u> <i>Promote your business using social media!</i>	13 th March	09.30 - 15.00	£20

Your Business can now get up to **£3,000** for a Faster Broadband Connection!

With high speed broadband you can store and access files via the cloud, transfer large files quickly and securely and enjoy a more productive and reliable service each and every day. Find out more and apply now www.london.gov.uk/broadband If you have any questions or need support please email broadband@enfield.gov.uk

Looking for Employment?

Forthcoming Job Fairs

Exhibitors at both the following events will include employers with vacancies as well as organisations offering details of training and apprenticeships and support/ advice services to start your own business:

Recruitment and Training Fair, Thursday 20th February, 10am - 3:00pm, at Enfield College Hertford Road Enfield EN3 5HA .

Job Fair (organised by Nick de Bois MP) on Friday 7th March, 11am - 3pm at Southbury Leisure Centre, 192 Southbury Road , Enfield , EN1 1YP .

Out of Work and Living in Enfield ? Get FREE Support to Find Work!

[Our Enfield Rises and Achieves Programme](#) (ERA) offers FREE support to London Borough of Enfield residents to help them into long-term employment or self-employment. Support includes a [Back to Work Boot Camp](#), one to one advice on improving CVs interview skills and job-matching. To enrol for this scheme you must live in Enfield and be looking for work. Call 020 8443 457 for details.

Networking Meetings

We-Network

On: Wednesday 5th March (the first Wednesday of the month), 6.30pm - 8.30pm

At: Forty Hall, Forty Hill, Enfield EN2 9HA

For details of the next meeting visit www.we-network.org.uk or

<https://www.facebook.com/events/210475122445985/>

Tel: 07946 551719 (Mel) or 07786 260284 (Laura)

Get Connected Business Networking and Workshop

Presentation: *Using Media to Get Results*, with Douglas Lee, DAL Media/ EN Magazine

On: **Thursday 20th February**, 7pm - 9pm, at: Holtwhites Sports and Social Club, £15

Find out how you can ensure you are getting the best results from the different media available and how to blend your media, from print, leaflet advertising and PR to social media and merchandise. Pick up tips to dodge the pitfalls and help to grow your business using media of all kinds. [Click for details](#)

North London Chamber of Commerce Meetings

Special Offer: Enterprise Enfield clients can attend NLCC meetings at discounted member rates. For more information on forthcoming meetings and to access discounted rates- state you are registered with Enterprise Enfield www.nlcc.co.uk

Next Meeting: Networking and Entertainment at the Arts Depot

Tuesday 25th February, 6pm - 8.30pm at Arts Depot, Tally Ho Corner, North Finchley , N12 0GA . £20 (members and Enterprise Enfield Clients) Includes buffet, networking and entertainment - a 15 minute excerpt from the National Theatre Connections Regional Showcase.

[Book here](#)

Gold Table

Gold Table is a business networking group based in Enfield where like minded people get together over breakfast to develop solid business relationships and exchange business ideas. Meetings are held every Wednesday 7.00am - 8.30am at Enfield Golf Club. If you would like to attend the next meeting as a visitor - free of charge, contact Douglas Lee on douggielee@dal-media.co.uk For more details about the group visit www.GoldTable.co.uk

© Copyright (2013) Enterprise Enfield. All Rights Reserved. T: 020 8443 5457
www.EnterpriseEnfield.org

Enfield Enterprise Agency is a Company Limited by Guarantee, Trading as Enterprise Enfield. Registered in England and Wales No 1926939 at Enfield Business Centre, 201 Hertford Road , Enfield, Middlesex EN3 5JH. **Unsubscribe-** if you no longer wish to receive emails from Enterprise Enfield, please reply to the email and type "unsubscribe" in the subject field.



Untitled

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:17
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Attachments: SECTION 8 APPLICATION.pdf
Hi Lorraine

Trevor Allway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably. If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose. We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either (a) the file from the insurance company or (b) the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.

The section 8 application was sent off today. I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not so I amended it and sent it off.

Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial. He is still booked to do the case but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a week long case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this. He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case. Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case. The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pre-trial conference but their diaries change and this affects their availability.

I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allway. Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party? Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:50
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. The listing indicates that you must attend. Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation. If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister. The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:

1. Disclosure from Insurance company
2. Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
3. Bad character skeleton argument to oppose - Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.

In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.

I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allway. If you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me. I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 15:04
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on
Hi Lorraine

I have just returned from lunch. Tell Simon that is fine. I do not want to fall out with either him or you. He is hard work but I am still willing to represent him.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 1:56 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35. Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write this to you.

If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer. As he said the other day he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.

If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30 he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:17
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

Trevor Allway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably. If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he

should disclose. We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either (a) the file from the insurance company or (b) the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.

The section 8 application was sent off today. I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not so I amended it and sent it off.

Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial. He is still booked to do the case but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a week long case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this. He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case. Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case. The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pre-trial conference but their diaries change and this affects their availability.

I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allway. Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party? Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:50
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. The listing indicates that you must attend. Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation. If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister. The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:

1. Disclosure from Insurance company
2. Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
3. Bad character skeleton argument to oppose - Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.

In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.

I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allway. If you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me. I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 February 2014 11:43

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk; listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk;
Lorraine Cordell; J.B. Akin-Olugbade

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014

Attachments: SECTION 8 APPLICATION.pdf

Dear Sir or Madam

We continue to act on behalf of our above named client under the terms of a legal representation order.

We attach a copy of the section 8 application in relation to this matter. We apologise for the delay in serving this document.

We await the disclosure in due course.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:50
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on
Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. The listing indicates that you must attend. Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation. If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister. The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:

1. Disclosure from Insurance company
2. Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
3. Bad character skeleton argument to oppose - Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.

In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.

I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allway. If you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me. I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:00
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on Hi Josey

Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allway. Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party? Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:50
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. The listing indicates that you must attend. Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation. If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister. The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:

1. Disclosure from Insurance company
2. Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
3. Bad character skeleton argument to oppose - Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.

In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.

I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allway. If you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me. I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:04
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on Hi Josey

Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allway. Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party? Really not sure what it means.

I also not sure what you mean about the Barrister if you revoke due to the how far this case has gone and no other solicitors will take the case on due to this does this mean Simon can still have jemi as his barrister even if he don't have a solicitor?

Sorry for the double email I forgot to add the part about the barrister in the last email.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:50
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. The listing indicates that you must attend. Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation. If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister. The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:

1. Disclosure from Insurance company
2. Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
3. Bad character skeleton argument to oppose - Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.

In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.

I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allway. If you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me. I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 17:38
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on
Hi Lorraine

Just so that we are both clear Simon wants me to continue to represent him. Please confirm.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 5:24 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Simon said thank you.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 15:04

To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

I have just returned from lunch. Tell Simon that is fine. I do not want to fall out with either him or you. He is hard work but I am still willing to represent him.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 1:56 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35. Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write

this to you.

If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer. As he said the other day he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.

If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30 he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:17
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

Trevor Allway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably. If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose. We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either (a) the file from the insurance company or (b) the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.

The section 8 application was sent off today. I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not so I amended it and sent it off.

Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial. He is still booked to do the case but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a week long case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this. He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case. Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case. The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pre-trial conference but their diaries change and this affects their availability.

I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allway. Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party? Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:50
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. The listing indicates that you must attend. Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation. If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister. The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:

1. Disclosure from Insurance company
2. Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
3. Bad character skeleton argument to oppose - Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.

In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.

I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allway. If you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me. I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:45
To: 'westminster.mc@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell error on record.

Attachments: PCN-City-London.pdf
To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after a call that was made to the City Of London Magistrate Court on the 10/02/2014 about a list that is on my PNC that is incorrect.

I was told to write this email including as much information as I could to get this updated.

I have contacted you a few times before about this Error on my PCN and was told that you would get it updated but as of now this has never been done.

I was told on the 10/02/2014 when I called due to the date that it would be down to the police to update this. You would have only forwarded the information to the police to get this updated.

The Error is for a case that was heard on the 03/03/2008 at the City Of London Magistrate Court, for failing to surrender to custody at appointed time, on the 25/01/08.

The error is that I did not go to go but my mum called the court and a sick note was handed to the court and the case was dismissed, so should not be on my record

This has caused me problems with bail on a few times and this is why calls have been made before to the court, at this time it has been causing me problems again with a case I am at Woolwich Crown court for.

I have attached the page for the PNC print out the police did for the case at Woolwich crown court that I am on bail now for. This print out was done by the police on or around the 26 June 2013 for the Woolwich case.

I do feel this is causing me problems with my bail and I am there fore asking for this to be dealt with as fast as possible in order that I can show the court this should in fact not be on my record.

I was told on the 10/02/2014 when I called that if I ask for a court transcript that this could be done faster then updating the PNC records and I would be able to show the Judge at Woolwich crown court the court transcript for the case that is on my file in error and that should be enough to prove that it should not be on my record. I am there for asking for the court transcript to be sent to me if there are costs for this please email me back with the cost for this to be sent to me and I will get this paid to the court.

Please see attached file for the page in my PNC record which is there in error I have highlighted the case.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

DOB 26/01/1981

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 February 2014 13:12

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Legal Representation

Lorraine

Just by way of update, neither Jemi nor any other Counsel will deal with Simon's case without a solicitor being instructed.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 February 2014 13:56
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on
Hi Josey

Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35. Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write this to you.

If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer. As he said the other day he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.

If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30 he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:17
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

Trevor Allway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably. If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose. We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either (a) the file from the insurance company or (b) the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.

The section 8 application was sent off today. I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not so I amended it and sent it off.

Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial. He is still booked to do the case but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a week long case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this. He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case. Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case. The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pre-trial conference but their diaries change and this affects their availability.

I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allway. Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party? Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:50
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. The listing indicates that you must attend. Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation. If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister. The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:

1. Disclosure from Insurance company
2. Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
3. Bad character skeleton argument to oppose - Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.

In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.

I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allway. If you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me. I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 February 2014 17:25
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on Hi Josey

Simon said thank you.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 15:04
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

I have just returned from lunch. Tell Simon that is fine. I do not want to fall out with either him or you. He is hard work but I am still willing to represent him.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 1:56 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35. Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write this to you.

If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer. As he said the other day he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.

If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30 he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:17
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

Trevor Allway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably. If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose. We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either (a) the file from the insurance company or (b) the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure

kicks in again.

The section 8 application was sent off today. I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not so I amended it and sent it off.

Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial. He is still booked to do the case but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a week long case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this. He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case. Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case. The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pre-trial conference but their diaries change and this affects their availability.

I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allway. Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party? Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:50
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. The listing indicates that you must attend. Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation. If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister. The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:

1. Disclosure from Insurance company
2. Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
3. Bad character skeleton argument to oppose - Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.

In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.

I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allway. If

you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me. I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 February 2014 18:03
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on
Hi Josey

Yes that is what he wants for you to continue to represent him.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 17:38
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

Just so that we are both clear Simon wants me to continue to represent him. Please confirm.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 5:24 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Simon said thank you.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 15:04

To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

I have just returned from lunch. Tell Simon that is fine. I do not want to fall out with either him or you. He is hard work but I am still willing to represent him.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 1:56 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35. Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write this to you.

If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer. As he said the other day he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.

If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30 he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:17
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

Trevor Allway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably. If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose. We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either (a) the file from the insurance company or (b) the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure kicks in again.

The section 8 application was sent off today. I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not so I amended it and sent it off.

Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial. He is still booked to do the case but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a week long case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this. He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case. Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case. The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pre-trial conference but their diaries change and this affects their availability.

I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allway. Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party? Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:50
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. The listing indicates that you must attend. Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation. If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister. The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:

1. Disclosure from Insurance company
2. Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
3. Bad character skeleton argument to oppose - Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.

In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.

I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allway. If you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me. I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 13 February 2015 14:57

To: 'O'Sullivan Emma'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Dear Emma

Thank you so much for your help in this matter I had an email today from Sharon Burns from the North section and she has been really helpful.

Once again thank you for the help in this matter

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: O'Sullivan Emma [mailto:Emma.O'Sullivan@cps.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 11 February 2015 15:24

To: CO16Mailbox-.NorthProsecutionFPN@met.pnn.police.uk; CO16Mailbox-.SouthProsecutionFN@met.pnn.police.uk; Southcju (CO16Mailbox-.SouthProsecutionCju@met.pnn.police.uk); CO16Mailbox-.NorthProsecutionCJU@met.pnn.police.uk

Cc: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'

Subject: FW: Simon Cordell

Dear All,

Please could you kindly assist Lorraine Cordell on behalf of her son Simon Cordell. They believe that a number of summons have been issued against Simon for the offence of no insurance since 2013 due to an error made by the insurance company as per the attached letter. Unfortunately due to Simon not always receiving the summons/adjournment notice they are unsure how many cases have been issued against him and are trying to get them all reopened and listed before court to be heard together in light of the above. Please would you be able to check you database and confirm to the email address below the number of cases with reference number, court and conviction date.

I have also spoken to her on the phone and informed Lorraine that she will need to contact the court directly to make application to reopen/stat decs , which she can only do so once the information has been obtained.

The only matter the cps are currently aware of is for the case ref J63181495 which is listed for appeal at Kingston Crown Court 05/03/15.

If you are unable to advise her on summons that have been issued on her son, please could you kindly advise her where she could obtain the information.

Kind Regards,

[Emma O'Sullivan](#)
[London Traffic Unit](#)
[Admin Line Manager](#)
[02033571604](#)

Rose Court,
4th Floor
2 Southwark Bridge,
London,
SE1 9HS,
DX 154263 Southwark 12.

From: London trafficteam

Sent: 10 February 2015 14:41

To: O'Sullivan Emma
Subject: FW: Simon Cordell

From: London magistratescentralwest
Sent: 10 February 2015 13:25
To: London trafficteam
Subject: FW: Simon Cordell

FYI

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 10 February 2015 13:06
To: GL-BrentMCeng@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk
Cc: London magistratescentralwest; London magistratessouth; London magistratesnortheast; gl-bromleymceng@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

To whom it may concern:

I am writing this email due to the number of problems I have been having due to a policy of insurance I had with KGM insurance which did not show up on the MID database in the week it was fine as i carried my insurance documents with me at all times and the insurance company could be called, but the problems was when the insurance company was closed as the police could not contact them.

My mum Lorraine Cordell and I have sent many emails to the courts with my insurance documents, but there has been cases where I have not had a summons. So did not know about cases and they have been heard at court and I was found guilty of no insurance.

I have done statutory declarations at court including my insurance documents and this still has not corrected anything.

One of the statutory declarations was again heard at court on the 26/01/2015 which again I did not know about for Willesden Magistrates' Court. But I have sent so many emails to this court with all documents and asked for them to be checked with the police officer at court to show I was insured to drive. This has not been done I have just again been found guilty due to not getting letters of a court hearing date.

I have now also got a letter of Indemnity from KGM who I was insured with under policy number MT3574694. 00.01 AM on the 23/2/13 and was in force until Midnight on the 22/2/14 when it lapsed. Most of the cases are with the KGM policy you will see the reason as to why in the Letter of Indemnity from KGM.

I also believe there was one case for Covea Insurance PLC policy number MT10 021608047 it was not showing on the MID due to the stop was the day after I took the policy out.

Please can any cases be set aside and reopened and looked into and any other cases that have been heard for no insurance under these policies of insurance be addressed.

You will in due cause be hearing from my solicitor, she is trying to address all the cases, but this is taking time. She has seen all the emails I have sent trying to address these issues and feels more should have been done as it would have only taken for the insurance policies to be checked and this would have stopped a waste of time and money for the courts, and myself at this time she wants to just appeal all cases to the crown court. which would again be a waste of money for the courts. and again of my time.

But this is having an effect on my life my driving licence has been revoked 3 times for no insurance, when in fact I have always had insurance to drive and would not drive if I did not have insurance.

Please see attached documents

Could you get back to me as soon as possible by way of email, as it does seem there is an issue with my post and not getting letters that was meant to be sent to me? I am taking this up with the royal mail.

Regards

Simon Cordell

DOB: 26/01/1981

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

*****+
This e-mail is private and is intended only for the addressee and any copy recipients.
If you are not an intended recipient, please advise the sender immediately by reply
e-mail and delete this message and any attachments without retaining a copy.

Activity and use of CPS Connect systems, the Government Secure Intranet, and the
Criminal Justice Extranet is monitored to secure their effective operation and for
other lawful business purposes. Communications using these systems will also be
monitored and may be recorded to secure effective operation and for other
lawful business purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 13 February 2014 14:24

To: 'j.akin-olugbade@nexuschambers.com'

Subject: Re:Simon Cordell Thank You for the help

Hi Jemi

Thank you for the section 8 you done for me and the understanding as to me getting very anxious about this case it has really got me more then anyone understands.

I have sorted out with Josey the disagreement we had and she is still representing me.

I am hopping that you can still do my case, and I am looking forward to a meeting with you when Josey sets this up before the trial date.

I am building up a list of things I would like to ask you, and I hope that we can get time to go over them all.

Many Thanks

Simon.

From: westminster.go [westminster.go@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 17 February 2014 14:24
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell error on record.

Attachments: DOC002.PDF
[Good afternoon Ms Cordell](#)

[I attach the memorandum of conviction from the case of Simon Cordell heard on 03.03.2008.](#)

Regards

[Wendy Morgan](#)
[Applications Department](#)
[Westminster Magistrates' Court](#)

From: westminster.mc
Sent: 12 February 2014 13:03
To: westminster.go
Subject: FW: Simon Cordell error on record.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:45
To: westminster.mc
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell error on record.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after a call that was made to the City Of London Magistrate Court on the 10/02/2014 about a list that is on my PNC that is incorrect.

I was told to write this email including as much information as I could to get this updated.

I have contacted you a few times before about this Error on my PCN and was told that you would get it updated but as of now this has never been done.

I was told on the 10/02/2014 when I called due to the date that it would be down to the police to update this. You would have only forwarded the information to the police to get this updated.

The Error is for a case that was heard on the 03/03/2008 at the City Of London Magistrate Court, for failing to surrender to custody at appointed time, on the 25/01/08.

The error is that I did not go to go but my mum called the court and a sick note was handed to the court and the case was dismissed, so should not be on my record

This has caused me problems with bail on a few times and this is why calls have been made before to the court, at this time it has been causing me problems again with a case I am at Woolwich Crown court for.

I have attached the page for the PNC print out the police did for the case at Woolwich crown court that I am on bail now for. This print out was done by the police on or around the 26 June 2013 for the Woolwich case.

I do feel this is causing me problems with my bail and I am there fore asking for this to be dealt with as fast as possible in order that I can show the court this should in fact not be on my record.

I was told on the 10/02/2014 when I called that if I ask for a court transcript that this could be done faster then updating the PNC records and I would be able to show the Judge at Woolwich crown court

the court transcript for the case that is on my file in error and that should be enough to prove that it should not be on my record. I am there for asking for the court transcript to be sent to me if there are costs for this please email me back with the cost for this to be sent to me and I will get this paid to the court.

Please see attached file for the page in my PNC record which is there in error I have highlighted the case.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life.

Many Thanks

Mr Simon Cordell

DOB 26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 17 February 2014 15:13

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Outcome for fail to surrender

Attachments: My-Email-to-Court.pdf; City-of-london-court-Receipt.pdf; City-of-london-court-outcome-fail-to-surrender.pdf

Hi Josey

I just been emailed from the court the out come of the city of London case fail to surrender as you will see from the attached copy that has been sent over to me today from the court this case was dismissed.

I had to pay £5.00 to get this from the court so I will email you the copy of the receipt also and the email I sent to the court to get this dealt with I will send this over so you have copies of it..

So on Simon bail for this case there should be no fail to surrender, as he has never failed to surrender to the police or the court.

Also there are some other things on the PNC that I want to look into as there are some other errors I am sure, but I wanted to get this one dealt with 1st as this was causing a problem.

Also Simon has looked over the section 8 and there is some errors with the dates Jemi put March and not May in 2 places also he put in section 2, 21. arrested win connections I think that should have been with and not win. Not sure if you corrected this with the one you sent over to the court.

Also is it 9.30am Simon needs to be at court tomorrow can you let me know please.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 17 February 2014 17:53
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Outcome for fail to surrender
Hi Lorraine

Thank you for the information. I have forwarded this to the barrister, Andy Locke who is covering the hearing tomorrow. I asked for the case to be removed from the list for two weeks as I have yet to hear back from the Insurance company and the prosecution but the court went ahead and listed it.

Simon must attend by 11am. His case is fourth on so if he arrives at court for 10.30am he will be in plenty of time. I will send a chaser email to the Trevor Allway this evening. He is in court 7.

If the insurance file and the disclosure from the police comes back favourably then coupled with the confirmation that Simon has no convictions for failing to surrender I will try again to get his bail conditions relaxed but I stress I can only do this once I am in receipt of the disclosure and this will be dependent on what the disclosure reveals.

I can then compile all the case papers for the defence and assess whether we can make a request for the prosecution to review the prosecution against Simon. I think that they will proceed regardless but I will try once I am in receipt of all the information and if this information assists the defence and undermines the prosecution.

I will arrange an appointment with Simon for this weekend / early next week and I hope to have some disclosure before the.

Any questions please do not hesitate to come back to me.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Feb 17, 2014 at 3:12 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I just been emailed from the court the out come of the city of London case fail to surrender as you will see from the attached copy that has been sent over to me today from the court this case was dismissed.

I had to pay £5.00 to get this from the court so I will email you the copy of the receipt also and the email I sent to the court to get this dealt with I will send this over so you have copies of it..

So on Simon bail for this case there should be no fail to surrender, as he has never failed to surrender to the police or the court.

Also there are some other things on the PNC that I want to look into as there are some other errors I am sure, but I wanted to get this one dealt with 1st as this was causing a problem.

Also Simon has looked over the section 8 and there is some errors with the dates Jemi put March and not May in 2 places also he put in section 2, 21. arrested win connections I think that should have been with and not win.

Not sure if you corrected this with the one you sent over to the court.

Also is it 9.30am Simon needs to be at court tomorrow can you let me know please.

Many Thanks

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 17 February 2014 17:57

To: Allaway, Trevor; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Andrew Locke

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014

Dear Mr Allway

Further to my email of 12th February 2014 can you please confirm whether a decision has yet been made as to the release of the Patel file.

I await hearing from you and any update in advance of tomorrow's hearing would be appreciated.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 18 February 2014 11:41
To: Andrew Locke; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Del Edgeler; J.B. Akin-Olugbade
Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014

Dear All

Please see response that I received from the insurance company.

Regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Allaway, Trevor <Trevor.Allaway@cl-uk.com>

Date: Tue, Feb 18, 2014 at 10:09 AM

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014

To: JOSEPHINE WARD <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Dear Sirs

We will pass the relevant copies to you as soon as possible but please bear in mind that as loss adjusters we are already working under considerable pressure.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor,3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

Tel Office 0121 233 6765

Facsimile 0845 425 2850

email trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 17 February 2014 17:57

To: Allaway, Trevor; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Andrew Locke

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014

Dear Mr Allway

Further to my email of 12th February 2014 can you please confirm whether a decision has yet been made as to the release of the Patel file.

I await hearing from you and any update in advance of tomorrow's hearing would be appreciated.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: 'gl-hcomermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.




Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell

DOB 26/01/1981

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [gl-hcomermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14 To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit Administration Office Highbury Corner Magistrates Court Tel: 0207-506 3100 Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

109 Burncroft Road

Enfield

Middlesex

EN3 7JQ

18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see

them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB 26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road

Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.


I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying

is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to there date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify

which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 21 February 2014 13:14

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell; J.B. Akin-Olugbade

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention on 4th March 2014 at Woolwich Crown Court

Attachments: INSURANCE FILE.pdf

Dear Simon / Lorraine / Jemi

I refer to the above matter.

Please see attached a copy of the insurance file. I will require the police disclosure before tackling the issue of bail again and I hope to be in possession of this before the 4th March 2014.

I will email a copy of the insurance file to the CPS also to further bolster the argument about disclosure of all police files in relation to the earlier two break in / burglaries.

You will all not doubt note the insurance quotes and the names of the company providing the quotes, the amounts quoted and the damage being caused before May 2013. I do not see any evidence of any money paid out to repair the wall and I will ask the insurance company if Mr Patel has provided any proof that this was done or the cost. It is referred to in email but no quote is attached or indeed the date the work was undertaken. The photographs provided by the insurance company do not assist as they do not show the damage to the internal walls but the police files for the first two offences may well confirm that the damage is identical. This and the links from Facebook.

As you are aware of I do not receive the police disclosure by the 4th March 2014 then I will have to make a further application for the case to be listed for lack of disclosure.

Should you have any questions re the documents forwarded then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 24 February 2014 16:34

To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'

Subject: RE: RE: Simon Cordell CX52JRZ

Attachments: sold-Van-CX52JRZ.jpg

Hi Martin

After the call today please see the attached recipe for the Van CX52JRZ

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 24 February 2014 19:09

To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell REG CX52JRX

Attachments: Sold-Van-CX52JRZ-27-04-2013.jpg; Buy-Van-recipe-CX52JRZ-10-11-2013.jpg

Hi Martin

Today when I sent over the recipe for the van I sent over the wrong one. Simon has just come here and showed me the last 2 recipes for the van. I believe you already have the 10/11/2013 as I emailed this over to you on the 15/11/2013 at 15.57

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 25 March 2014 11:40
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Logbook

Attachments: CX52JRZ-logbook-full.pdf
Dear Martin

Here is the logbook for CX52JRZ please see attached. I did call DVLA after I got the letter from them which showed the spelling of the last name wrong as it was spelled Cardell and not Cordell, they told me I had to wait until the logbook come in the post and then to fill in section 6 to get it corrected. Which I will be doing.

Also i have also noticed today when the logbook came in the post and i am not sure why they have put the new keepers date as 15/02/2014 as the green slip section 10 was sent to them, so the date of the 10/11/2013 was on it so I will be writing a letter to ask why this was done, Along with the section 6 to correct the last name.

Could you please update us as to when this can be sorted with KGM as to the claim that is against Simon so he can sort his insurance out.

Also about the claim for 09 Dec 2013 we still have not heard from KGM as to when someone will be sent out to take a report from Simon could this be looked into?

And also I know there was some confusion as to the number that was called to report this on the 09 Dec 2013, please see the below information from my phone bill

Mon 09 Dec 13:28 SPEC SERV 08444126412 22:00

The call was made to 08444126412 at 13:28 and lasted 22 min this is when Simon called to report what had happened on the 09/12/2013 and give all the information which the person noted. I know there is some issue as to the lady who witnessed this information being missing but it was all given on this call. If it can be possible can someone get the tape for this call and get the information to the witness so KGM can contact her about what she saw and who was at fault.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 25 February 2014 14:31

To: 'martinj enkin@broadsuredirect com'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Hi Martin

Can you please give an update as to what is going on please with my insurance?

I do not think it is right that KGM are doing this with my no claims,

KGM has made one phone call to me about a claim on the 14/09/2013 where I told them I would send the invoice for the van, since this time I have not had one single letter to say they did not get the invoice, or any letters about this so-called claim for the 14/09/2013 and this is nearly 6 months old now. How can it be I have not had one single letter yet now my insurance is due to be renewed they bring up this and this is nearly 6 months down the line and I have heard nothing about this claim since I talked to someone from KGM on the phone back in Sep 2013?

I have still not had any letters about the subject access request under the data protect act 1998, and the letter I emailed yourself to send over to KGM on the 26/11/2013 at 15.46, and will now be taking this up with the ICO.

I have never had so many problems with an insurance company in my life then what I have had in the past year with KGM, and will be taking this up with the insurance ombudsman I have already spoken to them and they are very shocked at what I have told them and that is not all of it, they have asked me to write it all down and send it to them by email which I will be doing.

There should be only one thing that is outstanding and that is the issue for the 09/12/2013, I have made 3 calls to the claim line to inform them what happened and yes there is a claim which was not my fault being addressed. I am at this time waiting for someone to contact me about this matter as this is what I was told the last time I made a call about it. Could this be looked into also so I know that it is being addressed in the correct way and I am not just waiting for someone to contact me and this does not happen as I would like to get this addressed as soon as possible so that KGM will see this claim was not my fault.

I would like to get insured yet for the past days have not been able to do so as I am waiting for information on a quote and also to hear back about what KGM is doing with my 10 years no claims which was protected.

I have been with Broadsure for a few years now and have never had any problems with you.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 25 February 2014 11:01

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Doctors

Attachments: Meds-from-doctor.pdf

Hi Josey

Simon has just come from the doctors; his doctor is referring him to the hospital and has started him on tablets please see attached.

His doctor said if you need a letter then can you write to them.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 26 March 2014 17:54
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Logbook
Hi Martin

Is it all possible to get an update I am losing money due to not having insurance and not being able to drive. You said you would get back to me today and I have not heard anything.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 25 March 2014 11:40
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Logbook

Dear Martin

Here is the logbook for CX52JRZ please see attached. I did call DVLA after I got the letter from them which showed the spelling of the last name wrong as it was spelled Cardell and not Cordell, they told me I had to wait until the logbook come in the post and then to fill in section 6 to get it corrected. Which I will be doing.

Also i have also noticed today when the logbook came in the post and i am not sure why they have put the new keepers date as 15/02/2014 as the green slip section 10 was sent to them, so the date of the 10/11/2013 was on it so I will be writing a letter to ask why this was done, Along with the section 6 to correct the last name.

Could you please update us as to when this can be sorted with KGM as to the claim that is against Simon so he can sort his insurance out.

Also about the claim for 09 Dec 2013 we still have not heard from KGM as to when someone will be sent out to take a report from Simon could this be looked into?

And also I know there was some confusion as to the number that was called to report this on the 09 Dec 2013, please see the below information from my phone bill

Mon 09 Dec 13:28 SPEC SERV 08444126412 22:00

The call was made to 08444126412 at 13:28 and lasted 22 min this is when Simon called to report what had happened on the 09/12/2013 and give all the information which the person noted. I know there is some issue as to the lady who witnessed this information being missing but it was all given on this call. If it can be possible can someone get the tape for this call and get the information to the witness so KGM can contact her about what she saw and who was at fault.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 26 February 2015 22:31

To: 'GL-BrentMCenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'

Cc: 'Sharon.Burns@met.pnn.police.uk'; 'CO16Mailbox-.NorthProsecutionFPN@met.pnn.police.uk'

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Attachments: Simon_Cordell_Appeal_Willesden_Harrow_Crown_Court.pdf; Found Guilty again 26-01-2015.pdf
Dear Annabel Jereniah

I have just seen the Memorandum of Entry that the Crown Court has sent and on that it says there was a Disqualification until test passed.

But when we contacted the court, we were only told about 6 points and a fine for this case and this was also on the letter from the court.

If I knew a Disqualification until test passed when I filed my appeal on the 13/02/2015 I would have ticked this be suspended until appeal was heard.

Can you please look into this and see if the appeal could include the suspension of the Disqualification until the appeal is heard?

Please see attached document

Simon_Cordell_Appeal_Willesden_Harrow_Crown_Court Found Guilty again 26-01-2015

As you will see the letter Found Guilty again 26-01-2015 does not show any disqualification and this was confirmed by the court when we called that there were 6 points added and a fine.

Regards Lorraine Cordell

From: Josephine Ward [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 28 February 2014 17:13

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

Nothing from CPS so will be asking for case to be listed on Tuesday. I sent you what I received from the insurance company. Three claims. Have forwarded to CPS and court and stated we are entitled to earlier crime reports etc.

Have a nice weekend

Regards

Josephine

From: [Lorraine Cordell](#) Sent: 28/02/2014 15:39 To: ['JOSEPHINE WARD'](#)

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

Has there been any update from the crown as of yet? Simon is really not good and I am getting more and more worried about him.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 28 February 2014 12:22
To: 'accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Unit 3 - 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15

MR. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern: Noise and Nuisance Team

I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.

The dates of information I am asking for is for the 16/02/2013 to 17/02/2013,
Also 04/05/2013 to 05/05/2013

At Unit 3 - 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.

I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that where put in place for this address.

Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Also, id any police on these dates with names of police officers that where involved with the said noise at this address and times that any person's had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.

If there is a cost for this information then can you please update of the cost via email. Also, if there is any information that cannot be given can you also please update me as to what information is being withheld?

Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 28 February 2014 15:40

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

Has there been any update from the crown as of yet? Simon is really not good and I am getting more and more worried about him.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 28 April 2014 17:54

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Update for Simon case

Hi Josey

Can you let me know if when Simon case will be listed as we have not heard anything?

Has the CPS sent anything else over if not we were thinking the pressure needs to be kept on the police and CPS for the discloser so was thinking it would have been better to have gone back to court by now so the judge can see what's going on?

I know the CPS asked for more time and said they would have the information over to you by the end of business day on the 14/04/2014 this did not happen and again they asked for more time which has again passed and they have not given the information over, is the judge going to be made aware of this as they had enough time already to give over the information that was asked for. They have now not done what the judge asked 4 times, and you have also given them 2 more extensions and still nothing. And I do think the judge needs to be made aware of this fact.

Can we have an update as to what is going on I know when I last talked to you on the 24/04/2014 you said you was going to put into the court on the 29/04/2014 so I was thinking it should have already been listed for yesterday or today but have not heard anything.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with


the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.




The image shows two large, empty rectangular boxes stacked vertically. Each box has a small icon in the top-left corner, which appears to be a document or file icon. The boxes are intended for the user to provide lists of cases they want information on.









Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as a fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not

immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014

and

would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge. Please see below

the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:30
To: 'Josephine Ward'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell
Hi Josey

Can you let me know what time court is for tomorrow as Simon wants to go. I have told him he does not need to go till the 18th but he is so unstable he wants to be there tomorrow, he has been to the doctors due to his health. He wants his bail address by the court. There are some parts that should be looked at for failing to surrender which should now be dropped as he has never failed to surrender to the court or police.

Lorraine

From: Josephine Ward [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 February 2014 17:13
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

Nothing from CPS so will be asking for case to be listed on Tuesday. I sent you what I received from the insurance company. Three claims. Have forwarded to CPS and court and stated we are entitled to earlier crime reports etc.

Have a nice weekend

Regards

Josephine

From: [Lorraine Cordell](#)
Sent: 28/02/2014 15:39
To: '[JOSEPHINE WARD](#)'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

Has there been any update from the crown as of yet. Simon is really not good and I am getting more and more worried about him.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 03 March 2014 16:18

To: listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk; croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk;
J.B. Akin-Olugbade; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention - defence request as no response to section 8 disclosure application

Dear Sir or Madam

RE: T20130649

We refer to the above matter.

We request that the case be listed for mention on Wednesday 5th March 2014 as we have not yet had a response to our disclosure request in our section 8 application.

Please list this case on 5th March 2014 as this date is convenient for our trial counsel.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 03 March 2014 16:20

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL FOR MENTION ON 5TH MARCH 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

I have requested that the case be listed for mention for non-disclosure as Jemi is available on this date.

I will confirm the listing tomorrow. If Simon can obtain a letter from the Doctor as to his anxiety levels being affected as a result of the curfew we could make a further application but would require medical evidence in support.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08
To: 'gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcomermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit Administration Office Highbury Corner Magistrates Court Tel: 0207-506 3100 Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.



I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however, we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying

is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is

open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Comer Magistrates Court Tel: 0207-506 3100 Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:16
To: 'accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: RE: Unit 3 – 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15
To Whom It May Concern: Noise and Nuisance Team

I was wondering if there was any update to the below email, and if the information could be done under the freedom of information act.

If it cannot can you please confirm.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 28 February 2014 12:22
To: 'accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Unit 3 – 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15

MR. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern: Noise and Nuisance Team

I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.

The dates of information I am asking for is for the 16/02/2013 to 17/02/2013,
Also 04/05/2013 to 05/05/2013

At Unit 3 – 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.

I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that where put in place for this address.

Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Also id any police on these dates with names of police officers that where involved with the said noise at this address and times that any person's had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.

If there is a cost for this information then can you please update of the cost via email. Also if there is any information that cannot be given can you also please update me as to what information is being withheld?

Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 18:48
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL FOR MENTION ON 5TH MARCH 2014
Hi Josey

Have you heard anything from the CPS today as I know it was there last day today? Or is it at court tomorrow if so can you let me know the time as Simon wants to go. Also Simon doctor said that his solicitor would need to write to them to ask for a letter.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 March 2014 16:20
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL FOR MENTION ON 5TH MARCH 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

I have requested that the case be listed for mention for non-disclosure as Jemi is available on this date.

I will confirm the listing tomorrow. If Simon can obtain a letter from the Doctor as to his anxiety levels being affected as a result of the curfew we could make a further application but would require medical evidence in support.

Regards

Josephine

From: Community Safety Enforcement [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 05 March 2014 11:41
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 375981 (ref: 375981)
Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 375981

Dear Mr Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 375981

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 28 February 2014 in which you requested:

I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.

*The dates of information I am asking for is for the 16/02/2013 to 17/02/2013,
Also 04/05/2013 to 05/05/2013*

At Unit 3 ' 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.

I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that where put in place for this address.

Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Also id any police on these dates with names of police officers that where involved with the said noise at this address and times that any person's had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.

If there is a cost for this information then can you please update of the cost via email. Also if there is any information that cannot be given can you also please update me as to what information is being withheld?

Your request is being dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and you will receive a response within 20 working days of the date that we received your request.

The Freedom of Information Act 2000 defines a number of exemptions that may restrict the release of the requested information. There will be an assessment and if any of the exemptions categories apply then the information may not be released. You will be informed if this is the case, including your rights of appeal.

The information provided will be in English, normal font size. If you require alternative formats, e.g. other language, audio, large print, etc. please notify us.

If we are unable to provide you with the information requested we will notify you of this together with the reason(s) why and details of how you may appeal (if appropriate).

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 05 March 2014 10:44

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: REGINA V. SIMON CORDELL

Hi Josey

Here is the email for the council you asked me to send over

accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk

When I called them they told me to mark it for the Noise and Nuisance Team

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 05 March 2014 11:57
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 375981 (ref: 375981)
Hi Josey

This is the reply I just had for the freedom of information act I put into the council you may note that they have given a new email to the one I used to submit the request see below email I used.

accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk

They have also given a ref for my request so maybe you can use this in your email to them.

Lorraine

From: Community Safety Enforcement [mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 05 March 2014 11:41
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 375981 (ref: 375981)

Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 375981

Dear Mr Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 375981

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 28 February 2014 in which you requested:

I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.

*The dates of information I am asking for is for the 16/02/2013 to 17/02/2013,
Also 04/05/2013 to 05/05/2013*

At Unit 3 ' 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.

I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that where put in place for this address.

Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Also id any police on these dates with names of police officers that where involved with the said noise at this address and times that any person's had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.

If there is a cost for this information then can you please update of the cost via email. Also if there is any information that cannot be given can you also please update me as to what information is being withheld?

Your request is being dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and you will receive a response within 20 working days of the date that we received your request.

The Freedom of Information Act 2000 defines a number of exemptions that may restrict the release of the requested information. There will be an assessment and if any of the exemptions categories apply then the information may not be released. You will be informed if this is the case, including your rights of appeal.

The information provided will be in English, normal font size. If you require alternative formats, e.g. other language, audio, large print, etc. please notify us.

If we are unable to provide you with the information requested we will notify you of this together with the reason(s) why and details of how you may appeal (if appropriate).

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 05 March 2014 20:46

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Lorraine

Jemi will be attending himself. The case is listed at 2pm in court 12 I think. Simon is more than welcome to attend.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Mar 5, 2014 at 8:25 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend. Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?

I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over. They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb 2013 with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse

and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb 2013. So really had them red-handed and still done nothing. And NFA the people they did arrest.

Josey they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information.

Again they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb 2013.

Again they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May 2013 and let the party carry on knowing all this information.

This is clearly a setup.

Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD rmailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com

Sent: 05 March 2014 17:09

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure. Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.

I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.

I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 05 March 2014 17:09

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Attachments: 20140305144814.pdf

Dear Simon / Lorraine

The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure. Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.

I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.

I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 05 March 2014 20:25

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend. Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?

I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over. They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb 2013 with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb 2013. So really had them red-handed and still done nothing. And NFA the people they did arrest.

Josey they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information.

Again they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb 2013.

Again they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May 2013 and let the party carry on knowing all this information.

This is clearly a setup.

Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 05 March 2014 17:09

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure. Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.

I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.

I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 06 March 2014 09:38
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this to ask if there is any update, they did not list my case yesterday and it is now listed for today at Woolwich at 2pm so I was wondering if you had any of the data,

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however, we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not

broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to there date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 06 March 2014 13:00
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014 Hi Josey

Simon is not going to attend court today which I do think is best as he is very unstable at this time.
Have you had a chance to go over the discloser yet and with this can an application be put in for Simon bail conditions to be looked at as soon as possible due to how unwell he is at this time?

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 March 2014 20:46
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Lorraine

Jemi will be attending himself. The case is listed at 2pm in court 12 I think. Simon is more than welcome to attend.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Mar 5, 2014 at 8:25 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend. Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?

I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over. They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb 2013 with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb 2013. So really had them red-handed and still done nothing. And NFA the people they did arrest.

Josey, they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information.

Again, they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb 2013.

Again, they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May 2013 and let the party carry on knowing all this information.

This is clearly a setup.

Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 March 2014 17:09
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure. Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.

I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.

I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 07 March 2014 00:39

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

If at all possible can you confirm what happened in court today by email. Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?

Also if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?

If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.

There is still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.

There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.

If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?

I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.

If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this. The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.

The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him.

The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.

How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building. How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more then once? And also on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data. As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there was people living there.

They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of there van due to him hitting a girl which a 999 call was made. The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they was trying to get the guys shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.

The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.

Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address? As if you look in the information we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road we said the party was on. The case for Simon the police are saying Haymerle Road. Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.

Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 March 2014 20:46
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Lorraine

Jemi will be attending himself. The case is listed at 2pm in court 12, I think. Simon is more than welcome to attend.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Mar 5, 2014 at 8:25 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend. Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?

I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over. They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb 2013 with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb 2013. So really had them red-handed and still done nothing. And NFA the people they did arrest.

Josey, they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information.

Again, they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb 2013.

Again, they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May 2013 and let the party carry on knowing all this information.

This is clearly a setup.

Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 March 2014 17:09
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure. Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.

I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.

I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 07 March 2014 13:15

To: 'nikki.diamond@cl-uk.com'

Subject: RE: Ref SLCGC/NJD/5005393

Attachments: IMG_3862.JPG; IMG_3851.JPG; IMG_3852.JPG; IMG_3853.JPG; IMG_3854.JPG; IMG_3855.JPG; IMG_3856.JPG; IMG_3857.JPG

Dear Nikki Diamond

I am writing this letter to you after Trevor Allaway sent us the file for Mr Patel, due to my son Simon Cordell being charged by the police.

When looking at the Pictures which were sent with the file I was wondering if you had any other pictures then the 3 that was sent to us. Maybe ones that show the damage to the wall, and maybe ones that are in colour if at all possible.

From the Pictures we got from the police re the case of my son there does not seem to be any that show the damage to a wall. The problem is my Son never went into that part of the warehouse so from the picture the police have given it is not clear at all. And the 3 pictures that Trevor Allaway sent in the file he cannot see any damage to any walls.

In 2 of the pictures the police have sent there is what the police have said is a concealed passageway which they think is how the warehouse was accessed. But to me there seems to be no way access could have been gotten this way. Please see IMG-3853 and IMG-3854

I know you have been in the warehouse yourself and noted the layout of the warehouse that was included with the file that has been sent over to us. Is there any way if you do not have any more pictures you can mark on your layout where the wall was damaged inside the warehouse?

I know this is asking a lot but if you could help at all I would be most grateful.

Many Thanks

Lorraine Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 March 2014 16:32
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014
Hi Josey

An update to the email below.

The police called here late yesterday night I was thinking they had come to tell me Simon was dead. The police have put Simon on there vulnerable list due to how bad he has got, he is even beaching his skin because he thinks the police are doing this due to his colour, he has been doing this for the last weeks but it only come out this weekend

He has started over the last weeks locking himself away not letting anyone see him which is really not a good thing. Saturday he even told Katie not to come any more which really is the last person he lets in.

This really has to be listed for court this week as he is getting worse.

I want to write a letter to the judge about what is going on would he read this at a bail application and not let it be read out in court as there will be very private things in it.

Can you give me an update to the below email and this one as to what can be done I am trying to keep him as claim as I can till he has to see the psychiatrist but it is very hard and this is making things worse on my health which you know already is not good. I would also like to put a complaint in about this and how the police have handled this whole case.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 07 March 2014 00:39
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

If at all possible can you confirm what happened in court today by email. Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?

Also if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?

If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.

There is still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.

There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.

If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?

I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.

If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this. The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.

The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him.

The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.

How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building. How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more than once? And also on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data. As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there was people living there.

They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of there van due to him hitting a girl which a 999 call was made. The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they was trying to get the guys shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.

The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.

Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address? As if you look in the information we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road we said the party was on. The case for Simon the police are saying Haymerle Road. Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.

Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 March 2014 20:46
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Lorraine

Jemi will be attending himself. The case is listed at 2pm in court 12 I think. Simon is more than welcome to attend.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Mar 5, 2014 at 8:25 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend. Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?

I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over. They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb

2013 with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb 2013. So really had them red-handed and still done nothing. And NFA the people they did arrest.

Josey they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information.

Again they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb 2013.

Again they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May 2013 and let the party carry on knowing all this information.

This is clearly a setup.

Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 March 2014 17:09
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure. Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.

I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.

I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 12:43
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email to see if there is any update to the information that I have asked for.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however, we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.




I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.









Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not

broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 10 March 2014 12:59

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

As confirmed to Mrs Cordell last week, a member of staff at Tottenham Magistrates Court will research the Registers listed and copies will be sent, by e-mail, to you as we recover them. The Court opens Tuesday to Thursday: we hope to have at least some of the records for you tomorrow.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 10 March 2014 12:43

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email to see if there is any update to the information that I have asked for.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however, we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly,

these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying

is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 22:18
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014
Hi Josey

Simon date has been moved forward to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP to tomorrow morning at 9.30am

Also can you please give us an update to the below emails as Simon would like to fully understand what went on in court last week as to the discloser and if any more discloser has been asked for, he wants to know if you will be trying to get the discloser from the pictures to the Feb 2013 case and if you will be trying to get the information as to what inspector went to the building before the party started and more times on the night, and the invoices as to what stock was there before Feb 2013 after Feb 2013 and before May 2013. as he really is not 100% sure what he is being charged with as to what damage and the amount of stock he was meant to have taken.

And also to know what can be done with his bail conditions if he cannot get a letter from the DR right away, he is scared as it a new Dr they may not give him a letter right away until they have had more sessions?

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 March 2014 16:32
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

An update to the email below.

The police called here late yesterday night I was thinking they had come to tell me Simon was dead. The police have put Simon on there vulnerable list due to how bad he has got, he is even beaching his skin because he thinks the police are doing this due to his colour, he has been doing this for the last weeks but it only come out this weekend

He has started over the last weeks locking himself away not letting anyone see him which is really not a good thing. Saturday he even told Katie not to come any more which really is the last person he lets in.

This really has to be listed for court this week as he is getting worse.

I want to write a letter to the judge about what is going on would he read this at a bail application and not let it be read out in court as there will be very private things in it.

Can you give me an update to the below email and this one as to what can be done I am trying to keep him as claim as I can till he has to see the psychiatrist but it is very hard and this is making things worse on my heath which you know already is not good. I would also like to put a complaint in about this and how the police have handled this whole case.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 07 March 2014 00:39
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

If at all possible can you confirm what happened in court today by email. Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?

Also if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?

If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.

There is still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.

There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.

If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?

I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.

If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this. The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.

The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him.

The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.

How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building. How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more then once? And also on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data. As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there was people living there.

They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of there van due to him hitting a girl which a 999 call was made. The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they was trying to get the guys shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.

The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.

Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address? As if you look in the information we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road we said the party was on. The case for Simon the police are saying Haymerle Road. Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.

Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 March 2014 20:46
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Lorraine

Jemi will be attending himself. The case is listed at 2pm in court 12 I think. Simon is more than welcome to attend.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Mar 5, 2014 at 8:25 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Simon knows about court tomorrow and he wants to attend. Can you let me know the time for court tomorrow and who will be attending will it be Jemi?

I am shocked at what the CPS has sent over. They have known all along people was arrested at the party in Feb 2013 with items from the building, saw the damage to the warehouse and still NFA these people they even had the police watching the building seeing people take lots of things out the building in Feb 2013. So really had them red-handed and still done nothing. And NFA the people they did arrest.

Josey they know Simon did not do this so why charge him and put this all on him, this is why they are covering up and don't want to give the information.

Again they have withheld so much information like the pictures from Feb 2013.

Again they have not given any of the officer names that were there before the party started in May 2013 and let the party carry on knowing all this information.

This is clearly a setup.

Can Simon bail be address with this information?

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 05 March 2014 17:09
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Dear Simon / Lorraine

The case is listed tomorrow for mention re disclosure. Simon you are not required to attend but are free to attend.

I attach the CRIS, received this morning re the February 2014 matter.

I will go over this at some point this evening once I finish at the police station.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 11 March 2014 11:42

To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell DVLA

Attachments: DVLA-CX52JRZ.pdf

Hi Martin

Please see the attached letter from DVLA about CX52JRZ. I got the letter today but they seem to have put my Surname as Cardell and not Cordell so I will be contacting them today by phone.

Regards,

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 March 2014 11:59
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this to see if there is any news as to the data I asked for. I was hoping to have got an email yesterday with some information due to the email you sent me on the 10/02/2014 but I did not. I understand that the court is only open Tuesday to Thursday which only give till tomorrow as you are aware I am back in court on the 18/03/2014 which if I do not get anything by tomorrow I will have to go into court then with nothing as the court would be closed. Could you therefore let me know if the data I have asked for will be available by tomorrow?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 12:59
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

As confirmed to Mrs Cordell last week, a member of staff at Tottenham Magistrates Court will research the Registers listed and copies will be sent, by e-mail, to you as we recover them. The Court opens Tuesday to Thursday: we hope to have at least some of the records for you tomorrow.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 12:43
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email to see if there is any update to the information that I have asked for.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however, we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [gl-hcomermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 March 2014 12:04

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Our colleague is searching for the files now: I will send whatever she finds today. She will be continuing to search for files tomorrow also.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit Highbury Corner Magistrates Court Tel: 0207-506 3100 Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 12 March 2014 11:59

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this to see if there is any news as to the data I asked for. I was hoping to have got an email yesterday with some information due to the email you sent me on the 10/02/2014 but I did not. I understand that the court is only open Tuesday to Thursday which only give till tomorrow as you are aware I am back in court on the 18/03/2014 which if I do not get anything by tomorrow I will have to go into court then with nothing as the court would be closed. Could you therefore let me know if the data I have asked for will be available by tomorrow?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcomermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 10 March 2014 12:59

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

As confirmed to Mrs Cordell last week, a member of staff at Tottenham Magistrates Court will research the Registers listed and copies will be sent, by e-mail, to you as we recover them. The Court opens Tuesday to Thursday: we hope to have at least some of the records for you tomorrow.



Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying

is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]

Sent: 10 March 2014 12:43

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email to see if there is any update to the information that I have asked for.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [<mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>]

Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however, we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [<mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records, I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcomermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14 To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit Administration Office Highbury Corner Magistrates Court Tel: 0207-506 3100 Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell 109
Burncroft Road Enfield

Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.









From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 13 March 2014 12:27

To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
To Whom It May Concern:

I am sorry to keep emailing you but I still have not had any emails with the data I have asked for. Can you please get back to me with what is going on.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcomermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 March 2014 12:04

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Our colleague is searching for the files now: I will send whatever she finds today. She will be continuing to search for files tomorrow also.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit Highbury Corner Magistrates Court Tel: 0207-506 3100 Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 12 March 2014 11:59

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this to see if there is any news as to the data I asked for. I was hoping to have got an email yesterday with some information due to the email you sent me on the 10/02/2014 but I did not. I understand that the court is only open Tuesday to Thursday which only give till tomorrow as you are aware, I am back in court on the 18/03/2014 which if I do not get anything by tomorrow, I will have to go into court then with nothing as the court would be closed. Could you therefore let me know if the data I have asked for will be available by tomorrow?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 12:59
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

As confirmed to Mrs Cordell last week, a member of staff at Tottenham Magistrates Court will research the Registers listed and copies will be sent, by e-mail, to you as we recover them. The Court opens Tuesday to Thursday: we hope to have at least some of the records for you tomorrow.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 12:43
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email to see if there is any update to the information that I have asked for.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however,

we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39

To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcomermcencq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcencq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.





Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying

is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.







This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [gl-hcomermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 13:04
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

A number of the registers have been located and they are being copied now. We will send them by post tomorrow to the address given by you in a previous e-mail:

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell 109
Burncroft Road Enfield Middlesex
EN3 7JQ

The age and quality of the register entries is such that scanning and e-mailing is not a viable option.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100
Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL -HCORNERMCEN Q @hmcts .gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 12:27
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am sorry to keep emailing you but I still have not had any emails with the data I have asked for. Can you please get back to me with what is going on?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 12 March 2014 12:04
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Our colleague is searching for the files now: I will send whatever she finds today. She will be continuing to search for files tomorrow also.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 March 2014 11:59
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this to see if there is any news as to the data I asked for. I was hoping to have got an email yesterday with some information due to the email you sent me on the 10/02/2014 but I did not. I understand that the court is only open Tuesday to Thursday which only give till tomorrow as you are aware I am back in court on the 18/03/2014 which if I do not get anything by tomorrow I will have to go into court then with nothing as the court would be closed. Could you therefore let me know if the data I have asked for will be available by tomorrow?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 12:59
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

As confirmed to Mrs Cordell last week, a member of staff at Tottenham Magistrates Court will research the Registers listed and copies will be sent, by e-mail, to you as we recover them. The Court opens Tuesday to Thursday: we hope to have at least some of the records for you tomorrow.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 10 March 2014 12:43

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email to see if there is any update to the information that I have asked for.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however, we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [<mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records, I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcomermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit Administration Office Highbury Corner Magistrates Court Tel: 0207-506 3100 Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield

Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying

is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 13:12
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
To Whom It May Concern:

Could you please email them to this address if possible.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 13:04
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

A number of the registers have been located and they are being copied now. We will send them by post tomorrow to the address given by you in a previous e-mail:

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ

The age and quality of the register entries is such that scanning and e-mailing is not a viable option.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 12:27
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am sorry to keep emailing you but I still have not had any emails with the data I have asked for. Can you please get back to me with what is going on.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 12 March 2014 12:04
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Our colleague is searching for the files now: I will send whatever she finds today. She will be continuing to search for files tomorrow also.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 March 2014 11:59
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this to see if there is any news as to the data I asked for. I was hoping to have got an email yesterday with some information due to the email you sent me on the 10/02/2014 but I did not. I understand that the court is only open Tuesday to Thursday which only give till tomorrow as you are aware I am back in court on the 18/03/2014 which if I do not get anything by tomorrow I will have to go into court then with nothing as the court would be closed. Could you therefore let me know if the data I have asked for will be available by tomorrow?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 12:59
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

As confirmed to Mrs Cordell last week, a member of staff at Tottenham Magistrates Court will research the Registers listed and copies will be sent, by e-mail, to you as we recover them. The Court opens Tuesday to Thursday: we hope to have at least some of the records for you tomorrow.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 12:43
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email to see if there is any update to the information that I have asked for.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however,

we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39

To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying

is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Community Safety Enforcement [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 13:20
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Response to Information request - ref 375981 (ref: 375981)
Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 375981

Dear Mr Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 375981

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 28 February 2014 in which you requested:

I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.

*The dates of information I am asking for is for the 16/02/2013 to 17/02/2013,
Also 04/05/2013 to 05/05/2013*

At Unit 3 ' 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.

I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that where put in place for this address.

Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Also id any police on these dates with names of police officers that where involved with the said noise at this address and times that any person's had to attend the address from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.

If there is a cost for this information then can you please update of the cost via email. Also if there is any information that cannot be given can you also please update me as to what information is being withheld?

I did notice an error in my email with regards to the address due to Horrisons Industrial Estate being around 2 roads you may have the data for address Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15 or Horrisons Industrial Estate, Bianca Road, SE15.

The information that you requested is below

The Council does not have any noise records for the dates you have requested under either of the addresses supplied.

You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder.

You may apply for permission to re-use this information by submitting a request to accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk; you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>. Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.

If you have any queries or concerns then please contact us using the above details.

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 14:10
To: 'gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.
To Whom It May Concern:

Could you please email them to this address if possible? Or would it please be possible for my mum to attend Enfield Court and pick the data up.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 13:04
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

A number of the registers have been located and they are being copied now. We will send them by post tomorrow to the address given by you in a previous e-mail:

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ

The age and quality of the register entries is such that scanning and e-mailing is not a viable option.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 12:27
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am sorry to keep emailing you but I still have not had any emails with the data I have asked for. Can you please get back to me with what is going on.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 12 March 2014 12:04
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Our colleague is searching for the files now: I will send whatever she finds today. She will be continuing to search for files tomorrow also.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 March 2014 11:59
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this to see if there is any news as to the data I asked for. I was hoping to have got an email yesterday with some information due to the email you sent me on the 10/02/2014 but I did not. I understand that the court is only open Tuesday to Thursday which only give till tomorrow as you are aware I am back in court on the 18/03/2014 which if I do not get anything by tomorrow I will have to go into court then with nothing as the court would be closed. Could you therefore let me know if the data I have asked for will be available by tomorrow?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 12:59
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

As confirmed to Mrs Cordell last week, a member of staff at Tottenham Magistrates Court will research the Registers listed and copies will be sent, by e-mail, to you as we recover them. The Court opens Tuesday to Thursday: we hope to have at least some of the records for you tomorrow.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768
e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 12:43
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email to see if there is any update to the information that I have asked for.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however, we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Office

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is on going at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.

Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB

26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying

is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 13 March 2014 14:47

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

You may collect the information from Enfield Magistrates Court today, between 4pm and 4.30pm, if you wish. You should take some form of photographic identification with you for security purposes, and speak to the Customer Services Unit. You can speak to them on 0208-808 5411 (Option 4).

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 13 March 2014 14:10

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

Could you please email them to this address if possible? Or would it please be possible for my mum to attend Enfield Court and pick the data up.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 13 March 2014 13:04

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

A number of the registers have been located and they are being copied now. We will send them by post tomorrow to the address given by you in a previous e-mail:

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield Middlesex
EN3 7JQ

The age and quality of the register entries is such that scanning and e-mailing is not a viable option.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 13 March 2014 12:27

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am sorry to keep emailing you but I still have not had any emails with the data I have asked for. Can you please get back to me with what is going on?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 March 2014 12:04 To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Our colleague is searching for the files now: I will send whatever she finds today. She will be continuing to search for files tomorrow also.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 12 March 2014 11:59

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this to see if there is any news as to the data I asked for. I was hoping to have got an email yesterday with some information due to the email you sent me on the 10/02/2014 but I did not. I understand that the court is only open Tuesday to Thursday which only give till tomorrow as you are aware I am back in court on the 18/03/2014 which if I do not get anything by tomorrow I will have to go into court then with nothing as the court would be closed. Could you therefore let me know if the data I have asked for will be available by tomorrow?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 10 March 2014 12:59

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

As confirmed to Mrs Cordell last week, a member of staff at Tottenham Magistrates Court will research the Registers listed and copies will be sent, by e-mail, to you as we recover them. The Court opens Tuesday to Thursday: we hope to have at least some of the records for you tomorrow.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 10 March 2014 12:43

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email to see if there is any update to the information that I have asked for.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 04 March 2014 15:23

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff are looking for the records at Enfield Magistrates Court this week; however, we will not have them for tomorrow morning. We will endeavour to have as many as possible before Thursday. If there are specific dates you needed particularly, these could be accessed first.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements

which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 04 March 2014 15:08
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am wondering if there is any update, as I am in Woolwich Crown Court tomorrow and as said I wanted the information before I went to court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 14:39
To: 'GL-HCORNERMCENQ'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

After you email dated the 21/02/2014 I was thinking that you would be searching for the data from the week of the 24/02/2014 as this is what it said in the email. As I said in my 1st email when asking for the information I have a case that is at Woolwich crown court and I am having problems with my bail due to the records on my PNC, I am due in court maybe tomorrow or this week as my solicitor is putting into court to have a hearing and I wanted to have any errors on the PNC addressed at court.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:48
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Staff will be searching for the requested Registers from tomorrow. We will contact you as soon as this is done.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements

which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 March 2014 13:22
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

To Whom It May Concern:

I was wondering if there were any updates as to the data I have asked for so that I can check cases against my PNC file.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 February 2014 16:06
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

The records you request are at another court. We will begin searching for them next week when the court is open.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit

Administration Officer

Highbury Corner Magistrates Court

Tel: 0207-506 3100

Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: gl-hcornermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 20 February 2014 12:29
To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Hello

I do understand that most of the items listed in my emails are archived due to their date but as I can

see errors in the dates of some of the things and I know this as fact I would like all items checked that is listed so that it can be checked against the PNC records, I have got the print out from.

Many Thanks

Simon Cordell

From: GL-HCORNERMCENQ [mailto:gl-hcomermcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 20 February 2014 12:14

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Dear Mr Cordell,

Further to the conversation between Mrs Cordell and a member of staff yesterday, please specify which dates and offences you wish us to check. Almost all the offences are archived and not immediately accessible using the computer; specific dates and offences would help reduce the delay in providing this information.

Regards,

Customer Services Unit Administration Office Highbury Corner Magistrates Court Tel: 0207-506 3100 Fax: 0870 739 5768

e-mail: GL-HCORNERMCENQ@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

I am neither authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 19 February 2014 13:36

To: GL-HCORNERMCENQ

Subject: Re: Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Road
Enfield
Middlesex
EN3 7JQ
18/02/2014

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email as I have an ongoing case at Woolwich Crown Court, and I have noticed some errors in my PNC record which the police printed of at around 25/06/2013.

I would be very grateful if you can pull up the following records so I can check them with the PNC the police printed off for the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court, I have been told I will need a Memorandum of conviction or the transcript for the case.

I do know there are some errors on the cases that was heard at Enfield Magistrates and can see them clearly, but due to how far they go back cannot remember some of the cases. I do also know some are correct but due to some dates being wrong on some of the ones I can see errors in I would like to check all the cases on the PNC that was heard at Enfield Magistrates.

If this can be done as a matter of urgency due to the impact this is having on my life with the case that is ongoing at Woolwich Crown Court and my bail and other issues.

If the information could be emailed to me, I would be grateful as I am next in court on March 2014 and would like this information of any errors so I can show the judge.


Please see below the lists of cases I would like information on.











Yours faithfully

Mr Simon Paul Cordell

DOB 26/01/1981

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet antivirus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not

broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be

monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message

by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 12:34
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014
Hi Josey

Simon has asked me to email you again to ask if you can reply to the below emails there is still points he would like addressed.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 22:18
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

Simon date has been moved forward to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP to tomorrow morning at 9.30am

Also can you please give us an update to the below emails as Simon would like to fully understand what went on in court last week as to the discloser and if any more discloser has been asked for, he wants to know if you will be trying to get the discloser from the pictures to the Feb 2013 case and if you will be trying to get the information as to what inspector went to the building before the party started and more times on the night, and the invoices as to what stock was there before Feb 2013 after Feb 2013 and before May 2013. as he really is not 100% sure what he is being charged with as to what damage and the amount of stock he was meant to have taken.

And also to know what can be done with his bail conditions if he cannot get a letter from the DR right away, he is scared as it a new Dr they may not give him a letter right away until they have had more sessions?

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 March 2014 16:32
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

An update to the email below.

The police called here late yesterday night I was thinking they had come to tell me Simon was dead. The police have put Simon on there vulnerable list due to how bad he has got, he is even beaching his skin because he thinks the police are doing this due to his colour, he has been doing this for the last weeks but it only come out this weekend

He has started over the last weeks locking himself away not letting anyone see him which is really not a good thing. Saturday he even told Katie not to come any more which really is the last person he lets in.

This really has to be listed for court this week as he is getting worse.

I want to write a letter to the judge about what is going on would he read this at a bail application and not let it be read out in court as there will be very private things in it.

Can you give me an update to the below email and this one as to what can be done I am trying to keep him as claim as I can till he has to see the psychiatrist but it is very hard and this is making things worse on my heath

which you know already is not good. I would also like to put a complaint in about this and how the police have handled this whole case.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 07 March 2014 00:39

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

If at all possible can you confirm what happened in court today by email. Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?

Also if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?

If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.

There is still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.

There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.

If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?

I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jarvis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.

If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this. The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.

The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him.

The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.

How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building. How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more then once? And also on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data. As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there was people living there.

They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of there van due to him hitting a girl which a 999 call was made. The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they was trying to get the guys shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.

The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.

Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address? As if you look in the information we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road we said the party was on. The case for Simon the police are saying Haymerle Road. Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.

Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 17 March 2014 14:27

To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell 18/02/2014

Hi Josey

Would it be possible for Simon to get an update as to what will go on in court tomorrow, and who will be attending for him will it be Jemi?

Also will the court be hearing a bail application tomorrow and if not can it be put in for it to be heard tomorrow?

Update to Simon cases for Enfield Court which is on his PNC I have the list from Enfield Court which has took them 3 weeks to do as there were so many cases on the PNC. I have tried to call you many times and sent a few texts since I got the list from the court last Thursday so that I could go over the records but I have not heard from you. From looking at the list there are 5 cases that need to come off Simon record for the Enfield cases.

There is also an issue I have with one case which will need looking into but I think I have to get my records for that date and see if it is the same case which I think it was as I can only remember one case that was ever at St. Alfred's Church right next to my house. When Simon, Tyrone, and me was arrested also at the church by me. If you remember you took Tyrone case away from mine and Simon due to Tyrone age and his case was heard on its own and Simon and mine was heard together Tyrone went to prison for this case and me and Simon got a not Guilty.

There is also 2 other records that they missed out of the list I put in so I need to address this also.

So with the other case for City of London for failing to surrender that will be 6 cases that are not correct on his PNC record. And that is without the one I have an issue with for St. Alfred's Church by me, and the other 2 cases they forgot to check. Its not that the records are wrong it is the case that the court does not have any records at all for these cases, and the lady at the court showed me what she had to look at to get the records which is very large books with each court listing within them for every case heard at court so how is it so many cases do not have any records at the court yet they are on Simon PNC record I just do not understand.

2 are in fact Burglary's, 2 are for class B drugs, 1 is for Taking motor vehicle,

Update for DR Jervis psychiatrist after him seeing Simon on the 11/03/2014 he has said he will be writing a report to Simon GP and a letter for Simon so he can give it to you, I have spoken to him today but due to a backlog the report has not gone out yet so we will not have that for tomorrow at court I do not think but Simon still wants a bail application for tomorrow if that is possible.

Simon has also said he does still want the 999 calls that was made on the day of the party he hire his sound system out to, he does still want the name of the Inspector and any others police officers that attended the building on 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013. The picture that the police took of the damaged wall and showed him that picture in interview. And the pictures for the 16/02/2013 that the police took. If there is any reason that you or jemi feel that this information is not needed can you please update us to the reason as Simon does not understand why these would not be needed for his case.

Also Simon has asked did you put the letter into the council as you said you would do for the person that attended the building on the 16/02/2013 / 17/02/2013 and the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013 as I said to you I have put a freedom of information act into them, they did reply they did not have any information but I have written back to them saying there has to be a record and I am waiting a reply from them.

Can you also please let us know what time Simon has to be in court tomorrow?

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 13 March 2014 12:34

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

Simon has asked me to email you again to ask if you can reply to the below emails there is still points he would like addressed.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 22:18
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

Simon date has been moved forward to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP to tomorrow morning at 9.30am

Also can you please give us an update to the below emails as Simon would like to fully understand what went on in court last week as to the discloser and if any more discloser has been asked for, he wants to know if you will be trying to get the discloser from the pictures to the Feb 2013 case and if you will be trying to get the information as to what inspector went to the building before the party started and more times on the night, and the invoices as to what stock was there before Feb 2013 after Feb 2013 and before May 2013. as he really is not 100% sure what he is being charged with as to what damage and the amount of stock he was meant to have taken.

And also to know what can be done with his bail conditions if he cannot get a letter from the DR right away, he is scared as it a new Dr they may not give him a letter right away until they have had more sessions?

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 March 2014 16:32
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

An update to the email below.

The police called here late yesterday night I was thinking they had come to tell me Simon was dead. The police have put Simon on there vulnerable list due to how bad he has got, he is even beaching his skin because he thinks the police are doing this due to his colour, he has been doing this for the last weeks but it only come out this weekend

He has started over the last weeks locking himself away not letting anyone see him which is really not a good thing. Saturday he even told Katie not to come any more which really is the last person he lets in.

This really has to be listed for court this week as he is getting worse.

I want to write a letter to the judge about what is going on would he read this at a bail application and not let it be read out in court as there will be very private things in it.

Can you give me an update to the below email and this one as to what can be done I am trying to keep him as claim as I can till he has to see the psychiatrist but it is very hard and this is making things worse on my heath which you know already is not good. I would also like to put a complaint in about this and how the police have handled this whole case.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 07 March 2014 00:39
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

If at all possible can you confirm what happened in court today by email. Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?

Also if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?

If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.

There is still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.

There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.

If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?

I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.

If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this. The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.

The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him.

The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.

How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building. How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more then once? And also on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data. As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there was people living there.

They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of there van due to him hitting a girl which a 999 call was made. The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they was trying to get the guys shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.

The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.

Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address? As if you look in the information we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road we said the party was on. The case for Simon the police are saying Haymerle Road. Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.

Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

Enterprise Enfield News

From: Sue King (sking@enterpriseenfield.org)

To: re_wired@ymail.com

Date: Tuesday, 18 March 2014, 19:44 GMT

March 2014

News Updates

Pop Up Emporium Open for 2 More Weeks!

If you haven't visited our Pop Up Emporium yet, don't miss your chance! It's open until 29th March (Tues - Sat, 10am- 6pm) at 9-10 Savoy Parade, Southbury Road , Enfield Town EN1 1RT (near Tesco's, next to the Enfield Exchange).

Pick up that perfect Mother's Day gift, browse the stalls or just relax in the pop up café area with a cuppa and a slice of delicious **homemade cake** care of Sophia from Sugar Tea Rooms. Other traders are currently selling a range of goods including **jewellery, bags, soft furnishings, homeware, skincare products, exclusively designed t-shirts, accessories and pepper sauces!** Get the latest news and photos on the Pop Up Emporium Facebook page <https://www.facebook.com/EnfieldEmporium>

Free Start-Up Support Programme Launched

Over 30 people attended the launch of Enterprise Enfield and Enfield Libraries [Enterprising Libraries New Biz Programme](#) at an event on the 18th February at Edmonton Green Library. Attendees took the opportunity to hear about the benefits of enrolling on this new scheme which offers free advice, guidance, training and support to help people start and develop their own businesses. Pam Tuttiet, Community Engagement Manager at **Enfield Libraries** and Des Johnson, CEO **Enterprise Enfield** spoke about the impact the project will have in empowering budding entrepreneurs to get off to the right start. Local award-winning entrepreneurs, Garry Kousoulou of [Loving Social Media](#) / [Goodlooking Optics](#) and Katy Davies of [KatyBakey](#), also gave inspiring talks on their own personal journeys into business. The free business support services offered include:

- **a New Biz Workshop** including a comprehensive business planning workbook
- **expert advice and guidance** from an experienced Business Adviser on all aspects of business including planning, marketing, financial management, raising finance etc.
- **workshops covering: social media, networking, raising finance**
- **online business resources**
- **FREE Microsoft Office 365 (Cloud) package for 1 year** with training (on completion of the programme).

Enterprise Enfield will be running 5 New Biz courses this year during March, April, May, June and September. To be eligible to apply you must first attend a [Barclays Get Ready for Business](#) seminar, and then complete the application form which can be [downloaded here](#).

Looking for Business Funding? Tap into a Start Up Loan

(Pre-starts and business owners trading under a year are eligible to apply)

The amount you can borrow can vary (the average is around £5,000) to be repaid over a period from 1 to 5 years at a total interest rate of 6%. Click for [more details about how to apply](#).

Forthcoming Seminars:

We still have some places left this for Friday's **Social Media seminar with Garry Kousoulou**. This inspiring session will show you how social media fits in with the marketing mix; how to make money using social media and how to use Facebook, Twitter and LinkedIn effectively! Recent participants said, "I found it inspiring and energising and now have action points to carry out." and "It has been very motivating, informative and in line with all the essential processes of forming a business social media strategy."

For full details please check www.EnterpriseEnfield.org

Seminar	Date	Time	Cost
How to Use Social Media Effectively for Business <i>Find out how to boost your business using social media!</i>	21 st March	09.30 - 15.00	£20
Barclays Get Ready for Business <i>(at Enfield Town Library)</i>	24 th March	10.00 - 16.00	Sold Out
Back to Work Boot Camp! <i>Boost your job prospects - call 020 8443 5457 to enrol onto our Enfield Rises and Achieves Programme in order to book this session</i>	26 th March	10.00 - 16.00	Free
Drive Traffic to Your Website <i>Find out how to develop an effective website and maximise its performance</i>	27 th March	09.30 - 13.00	£15
Barclays Get Ready for Business <i>(at Enfield Town Library)</i>	8 th April	10.00 - 16.00	Sold out
How to Use Social Media Effectively for Business <i>Find out how to boost your business using social media!</i>	9 th April	09.30 - 15.00	£20
Enterprising Libraries New Biz Programme – see details on link to enrol	25 th April	09.30 - 16.00	Free
Barclays Get Ready for Business			

(at Edmonton Green Library)	28 th April	10.00 - 16.00	Free
-----------------------------	------------------------	---------------	------

FREE Business Training!

If you are running an SME in Enfield or Haringey you and your staff can access **FREE Business training** at the **College of Haringey , Enfield and North East London .**

If your business is located in another London borough you will be referred to another college in London . The training includes a combination of short programs, nationally recognised qualifications and tailored courses in areas such as **I.T, Health and Safety, Business Administration, Sales and Marketing, First Aid, Financial Services, Transport and Warehousing, Security, Team Leadership and Management.** To be eligible to access this European Union funded training you should employ fewer than 250 staff and have a turnover of under £45M. For more details please contact Wayne Reid, Employability and Business Engagement Officer at the College, on **01273 765023.**

Are you Aged Between 16 -24? Interested in Working or Training in the Creative/ Media Industries?

Aspire-2.be and Talent Match London are youth programmes which aim to develop young people and their future careers. If you are aged between 16 - 24 you can access free training and support into **working or studying in the creative or other related industries** - this includes training in **graphics, film making, web design, photography, radio, audio production.** You can also get help into work, training or an apprenticeship.

To find out more visit the Collage Arts Open Day: Monday 3 1st March, 3pm-5pm, at Bruce Grove Centre, 10 Bruce Grove, N17 6RA. If you can't attend and are interested sign up for more info on <http://eepurl.com/OI8g5> or using the SIGN UP link on www.aspire-2.be

Looking for Employment?

Out of Work and Living in Enfield ? Get FREE Support to Find Work!

[Our Enfield Rises and Achieves Programme](#) (ERA) offers FREE support to London Borough of Enfield residents to help them into long-term employment or self-employment. Support includes a [Back to Work Boot Camp](#), one to one advice on improving CVs interview skills and job-matching with employers. To enrol you must live in Enfield and not on any other employability support programme. Call 020 8443 5457 for details.

Success Stories

Enfield Courier Invents Safer Tool for Pulling Pallets!

Enterprise Enfield client, and courier, Kevin Pinnock has invented a new tool for pulling pallets safely – which is now available from the [Screwfix website](#). **Safepul** allows workers to manoeuvre pallets which are out of direct reach of forklift trucks. This means they no longer have crawl down to strap and retrieve pallets, and they don't have to stand next to the truck with the threat of it running over their feet. [Read more..](#)

Networking Meetings

(at Edmonton Green Library)	28 th April	10.00 - 16.00	Free
-----------------------------	------------------------	---------------	------

FREE Business Training!

If you are running an SME in Enfield or Haringey you and your staff can access **FREE Business training** at the **College of Haringey , Enfield and North East London .**

If your business is located in another London borough you will be referred to another college in London . The training includes a combination of short programs, nationally recognised qualifications and tailored courses in areas such as **I.T, Health and Safety, Business Administration, Sales and Marketing, First Aid, Financial Services, Transport and Warehousing, Security, Team Leadership and Management.** To be eligible to access this European Union funded training you should employ fewer than 250 staff and have a turnover of under £45M. For more details please contact Wayne Reid, Employability and Business Engagement Officer at the College, on **01273 765023.**

Are you Aged Between 16 -24? Interested in Working or Training in the Creative/ Media Industries?

Aspire-2.be and Talent Match London are youth programmes which aim to develop young people and their future careers. If you are aged between 16 - 24 you can access free training and support into **working or studying in the creative or other related industries** - this includes training in **graphics, film making, web design, photography, radio, audio production.** You can also get help into work, training or an apprenticeship.

To find out more visit the Collage Arts Open Day: Monday 3 1st March, 3pm-5pm, at Bruce Grove Centre, 10 Bruce Grove, N17 6RA. If you can't attend and are interested sign up for more info on <http://eepurl.com/OI8g5> or using the SIGN UP link on www.aspire-2.be

Looking for Employment?

Out of Work and Living in Enfield ? Get FREE Support to Find Work!

[Our Enfield Rises and Achieves Programme](#) (ERA) offers FREE support to London Borough of Enfield residents to help them into long-term employment or self-employment. Support includes a [Back to Work Boot Camp](#), one to one advice on improving CVs interview skills and job-matching with employers. To enrol you must live in Enfield and not on any other employability support programme. Call 020 8443 5457 for details.

Success Stories

Enfield Courier Invents Safer Tool for Pulling Pallets!

Enterprise Enfield client, and courier, Kevin Pinnock has invented a new tool for pulling pallets safely – which is now available from the [Screwfix website](#). **Safepul** allows workers to manoeuvre pallets which are out of direct reach of forklift trucks. This means they no longer have to crawl down to strap and retrieve pallets, and they don't have to stand next to the truck with the threat of it running over their feet. [Read more..](#)

Networking Meetings

From: Page, John [John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK]
Sent: 19 March 2014 10:34
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: FW: Response to Information request - ref 375981 (ref: 375981)
[Dear Mr Cordell](#)

Your email dated 13/03/14 has already been booked in as a new Freedom of Information request and we hoped to have a response to you within the next few days.

Regards

John Page
Quality Manager.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 March 2014 10:28
To: Environment Communications
Subject: RE: Response to Information request - ref 375981 (ref: 375981)

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this letter to find out if there is any updates to the below email I sent on the 13/03/2014, due to the reply you sent to me saying there was not information as to the dates I had given in my request under the freedom information act.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 14:05
To: 'Community Safety Enforcement'
Subject: RE: Response to Information request - ref 375981 (ref: 375981)

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.

I know on the 16/02/2013 /17/02/2013 a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse I also know that a noise abatement orders was put in place on the warehouse on this date.

It is also fact that on the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013 a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire there sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the Feb 2013 due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.

The person that Hire there sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person

who had hire there sound system out there was be back through out the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.

Therefore I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.

I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.

I know this as fact as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the May 2013 and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.

If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Community Safety Enforcement [mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 13:20
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Response to Information request - ref 375981 (ref: 375981)

Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 375981

Dear Mr Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 375981

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 28 February 2014 in which you requested:

I am writing this email under the Freedom of information Act.

*The dates of information I am asking for is for the 16/02/2013 to 17/02/2013,
Also 04/05/2013 to 05/05/2013*

At Unit 3 ' 4 Horrison's Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15.

I am asking for all calls made on these dates by any person due to noise at the above address including any noise abatement orders that where put in place for this address.

Person's names who attended the address and times of any person attending from the Noise and Nuisance Team.

Also id any police on these dates with names of police officers that where involved with the said noise at this address and times that any person's had to attend the address from the

Noise and Nuisance Team.

Any reports made up for this address in full for the dates listed above.

If there is a cost for this information then can you please update of the cost via email. Also if there is any information that cannot be given can you also please update me as to what information is being withheld?

I did notice an error in my email with regards to the address due to Horrison's Industrial Estate being around 2 roads you may have the data for address Horrison's Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road, SE15 or Horrison's Industrial Estate, Bianca Road, SE15.

The information that you requested is below

The Council does not have any noise records for the dates you have requested under either of the addresses supplied.

You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder. You may apply for permission to re-use this information by submitting a request to accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk; you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>. Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.

If you have any queries or concerns then please contact us using the above details.

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 19 March 2014 13:45
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell 18/02/2014
Hi Josey

I am sure you are aware what happened at court yesterday with Simon. Due to the judge telling us to get out of court due to Simon, could we get an update as to what was said and the full outcome? Also can you give us an update to the bail application that has been asked for?
And if possible did you look over what I said about the Enfield court records, if you need the PNC I have marked out with mistakes please let me know.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 17 March 2014 14:27
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell 18/02/2014

Hi Josey

Would it be possible for Simon to get an update as to what will go on in court tomorrow, and who will be attending for him will it be Jemi?

Also will the court be hearing a bail application tomorrow and if not can it be put in for it to be heard tomorrow?

Update to Simon cases for Enfield Court which is on his PNC I have the list from Enfield Court which has took them 3 weeks to do as there were so many cases on the PNC. I have tried to call you many times and sent a few texts since I got the list from the court last Thursday so that I could go over the records but I have not heard from you. From looking at the list there are 5 cases that need to come off Simon record for the Enfield cases.

There is also an issue I have with one case which will need looking into but I think I have to get my records for that date and see if it is the same case which I think it was as I can only remember one case that was ever at St. Alfred's Church right next to my house. When Simon, Tyrone, and me was arrested also at the church by me. If you remember you took Tyrone case away from mine and Simon due to Tyrone age and his case was heard on its own and Simon and mine was heard together Tyrone went to prison for this case and me and Simon got a not Guilty.

There is also 2 other records that they missed out of the list I put in so I need to address this also.

So with the other case for City of London for failing to surrender that will be 6 cases that are not correct on his PNC record. And that is without the one I have an issue with for St. Alfred's Church by me, and the other 2 cases they forgot to check. Its not that the records are wrong it is the case that the court does not have any records at all for these cases, and the lady at the court showed me what she had to look at to get the records which is very large books with each court listing within them for every case heard at court so how is it so many cases do not have any records at the court yet they are on Simon PNC record I just do not understand.

2 are in fact Burglary's, 2 are for class B drugs, 1 is for Taking motor vehicle,

Update for DR Jervis psychiatrist after him seeing Simon on the 11/03/2014 he has said he will be writing a report to Simon GP and a letter for Simon so he can give it to you, I have spoken to him today but due to a backlog the report has not gone out yet so we will not have that for tomorrow at court I do not think but Simon still wants a bail application for tomorrow if that is possible.

Simon has also said he does still want the 999 calls that was made on the day of the party he hire his sound system out to, he does still want the name of the Inspector and any others police officers that attended the building on 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013. The picture that the police took of the damaged wall and showed him that picture in interview. And the pictures for the 16/02/2013 that the police took. If there is any reason that you or jemi feel that this information is not needed can you please update us to the reason as Simon does not understand why these would not be needed for his case.

Also Simon has asked did you put the letter into the council as you said you would do for the person that attended the building on the 16/02/2013 / 17/02/2013 and the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013 as I said to you I have put a freedom of information act into them, they did reply they did not have any information but I have written back to them saying there has to be a record and I am waiting a reply from them.

Can you also please let us know what time Simon has to be in court tomorrow?

Lorraine / Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 March 2014 12:34
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

Simon has asked me to email you again to ask if you can reply to the below emails there is still points he would like addressed.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 March 2014 22:18
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

Simon date has been moved forward to see DR Jervis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP to tomorrow morning at 9.30am

Also can you please give us an update to the below emails as Simon would like to fully understand what went on in court last week as to the discloser and if any more discloser has been asked for, he wants to know if you will be trying to get the discloser from the pictures to the Feb 2013 case and if you will be trying to get the information as to what inspector went to the building before the party started and more times on the night, and the invoices as to what stock was there before Feb 2013 after Feb 2013 and before May 2013. as he really is not 100% sure what he is being charged with as to what damage and the amount of stock he was meant to have taken.

And also to know what can be done with his bail conditions if he cannot get a letter from the DR right away, he is scared as it a new Dr they may not give him a letter right away until they have had more sessions?

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 March 2014 16:32
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

An update to the email below.

The police called here late yesterday night I was thinking they had come to tell me Simon was dead. The police have put Simon on there vulnerable list due to how bad he has got, he is even beaching his skin because he thinks the police are doing this due to his colour, he has been doing this for the last weeks but it only come out

this weekend

He has started over the last weeks locking himself away not letting anyone see him which is really not a good thing. Saturday he even told Katie not to come any more which really is the last person he lets in.

This really has to be listed for court this week as he is getting worse.

I want to write a letter to the judge about what is going on would he read this at a bail application and not let it be read out in court as there will be very private things in it.

Can you give me an update to the below email and this one as to what can be done I am trying to keep him as claim as I can till he has to see the psychiatrist but it is very hard and this is making things worse on my health which you know already is not good. I would also like to put a complaint in about this and how the police have handled this whole case.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 07 March 2014 00:39
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention re disclosure issues 6th March 2014

Hi Josey

If at all possible can you confirm what happened in court today by email. Is it the case now that no more discloser will be asked for?

Also if no more discloser is needed can you list it for court to see if the judge will bring the trial date forward?

If the conditions of bail could be changed there would not be so much of a problem waiting till July 2014 for it to go to trial, but I don't think Simon or I can take this till July 2014 if the bail is not addressed.

There is still some issues I do see one being is part of Simon bail conditions is for failing to surrender which should not be the case as there is no failing to surrender on his record now and this has been proved.

There is also the case you said before once you got all the discloser you needed from the insurance and CPS you said you would put an application in for his bail to be addressed; now it all seems to be on a letter from the doctors which was not the case before.

If we take this to the high court for his bail to be addressed will they look more of the case of just what the CPS says?

I don't think Simon can take it till the 17/02/2014 to see DR Jarvis psychiatrist at Barnet Enfield and Haringey Mental Health Trust 58 to 60 sliver street, EN1 3EP, nor do I think I can take any more of the worry that he will just end his life as that is what it has come to.

If you just look at my phone bills and see all the 101 calls to the police over the last months with Simon telling them he wants to kill himself you would see this. The other day I had 4 police officer in my home due to this while I am trying to stop him thinking the way he is for hours.

The stress this is having not only on Simon but me and the people around him trying to help him.

The judge has got to hear what is going on here to this family due to all this and the lies by the police and the cover ups in this case.

How can they call this burglary they are still hiding the information as to the inspector that was there before the party started that let the party go on, and went into the building before Simon had even gone in there and saw the damage to the building. How can they hide that he comes along with TSG more then once? And also on one time came with the council due to noise how they can lose all this data. As this would prove Simon did not break into the building and in fact show that there was people living there.

They also took a guy Simon knows called Martin Carl in the back of there van due to him hitting a girl which a

999 call was made. The police stayed there for around 1 hour this time as they was trying to get the guys shoes to be able to take him away. Simon is not sure if they took him to the station but if the police took him away there should be a record of this.

The CPS is saying there have given all the discloser yet where are the pictures from the Feb 2013 case where are all the statements for this case.

Are they hiding information as we are not asking for the information to the right address? As if you look in the information we got yesterday from the CPS the address is Bianca Road which as we have said before that's the road we said the party was on. The case for Simon the police are saying Haymerle Road. Which as we showed you when you on Google maps both streets are right around the building as it is covered by 2 street names.

Josey Simon cannot take no more his bail needs to be address ASAP I am scared I going to get the police here saying he has taken his life something does need to be done.

Lorraine

From: Community Safety Enforcement [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 24 March 2014 15:43
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)
Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 380680

Dear Mr Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 380680

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 13 March 2014 in which you requested:

I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.

I know on the 16/02/2013 /17/02/2013 a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse I also know that a noise abatement orders was put in place on the warehouse on this date.

It is also fact that on the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013 a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire there sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the Feb 2013 due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.

The person that Hire there sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person who had hire there sound system out there was be back through out the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.

Therefore I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.

I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.

I know this as fact as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the May 2013 and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.

If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.

The information that you requested is below

On your original Freedom of Information request ref 375981, a search was made on the

address you gave as Unit 3, 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road Se15 6SD for the dates 16-17 February 2013. I can confirm that no records were recorded under that particular address.

This request has requested 'Bianca Road' as a search criteria, and in addition to the 16-17 February 2013, also gives new dates of 4-5 May 2013.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 16-17 February 2013

Our records show 3 service requests were received regarding noise from 'the street' Bianca Road on the 17th February 2013 at:

- 05:37hrs
- 07:50hrs
- 07:53hrs

The first service request was received outside of our operational hours. With regards to the other two service requests, the officers rang the clients at 08:15hrs and 08:25hrs respectively. The first client informed the Officer that the music was no longer bothering them, however, the other client asked for the officers to visit.

Two officers visited the client at 08:45hrs and assessed the level of noise received at the client's premises. No nuisance was witnessed, however, they advised the client to call back should the level rise. No further calls were received, and no Abatement Notice was served on that date.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 4-5 May 2013

According to our records no service requests were received regarding this premises on these dates.

Noise Abatement Notice

On 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013.

In response officers visited the site on 1 May 2013, and established that the 'old sausage factory' in Bianca Road was being squatted. Officers spoke to the squatters who were residing there, and whilst they did not substantiate the claim, they did not deny it either. Officers felt that should the rave go ahead it would likely cause a nuisance to nearby residents.

In a proactive attempt to address this, on 3 May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.

In addition to the above, Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment.

Actions on the 4-5 May 2013

The Noise Team made several visits at the following times:

01:37hrs - 2 officers noted that the rave had started; they contacted Southwark Police to

enquire whether there were sufficient resources to shut it down (should a nuisance be witnessed), and were informed that this would be unlikely. They also spoke to the organisers of the rave, and reminded them that the Notice was in place. The organisers reduced the volume to a low level.

03:50hrs -The Noise team Unit Manager also visited the site along with the Police who were attached to the Council's Nighttime Economy Team. She also spoke to the organisers, who stated that they would reduce the volume further on request. No Police ID numbers were taken or recorded.

11:47hrs - 2 officers visited the premises but no nuisance witnessed.

16:53hrs - Same 2 offices visited with nothing being witnessed.

Reports Written

No reports were written on the events for both dates.

You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder. You may apply for permission to re-use this information by submitting a request to accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk; you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>. Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.

If you have any queries or concerns then please contact us using the above details.

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 24 March 2014 20:34
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)
Hi Josey

Please see the email I got for Southwark council.

It seems they even they had problems due to the address but after I give them the updated email for my request for Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ they found the information it also seems they new about the party for 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2014 before it had even happened as they got information on the 01/05/2013 that a party was going to take place on the 04/05/2013 so they went to the building on the 01/05/2013 and spoke to the squatters on this date which proves it was already being squatted before Simon went to the building on the 04/05/2013.

Simon always through the council told him that the Noise Abatement Notice was put on the building in the Feb 2013 but as you can see it was put in place on the 03/05/2013.

But I wonder who give the council the information on the 01/05/2013 about the party for the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2014, it must have been someone that the council would have believed could it have been the police?

It also does show the police was there but that the council did not take there IDs which does not help but it does show the police was there.

Also is there any update from Jemi about the 18/03/2014 for court as to what was said, and the bail application?

Lorraine

From: Community Safety Enforcement [mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 24 March 2014 15:43
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 380680

Dear Mr Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 380680

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 13 March 2014 in which you requested:

I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.

I know on the 16/02/2013 /17/02/2013 a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse I also know that a noise abatement orders was put in place on the warehouse on this date.

It is also fact that on the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013 a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire there sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the Feb 2013 due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.

The person that Hire there sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person who had hire there sound system out there was be back through out the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.

Therefore I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.

I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.

I know this as fact as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the May 2013 and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.

If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.

The information that you requested is below

On your original Freedom of Information request ref 375981, a search was made on the address you gave as Unit 3, 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road Se15 6SD for the dates 16-17 February 2013. I can confirm that no records were recorded under that particular address.

This request has requested 'Bianca Road' as a search criteria, and in addition to the 16-17 February 2013, also gives new dates of 4-5 May 2013.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 16-17 February 2013

Our records show 3 service requests were received regarding noise from 'the street' Bianca Road on the 17th February 2013 at:

- 05:37hrs
- 07:50hrs
- 07:53hrs

The first service request was received outside of our operational hours. With regards to the other two service requests, the officers rang the clients at 08:15hrs and 08:25hrs respectively. The first client informed the Officer that the music was no longer bothering them, however, the other client asked for the officers to visit.

Two officers visited the client at 08:45hrs and assessed the level of noise received at the client's premises. No nuisance was witnessed, however, they advised the client to call back should the level rise. No further calls were received, and no Abatement Notice was served

on that date.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 4-5 May 2013

According to our records no service requests were received regarding this premises on these dates.

Noise Abatement Notice

On 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013.

In response officers visited the site on 1 May 2013, and established that the 'old sausage factory' in Bianca Road was being squatted. Officers spoke to the squatters who were residing there, and whilst they did not substantiate the claim, they did not deny it either. Officers felt that should the rave go ahead it would likely cause a nuisance to nearby residents.

In a proactive attempt to address this, on 3 May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.

In addition to the above, Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment.

Actions on the 4-5 May 2013

The Noise Team made several visits at the following times:

01:37hrs - 2 officers noted that the rave had started; they contacted Southwark Police to enquire whether there were sufficient resources to shut it down (should a nuisance be witnessed), and were informed that this would be unlikely. They also spoke to the organisers of the rave, and reminded them that the Notice was in place. The organisers reduced the volume to a low level.

03:50hrs -The Noise team Unit Manager also visited the site along with the Police who were attached to the Council's Nighttime Economy Team. She also spoke to the organisers, who stated that they would reduce the volume further on request. No Police ID numbers were taken or recorded.

11:47hrs - 2 officers visited the premises but no nuisance witnessed.

16:53hrs - Same 2 offices visited with nothing being witnessed.

Reports Written

No reports were written on the events for both dates.

You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder. You may apply for permission to re-use this information by submitting a request to accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk; you can find details on these arrangements at

<http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>. Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.

If you have any queries or concerns then please contact us using the above details.

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 25 March 2014 10:34
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Doctors Report

Attachments: Dr Jarvis.pdf
Hi Josey

Please see attached report for doctor

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 24 March 2014 22:12
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: FW: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Hi Lorraine

I have been out on an attempted murder last night and most of today and this evening I have been highlighting raw call data for the barrister to minimise my client's knowledge in a conspiracy to supply firearms case presently going to trial. I will call you tomorrow in the afternoon to discuss.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Mar 24, 2014 at 8:33 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Please see the email I got for Southwark council.

It seems they even they had problems due to the address but after I give them the updated email for my request for Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ they found the information it also seems they new about the party for 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2014 before it had even happened as they got information on the 01/05/2013 that a party was going to take place on the 04/05/2013 so they went to the building on the 01/05/2013 and spoke to the squatters on this date which proves it was already being squatted before Simon went to the building on the 04/05/2013.

Simon always through the council told him that the Noise Abatement Notice was put on the building in the Feb 2013 but as you can see it was put in place on the 03/05/2013.

But I wonder who give the council the information on the 01/05/2013 about the party for the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2014, it must have been someone that the council would have believed could it have been the police?

It also does show the police was there but that the council did not take there IDs which does not help but it does show the police was there.

Also is there any update from Jemi about the 18/03/2014 for court as to what was said, and the bail application?

Lorraine

From: Community Safety Enforcement [mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 24 March 2014 15:43
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 380680

Dear Mr Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 380680

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 13 March 2014 in which you requested:

I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.

I know on the 16/02/2013 /17/02/2013 a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse I also know that a noise abatement orders was put in place on the warehouse on this date.

It is also fact that on the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013 a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire there sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the Feb 2013 due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.

The person that Hire there sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person who had hire there sound system out there was be back through out the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.

Therefore I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.

I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.

I know this as fact as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the May 2013 and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.

If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.

The information that you requested is below

On your original Freedom of Information request ref 375981, a search was made on the address you gave as Unit 3, 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road Se15 6SD for the

dates 16-17 February 2013. I can confirm that no records were recorded under that particular address.

This request has requested 'Bianca Road' as a search criteria, and in addition to the 16-17 February 2013, also gives new dates of 4-5 May 2013.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 16-17 February 2013

Our records show 3 service requests were received regarding noise from 'the street' Bianca Road on the 17th February 2013 at:

- 05:37hrs
- 07:50hrs
- 07:53hrs

The first service request was received outside of our operational hours. With regards to the other two service requests, the officers rang the clients at 08:15hrs and 08:25hrs respectively. The first client informed the Officer that the music was no longer bothering them, however, the other client asked for the officers to visit.

Two officers visited the client at 08:45hrs and assessed the level of noise received at the client's premises. No nuisance was witnessed, however, they advised the client to call back should the level rise. No further calls were received, and no Abatement Notice was served on that date.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 4-5 May 2013

According to our records no service requests were received regarding this premises on these dates.

Noise Abatement Notice

On 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013.

In response officers visited the site on 1 May 2013, and established that the 'old sausage factory' in Bianca Road was being squatted. Officers spoke to the squatters who were residing there, and whilst they did not substantiate the claim, they did not deny it either. Officers felt that should the rave go ahead it would likely cause a nuisance to nearby residents.

In a proactive attempt to address this, on 3 May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.

In addition to the above, Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment.

Actions on the 4-5 May 2013

The Noise Team made several visits at the following times:

01:37hrs - 2 officers noted that the rave had started; they contacted Southwark Police to

enquire whether there were sufficient resources to shut it down (should a nuisance be witnessed), and were informed that this would be unlikely. They also spoke to the organisers of the rave, and reminded them that the Notice was in place. The organisers reduced the volume to a low level.

03:50hrs -The Noise team Unit Manager also visited the site along with the Police who were attached to the Council's Nighttime Economy Team. She also spoke to the organisers, who stated that they would reduce the volume further on request. No Police ID numbers were taken or recorded.

11:47hrs - 2 officers visited the premises but no nuisance witnessed.

16:53hrs - Same 2 offices visited with nothing being witnessed.

Reports Written

No reports were written on the events for both dates.

You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder. You may apply for permission to re-use this information by submitting a request to accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk; you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>. Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.

If you have any queries or concerns then please contact us using the above details.

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 29 March 2014 19:44

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell at Woolwich Crown Court

Attachments: Cordell PTR 18.03.14-1.docx

Lorraine

Please see court note attached.

Josephine

From: Martin Jenkin [martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 31 March 2014 13:53
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: [1] Simon Cordell Logbook Hi Lorraine,

Please find attached the response I have received from Claims,

From: Paul McNally [mailto:p.mcnally@aaconline.co.uk] On Behalf Of + Southend Claims Sent: 28 March 2014 15:34 To: Martin Jenkin

Subject: RE: [1] Simon Cordell REG CX52JRX Claim Reference A27/2013/190530 Good afternoon

Many thanks for the V5 now received, however the details therein do not tally with the insurance nor the sales and purchase receipts submitted by the policyholder as such we are at this time unable to close the file.

We will however pass the evidence to hand onto the third party and request confirmation that they will redirect their claim, failing which we will insist that they obtain judgement on the driver of the vehicle at the time of the alleged incident.

As the vehicle was never removed from the policy and the DVLA history does not tie in with the purchase/sales history submitted we are left with a possible involvement as the RTA Insurer which remains a contentious issue, as the V5 shows the owner from 06.02.2012 to 15.02.2014 as Adam Harris, with the inception of this insurance policy being 23.02.2013 for the vehicle and our mutual client/policyholder.

We trust the above is of assistance.

Paul McNally | Technical Claims Handler
Auto Accident Claims on behalf of KGM Insurance | Sureland House | Journeymans Way | Southend on Sea | SS2 5TF
Tel: 01702 444381 | Fax: 01702 461508

i*r,,cid:image00

Accident Management You Can Rely On

Please visit our website at - www.autoaccidentclaims.co.uk

[^http://img.recycletheworld

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 26 March 2014 17:54
To: martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Logbook

Hi Martin

Is it all possible to get an update I am losing money due to not having insurance and not being able to drive? You said you would get back to me today and I have not heard anything.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell <mailto:lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk>

Sent: 25 March 2014 11:40

To: 'Martin Jenkin'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Logbook

Dear Martin

Here is the logbook for CX52JRZ please see attached. I did call DVLA after I got the letter from them which showed the spelling of the last name wrong as it was spelled Cardell and not Cordell, they told me I had to wait until the logbook come in the post and then to fill in section 6 to get it corrected. Which I will be doing.

Also i have also noticed today when the logbook came in the post and i am not sure why they have put the new keepers date as 15/02/2014 as the green slip section 10 was sent to them, so the date of the 10/11/2013 was on it so I will be writing a letter to ask why this was done, Along with the section 6 to correct the last name.

Could you please update us as to when this can be sorted with KGM as to the claim that is against Simon so he can sort his insurance out.

Also about the claim for 09 Dec 2013 we still have not heard from KGM as to when someone will be sent out to take a report from Simon could this be looked into?

And also I know there was some confusion as to the number that was called to report this on the 09 Dec 2013, please see the below information from my phone bill

Mon 09 Dec 13:28 SPEC SERV 08444126412 22:00

The call was made to 08444126412 at 13:28 and lasted 22 min this is when Simon called to report what had happened on the 09/12/2013 and give all the information which the person noted. I know there is some issue as to the lady who witnessed this information being missing but it was all given on this call. If it can be possible can someone get the tape for this call and get the information to the witness so KGM can contact her about what she saw and who was at fault.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 01 April 2014 11:07
To: 'john.page@southwark.gov.uk'
Cc: 'environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk'
Subject: FW: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)
Dear John Page

I wrote you the update email below after I got your email with the information I had asked for in the freedom of information act. Can you please give me an update to tell me if the information below I asked for is being dealt with please.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 27 March 2014 13:32
To: 'Community Safety Enforcement'
Subject: RE: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Dear John Page

Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.

I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was anyway I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.

You say in the information that "on 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013."

- Is there anyway we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?
- Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the *Noise and Nuisance Team*?
- Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the *Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave* which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, and if so what was the police officers details?
- On the 1st May 2013 when *Noise and Nuisance Team* went and *spoke to the squatters* who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so could we have the police officers details?
- On the 3rd May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place. Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers details that attended to serve the said notice?
- Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

If this information can be done under the same freedom information act I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you. Could you please update me as to this request?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Community Safety Enforcement [mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 24 March 2014 15:43
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 380680

Dear Mr Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 380680

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 13 March 2014 in which you requested:

I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.

I know on the 16/02/2013 /17/02/2013 a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse I also know that a noise abatement orders was put in place on the warehouse on this date.

It is also fact that on the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013 a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire there sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the Feb 2013 due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.

The person that Hire there sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person who had hire there sound system out there was be back through out the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.

Therefore I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.

I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.

I know this as fact as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the May 2013 and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.

If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me can you please inform me of

this, then if it would be easier I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.

The information that you requested is below

On your original Freedom of Information request ref 375981, a search was made on the address you gave as Unit 3, 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road Se15 6SD for the dates 16-17 February 2013. I can confirm that no records were recorded under that particular address.

This request has requested 'Bianca Road' as a search criteria, and in addition to the 16-17 February 2013, also gives new dates of 4-5 May 2013.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 16-17 February 2013

Our records show 3 service requests were received regarding noise from 'the street' Bianca Road on the 17th February 2013 at:

- 05:37hrs
- 07:50hrs
- 07:53hrs

The first service request was received outside of our operational hours. With regards to the other two service requests, the officers rang the clients at 08:15hrs and 08:25hrs respectively. The first client informed the Officer that the music was no longer bothering them, however, the other client asked for the officers to visit.

Two officers visited the client at 08:45hrs and assessed the level of noise received at the client's premises. No nuisance was witnessed, however, they advised the client to call back should the level rise. No further calls were received, and no Abatement Notice was served on that date.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 4-5 May 2013

According to our records no service requests were received regarding this premises on these dates.

Noise Abatement Notice

On 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013.

In response officers visited the site on 1 May 2013, and established that the 'old sausage factory' in Bianca Road was being squatted. Officers spoke to the squatters who were residing there, and whilst they did not substantiate the claim, they did not deny it either. Officers felt that should the rave go ahead it would likely cause a nuisance to nearby residents.

In a proactive attempt to address this, on 3 May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.

In addition to the above, Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment.

Actions on the 4-5 May 2013

The Noise Team made several visits at the following times:

01:37hrs - 2 officers noted that the rave had started; they contacted Southwark Police to enquire whether there were sufficient resources to shut it down (should a nuisance be witnessed), and were informed that this would be unlikely. They also spoke to the organisers of the rave, and reminded them that the Notice was in place. The organisers reduced the volume to a low level.

03:50hrs -The Noise team Unit Manager also visited the site along with the Police who were attached to the Council's Nighttime Economy Team. She also spoke to the organisers, who stated that they would reduce the volume further on request. No Police ID numbers were taken or recorded.

11:47hrs - 2 officers visited the premises but no nuisance witnessed.

16:53hrs - Same 2 offices visited with nothing being witnessed.

Reports Written

No reports were written on the events for both dates.

You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder. You may apply for permission to re-use this information by submitting a request to accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk; you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>. Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.

If you have any queries or concerns then please contact us using the above details.

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Community Safety Enforcement [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 02 April 2014 09:07
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)
Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 385573

Dear Ms Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 385573

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 27 March 2014 in which you requested:

Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.

I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was anyway I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.

You say in the information that "on 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013."

Is there anyway we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?

Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?

Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, and if so what was the police officers details?

On the 1st May 2013 when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so could we have the police officers details?

On the 3rd May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the

Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place. Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers details that attended to serve the said notice?

Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

If this information can be done under the same freedom information act I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you. Could you please update me as to this request?

Your request is being dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and you will receive a response within 20 working days of the date that we received your request.

The Freedom of Information Act 2000 defines a number of exemptions that may restrict the release of the requested information. There will be an assessment and if any of the exemptions categories apply then the information may not be released. You will be informed if this is the case, including your rights of appeal.

The information provided will be in English, normal font size. If you require alternative formats, e.g. other language, audio, large print, etc. please notify us.

If we are unable to provide you with the information requested we will notify you of this together with the reason(s) why and details of how you may appeal (if appropriate).

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of

Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 02 April 2014 18:16
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Appointment with Simon

Lorraine

Monday is the earliest I will be able to make. Rape case major complications re disclosure. Judge has summed up. Closing speeches firearms case and half time submission GBH so I am waiting verdicts now. I am flying to see Sean tonight as I have not been home for nearly two weeks.

Stressful 10 days pls bear with me. Will have no distractions Monday. I do not like cancelling meetings but there were issues with the three cases this week and I had to give them my full attention as lowest sentence could be able 6-8 yrs, firearms case 10-12 yrs and the rape potentially life imprisonment.

Submissions to prosecution and bail app will be done Monday regardless of how long it takes.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 04 April 2014 12:58

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon-Cordell-Informayion

Attachments: PNC-Edited.pdf; Freedom-Information-Act-Email-To-Council.pdf; City-Of-london-Court-All.pdf; Dr Jarvis.pdf; Enfield-Cases-I-Asked-For.pdf; Enfield-Court-Emails.pdf; Enfield-Court-List.pdf

Hi Josey

Here is the information you asked for.

Also I noticed something funny about the CPS discloser that was sent on 18/03/2014

on the 2nd page is says about Miss Pursey and that she was arrested for burglary in May 2013 and interviewed. How can this been when the DNA never come back till mid June 2013 and Miss Pursey circulations papers was not complete until the 26.06/2013 and it was pending her arrest.

If you need anything else please let me know

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 07 April 2014 14:29
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014
Lorraine

The previous conviction discrepancies will be highlighted counsel to counsel between the prosecution and the defence. Tactically we have to withhold certain information in particular the email from the council. I have referred to the noise abatement officer attending and alluded to police also being in attendance.

The CPS is the organisation that makes the decision on continuing the prosecution and if the police are failing to investigate and we provide written representations with evidence in support then the prosecution may review on the grounds that there is no realistic prospect of conviction. This is the most sensible approach.

As advised earlier once my headache clears I will be drafting detailed representations with a view to the CPS reviewing the prosecution in this case.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 1:53 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Sorry to hear you are ill I hope you feel better soon.

I notice that nothing is in there for the bail application for the PNC and the failing to surrender that should not be on his record. Which he does have bail condition set for failing to surrender. And can the issues about his PNC be given in court tomorrow without it being in the bail application as I do feel the judge should be told about these errors on Simon record as there is also more than one error and these did have a deciding factor towards his bail condition in this case.

And are we showing the court the email from the council which does show the police was aware of the party before 04/05/2013 and that it was being squatted before Simon had even attended the building?

I was thinking that all this information would have been needed to show the judge how bad this case really is.

Will it be Jemi that will attend court tomorrow?

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 07 April 2014 13:33

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 9:53 AM

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk, listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk, "J.B. Akin-Olugbade" <j.akin-olugbade@nexuschambers.com>

Dear Sir or Madam

We refer to the above matter.

This case was last mentioned in court on 18th March 2014 and on that occasion the Learned Judge made a number of directions.

Statements and invoices regarding the repair of the wall to be served by 21st March 2014 - not served

Statements from council to be served by 29th March 2014 - not served

We attach a copy of the insurance file that we received from Mr Patel's insurance company and note will no doubt be taken of the dates of the previous break ins and the damage caused on previous dates prior to May 2013. You will also no doubt note that there were no invoices submitted for any repairs during that period of time and also the delay in the Patel's responding to the insurance claim.

It has always been our client's case that the damage caused to the wall and inside the building had already been committed before he hired out his sound system for the private party. Our client has always disputed causing the damage or having any knowledge as to how this damage was caused.

We further raise that other suspects forensically linked to the earlier offences were released with no further action been taken against them. Mr Cordell has always maintained that police officers attended with the noise abatement officers but we are yet to be provided with the officers contact details or indeed the reason for their attendance. Clearly the issue is why did the Police allow a party to go ahead if persons present were damaging a wall and removing property. Mr Cordell feels like he is being singled out and made a scapegoat in this case. He denies involvement in the burglary and this allegation is having an adverse effect not only on his business but also on his mental health. We attach a copy of a letter confirming his medical diagnosis from his GP.

We respectfully request that the curfew condition be removed in its entirety as the curfew of having an adverse effect on Mr Cordell's mental well being.

We request that the bail variation be addressed tomorrow at the pre-trial review hearing.

Please confirm the listing at your earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 07 April 2014 13:36

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Representations to review case

Lorraine

Once my head clears I am hoping to draft the representations to review the prosecution. I want to wait to see what tomorrow reveals in terms of the Court's attitude.

Regards

Josephine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 07 April 2014 13:54
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014
Hi Josey

Sorry to hear you are ill I hope you feel better soon.

I notice that nothing is in there for the bail application for the PNC and the failing to surrender that should not be on his record. Which he does have bail condition set for failing to surrender. And can the issues about his PNC be given in court tomorrow without it being in the bail application as I do feel the judge should be told about these errors on Simon record as there is also more than one error and these did have a deciding factor towards his bail condition in this case.

And are we showing the court the email from the council which does show the police was aware of the party before 04/05/2013 and that it was being squatted before Simon had even attended the building?

I was thinking that all this information would have been needed to show the judge how bad this case really is.

Will it be Jemi that will attend court tomorrow?

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 07 April 2014 13:33
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 9:53 AM
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014
To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk, listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk,
"J.B. Akin-Olugbade" <j.akin-olugbade@nexuschambers.com>

Dear Sir or Madam

We refer to the above matter.

This case was last mentioned in court on 18th March 2014 and on that occasion the Learned Judge made a number of directions.

Statements and invoices regarding the repair of the wall to be served by 21st March 2014 - not served
Statements from council to be served by 29th March 2014 - not served

We attach a copy of the insurance file that we received from Mr Patel's insurance company and note will no doubt be taken of the dates of the previous break ins and the damage caused on previous dates prior to May 2013. You will also no doubt note that there were no invoices submitted for any repairs during that period of time and also the delay in the Patel's responding to the insurance claim.

It has always been our client's case that the damage caused to the wall and inside the building had already been committed before he hired out his sound system for the private party. Our client has always disputed causing the damage or having any knowledge as to how this damage was caused. We further raise that other suspects forensically linked to the earlier offences were released with no further action been taken against them. Mr Cordell has always maintained that police officers

attended with the noise abatement officers but we are yet to be provided with the officers contact details or indeed the reason for their attendance. Clearly the issue is why did the Police allow a party to go ahead if persons present were damaging a wall and removing property. Mr Cordell feels like he is being singled out and made a scapegoat in this case. He denies involvement in the burglary and this allegation is having an adverse effect not only on his business but also on his mental health. We attach a copy of a letter confirming his medical diagnosis from his GP.

We respectfully request that the curfew condition be removed in its entirety as the curfew of having an adverse effect on Mr Cordell's mental well being.

We request that the bail variation be addressed tomorrow at the pre-trial review hearing.

Please confirm the listing at your earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 07 April 2014 19:05
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014
Hi Josey

Hope you are feeling better

Is there an update as to if Simon needs to be at court tomorrow and what time court is and if it will be Jemi?

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 07 April 2014 14:29
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014

Lorraine

The previous conviction discrepancies will be highlighted counsel to counsel between the prosecution and the defence. Tactically we have to withhold certain information in particular the email from the council. I have referred to the noise abatement officer attending and alluded to police also being in attendance.

The CPS is the organisation that makes the decision on continuing the prosecution and if the police are failing to investigate and we provide written representations with evidence in support then the prosecution may review on the grounds that there is no realistic prospect of conviction. This is the most sensible approach.

As advised earlier once my headache clears I will be drafting detailed representations with a view to the CPS reviewing the prosecution in this case.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 1:53 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Sorry to hear you are ill I hope you feel better soon.

I notice that nothing is in there for the bail application for the PNC and the failing to surrender that should not be on his record. Which he does have bail condition set for failing to surrender. And can the issues about his PNC be given in court tomorrow without it being in the bail application as I do feel the judge should be told about these errors on Simon record as there is also more than one error and these did have a deciding factor towards his bail condition in this case.

And are we showing the court the email from the council which does show the police was aware of the party before 04/05/2013 and that it was being squatted before Simon had even attended the building?

I was thinking that all this information would have been needed to show the judge how bad this case really is.

Will it be Jemi that will attend court tomorrow?

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 07 April 2014 13:33

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Fwd: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSEPHINE WARD** <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 9:53 AM

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court 8th April 2014

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk, listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk, "J.B. Akin-Olugbade" <j.akin-olugbade@nexuschambers.com>

Dear Sir or Madam

We refer to the above matter.

This case was last mentioned in court on 18th March 2014 and on that occasion the Learned Judge made a number of directions.

Statements and invoices regarding the repair of the wall to be served by 21st March 2014 - not served
Statements from council to be served by 29th March 2014 - not served

We attach a copy of the insurance file that we received from Mr Patel's insurance company and note will no doubt be taken of the dates of the previous break ins and the damage caused on previous dates prior to May 2013. You will also no doubt note that there were no invoices submitted for any repairs during that period of time and also the delay in the Patel's responding to the insurance claim.

It has always been our client's case that the damage caused to the wall and inside the building had already been committed before he hired out his sound system for the private party. Our client has always disputed causing the damage or having any knowledge as to how this damage was caused. We further raise that other suspects forensically linked to the earlier offences were released with no further action been taken against them. Mr Cordell has always maintained that police officers attended with the noise abatement officers but we are yet to be provided with the officers contact details or indeed the reason for their attendance. Clearly the issue is why did the Police allow a party to go ahead if persons present were damaging a wall and removing property. Mr Cordell feels like he is being singled out and made a scapegoat in this case. He denies involvement in the burglary and this allegation is having an adverse effect not only on his business but also on his mental health. We attach a copy of a letter confirming his medical diagnosis from his GP.

We respectfully request that the curfew condition be removed in its entirety as the curfew of having an adverse effect on Mr Cordell's mental well being.

We request that the bail variation be addressed tomorrow at the pre-trial review hearing.

Please confirm the listing at your earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 08 April 2014 19:14

To: 'Martin Jenkin'

Subject: RE: [1] Simon Cordell Logbook

Dear Martin

After the call I made to you today and what we spoke about please see the email that you asked me to write.

As said on the phone today and as you are well aware Simon did not think any Vehicles was on the database and had to carry his policy around from the start of his policy with KGM as each time he was pulled by police nothing showed as him being insured, so as you are aware the police and Simon had to call you many times when Simon was pulled by police to confirm with the police that he was in fact insured.

So as to what the claims department are saying about the Vehicle being on the database how was Simon have meant to know about this to have called to take off any Vehicles?

Simon did not know there was an error on the database due to it being inputted wrong on the database form, so it was showing up on the insurance database but not he mid database due to this error, so how was he meant to be aware of this fact.

He was only made aware of this in late November 2013 when some issues came up that Kelly Tiller of KGM was dealing with. It was at this point his car started showing up on the mid so KGM had fixed this, but no other Vehicles, was showing up on the mid database until it was corrected in Jan 2013 by KGM. I do believe now it was something to do with a space being placed within the numbers of the reg of the Vehicles, the insurance database accepts this but the mid database does not. But this is documented well in emails.

Also why has KGM never sent me any letters of this claim being held against me? there was a call from KGM about the Sep 2013 but I told the person who called I did not own the Van at that time I was asked to send in the bill of sale and I did, after this I did not hear anything else about this so was thinking everything was ok until it was time for my renewal it was only at this point I was told about this claim being on my insurance, It is also well known you have my mums phone number which is on file which is 0208 245 7454 and there is always someone at home so if there was any issues why no phone calls or any letters about this? But also I am sure there should have been a letter sent about this claim and there has never been any letters sent.

There are some more issues and that is the claim for Dec 2013 when Simon was taking my car to service it. As you are aware KGM even said Simon was not covered by his trade policy and you had to call them and sort this issue out.

But that still does not cover the fact Simon has made many calls and also sent emails about this claim. Which we still have not heard anything back about also there has been no letters sent about this claim also.

The last time he called he was told that someone would be sent out to take a report for this claim yet again there have been no phone calls or letters to set this up so a report can be taken.

There is also a problem that on the 09/12/2013 when he called to report this he gave over a witness information IE Name and her phone number which on the last call that was made they did not seem to have any information as to the witness information I have checked my phone bill and the call was made please see below.

Mon 09 Dec 13:28 SPEC SERV 08444126412 22:00

The call was made to 08444126412 at 13:28 and lasted 22 min this is when Simon called to report what had happened on the 09/12/2013 and give all the information which the person noted. I have asked for the recoding of the phone call be pulled out and heard so that the information as to the witness can be put on this claim and contacted yet I have heard nothing also. I have asked and emailed many times about this claim and it seems nothing has been done but yet KGM wants to hold this claim also against my insurance.

There is also the fact on the 26/11/2013 an email was sent to Kelly Tiller at KGM about a subject access request under the data protection act 1998 again I have heard nothing about this and more emails have been sent over about this.

Please can these issued be addressed I paid for my insurance and it seems that nothing gets done it does not matter how many times calls are made or emails are sent KGM are just leaving everything.

How long should it take to sort out a claim? Should I have had at least a letter? Why has nothing been addressed? As at this time due to these claims I cannot work and this is costing me money every day I am not insured.

Regards

From: Martin Jenkin [mailto:martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 31 March 2014 13:53
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: [1] Simon Cordell Logbook

Hi Lorraine,

Please find attached the response I have received from Claims,

From: Paul McNally [mailto:p.mcnally@aaconline.co.uk] **On Behalf Of** + Southend Claims
Sent: 28 March 2014 15:34
To: Martin Jenkin
Subject: RE: [1] Simon Cordell REG CX52JRX Claim Reference A27/2013/190530

Good afternoon

Many thanks for the V5 now received, however the details therein do not tally with the insurance nor the sales and purchase receipts submitted by the policyholder as such we are at this time unable to close the file.

We will however pass the evidence to hand onto the third party and request confirmation that they will redirect their claim, failing which we will insist that they obtain judgement on the driver of the vehicle at the time of the alleged incident.

As the vehicle was never removed from the policy and the DVLA history does not tie in with the purchase/sales history submitted we are left with a possible involvement as the RTA Insurer which remains a contentious issue, as the V5 shows the owner from 06.02.2012 to 15.02.2014 as Adam Harris, with the inception of this insurance policy being 23.02.2013 for the vehicle and our mutual client/policyholder.

We trust the above is of assistance.

Paul McNally | Technical Claims Handler

Auto Accident Claims on behalf of KGM Insurance | Sureland House | Journeymans Way | Southend on Sea | SS2 5TF

Tel: 01702 444381 | Fax: 01702 461508 rfCjCid: image00

Accident Management You Can Rely On

Please visit our website at - www.autoaccidentclaims.co.uk

!^http://img.recycletheworld

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 26 March 2014 17:54

To: martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Logbook

Hi Martin

Is it all possible to get an update I am losing money due to not having insurance and not being able to drive. You said you would get back to me today and I have not heard anything.

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk] Sent: 25 March 2014 11:40 To: 'Martin Jenkin'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell Logbook Dear Martin

Here is the logbook for CX52JRZ please see attached. I did call DVLA after I got the letter from them which showed the spelling of the last name wrong as it was spelled Cardell and not Cordell, they told me I had to wait until the logbook come in the post and then to fill in section 6 to get it corrected. Which I will be doing.

Also i have also noticed today when the logbook came in the post and i am not sure why they have put the new keepers date as 15/02/2014 as the green slip section 10 was sent to them, so the date of the 10/11/2013 was on it so I will be writing a letter to ask why this was done, Along with the section 6 to correct the last name.

Could you please update us as to when this can be sorted with KGM as to the claim that is against Simon so he can sort his insurance out.

Also about the claim for 09 Dec 2013 we still have not heard from KGM as to when someone will be sent out to take a report from Simon could this be looked into?

And also I know there was some confusion as to the number that was called to report this on the 09 Dec 2013, please see the below information from my phone bill

Mon 09 Dec 13:28 SPEC SERV 08444126412 22:00

The call was made to 08444126412 at 13:28 and lasted 22 min this is when Simon called to report what had happened on the 09/12/2013 and give all the information which the person noted. I know there is some issue as to the lady who witnessed this information being missing but it was all given on this call. If it can be possible can someone get the tape for this call and get the information to the witness so KGM can contact her about what she saw and who was at fault.

Lorraine

From: Josephine Ward [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 10 April 2014 07:54
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warnedlist
Lorraine

I have flagged up all the issues that are relevant. I will wait for a response from the insurance company. The invoices do look dodgy but the insurance company can put pressure on Mr Patel. I am trying tactically to flag up the issues. Once Mr Allaway confirms never received the invoices he will undoubtedly dig further and hopefully this will bear fruit.

The point you raise about paying invoices is not relevant and does not take us any further. The point to be made is (a) how many estimates obtained (b) when were they submitted. The missing invoice number will also be picked up by the insurance company but the main point we have to establish is when submitted. They appear to be backdated as not in original insurance file but I need insurance company to verify this before taking the matter any further. You know the old saying about giving a person enough rope. You have to wait, bide your time.

I aim to do representations to CPS hopefully before weekend. As stated previously if no statement from noise abatement officer then I will get the case listed again with DC Mayhew to attend. He can explain why all statements with Mr Patel telephone statements. I am also going to ask for statement from manager of warehouse as to damage and also the so called missing crime report.

Regards

Josephine

From: [Lorraine Cordell](#)
Sent: 09/04/2014 23:44
To: '[JOSEPHINE WARD](#)'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warnedlist

Hi Josey

I have noted things also can you also ask how Mr patel paid these invoices? Not sure if that can be done and when I last spoke with Mr Allway he had been trying to get a face to face meeting with Mr Patel each time he called to try and sort it someone picked the phone up and said Mr Patel was on holiday. He also did not believe this and also said that the invoiced that had been sent in was not real ones he new they were fishy.

Also due to the x3 claims Nikki went to the warehouse on the 28/03/2013 after the 2nd break-in on the 25/03/2013 and from the file Mr Patel did not even tell her that he had fixed the wall and paid for it on the 21/03/2014 and he must have known already that on the 29/03/2013 the same company was coming to fix the wall again. Nikki was there on the 28/03/2013 taking pictures. So why did he not tell her this then? Also he said he had to leave the warehouse as the insurance company wanted to take pictures. Yet Nikki I am sure only went to the warehouse once and that was after the 2nd break-in on the 25/03/2013.

Also looking at the invoices they don't even have an invoice number. There no costing for anything on them. I would like the invoices checked and I mean really checked the right person could tell when these invoices was printed.

Its also very funny that the same said part of the wall was damaged 3 times if they had fixed it correctly it would have been much harder as it was new why was the same said part of the wall now done 3 times. And yet also in the file for the March claim Mr Patel only told the insurance company about the alarm there was no wall said about in the March claim. I have not had time yet to look at all the statements but I will most likely have more to say and so will Simon.

Also £600.00 to fix the said wall and yet he is saying to the police £8000 to £10,000 for the damage to the building?

And Mr Patel did not do stock take from Feb 2013 case none was also done in March 2013 nor May 2013 yet for the May 2013 case he can come up with a cost of around £8220?

I will read over the rest of this later I only just really looked at it a very little did not even read Mr Patel statement fully and I have seen this.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 April 2014 18:19
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

Dear Simon / Lorraine

I refer to the above matter.

I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only. I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.

I attach a copy of a Notice of Additional Evidence dated 8th April 2014. You will no doubt note the discrepancies in the statement of DC Mayhew dated 3rd April 2014.

For clarification if there is no statement from the noise abatement officer by 10th April 2014 I will be listing the case for mention. The statement of DC Mayhew referring to the Inspector who attended on the date of the scene the day of the illegal rave is inaccurate. Inspector Mc Millen's statement refers to attending the scene of a reported burglary and he notes that there were no squatters signs up. I will therefore need Simon to deal with this in his proof exhibiting extracts of his Facebook page which shows the notices etc. I will be asking for the statements of the officers who attended with the noise abatement officers and all updates on the CAD system. I am asking for a copy of the CD of photographs from the SOCO from February 2013 referred to in the statement of Paul Whittaker.

In relation to the invoices served also dated 1st March 2013 and 29th March 2013 these were absent from the insurer's file of papers and reference was made to the second break in, in the report of Nikki Diamond. I will email Trevor Allway to request confirmation as to whether the two invoices were ever sent to the insurance company and if so when. The bottom of the invoice also refers to an optional extra and there is no proof that Mr Patel ever paid for the damage to be repaired. The other point is that it seems a very long way for a West London builders to travel for £500 worth of work. I am going to ask whether the Patel's from Hounslow are related whether by family or business or whether Rakesh Patel or Deven Patel have any interests in this company. I will ask the insurance company if they have any knowledge of any connection between the Patel insurance clients and Patel the builders. I will also ask the CPS to ask Mr Patel for copies of any alternative quotes and a similar question will be posed to the insurance company.

If you have any questions re the above can you please email me as I am in and out of the office quite a lot this week.

Regards

Josephine

PS I will CC you in on the email to Trevor Allday from the insurance company.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 April 2014 19:05
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Fwd: March 2013 invoices

Attachments: insurance invoices March 2013.pdf
Lorraine / Simon

Please see email sent to the insurance company re Simon's case.

Regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: JOSEPHINE WARD <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Apr 9, 2014 at 7:03 PM
Subject: March 2013 invoices
To: "Allaway, Trevor" <Trevor.Allaway@cl-uk.com>

Dear Mr Allaway

I refer to my previous emails. I am attaching copies of two invoices received from the CPS in relation to the case against our client. You kindly provided me with a copy of your insurance file pursuant to a section 35 application and in that disclosure there were no invoices dated 1st March 2013 or 29th March 2013.

Can you please confirm by return email whether you were provided with a copy of the invoices in 2013 and if so on what date. Please also confirm whether you were provided with alternative quotations from any other companies in relation to the damage in February 2013 and March 2013. If you were not then please confirm this also.

If no invoices were provided last year can you please confirm whether you know of any connection between your client and the Building Company Patel Construction. The invoices refer to optional extras amounting to £4500 plus VAT but no invoice has been provided to confirm that this work was ever carried out which begs the question as to whether the premises were ever actually secured and whether the damage was ever remedied as claimed. We are very concerned as to how did the damage jumped from £600 with an optional extra to £4,500 plus VAT and finally to a claim in the region of £40,000 from an estimate sent to the insurance company in September 2013.

Mr Rakesh Patel in his statement dated 10th March 2014 (telephone statement) refers to his Manager reporting the second break in to police but not having a crime reference number. We will be asking for a statement from the manager to be obtained via the police as this would undoubtedly list the damage caused etc

We would be grateful if the above could be confirmed. Our client is facing a charge of burglary based on damage caused. The case is listed in the warned list for trial in June 2014.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours sincerely

Josephine Ward

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 April 2014 18:19
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

Attachments: NAE 080414.pdf
Dear Simon / Lorraine

I refer to the above matter.

I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only. I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.

I attach a copy of a Notice of Additional Evidence dated 8th April 2014. You will no doubt note the discrepancies in the statement of DC Mayhew dated 3rd April 2014.

For clarification if there is no statement from the noise abatement officer by 10th April 2014 I will be listing the case for mention. The statement of DC Mayhew referring to the Inspector who attended on the date of the scene the day of the illegal rave is inaccurate. Inspector Mc Millen's statement refers to attending the scene of a reported burglary and he notes that there were no squatters signs up. I will therefore need Simon to deal with this in his proof exhibiting extracts of his Facebook page which shows the notices etc. I will be asking for the statements of the officers who attended with the noise abatement officers and all updates on the CAD system. I am asking for a copy of the CD of photographs from the SOCO from February 2013 referred to in the statement of Paul Whittaker.

In relation to the invoices served also dated 1st March 2013 and 29th March 2013 these were absent from the insurer's file of papers and reference was made to the second break in, in the report of Nikki Diamond. I will email Trevor Allway to request confirmation as to whether the two invoices were ever sent to the insurance company and if so when. The bottom of the invoice also refers to an optional extra and there is no proof that Mr Patel ever paid for the damage to be repaired. The other point is that it seems a very long way for a West London builders to travel for £500 worth of work. I am going to ask whether the Patel's from Hounslow are related whether by family or business or whether Rakesh Patel or Deven Patel have any interests in this company. I will ask the insurance company if they have any knowledge of any connection between the Patel insurance clients and Patel the builders. I will also ask the CPS to ask Mr Patel for copies of any alternative quotes and a similar question will be posed to the insurance company.

If you have any questions re the above can you please email me as I am in and out of the office quite a lot this week.

Regards

Josephine

PS I will CC you in on the email to Trevor Allday from the insurance company.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 09 April 2014 23:44

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list
Hi Josey

I have noted things also can you also ask how Mr Patel paid these invoices? Not sure if that can be done and when I last spoke with Mr Allway he had been trying to get a face to face meeting with Mr Patel each time he called to try and sort it someone picked the phone up and said Mr Patel was on holiday. He also did not believe this and also and also said that the invoiced that had been sent in was not real ones he new they were fishy.

Also due to the x3 claims Nikki went to the warehouse on the 28/03/2013 after the 2nd break-in on the 25/03/2013 and from the file Mr Patel did not even tell her that he had fixed the wall and paid for it on the 21/03/2014 and he must have known already that on the 29/03/2013 the same company was coming to fix the wall again. Nikki was there on the 28/03/2013 taking pictures. So why did he not tell her this then? Also he said he had to leave the warehouse as the insurance company wanted to take pictures. Yet Nikki I am sure only went to the warehouse once and that was after the 2nd break-in on the 25/03/2013.

Also looking at the invoices they don't even have an invoice number. There no costing for anything on them. I would like the invoices checked and I mean really checked the right person could tell when these invoices was printed.

Its also very funny that the same said part of the wall was damaged 3 times if they had fixed it correctly it would have been much harder as it was new why was the same said part of the wall now done 3 times. And yet also in the file for the March claim Mr Patel only told the insurance company about the alarm there was no wall said about in the March claim. I have not had time yet to look at all the statements but I will most likely have more to say and so will Simon.

Also £600.00 to fix the said wall and yet he is saying to the police £8000 to £10,000 for the damage to the building?

And Mr Patel did not do stock take from Feb 2013 case none was also done in March 2013 nor May 2013 yet for the May 2013 case he can come up with a cost of around £8220?

I will read over the rest of this later I only just really looked at it a very little did not even read Mr Patel statement fully and I have seen this.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 09 April 2014 18:19

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

Dear Simon / Lorraine

I refer to the above matter.

I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only. I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.

I attach a copy of a Notice of Additional Evidence dated 8th April 2014. You will no doubt note the discrepancies in the statement of DC Mayhew dated 3rd April 2014.

For clarification if there is no statement from the noise abatement officer by 10th April 2014 I will be listing the case for mention. The statement of DC Mayhew referring to the Inspector who attended on

the date of the scene the day of the illegal rave is inaccurate. Inspector Mc Millen's statement refers to attending the scene of a reported burglary and he notes that there were no squatters signs up. I will therefore need Simon to deal with this in his proof exhibiting extracts of his Facebook page which shows the notices etc. I will be asking for the statements of the officers who attended with the noise abatement officers and all updates on the CAD system. I am asking for a copy of the CD of photographs from the SOCO from February 2013 referred to in the statement of Paul Whittaker.

In relation to the invoices served also dated 1st March 2013 and 29th March 2013 these were absent from the insurer's file of papers and reference was made to the second break in, in the report of Nikki Diamond. I will email Trevor Allway to request confirmation as to whether the two invoices were ever sent to the insurance company and if so when. The bottom of the invoice also refers to an optional extra and there is no proof that Mr Patel ever paid for the damage to be repaired. The other point is that it seems a very long way for a West London builders to travel for £500 worth of work. I am going to ask whether the Patel's from Hounslow are related whether by family or business or whether Rakesh Patel or Deven Patel have any interests in this company. I will ask the insurance company if they have any knowledge of any connection between the Patel insurance clients and Patel the builders. I will also ask the CPS to ask Mr Patel for copies of any alternative quotes and a similar question will be posed to the insurance company.

If you have any questions re the above can you please email me as I am in and out of the office quite a lot this week.

Regards

Josephine

PS I will CC you in on the email to Trevor Allday from the insurance company.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 April 2014 19:03
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Bail Conditions

Attachments: New-Bail-08-04-2014.pdf
Hi Josey

As to what was said about the bail condition below

“I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only. I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.”

I went to the police station and got a copy as to what was sent over to them please see attached.

Also I spoke to Woolwich myself on phone and they said 3 things were listed. They also said really the judge should have read all bail conditions out, and not just said your bail conditions are now to reside at address known to court.

So can this be addressed and ask them to hear the recorded tape of what was in fact said or even ask the judge

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 April 2014 18:19
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

Dear Simon / Lorraine

I refer to the above matter.

I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only. I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.

I attach a copy of a Notice of Additional Evidence dated 8th April 2014. You will no doubt note the discrepancies in the statement of DC Mayhew dated 3rd April 2014.

For clarification if there is no statement from the noise abatement officer by 10th April 2014 I will be listing the case for mention. The statement of DC Mayhew referring to the Inspector who attended on the date of the scene the day of the illegal rave is inaccurate. Inspector Mc Millen's statement refers to attending the scene of a reported burglary and he notes that there were no squatters signs up. I will therefore need Simon to deal with this in his proof exhibiting extracts of his Facebook page which shows the notices etc. I will be asking for the statements of the officers who attended with the noise abatement officers and all updates on the CAD system. I am asking for a copy of the CD of photographs from the SOCO from February 2013 referred to in the statement of Paul Whittaker.

In relation to the invoices served also dated 1st March 2013 and 29th March 2013 these were absent from the insurer's file of papers and reference was made to the second break in, in the report of Nikki Diamond. I will email Trevor Allway to request confirmation as to whether the two invoices were ever sent to the insurance company and if so when. The bottom of the invoice also refers to an optional extra and there is no proof that Mr Patel ever paid for the damage to be repaired. The other point is that it seems a very long way for a West London builders to travel for £500 worth of work. I am going to ask whether the Patel's from Hounslow are related whether by family or business or whether Rakesh Patel or Deven Patel have any interests in this company. I will ask the insurance company if they have any knowledge of any connection between the Patel insurance clients and Patel the builders. I will also ask the CPS to ask Mr Patel for copies of any alternative quotes and a similar question will be posed to the insurance company.

If you have any questions re the above can you please email me as I am in and out of the office quite a lot this week.

Regards

Josephine

PS I will CC you in on the email to Trevor Allday from the insurance company.

From: Josephine Ward [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 April 2014 03:11
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: FW: March 2013 invoices

From: [Allaway, Trevor](#)
Sent: 10/04/2014 11:13
To: [JOSEPHINE WARD](#)
Subject: RE: March 2013 invoices

Dear Ms Ward

I have checked my file and there are no copies or mention of these invoices. I have spoken to Nikki Diamond and she has no recollection of ever having seen the documents which I described to her.

I hope this helps.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey
1st Floor, 3160 Park Square
Birmingham Business Park
Solihull, B37 7YN
Tel Office 0121 233 6765
Facsimile 0845 425 2850
email trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 April 2014 19:04
To: Allaway, Trevor
Subject: March 2013 invoices

Dear Mr Allaway

I refer to my previous emails. I am attaching copies of two invoices received from the CPS in relation to the case against our client. You kindly provided me with a copy of your insurance file pursuant to a section 35 application and in that disclosure there were no invoices dated 1st March 2013 or 29th March 2013.

Can you please confirm by return email whether you were provided with a copy of the invoices in 2013 and if so on what date. Please also confirm whether you were provided with alternative quotations from any other companies in relation to the damage in February 2013 and March 2013. If you were not then please confirm this also.

If no invoices were provided last year can you please confirm whether you know of any connection between your client and the Building Company Patel Construction. The invoices refer to optional extras amounting to £4500 plus VAT but no invoice has been provided to confirm that this work was ever carried out which begs the question as to whether the premises were ever actually secured and whether the damage was ever remedied as claimed. We are very concerned as to how did the damage jump from £600 with an optional extra to £4,500 plus VAT and finally to a claim in the region of £40,000 from an estimate sent to the insurance company in September 2013.

Mr Rakesh Patel in his statement dated 10th March 2014 (telephone statement) refers to his Manager reporting the second break in to police but not having a crime reference number. We will be asking for a statement from the manager to be obtained via the police as this would undoubtedly list the damage caused etc

We would be grateful if the above could be confirmed. Our client is facing a charge of burglary based on damage caused. The case is listed in the warned list for trial in June 2014.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours sincerely

Josephine Ward
MICHAEL CARROLL & CO

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire RG1 1AX. VAT No: 724 3766 27.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 April 2014 03:27
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd: March 2013 invoices

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Allaway, Trevor <Trevor.Allaway@cl-uk.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 10, 2014 at 11:13 AM
Subject: RE: March 2013 invoices
To: JOSEPHINE WARD <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Dear Ms Ward

I have checked my file and there are no copies or mention of these invoices. I have spoken to Nikki Diamond and she has no recollection of ever having seen the documents which I described to her.

I hope this helps.

Regards

Trevor Allaway

Cunningham Lindsey

1st Floor, 3160 Park Square

Birmingham Business Park

Solihull, B37 7YN

Tel Office 0121 233 6765

Facsimile 0845 425 2850

email trevor.allaway@cl-uk.com

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 April 2014 19:04
To: Allaway, Trevor
Subject: March 2013 invoices

Dear Mr Allaway

I refer to my previous emails. I am attaching copies of two invoices received from the CPS in relation to the case against our client. You kindly provided me with a copy of your insurance file pursuant to a section 35 application and in that disclosure there were no invoices dated 1st March 2013 or 29th

March 2013.

Can you please confirm by return email whether you were provided with a copy of the invoices in 2013 and if so on what date. Please also confirm whether you were provided with alternative quotations from any other companies in relation to the damage in February 2013 and March 2013. If you were not then please confirm this also.

If no invoices were provided last year can you please confirm whether you know of any connection between your client and the Building Company Patel Construction. The invoices refer to optional extras amounting to £4500 plus VAT but no invoice has been provided to confirm that this work was ever carried out which begs the question as to whether the premises were ever actually secured and whether the damage was ever remedied as claimed. We are very concerned as to how did the damage jumped from £600 with an optional extra to £4,500 plus VAT and finally to a claim in the region of £40,000 from an estimate sent to the insurance company in September 2013.

Mr Rakesh Patel in his statement dated 10th March 2014 (telephone statement) refers to his Manager reporting the second break in to police but not having a crime reference number. We will be asking for a statement from the manager to be obtained via the police as this would undoubtedly list the damage caused etc

We would be grateful if the above could be confirmed. Our client is facing a charge of burglary based on damage caused. The case is listed in the warned list for trial in June 2014.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Yours sincerely

Josephine Ward
MICHAEL CARROLL & CO

Confidentiality: This e-mail and its attachments are solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them nor must you copy or communicate them to anyone. Please notify us immediately and delete this communication.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good practice the recipient should ensure that they are actually virus free. Furthermore, we do not accept responsibility for any change made to this message after it was sent by the sender.

Security: Please be aware in communicating with us by e-mail that internet e-mail by its nature is not a 100% secure communications medium.

This message is issued in furtherance to the business activities of Cunningham Lindsey and associated companies and every effort is made to control content. Should however inappropriate or non business opinions be expressed Cunningham Lindsey will not accept any responsibility.

Cunningham Lindsey United Kingdom is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 00159031. The company's registered office is Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire

From: Martin Jenkin [martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 14 April 2014 15:55
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: returning your call

Please could you give me a call...

Regards

Martin Jenkin DDI 01843 598744
cid:image001.png@01CC9FAC.(

t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 April 2014 10:05
To: 'John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK'
Subject: RE: Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Dear John

After the information I got on the 24/03/2014 ref number 380680 I asked for some more information to the data that had been given, you put this under a new ref number of 385573 I was wondering if there was any update. And also worried that was all of the email sent over so they could track which information was given so they knew what I was asking about.

Simon Cordell

From: Community Safety Enforcement [mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 02 April 2014 09:07
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Southwark Council - Information request Our reference: 385573

Dear Ms Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 385573

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 27 March 2014 in which you requested:

Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.

I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was any way I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.

You say in the information that "on 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013."

Is there any way we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?

Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?

Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, and if so what was the police officers details?

On the 1st May 2013 when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so could we have the police officers details?

On the 3rd May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place. Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers details that attended to serve the said notice?

Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

If this information can be done under the same freedom information act I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you. Could you please update me as to this request?

Your request is being dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and you will receive a response within 20 working days of the date that we received your request.

The Freedom of Information Act 2000 defines a number of exemptions that may restrict the release of the requested information. There will be an assessment and if any of the exemptions categories apply then the information may not be released. You will be informed if this is the case, including your rights of appeal.

The information provided will be in English, normal font size. If you require alternative formats, e.g. other language, audio, large print, etc. please notify us.

If we are unable to provide you with the information requested we will notify you of this together with the reason(s) why and details of how you may appeal (if appropriate).

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Page, John [John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK]

Sent: 15 April 2014 10:06

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Out of Office AutoReply: Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

I am on annual leave on Thursday 10th April, returning to the office on Tuesday 22 April 2014.

I will check my emails from time to time.

If you need me in an emergency please call my mobile 07515 736506

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Page, John [John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK]
Sent: 15 April 2014 10:10
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)
[Dear Mr Cordell](#)

The information you have requested is being prepared. Under the freedom of information Act 2000 the Council has 20 working days from the date of your request for a response and we do intend to reply to you within that time period.

yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 April 2014 10:05
To: Page, John
Subject: RE: Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Dear John

After the information I got on the 24/03/2014 ref number 380680 I asked for some more information to the data that had been given, you put this under a new ref number of 385573 I was wondering if there was any update. And also worried that was all of the email sent over so they could track which information was given so they new what I was asking about.

Simon Cordell

From: Community Safety Enforcement [mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 02 April 2014 09:07
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Acknowledgement to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 385573

Dear Ms Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 385573

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 27 March 2014 in which you requested:

Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.

I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was anyway I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.

You say in the information that “on 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013.”

Is there anyway we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?

Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?

Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, and if so what was the police officers details?

On the 1st May 2013 when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so could we have the police officers details?

On the 3rd May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place. Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers details that attended to serve the said notice?

Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

If this information can be done under the same freedom information act I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you. Could you please update me as to this request?

Your request is being dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and you will receive a response within 20 working days of the date that we received your request.

The Freedom of Information Act 2000 defines a number of exemptions that may restrict the release of the requested information. There will be an assessment and if any of the exemptions categories apply then the information may not be released. You will be informed if this is the case, including your rights of appeal.

The information provided will be in English, normal font size. If you require alternative

formats, e.g. other language, audio, large print, etc. please notify us.

If we are unable to provide you with the information requested we will notify you of this together with the reason(s) why and details of how you may appeal (if appropriate).

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire. SK9 5AF

Telephone: 0303 123 1113

Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page

Quality Manager

John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 April 2014 10:14
To: 'environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)
To Whom It May Concern:

After the information I got on the 24/03/2014 ref number 380680 I asked for some more information to the data that had been given, you put this under a new ref number of 385573 I was wondering if there was any update. And also worried that was all of the email sent over so they could track which information was given so they new what I was asking about.

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 27 March 2014 13:32
To: 'Community Safety Enforcement'
Subject: RE: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Dear John Page

Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.

I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was anyway I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.

You say in the information that "on 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013."

- Is there anyway we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?
- Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the *Noise and Nuisance Team*?
- Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the *Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave* which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, and if so what was the police officers details?
- On the 1st May 2013 when *Noise and Nuisance Team* went and *spoke to the squatters* who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so could we have the police officers details?
- On the 3rd May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place. Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers details that attended to serve the said notice?
- Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

If this information can be done under the same freedom information act I would be grateful, if you would need a

section 35 DPA I can forward this to you. Could you please update me as to this request?

Regards

Simon Cordell

From: Community Safety Enforcement [mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]

Sent: 24 March 2014 15:43

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 380680

Dear Mr Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 380680

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 13 March 2014 in which you requested:

I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.

I know on the 16/02/2013 /17/02/2013 a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse I also know that a noise abatement orders was put in place on the warehouse on this date.

It is also fact that on the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013 a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire there sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the Feb 2013 due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.

The person that Hire there sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person who had hire there sound system out there was be back through out the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.

Therefore I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.

I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.

I know this as fact as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the May 2013 and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.

If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.

The information that you requested is below

On your original Freedom of Information request ref 375981, a search was made on the address you gave as Unit 3, 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road Se15 6SD for the dates 16-17 February 2013. I can confirm that no records were recorded under that particular address.

This request has requested 'Bianca Road' as a search criteria, and in addition to the 16-17 February 2013, also gives new dates of 4-5 May 2013.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 16-17 February 2013

Our records show 3 service requests were received regarding noise from 'the street' Bianca Road on the 17th February 2013 at:

- 05:37hrs
- 07:50hrs
- 07:53hrs

The first service request was received outside of our operational hours. With regards to the other two service requests, the officers rang the clients at 08:15hrs and 08:25hrs respectively. The first client informed the Officer that the music was no longer bothering them, however, the other client asked for the officers to visit.

Two officers visited the client at 08:45hrs and assessed the level of noise received at the client's premises. No nuisance was witnessed, however, they advised the client to call back should the level rise. No further calls were received, and no Abatement Notice was served on that date.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 4-5 May 2013

According to our records no service requests were received regarding this premises on these dates.

Noise Abatement Notice

On 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013.

In response officers visited the site on 1 May 2013, and established that the 'old sausage factory' in Bianca Road was being squatted. Officers spoke to the squatters who were residing there, and whilst they did not substantiate the claim, they did not deny it either. Officers felt that should the rave go ahead it would likely cause a nuisance to nearby residents.

In a proactive attempt to address this, on 3 May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.

In addition to the above, Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a

warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment.

Actions on the 4-5 May 2013

The Noise Team made several visits at the following times:

01:37hrs - 2 officers noted that the rave had started; they contacted Southwark Police to enquire whether there were sufficient resources to shut it down (should a nuisance be witnessed), and were informed that this would be unlikely. They also spoke to the organisers of the rave, and reminded them that the Notice was in place. The organisers reduced the volume to a low level.

03:50hrs -The Noise team Unit Manager also visited the site along with the Police who were attached to the Council's Nighttime Economy Team. She also spoke to the organisers, who stated that they would reduce the volume further on request. No Police ID numbers were taken or recorded.

11:47hrs - 2 officers visited the premises but no nuisance witnessed.

16:53hrs - Same 2 offices visited with nothing being witnessed.

Reports Written

No reports were written on the events for both dates.

You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder. You may apply for permission to re-use this information by submitting a request to accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk; you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>. Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.

If you have any queries or concerns then please contact us using the above details.

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Martin Jenkin [martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]

Sent: 17 April 2014 18:44

To: 'Lorraine Cordell'

Attachments: Cordell Cover note.pdf

Regards

Martin Jenkin DDI 01843 598744
cid:image001.png@01CC9FAC.(

t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Community Safety Enforcement [environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 22 April 2014 13:46
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Response to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Attachments: Appendix 1.pdf; Appendix 2.pdf Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 385573 Dear Mr Cordell,

Re: Your request for information: 385573

I am writing further to your request for information dated 27 March 2014 to advise you that we have now completed our search for the information you requested:

Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.

I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was any way I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.

You say in the information that "on 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013."

Is there any way we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?

Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?

Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, and if so, what was the police officers details?

On the 1st May 2013 when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so could we have the police officers details?

On the 3rd May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place. Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers details that attended to serve the said notice?

Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

If this information can be done under the same freedom information act I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you. Could you please update me as to this request?

The information that can be disclosed is below.

Question : Is there anyway we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?

Answer: The identity of any person making a service request to the Council is kept anonymous.

Question : Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?

Answer: It was not the Police who informed the Council.

Question : Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, and if so what was the police officers details?

Answer : The Council's Noise Team made contact with the Police through the Police Control room, no officer contact details were required.

Question : On the 1st May 2013 when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so could we have the police officers details?

Answer : This information is not held by the Council. The Metropolitan Police may be able provide details.

Question : On the 3rd May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place. Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers details that attended to serve the said notice?

Answer: This Notice was served by the Noise Team and no Police officers would need to attend. See Appendix 1

Question : Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

Answer: See Appendix 2 attached

Under section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, third party personal data pertaining to the names, email addresses and telephone numbers of junior council officers, has been redacted.

In accordance with section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 this letter acts as a refusal notice for the information which we are not disclosing.

You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder. You may apply for permission to re-use this information by submitting a request to accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk; you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>. Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.

If you are unhappy with the service you have received in relation to your request and wish to make a complaint or request a review of our decision, you should write to the:

Corporate Freedom of Information Officer
Governance team (2nd floor)
PO Box 64529
London. SE1 5LX
Email: accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk

If you are not content with the outcome your appeal, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Generally, the Information Commissioner cannot make a decision unless you have first exhausted our internal appeal procedure and you should contact him within 2 months of the outcome of your internal appeal

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 22 April 2014 22:28
To: 'Josephine Ward'
Subject: FW: Response to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Attachments: Appendix 1.pdf; Appendix 2.pdf
Hi josey

Here is the email that has been sent to me today from the council. Please also see the attached files that were served on the occupiers of the building before Simon went there on the 04/05/2013, as you will see the council are saying that the police did not give them the information on the 01/05/2013 and cannot give us the details of the person or persons that did give them the information.

But you will also note that the council did pass the information onto the police.

Also could we get an update on as to what has been going on have the CPS sent the pictures yet of Feb 2013, also have they sent anything over from the council.

Also when is this going to be listed for court if you have not had any information from the CPS, and just an update to anything else that has gone on with Simon Case.

Lorraine

From: Community Safety Enforcement [mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 22 April 2014 13:46
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Response to Information request - Ref 385573 (ref: 385573)

Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 385573

Dear Mr Cordell,

Re: Your request for information: 385573

I am writing further to your request for information dated 27 March 2014 to advise you that we have now completed our search for the information you requested:

Thank you for the below email with the data I asked for in a freedom information act.

I can see there is information for the request I put in but was wondering if there was anyway I could get some more information to some of the data you have sent me.

You say in the information that "on 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013."

Is there anyway we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?

Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?

Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and

Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, and if so what was the police officers details?

On the 1st May 2013 when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so could we have the police officers details?

On the 3rd May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place. Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers details that attended to serve the said notice?

Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

If this information can be done under the same freedom information act I would be grateful, if you would need a section 35 DPA I can forward this to you. Could you please update me as to this request?

The information that can be disclosed is below.

Question : Is there anyway we could get the information as to the person or persons that give the information regarding a potential rave and what time this information was received?

Answer: The identity of any person making a service request to the Council is kept anonymous.

Question : Was it the police that informed you of this information of the potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, if it was could we get the name of the police officers who passed this information to the Noise and Nuisance Team?

Answer: It was not the Police who informed the Council.

Question : Can you also tell us if it was not the police that gave the above information did the Noise and Nuisance Team contact the police with the information as to a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013, and if so what was the police officers details?

Answer : The Council's Noise Team made contact with the Police through the Police Control room, no officer contact details were required.

Question : On the 1st May 2013 when Noise and Nuisance Team went and spoke to the squatters who were residing there, did the police attend at this time if so could we have the police officers details?

Answer : This information is not held by the Council. The Metropolitan Police may be able provide details.

Question : On the 3rd May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could

not prevent the rave from taking place. Could we please have a copy of the section 80 abatement Notice that was served, and also any police officers details that attended to serve the said notice?

Answer: This Notice was served by the Noise Team and no Police officers would need to attend. See Appendix 1

Question : Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, could we also have a copy of the warning letter that was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment, and the police officers details that attended to serve this letter regarding unlicensed entertainment?

Answer: See Appendix 2 attached

Under section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, third party personal data pertaining to the names, email addresses and telephone numbers of junior council officers, has been redacted.

In accordance with section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 this letter acts as a refusal notice for the information which we are not disclosing.

You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder. You may apply for permission to re-use this information by submitting a request to accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk; you can find details on these arrangements at <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>. Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.

If you are unhappy with the service you have received in relation to your request and wish to make a complaint or request a review of our decision, you should write to the:

Corporate Freedom of Information Officer
Governance team (2nd floor)
PO Box 64529
London. SE1 5LX
Email: accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk

If you are not content with the outcome your appeal, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Generally, the Information Commissioner cannot make a decision unless you have first exhausted our internal appeal procedure and you should contact him within 2 months of the outcome of your internal appeal

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

Subject: Your new password Too Smooth Entertainment

From: Too Smooth Entertainment (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Tuesday, 29 April 2014, 14:11

Too Smooth,
This email has been sent from <https://toosmooth.co.uk/forum/index.php>

This email completes your lost password request.

YOUR NEW PASSWORD

Your username is: Too Smooth
Your email address is: re_wired@ymail.com
Your new password is: df5dc51d7ed9477

Log in here: [https://toosmooth.co.uk/forum/index.php?app=core&module=global\\$ion=login](https://toosmooth.co.uk/forum/index.php?app=core&module=global$ion=login)

Please be careful to use the correct information (username or email address) to login to the site.

CHANGING YOUR PASSWORD

Once you've logged in, you can visit your User CP to
change your password.

User CP: <https://toosmooth.co.uk/forum/index.php?app=core&module=usercp&tab=core&area=email>

Subject: Live Chat offline message received from (Lorraine<lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>)

From: Lorraine via mylivechat (sendmail@mylivechat.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Monday, 5 May 2014, 19:45

My LiveChat

LiveChat Offline Message Received

Dear ts12345678 rats2,

You have received an offline message, sent from mylivechat.com with the following details:

Name: Lorraine
Email: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Page Title: Home | Too SmoothToo Smooth
Page Url: <https://toosmooth.co.uk/>
IP: 82.44.113.203
Location: London, London, City of, United Kingdom
Client: Win7/ Chrome34/ en-GB
Subject: Help
Message: test

Thank you for using mylivechat.com for your Live Chat services.

Sincerely,
My LiveChat Team

Copyright 2014 mylivechat.com All rights reserved.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 12 May 2014 10:45

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Case

Hi Josey

Simon has asked can his case be listed for court this week about the non discloser he said the CPS has had long enough and still have not given over what they was meant to. This was last in court on the 08/04/2014 and the judge give until the 11/04/2014 for the CPS to give the discloser over which still has not happened the Judge said that if the discloser was not given it was meant to be re listed back in court. The CPS asked for more time which you gave them and they still have done nothing, so Simon wants it listed in court so this can be told to the judge.

Lorraine

Subject: My LiveChat Account Login

From: mylivechat (sendmail@mylivechat.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Monday, 12 May 2014, 12:38

My LiveChat

Password Recovery

Dear ts12345678 rats2,

This email has been sent in response to a request to have your Login password emailed to you. If you did not make this request then please reply to this email immediately and let us know so we can make sure that your account security has not been compromised.

Your Account Information:

MyLiveChat Id: 93115904
Email Address: re_wired@ymail.com
Password: ts12345678

Please log into the control panel <http://www.mylivechat.com> to manage your account.

If you have any questions or comments regarding the login process, please email us at sales@mylivechat.com.

Sincerely,
My LiveChat Team

Copyright 2014 mylivechat.com All rights reserved.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 15 May 2014 13:04

To: 'Martin Jenkin'

Subject: RE: Policy-Simon-Cordell

Attachments: Statement-of-facts.pdf; 36980126-Mr Ian Robinson.doc; 36980127-Miss M Stavros.doc; 36980128-Miss L Cordell.doc; Policy-plan-letter-dated-12-05-2014.pdf; Simon-Driving Licence-Card-Back.jpg; Simon-Driving Licence-Card-Front (1).jpg; Simon-Driving Licence-Front-Back.pdf

Hi Martin

Simon had a letter from policy plan saying they were cancelling his policy the letter was dated 12/05/2014 and they said they were cancelling seven days from the date of there letter . Not sure as to why as when Simon got your letter dated the 06/05/2014 we sent the documents you asked for to policy plan. Please see attached documents which where posted to policy plan. Could you please see as to the reason as why they are cancelling his policy please.

Regards

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 May 2014 18:31
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Case
Hi Josey

Is there any update to the below email can you let me know please.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 May 2014 10:45
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Case

Hi Josey

Simon has asked can his case be listed for court this week about the non discloser he said the CPS has had long enough and still have not given over what they was meant to. This was last in court on the 08/04/2014 and the judge give until the 11/04/2014 for the CPS to give the discloser over which still has not happened the Judge said that if the discloser was not given it was meant to be re listed back in court. The CPS asked for more time which you gave them and they still have done nothing, so Simon wants it listed in court so this can be told to the judge.

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 16 May 2014 16:27
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Policy-Simon-Cordell

Attachments: 36980157-Jamie Macuire.doc; 36980158-L Cordell.doc; 36980160-Sheila Lewis.doc
Hi Martin

I got a call today and was told they needed 3 more invoices which I am sending over now.

I hope the invoices will not be used for anything as this could cause me trouble under the data protection law.

Regards

Simon

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 May 2014 13:04
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: Policy-Simon-Cordell

Hi Martin

Simon had a letter from policy plan saying they were cancelling his policy the letter was dated 12/05/2014 and they said they were cancelling seven days from the date of there letter . Not sure as to why as when Simon got your letter dated the 06/05/2014 we sent the documents you asked for to policy plan. Please see attached documents which where posted to policy plan. Could you please see as to the reason as why they are cancelling his policy please.

Regards

Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 19 May 2014 17:22

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk; listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk; J.B. Akin-Olugbade; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Del Edgeler; Mark.Tomlinson@cps.gsi.gov.uk

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on Wednesday 21st May 2014

Dear Sir or Madam

We continue to act on behalf of Mr Simon Cordell in relation to an allegation of burglary.

As you are aware this case was in court on 8th April 2014 for a mention hearing before his Honour Judge Shorrock. On that occasion the Learned Judge made directions as to the service of crime scene photographs from February 2014 (as referred to in the statement of Paul Michael Whittaker dated 25th March 2014). There are 14 photographs referred to in the statement but we have not been provided with an album containing the pictures of indeed a photograph.

In addition we have not been served with the statement from the Council regarding the noise abatement. We will forward under separate cover copies of a section 80 noise abatement notice and a notice prohibiting the sale of alcohol at the planned party in Bianca Road that Mr Cordell's mother secured from the Council under a section 35 application. It appears as though there is confusion as to the venue address and indeed the venue referred to in the noise abatement notice (Bianca Road) and the alleged burgled premises appear to be one and the same albeit the burglary is charged under the address of Unit 3-4 Haymerle Road, Peckham, London, SE15 6SA as opposed to Bianca Road.

We also request that the prosecution clarify specifically what the particulars of the charge is against Mr Cordell as in the advance information he was charged with loss of stock to the value of £8220. Mr Patel in his statement dated 8th May 2013 refers to loss and also damage. The damage is estimated at £8,000 - £10,000. In the case papers there is reference to a burglary and the items being stolen being a gazebo and also a chair. With regards to the gazebo Mr Cordell will bring to court a copy of an invoice that he has confirming that he purchased this item and therefore this could not have come from any burglary. In addition the invoices provided in the case papers do not have listed the chair seized from Mr Cordell's home address. The chair listed on the invoices provided by Mr Patel refer to Monaco mutli position chairs whereas the chair removed from Mr Cordells' address is a Venice chair. The photograph provided is of a Venice chair and not a Monaco chair..

We seek clarification as to the particulars of the burglary charge as if the charge is to be amended to damage caused. There have to date been three burglaries at the premises, one in February 2013, one in March 2013 and one in May 2013. We know from the insurance documents that Nikki Diamond visited the site on 28th February 2013 and updated the broker on 2nd April 2013 damage being described as access holes being knocked through in the walls to gain access and an additional two access points were discovered. reference is made to damage to the rook also. There is also a comment made that there is no way to differentiate which damage was caused when. We know that there are crime scene photographs in relation to the February 2013 offence and these need to be compared to the crime scene photographs in relation to the May 2013 offence.

We also request that the officer in the case clarify the position with regards to the invoices and the date when they were obtained from Mr Patel. The invoices that we are specifically making reference to are the ones dated 1st March 2013 and 29th March 2013 which itemise identical damage. The optional extras recommended are identical also. Mr Trevor Allway from the Insurance company confirmed that he never received the invoices / estimates from Mr Patel and he checked with Nikki Diamond whether she had seen the invoices and she stated that they had not been provided to the insurance company. Indeed from the insurance file there is an email from Simone Sailman requesting repair quotations from Deven Patel (dated 24th April 2013) but the invoices provided by Mr Patel to the police are clearly dated 1st March 2013 and 29th March 2013. Our query is why were these not

provided to the insurance company? We request the date that these invoices were provided to the police. The estimate itemising the damage dated 5th September 2013 appears to correspond to damage itemised in a telephone call to the insurance broker by Nicola Diamond on 2nd April 2013 at 15:04:00 with additions. The estimate for this damage is £39420.00. Mr Patel in his statement dated 8th May 2013 estimates the damage as being between £8,000 - £10,000. Yet the invoice dated 5th September 2013 substantially increases the estimate by some £30,000. The invoices dated in March only refer to re-bricking one wall at a cost of £600 yet Nicola Diamond refers to at least three entry points.

The statement of Mr Rakesh Patel dated 10th March 2014 gives us cause for concern as he has produced two invoices that never appear to have been provided to his insurance company. He also makes reference to his manager reporting the burglary but not been given a crime reference. We request that a statement be taken from this Manager and in particular that the Manager confirms the damage that was caused and the value of the stock stolen and how this amount was reconciled to stock in the warehouse.

We are also concerned as police attended on the night of the raves in May 2013 and the damage to the wall would have been apparent to the police. In addition we find it bizarre that there were no additional police patrols in the area on the night of a rave. Our client is adamant that police officers attended on the night in question and were powerless to stop the rave / private party from taking place because there were people squatting inside the premises and notices on the walls clearly stating that the premises were being used as legal squats. The police officers who attended on the night of the rave / private party can confirm that there was damage already in existence and also that the premises were a legal squat. This would contradict the statement of Inspector Mc Millan dated 31st March 2014 who stated that there were no obvious notices / signs indicating squatters rights.

We therefore request that the officer in the case make enquiries in relation to the Bianca Road address as referred to in the section 80 notices.

We request that this case be listed for mention on Wednesday 21st May 2014 in order that the above can be clarified and also that our client's bail conditions are clarified, in particular our client seeks the return of his passport.

We await the confirmation of the listing in due course.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 19 May 2014 17:24

To: too smooth; Lorraine Cordell

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention on 21st May 2014 at Woolwich Crown Court
Simon / Lorraine

Please ensure that you bring the invoice for your gazebo to court on Wednesday 2014 and also the link confirming that the gazebos were on sale elsewhere, which effectively contradicts the statement of Mr Patel.

I will confirm the listing in due course. Please do not hesitate to email me with any further enquiries.

Regards

Josephine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 19 May 2014 17:33

To: croydonkingston&woolwichcrown@cps.gsi.gov.uk; listing@woolwich.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk;
J.B. Akin-Olugbade; Lorraine Cordell; too smooth; Mark.Tomlinson@cps.gsi.gov.uk

Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention on 21st May 2014

Attachments: Appendix 1.pdf; Appendix 2.pdf

Dear Sirs

Please see the notices from the Council re noise abatement at Bianca Road as referred to in our earlier email.

Please marry up to the earlier email.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.

From: Martin Jenkin [martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 20 May 2014 18:52
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: FW:

Attachments: image2014-05-20-184559.pdf

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 22 May 2014 14:19

To: 'swglondonmc@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'

Subject: Plea-form-011401009802

Attachments: Plea-form-011401009802.pdf

To Whom It May Concern:

Please see attached Plea form for summons dated 16/04/2014 for case number 011401009802 to be heard on the 28/05/2014. Which I am pleading not guilty to.

Could you please send back an email receipt that you have received my Plea form.

Regards

Mr Simon Cordell

From: GL-SWESTERNMCENQ [swglondonmc@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 22 May 2014 14:20

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Plea-form-011401009802

Thank you for your email which has been passed to our correspondence team please be aware it may have to be referred to our Legal Team and we will respond to you as soon as possible.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 22 May 2014 17:28

To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'

Subject: RE: KGM Policy-Simon-Cordell

Attachments: Rewired Rewired_Fw_ Our ref_ A27_190530 AX REF - 403050.pdf

Dear martin

For the last 3 days Simon and myself have been trying to sort these 2 claims out with KGM. Many phone calls have been made and all sorts of things said.

Claim dated 14/09/2014 information below

Today I got a call back for the claim dated 14/09/2013 where I asked the lady I spoke to for the information for the insurance company that were making the claim, I was also shocked to hear that they had only today again sent an email to Accident exchange to get them to re-direct the claim, the information is below.

Company: Accident exchange

Ref: LR4030501

Phone Number: 08700116720

Simon called and spoke to a Mr. Chris Garvin at around 11.50am on the 22/05/2014

Simon was told that they were no longer looking at his insurance and had not been for some time. That they had re-direct there claim to Mr.Harris and all they were waiting for was for proof of the sale of the index incident vehicle, CX52 JRZ from KGM and had been waiting for this for some months to close that part of the claim down for Simon. Simon asked Mr. Chris Garvin if he could email himself this and also email this again to KGM I will attach the email from Mr. Chris Garvin with this email for you to see

Again it does seem as if KGM are not doing there job as from what I heard today this claim should have been taken of my insurance from months ago. Simon again called KGM about this and getting KGM to send Mr. Chris Garvin the proof of sale and was shocked to hear it would take KGM 5 days to send this over so that this claim can be closed down. I at this time think KGM has had long enough already to deal with this matter and due to how long this has taken has messed Simon insurance up enough already due to KGM not doing there job.

This should have been cleared up months ago but KGM is just holding on to it.

Claim dated the 09/12/2013 information below

Also today we have been trying again to sort the claim dated 09/12/2013, where Simon was driving my car to service it the Rover Reg Y311 FVV. We have been told that the last few weeks they would send us a form out to fill in to Simon email address re_wired@ymail.com which should be on file when he took the insurance policy out with KGM. But not getting the form again we called and asked why. They seemed to have had the wrong email so re took it and said they would send they out yesterday still not having got the email by today we asked them why we had not got this again they had the wrong email so again they had to take it. We do now have the form which is a joke in itself to fill in so I made a call to KGM to get some help as most of the information also KGM already have, But within the call I was not happy with what Mr. C Clancy said to me on the phone.

They do seem to have the witness information now to the claim which was given on the phone to KGM on the 09/12/2013 which they in the pass told me they did not have.

Mr. C Clancy had to in the end go and get the file out as there was so much mess on there system he needed to see what had been done in the file.

They did call Miss Hue who is the witness and got her email address and they sent her an email on the 05/02/2014, but since this time they have not heard from her. I said to Mr. C Clancy with the mess up with Simon email address and not taking down the correct email does it not seem something is wrong if Miss Hue was willing to give you her email then why would she not have filled in the information to ask her for. Why has nothing been follow up by calling Miss Hue and asking. He could not understand why this had not been done.

He then went on to try and help me with the form they had sent over and when he heard I was insured on Y311 FVV he said could I give him a while for him to read the full file.

He came back on the phone and said but why is this claim not going through my insurance I said why should it as I was not driving it on the 09/12/2013 it was covered under Simon Trade insurance as he was taking it to be serviced.

At this he said that Simon was not covered to drive my car to service it under his trade insurance, I told him what was the reason for having this trade insurance if Simon was not insured. I then went on to say about some months back when I myself talked to the claim hander Miss Alana Foster and she said this also. And that you had contracted the underwriters and sorted this out and Simon was in fact insured. He said he was going to have to contract the underwriters and conform this. At this I was really not happy and told him does your teams do there work correctly as to me there is no communication between KGM departments. I told him on the phone I was going to again contract you and again sort this mess out as if there still saying Simon was not insured then they sold him the wrong insurance policy. At this he said to me don't do that just wait till I get back to you today or tomorrow.

There is a lot more that has been said but this is just a little outline.

Sorry Martin there is such a mess with KGM they don't seem to know what they are doing and this is having a great affect on Simon life and more costs due to his insurance costs, and the fact now he has to go to court around 6 times for no insurance when he was in fact insurance and his insurance was paid for.

Can you please try to deal with this mess? And take a look at the email that I have attached for the claim of 14/09/2014 I don't see why Simon no claims is being withheld due to KGM not doing there job and also having the new insurance closed due to this fact and you having to open a new one this is really looking bad on Simon and he has done nothing wrong here.

Also can I ask something about Simon New insurance does it cover him for his window screen on his car?

Can you please give us an update for all this and sorry for the long email.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 22 May 2014 19:02
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention on 21st May 2014
Lorraine

As discussed yesterday when I receive the information from the barrister I will arrange a meeting with Simon. I have asked for it to be placed in the DX and I can confirm that it has not yet been received, hopefully tomorrow.

With regards to the bail conditions I am awaiting on the barristers backsheet to clarify the above. I will send a chaser email to Chambers now.

Regards

Josephine

On Thu, May 22, 2014 at 6:21 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Is there any update to what happened in court yesterday and have you got the files yet? Also about Simon bail conditions.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 22 May 2014 18:22

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention on 21st May 2014

Hi Josey

Is there any update to what happened in court yesterday and have you got the files yet? Also about Simon bail conditions.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 19:49
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Fwd: CORDELL

Attachments: doc06299020140523092249.pdf
Hi Simon / Lorraine

I am forwarding Counsel's backsheet from the mention hearing. The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared and he lifted the curfew. I will seek clarification of this point. I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.

The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.

Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Irram Khan <Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com>
Date: Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM
Subject: CORDELL
To: "josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com" <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>, "josie@michaelcarrollandco.com" <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: kyocera@nexuschambers.com [mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 09:23
To: Irram Khan
Subject:

TASKalfa 300i
[00:c0:ee:78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your

copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 23 May 2014 23:23
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: CORDELL
Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply the OIC needs to contact Edmonton police station and put a release on Simon passport as that is where it is.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 19:49
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Fwd: CORDELL

Hi Simon / Lorraine

I am forwarding Counsel's backsheet from the mention hearing. The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared and he lifted the curfew. I will seek clarification of this point. I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.

The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.

Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Irram Khan <Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com>
Date: Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM
Subject: CORDELL
To: "josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com" <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>, "josie@michaelcarrollandco.com" <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: kyocera@nexuschambers.com [mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 09:23
To: Irram Khan
Subject:

TASKalfa 300i
[00:c0:ee:78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 24 May 2014 00:55
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: CORDELL

Hi Lorraine

I will email court to request verification that his passport can be restored. I will then email it to you.

Regards

Josephine

On 23 May 2014 23:23, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply the OIC needs to contact Edmonton police station and put a release on Simon passport as that is where it is.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 19:49
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Fwd: CORDELL

Hi Simon / Lorraine

I am forwarding Counsel's backsheet from the mention hearing. The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared and he lifted the curfew. I will seek clarification of this point. I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.

The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.

Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Irram Khan** <Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com>

Date: Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM

Subject: CORDELL

To: "josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com" <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>, "josie@michaelcarrollandco.com" <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: kyocera@nexuschambers.com [mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com]

Sent: 23 May 2014 09:23

To: Irram Khan

Subject:

TASKalfa 300i
[00:c0:ee:78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 28 May 2014 16:29
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: CORDELL
Hi Josey

I called the OIC today and told him where Simon Passport was so he sent an email over to them to release the passport. Simon has collected it now so he has it back. So its now just to confirm the signing on each Sunday and not to go into Southwark council left to confirm which as far as the judge said he is not meant to be doing this.

Can you also give me an update as to if you had anything from the DX yet. As the OIC also said there is a statement from Nikki Diamond that was handed over at court last week, on the phone today. But I sure Nikki Diamond was meant to be Simon witness along with Trevor Allaway.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 24 May 2014 00:55
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: CORDELL

Hi Lorraine

I will email court to request verification that his passport can be restored. I will then email it to you.

Regards

Josephine

On 23 May 2014 23:23, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply the OIC needs to contact Edmonton police station and put a release on Simon passport as that is where it is.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 19:49
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Fwd: CORDELL

Hi Simon / Lorraine

I am forwarding Counsel's backsheets from the mention hearing. The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared and he lifted the curfew. I will seek clarification of this point. I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.

The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.

Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Irram Khan** <Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com>

Date: Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM

Subject: CORDELL

To: "josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com" <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>,

"josie@michaelcarrollandco.com" <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: kyocera@nexuschambers.com [mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com]

Sent: 23 May 2014 09:23

To: Irram Khan

Subject:

TASKalfa 300i
[00:c0:ee:78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 29 May 2014 11:01
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: CORDELL
Hi Josey

I hope you have a great holiday, and yes the week commencing the 09/06/2014 should be fine.

Is there anyway if the pictures and files come over in the DX before then can they be emailed to us before as Simon would like to see them as soon as possible,

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 May 2014 17:24
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: CORDELL

Lorraine

1. I am still waiting for the documents and photographs served on Counsel at the hearing.
2. There is no property in a witness so police can take statements from Nike Diamond and Trevor Allway.
3. I am still waiting CPS to confirm if burglary charge damage based or items stolen - value
4. Is Simon available to attend office week commencing 9th June 2014 as I am now on annual leave (but still contactable by email / text)
5. CPS reviewing lawyer wrote and asked if only witness DC Mayhew. Will be clarifying that this is not the case by fax as waiting noise abatement and police who attended Bianca Road. I will forward a copy of fax to you by email.

Josephine

On 28 May 2014 16:29, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

I called the OIC today and told him where Simon Passport was so he sent an email over to them to release the passport. Simon has collected it now so he has it back. So its now just to confirm the signing on each Sunday and not to go into Southwark council left to confirm which as far as the judge said he is not meant to be doing this.

Can you also give me an update as to if you had anything from the DX yet. As the OIC also said there is a statement from Nikki Diamond that was handed over at court last week, on the phone today. But I sure Nikki Diamond was meant to be Simon witness along with Trevor Allaway.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 24 May 2014 00:55
To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: CORDELL

Hi Lorraine

I will email court to request verification that his passport can be restored. I will then email it to you.

Regards

Josephine

On 23 May 2014 23:23, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply the OIC needs to contact Edmonton police station and put a release on Simon passport as that is where it is.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 19:49
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Fwd: CORDELL

Hi Simon / Lorraine

I am forwarding Counsel's backsheets from the mention hearing. The bail position is wrong as it would be as per the conditions imposed by the Judge on the last occasion when Simon appeared and he lifted the curfew. I will seek clarification of this point. I will email Woolwich Crown Court to request clarification of the bail conditions imposed in April 2014.

The statement from the noise abatement officer has still not yet arrived, nor has the colour photographs I asked for them to be sent in the DX yesterday so Tuesday will be the next day that I can check.

Any queries between now and then please do not hesitate to contact me at my office by email or text.

Kind regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Irram Khan** <Irram.Khan@nexuschambers.com>
Date: Fri, May 23, 2014 at 9:28 AM
Subject: CORDELL
To: "josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com" <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>, "josie@michaelcarrollandco.com" <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: kyocera@nexuschambers.com [mailto:kyocera@nexuschambers.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 09:23

To: Irram Khan Subject:

TASKalfa 300i [00:c0:ee:78:77:de]

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient then you must not copy it, forward it, use it for any purpose, or disclose it to another person. Instead please return it to the sender immediately and copy your communication to info@nexuschambers.com. Please then delete your copy from your system.

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 02 June 2014 20:06

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office? If not can you re email the barrister to get them sent over please

Regards

Lorraine

From: Martin Jenkin [martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 03 July 2014 10:51
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT06 Open PrintManager Documents

Attachments: sofdec (COSX14MT06).pdf Please ask simon to sign and return

Regards

Martin Jenkin DDI 01843 598744
cid:image001.png@01CC9FAC.(

t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 July 2014 11:20
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: 00-COSX14MT06 Open Print Manager Documents

Attachments: sofdec (COSX14MT06)-signed.pdf [Hi Martin](#)

[Here is the statement of facts](#)

From: Martin Jenkin [mailto:martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 03 July 2014 10:51 To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT06 Open Print Manager Documents

Please ask Simon to sign and return

Regards

Martin Jenkin DDI 01843 598744
cid:image001.png@01CC9FAC.(

t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 03 June 2014 11:34

To: 'Martin Jenkin'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you tell me if the claim for the 14/09/2013 has been taken off by KGM they have had notice to do this and it was due to be done last week.

If it has does Simon no claims come into force now?

Also can you tell me the payments for his new insurance as Simon has not had anything from them yet in the post or from yourselves? Also what date the next payment is due to be paid. The total cost of the insurance and the monthly instalment costs please.

And if KMG has closed down the claim for the 14/09/2013 and Simon no claims come back into force what is the total payment for the new insurance.

Also as I said on the phone before |Simon has to go to court for no insurance for Nov 2013 when the police said Simon had tools in his van would it please be possible to get an email which shows fully what happened as to Simon insurance going to be closed down due to what the police said and that the only reason it was not was due to Simon having to go to the compound and speak to the manager who then called Kelly Tiller at KGM and told her there was no tools when the police took the Van into the compound therefore KGM said he was in fact insurance the day he was stopped by the police and that the van should not have been sized, also explained that you yourself talked to the police by phone on that day and told them he was insured. This would really help so it can be shown to the judge.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 June 2014 22:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell
Lorraine

I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX. I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office? If not can you re email the barrister to get them sent over please

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 June 2014 23:43
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Josey

I know you are annual leave and not in a position to check the DX. But I was thinking that the office would keep you updated on your cases as to if anything did come in the DX. I will call the office tomorrow and ask if anything has come in and if not could an email be sent to the barrister as it been 13 days since this went to court and she has had the information, If the barrister had sent the DX you asked for you should have had it the next day.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 June 2014 22:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX. I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office? If not can you re email the barrister to get them sent over please

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 05 June 2014 10:56
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Martin

Can you let me know about the below email I sent over to you please.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 June 2014 11:34
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you tell me if the claim for the 14/09/2013 has been taken off by KGM they have had notice to do this and it was due to be done last week.

If it has does Simon no claims come into force now?

Also can you tell me the payments for his new insurance as Simon has not had anything from them yet in the post or from yourselves? Also what date the next payment is due to be paid. The total cost of the insurance and the monthly instalment costs please.

And if KMG has closed down the claim for the 14/09/2013 and Simon no claims come back into force what is the total payment for the new insurance.

Also as I said on the phone before |Simon has to go to court for no insurance for Nov 2013 when the police said Simon had tools in his van would it please be possible to get an email which shows fully what happened as to Simon insurance going to be closed down due to what the police said and that the only reason it was not was due to Simon having to go to the compound and speak to the manager who then called Kelly Tiller at KGM and told her there was no tools when the police took the Van into the compound therefore KGM said he was in fact insurance the day he was stopped by the police and that the van should not have been sized, also explained that you yourself talked to the police by phone on that day and told them he was insured. This would really help so it can be shown to the judge.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 June 2014 18:29
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Martin

Please can you let me know about Simon insurance its not showing on the mid database and I really need to know about the payments and also the below emails. I did call today to take to you and left my number for you to call me back but did not get any calls. I need to know if Simon is insured. The last time I spoke to you you said you would get back to me about the payments and I have not heard anything yet from you and no paper work has come to Simon address.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 05 June 2014 10:56
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you let me know about the below email I sent over to you please.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 June 2014 11:34
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you tell me if the claim for the 14/09/2013 has been taken off by KGM they have had notice to do this and it was due to be done last week.

If it has does Simon no claims come into force now?

Also can you tell me the payments for his new insurance as Simon has not had anything from them yet in the post or from yourselves? Also what date the next payment is due to be paid. The total cost of the insurance and the monthly instalment costs please.

And if KMG has closed down the claim for the 14/09/2013 and Simon no claims come back into force what is the total payment for the new insurance.

Also as I said on the phone before |Simon has to go to court for no insurance for Nov 2013 when the police said Simon had tools in his van would it please be possible to get an email which shows fully what happened as to Simon insurance going to be closed down due to what the police said and that the only reason it was not was due to Simon having to go to the compound and speak to the manager who then called Kelly Tiller at KGM and told her there was no tools when the police took the Van into the compound therefore KGM said he was in fact insurance the day he was stopped by the police and that the van should not have been sized, also explained that you yourself talked to the police by phone on that day and told them he was insured. This would really help so it can be shown to the judge.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 June 2014 10:23
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Josey

Would it be possible to let us know if anything has come in the DX for Simon case and if anything has come to send it over by email please.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 June 2014 22:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX. I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office? If not can you re email the barrister to get them sent over please

Regards

Lorraine

From: Rachel [rachelbarker@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 10 July 2014 15:50
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT06-ID<63> OpenAttach Documents

Good Afternoon Lorraine,

Sorry to bother you but Martin said you would be the best person to email.

Could you please forward Up to Date Copy of Driving Licence for Simon in his correct address as a matter of urgency we have been trying to contact him but no answer.

Many Thanks for all your help if you have any problems or require any further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind Regards

Rachel Barker
cid:image001.png@01CB9170.6

[Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488](#)

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 11 June 2014 21:06
To: 'martinj enkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Could you please give us an update to the below emails please? I did call you the other day and asked for a call back as you were busy and left my mobile number which is 07961 833021. I have not had any calls and I am getting more worried as Simon still has not had any paper work and also you said you would get back to me about payments, I am sure something should be due and would like to know if Simon is still insured,

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 June 2014 18:29
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Please can you let me know about Simon insurance it's not showing on the mid database and I really need to know about the payments and also the below emails. I did call today to take to you and left my number for you to call me back but did not get any calls. I need to know if Simon is insured. The last time I spoke to you and you said you would get back to me about the payments and I have not heard anything yet from you and no paper work has come to Simon address.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 05 June 2014 10:56
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you let me know about the below email I sent over to you please?

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 June 2014 11:34
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you tell me if the claim for the 14/09/2013 has been taken off by KGM they have had notice to do this and it was due to be done last week?

If it has does Simon no claims come into force now?

Also, can you tell me the payments for his new insurance as Simon has not had anything from them yet in the post or from yourselves? Also, what date the next payment is due to be paid. The total cost of the insurance and the monthly instalment costs please.

And if KMG has closed down the claim for the 14/09/2013 and Simon no claims come back into force what is the

total payment for the new insurance.

Also as I said on the phone before |Simon has to go to court for no insurance for Nov 2013 when the police said Simon had tools in his van would it please be possible to get an email which shows fully what happened as to Simon insurance going to be closed down due to what the police said and that the only reason it was not was due to Simon having to go to the compound and speak to the manager who then called Kelly Tiller at KGM and told her there was no tools when the police took the Van into the compound therefore KGM said he was in fact insurance the day he was stopped by the police and that the van should not have been sized, also explained that you yourself talked to the police by phone on that day and told them he was insured. This would really help so it can be shown to the judge.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 June 2014 21:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell
Hi Lorraine

I am now in possession of the crime scene photographs which appear to show a much tidier warehouse. The CPS have still not confirmed whether the burglary is based on damage or loss. If loss then Simon is covered in terms of the receipts. If damage then Mr Patel, Nikki Diamond etc will all have to attend court as will the warehouse manager.

I do not have a car at the moment as I had an accident in May so my ability to do late visits is significantly impacted.

Is Simon likely to be available Friday afternoon. I am at Milton Keynes in the morning and should be back in London for 2pm or alternatively what about Saturday.

Please let me know which is the more convenient.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Jun 11, 2014 at 9:03 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Would it be possible to get an update as to Simon case and the DX papers and pictures? Also you said you wanted a meeting with Simon this week is there an update on this?

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 June 2014 10:23
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

Would it be possible to let us know if anything has come in the DX for Simon case and if anything has come to send it over by email please.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 June 2014 22:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX. I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office? If not can you re email the barrister to get them sent over please

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 11 June 2014 21:03
To: 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Josey

Would it be possible to get an update as to Simon case and the DX papers and pictures? Also you said you wanted a meeting with Simon this week is there an update on this?

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 June 2014 10:23
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

Would it be possible to let us know if anything has come in the DX for Simon case and if anything has come to send it over by email please.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 June 2014 22:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX. I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office? If not can you re email the barrister to get them sent over please

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 June 2014 11:35
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

I will try and send over this afternoon as I am between legal visits.

Regards

Josephine

On 12 Jun 2014 07:56, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Just got your email so I will confirm with Simon today which day is best for him and let you know today. Is there any way you can email the pictures over to us so he can look at them?

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 June 2014 21:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

I am now in possession of the crime scene photographs which appear to show a much tidier warehouse. The CPS have still not confirmed whether the burglary is based on damage or loss. If loss then Simon is covered in terms of the receipts. If damage then Mr Patel, Nikki Diamond etc will all have to attend court as will the warehouse manager.

I do not have a car at the moment as I had an accident in May so my ability to do late visits is significantly impacted.

Is Simon likely to be available Friday afternoon. I am at Milton Keynes in the morning and should be back in London for 2pm or alternatively what about Saturday.

Please let me know which is the more convenient.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Jun 11, 2014 at 9:03 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Would it be possible to get an update as to Simon case and the DX papers and pictures? Also you said you wanted a meeting with Simon this week is there an update on this?

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 June 2014 10:23
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

Would it be possible to let us know if anything has come in the DX for Simon case and if anything has come to send it over by email please.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 June 2014 22:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX. I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office? If not can you re email the barrister to get them sent over please

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 June 2014 07:57
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell
Hi Josey

Just got your email so I will confirm with Simon today which day is best for him and let you know today. Is there any way you can email the pictures over to us so he can look at them?

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 11 June 2014 21:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine

I am now in possession of the crime scene photographs which appear to show a much tidier warehouse. The CPS have still not confirmed whether the burglary is based on damage or loss. If loss then Simon is covered in terms of the receipts. If damage then Mr Patel, Nikki Diamond etc will all have to attend court as will the warehouse manager.

I do not have a car at the moment as I had an accident in May so my ability to do late visits is significantly impacted.

Is Simon likely to be available Friday afternoon. I am at Milton Keynes in the morning and should be back in London for 2pm or alternatively what about Saturday.

Please let me know which is the more convenient.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Jun 11, 2014 at 9:03 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Would it be possible to get an update as to Simon case and the DX papers and pictures? Also you said you wanted a meeting with Simon this week is there an update on this?

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 June 2014 10:23
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Josey

Would it be possible to let us know if anything has come in the DX for Simon case and if anything has come to send it over by email please.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 03 June 2014 22:47
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Simon Cordell

Lorraine

I am on annual leave and not in a position to check the DX. I will email Anthony and ask him to check what we have and have not received and I will email you once I receive confirmation.

Josephine

On Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Is there any update on the DX files coming into the office? If not can you re email the barrister to get them sent over please

Regards

Lorraine

From: Martin Jenkin [martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 12 June 2014 13:41
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: [1] Simon Cordell
Hi Lorraine,

Payment Date	Amount
23-Jun-2014	£196.67
14-Jul-2014	£196.63
12-Aug-2014	£196.67
12-Sep-2014	£196.67
13-Oct-2014	£196.67
12-Nov-2014	£196.67
12-Dec-2014	£196.67
12-Jan-2015	£196.67
12-Feb-2015	£196.67
12-Mar-2015	£196.67
13-Apr-2015	£196.67

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 11 June 2014 21:06
To: martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Could you please give us an update to the below emails please. I did call you the other day and asked for a call back as you was busy and left my mobile number which is 07961 833021. I have not had any calls and I am getting more worried as Simon still has not had any paper work and also you said you would get back to me about payments, I am sure something should be due and would like to know if Simon is still insured,

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 June 2014 18:29
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Please can you let me know about Simon insurance its not showing on the mid database and I really need to know about the payments and also the below emails. I did call today to take to you and left my number for you to call me back but did not get any calls. I need to know if Simon is insured. The last time I spoke to you you said you would get back to me about the payments and I have not heard anything yet from you and no paper work has come to Simon address.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 05 June 2014 10:56
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you let me know about the below email I sent over to you please.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 03 June 2014 11:34
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Can you tell me if the claim for the 14/09/2013 has been taken off by KGM they have had notice to do this and it was due to be done last week.

If it has does Simon no claims come into force now?

Also can you tell me the payments for his new insurance as Simon has not had anything from them yet in the post or from yourselves? Also what date the next payment is due to be paid. The total cost of the insurance and the monthly instalment costs please. And if KMG has closed down the claim for the 14/09/2013 and Simon no claims come back into force what is the total payment for the new insurance.

Also as I said on the phone before |Simon has to go to court for no insurance for Nov 2013 when the police said Simon had tools in his van would it please be possible to get an email which shows fully what happened as to Simon insurance going to be closed down due to what the police said and that the only reason it was not was due to Simon having to go to the compound and speak to the manager who then called Kelly Tiller at KGM and told her there was no tools when the police took the Van into the compound therefore KGM said he was in fact insurance the day he was stopped by the police and that the van should not have been sized, also explained that you yourself talked to the police by phone on that day and told them he was insured. This would really help so it can be shown to the judge.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Gaheris Edwards [gaheris@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 12 June 2014 13:47
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT06-ID<58> OpenAttach Documents

Attachments: Vehicle Referral List Edited 14082012.pdf; Examples of Proof of trading.pdf; 021608047-12-06-2014-13-43-09.PDF; MotTrade_RR_Summary.pdf; Terms of Business.pdf; MotTrade_RR_wording.pdf; new biz fsa._GE_.pdf; sofdec._GE_.pdf; demands needs._GE_.pdf As requested

Kind Regards,

Gaheris Edwards

cid:image001.png@01CF8644.A

Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 24 March 2014 22:12
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: FW: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)
Hi Lorraine

I have been out on an attempted murder last night and most of today and this evening I have been highlighting raw call data for the barrister to minimise my client's knowledge in a conspiracy to supply firearms case presently going to trial. I will call you tomorrow in the afternoon to discuss.

Regards

Josephine

On Mon, Mar 24, 2014 at 8:33 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Please see the email I got for Southwark council.

It seems they even they had problems due to the address but after I give them the updated email for my request for Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ they found the information it also seems they new about the party for 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2014 before it had even happened as they got information on the 01/05/2013 that a party was going to take place on the 04/05/2013 so they went to the building on the 01/05/2013 and spoke to the squatters on this date which proves it was already being squatted before Simon went to the building on the 04/05/2013.

Simon always through the council told him that the Noise Abatement Notice was put on the building in the Feb 2013 but as you can see it was put in place on the 03/05/2013.

But I wonder who give the council the information on the 01/05/2013 about the party for the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2014, it must have been someone that the council would have believed could it have been the police?

It also does show the police was there but that the council did not take there IDs which does not help but it does show the police was there.

Also is there any update from Jemi about the 18/03/2014 for court as to what was said, and the bail application?

Lorraine

From: Community Safety Enforcement [mailto:environmentcommunications@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 24 March 2014 15:43
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Response to Information request - Ref 380680 (ref: 380680)

Southwark Council - Information request

Our reference: 380680

Dear Mr Cordell

Re: Your request for information: 380680

Thank you for your request for information that was received on 13 March 2014 in which you requested:

I am writing this email due to the below email which says you do not have any information for the dates given.

I know on the 16/02/2013 /17/02/2013 a Noise and Nuisance Team come to a warehouse where a rave was taking place at Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, the police was there and around 500 party goers was within a warehouse I also know that a noise abatement orders was put in place on the warehouse on this date.

It is also fact that on the 04/05/2013 / 05/05/2013 a next party took place in the same warehouse again I know as fact that a Noise and Nuisance Team attended on this party and walked fully around the building with a person that had hire there sound system out for this party the Noise and Nuisance Team told him that a noise abatement order was put in place in the Feb 2013 due to noise and that they could legally take the sound system due to this.

The person that Hire there sound system for that party walked around the whole warehouse and took noise levels and said that if the noise was kept with a limited he had said the party could carry on, the police was also there the noise officer left the warehouse to go on to a next place and told the person who had hire there sound system out there was be back through out the night to check if they had kept the sound to the limited that was set.

Therefore I cannot understand why you do not have any information as to these dates.

I there for ask if you have any information on any dates about Bianca Road, SE15.6SJ / Haymerle Road, SE15 6SD, and noise could you please forward any information as to reports, noise abatement orders, and any calls and police IDs and Noise and Nuisance Team officer attending could you please forward this information to me.

I know this as fact as I have been arrested by police for the party that took place in the May 2013 and I am the one that walked around the building with the Noise and Nuisance Team officer as I am the one that Hire my sound system for this night.

If it is that you do have information and cannot give it to me can you please inform me of this, then if it would be easier I could put a section 35 DPA request for the information.

The information that you requested is below

On your original Freedom of Information request ref 375981, a search was made on the address you gave as Unit 3, 4 Horrisons Industrial Estate, Haymerle Road Se15 6SD for the dates 16-17 February 2013. I can confirm that no records were recorded under that particular address.

This request has requested 'Bianca Road' as a search criteria, and in addition to the 16-17 February 2013, also gives new dates of 4-5 May 2013.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 16-17 February 2013

Our records show 3 service requests were received regarding noise from 'the street' Bianca Road on the 17th February 2013 at:

- 05:37hrs
- 07:50hrs
- 07:53hrs

The first service request was received outside of our operational hours. With regards to the other two service requests, the officers rang the clients at 08:15hrs and 08:25hrs respectively. The first client informed the Officer that the music was no longer bothering them, however, the other client asked for the officers to visit.

Two officers visited the client at 08:45hrs and assessed the level of noise received at the client's premises. No nuisance was witnessed, however, they advised the client to call back should the level rise. No further calls were received, and no Abatement Notice was served on that date.

All Calls (Service Requests) received 4-5 May 2013

According to our records no service requests were received regarding this premises on these dates.

Noise Abatement Notice

On 1 May 2013 the Noise & Nuisance Team received information regarding a potential rave which would allegedly be held on the night of the 4 May 2013.

In response officers visited the site on 1 May 2013, and established that the 'old sausage factory' in Bianca Road was being squatted. Officers spoke to the squatters who were residing there, and whilst they did not substantiate the claim, they did not deny it either. Officers felt that should the rave go ahead it would likely cause a nuisance to nearby residents.

In a proactive attempt to address this, on 3 May 2013 Officers served a section 80 abatement Notice for 'likelihood of nuisance' which effectively prohibited the nuisance from occurring or recurring. Whilst the Notice prohibited the occurrence or recurrence of nuisance from the rave, it could not prevent the rave from taking place.

In addition to the above, Council Licensing officers also visited the site with Police and a warning letter was issued regarding unlicensed entertainment.

Actions on the 4-5 May 2013

The Noise Team made several visits at the following times:

01:37hrs - 2 officers noted that the rave had started; they contacted Southwark Police to enquire whether there were sufficient resources to shut it down (should a nuisance be witnessed), and were informed that this would be unlikely. They also spoke to the organisers of the rave, and reminded them that the Notice was in place. The organisers reduced the volume to a low level.

03:50hrs -The Noise team Unit Manager also visited the site along with the Police who were attached to the Council's Nighttime Economy Team. She also spoke to the organisers, who stated that they would reduce the volume further on request. No Police ID numbers were taken or recorded.

11:47hrs - 2 officers visited the premises but no nuisance witnessed.

16:53hrs - Same 2 offices visited with nothing being witnessed.

Reports Written

No reports were written on the events for both dates.

You are free to use the information provided for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research you are doing and for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use, for example commercial publication, requires the permission of the copyright holder. You may apply for permission to re-use this information by submitting a request to accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk; you can find details on these arrangements at

<http://www.southwark.gov.uk/YourCouncil/Copyright>. Detailed advice about the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations (PSI) 2005 is available from the Office of Public Sector Information at: <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/advice/index.htm>.

If you have any queries or concerns then please contact us using the above details.

Further information is available through the Information Commissioner at the:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire. SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Internet: www.ico.org.uk

Yours sincerely

John Page
Quality Manager
John.Page@SOUTHWARK.GOV.UK

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 28 June 2013 14:14
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Fwd: Simon Cordell A5698CY

Attachments: CORDELL B20130035.pdf

confirmation of bail grant from court

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Micheal" <m.mckee@michaelcarrollandco.com>
Date: Jun 28, 2013 1:27 PM
Subject: Fwd: Simon Cordell A5698CY
To: <iosie@michaelcarrollandco.com>, <josephinewardsolicitor@googlemail.com>

Please see the below. Do you want me to get in touch with Scrubs? It looks like they have listed his prison number.

Kind regards,

micheal

----- Original Message -----

From: "Matthews, Paula" <Paula.Matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>
To:
Date: 28 June 2013 at 13:15 **Subject:** Simon
Cordell A5698CY

To whom it may concern,

Please find attached a copy of the Grant of Bail for Simon Cordell who is currently held at HMP Wormwood Scrubs.

The Release on bail is subject to the conditions set out in the order.

Edmonton Police Station - Please be aware that Ms Lorraine Cordell will be attending your station in order to provide details of the Surety in the figure of £1000 Please confirm receipt of this email.

Kind Regards

Paula Matthews | Court Clerk
Crown Court at Southwark | 1 English Grounds | London | SE1 2HU
DX 39913 London Bridge South | Tel:020 7522 7234 Working
pattern Tuesday to Friday

paula.matthews@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not

broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 July 2014 10:08
To: 'Rachel'
Subject: RE: 00-COSX14MT06-ID<63> OpenAttach Documents Hello Rachel

Simon did ask Martin the other day about this and Martin said the only document that was missing due to an error on my part was the statement of facts as I had sent him the old insurance one which I corrected by him sending me the one he needed by email and then getting Simon to sign it and sending it back to Martin. Simon has never changed his address on his of Driving Licence or his passport they have always been in my address, if you would need one in his address then we would need to send of to DVLA and get it changed which he has never wanted to do as he feels safer that they always come to my addresse as he sometimes does not get his mail to his address. We have never had any problems before due to them being in my address, do you need the Driving Licence changed to his address for a reason?

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Rachel [mailto:rachelbarker@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 10 July 2014 15:50
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: REF: 00-cOsX14MT06-ID<63> OpenAttach Documents Good Afternoon Lorraine,

Sorry to bother you but Martin said you would be the best person to email.

Could you please forward Up to Date Copy of Driving Licence for Simon in his correct address as a matter of urgency we have been trying to contact him but no answer.

Many Thanks for all your help if you have any problems or require any further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind Regards

Rachel Barker
cid:image001.png@01CB9170.6

[Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488](#)

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 04 January 2014 00:40
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v Simon Cordell Woolwich Crown Court
Lorraine

I am still on annual leave and I return to work on Monday. The section 8 application has to be submitted by 14th January 2014. Happy New Year.

Josephine

On Thu, Jan 2, 2014 at 9:51 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Hope you had a Happy Christmas and New Year. And I hope 2014 gives you all you want.

Also I just wanted to get any updates as to if the section 8 application has been put in yet for Simon case and the application for Third Party Disclosure.

Lorraine

From: TEC [tec@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 21 July 2014 13:55

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: TEC Acknowledgment

Thank you for using the Traffic Enforcement Centre (TEC) Customer Service email account. We will aim to process your email in line with current TEC targets and procedures. Please note that where an application to have the Court registration revoked is received, this will be processed in the following time scale:

- Witness Statement or Statutory Declarations received Monday - Friday and within the time allowed to file will be processed within 48 hours of receipt.
- Applications to file a Witness Statement or Statutory Declaration 'out of time' received on Monday – Friday by 16.00hrs, will be processed on the day of receipt. If your application is received after 16.00hrs it will be processed on the following working day.

Please note the TEC does **not** have the resources to provide the following:

- **Confirmation of appeal forms received at the TEC.**

Please contact the issuing Local Authority who TEC will have informed that your valid application has been received.

- **Details regarding payments/instalment plans.**

TEC does not collect payments on behalf of the Local Authority or their agents. Please contact the issuing Local Authority with your enquiry.

- **Locate your Penalty Charge Number (PCN) from your name/address/vehicle registration.**

Your correspondence will be returned to you if your PCN is not quoted as we do not have the facility to search. Please contact the issuing Local Authority for this information before submitting your enquiry/application.

If your query is about a bailiff or a clamped vehicle etc please contact the local authority direct.

Please note the office is closed over Easter, Christmas and Public Bank Holidays.

If you would like more information on TEC procedures please visit our website at:
www.justice.gov.uk

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purpose

Subject: Studio Shots

From: Luvinia De-terville (ldeterville@icloud.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 6 August 2014, 17:55

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville
Co-ordinator & Manager
D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services
Mob: 07951330467
Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com
Skype: lou1dems

Attachments

- photo 1.JPG (1.01 MB)
- photo 2.JPG (907.36 KB)
- photo 3.JPG (953.61 KB)
- photo 4.JPG (651.18 KB)
- photo 5.JPG (845.16 KB)

Subject: Draft logistics for event

From: Luvinia De-terville (ldeterville@icloud.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 6 August 2014, 18:00

*****Community Youth *****
 Raising funds for YouthServices

Time line:

Budget:

Venue: Edmonton N18

Date:

Time:

Reason: Raising funds for youth services in Enfield

**** Claverings estate: youth engagement panel
 Princes Trust in Hackney, Mare St
 Youth offenders services in Enfield/Edmonton

Head of youth service:

Contact ****
 - Sir Gwenton Soley MBE:
 -

***Property name
 NELSON TRADING ESTATE (ID: 7472)

Property address
 THE PATH, LONDON, SW19 3BL
 Property Notes
 Warehouse and offices

DetailsLocation
 Title Details
 Space available from Now
 Space available to 12/12/2014
 Number of floors 0
 Area 516.83
 Usage Warehouse
 Number of buildings 0
 Car parking spaces 0

Disabled access No
Refurbishment required Minimal
EPC Asset Rating -
EPC Asset Rating Value -
Disclaimer

This site shows collated information on Government space. Further information on any property is available from the Departmental contact shown on the relevant property record. The property records on this site are provided "as is", and neither Cabinet Office nor the relevant Department warrants or represents that these records, or any associated documents, are accurate or complete. This site does not give full particulars for the properties listed on it.

Contact Details

GILLIAN HOLLIS
0238 035 6704

***Property name
SOUTHERN HOUSE (ID: 6400)

PlayPreviousNext

Property address
WELLESLEY GROVE, CROYDON, CR9 1DY

Property Notes

Southern House is a good modern office building in the centre of Croydon with excellent transport links, being 3 minutes walk from East Croydon station. The building provides 192,690 sq ft (17,900 sq m) of which a number of floors are available. There is 24 hour access and security with each full floor having the benefit of nine on-site car parking spaces. Please see the attached particulars for further information.

DetailsLocation

Title Details
Space available from Now
Space available to -
Number of floors 17
Area 500.00
Usage Office
Number of buildings 1
Car parking spaces 9
Disabled access Yes
Refurbishment required None
EPC Asset Rating -
EPC Asset Rating Value -
Disclaimer

This site shows collated information on Government space. Further information on any property is available from the Departmental contact shown on the relevant property record. The property records on this site are provided "as is", and neither Cabinet Office nor the relevant Department warrants or represents that these records, or any associated documents, are accurate or complete. This site does not give full particulars for the properties listed on it.

Contact Details

Ian Gilbert
020 79045031

***Property name
MANORGATE HOUSE (ID: 3450)

PlayPreviousNext

Property address
2 MANORGATE ROAD, KINGSTON UPON THAMES, KT2 7AL

Property Notes

Manorgate House offers office space on the second floor of a three storey building. The space has a clear open plan layout with good natural light, suspended ceilings with inset lighting, three compartment trunking system, gas fired central heating, and air handling system. There is double glazing throughout, a passenger lift and 13 car parking spaces.

DetailsLocation

Title Details

Space available from Now

Space available to 22/03/2015

Number of floors 1

Area 255.50

Usage Office

Number of buildings 1

Car parking spaces 13

Disabled access Yes

Refurbishment required Minimal

EPC Asset Rating -

EPC Asset Rating Value -

Disclaimer

This site shows collated information on Government space. Further information on any property is available from the Departmental contact shown on the relevant property record. The property records on this site are provided "as is", and neither Cabinet Office nor the relevant Department warrants or represents that these records, or any associated documents, are accurate or complete. This site does not give full particulars for the properties listed on it.

Contact Details

Sarah Townend
0207 227 5353

I really don't want you to think that I am running with things hunny, I just need to get things going as you know there are barriers to go through

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville

Co-ordinator & Manager
D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services
Mob: 07951330467
Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com
Skype: lou1dems

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 07 August 2014 13:30
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050 Simon Cordell

Attachments: Chris Garvin_RE_ Our ref_ A27_190530_AX REF - 403050.pdf
Hi Martin

Please see the list of emails that I sent to the insurance company that put the claim in for the 15/09/2014 the ref for this claim for accident exchange was ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050(11) they was sent from Simon email address. Also please see the attached document that was sent over to KGM so the claim could be taken off of Simon insurance from Chris Garvin from accident exchange. I was the one that had to deal with this to sort this out as you will see as KGM was not doing anything to sort this claim out which was against Simon name. This was cleared from Simon insurance in May 2014 so I cannot understand why it is still on Simon insurance. I have sent this over before to you when it was sorted but it seems to still be on there and KGM did say to me that they would send you the details so it could be taken off.

Can this please be addressed and this taken off Simon insurance so that his insurance is not so high and his no claims can come back into force.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 07 August 2014 13:03
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Fw: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

On Tuesday, 27 May 2014, 10:01, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

On Tuesday, 27 May 2014, 9:55, Chris Garvin <cgarvin@accidentexchange.com> wrote:

F Y I

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 27 May 2014 09:55
To: Chris Garvin
Subject: Re: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

Hell Chris

Yes if at all possible could you please forward me a copy, i need to try and sort this today and get my no claims put back in place.

Regards

Simon

On Tuesday, 27 May 2014, 9:05, Chris Garvin <cgarvin@accidentexchange.com> wrote:

Mr.Cordell,

I have noted your email having returned from the Bank Holiday weekend.

Do you still require a copy of the email sent to your representatives?

Regards,
Chris

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 17:37
To: Chris Garvin
Subject: Re: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

To Mr Chris Garvin

Could you please forward me a copy of the email you have sent to KGM please. they seem to take there time with doing anything and if i have the email i can call them and maybe sort this matter out faster with them if i have a copy of your email to them.

Regards

S Cordell

On Friday, 23 May 2014, 17:25, Chris Garvin <cgarvin@accidentexchange.com> wrote:

Mr.Cordell,

I have today emailed your Insurer advising I am seeking to re-direct the matter to Mr.Harris as I am satisfied you have no involvement in the matter.

Kind regards,
Chris

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 17:07
To: Chris Garvin
Subject: Re: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

To Chris Garvin

Thank you for your recent correspondence on the phone and by email on the 22/05/2014

Please see attached proof of the sale of the index incident vehicle, CX52 JRZ.

Could you please now let my insurance company KGM know that this matter has now been fully re-direct to Mr.Harris and that you can now completely discount my insurance from your enquiries, i would be very grateful if this can be done so that KGM can give me my no claims back.

Regards

S Cordell
On Thursday, 22 May 2014, 16:35, Rewired Rewired
<re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

On Thursday, 22 May 2014, 12:23, Chris Garvin
<cgarvin@accidentexchange.com> wrote:

To whom this may concern,

Thank you for your recent correspondence.

Please be advised that we have sought to re-direct our claim to Mr.Harris as previously requested. However, to date we have received no positive contact from Mr.Harris thus investigators have been appointed.

So as we can completely discount your insured from our enquiries we would be grateful if you could provide the proof of the sale of the index incident vehicle, CX52 JRZ.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,
Chris

Chris Garvin

Claims Negotiator

Accident Exchange Limited
Alpha 1, Canton Lane, Hams Hall,
Birmingham, B46 1GA

T: 08700 116 720

M:

E: caarvin@accidentexchange.com

Accident Exchange Limited registered in England, registered number 04141140, registered office Alpha 1, Canton Lane, Hams Hall, Birmingham B46 1GA.

Accident Exchange Limited is a subsidiary company of Automotive and Insurance Solutions Group Pic.

Confidentiality: This email and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone; please reply to this email and highlight the error.

Security Warning: Please note that this email has been created in the knowledge that Internet email is not 100% secure communications medium. We advise that you understand and observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good computing practice the recipient should ensure they are actually virus free.

We do not accept responsibility for any virus that may be transferred by way of this e-mail.

For more information about Accident Exchange, please visit our web site at www.accidentexchange.com

Hi Claire,

Thank you for your email.

I can confirm we are satisfied that your insured has no responsibility/negligence for the index incident.

Regards,
Chris

From: Claire Wilson [mailto:C.Wilson@aaconline.co.uk]

Sent: 23 May 2014 11:15

To: Chris Garvin

Subject: RE: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

Hi Chris

Please send requested document.

We await your urgent confirmation that our Insured is not accountable for the accident and we may close our file

Thanks
Claire

Claire Wilson Claims Handler

Email: C.Wilson@autoaccidentclaims.co.uk

Auto Accident Claims on behalf of KGM Insurance 17 Argent Court 1 Sylvan Way 1 Southfields Business Park 1 Basildon 1 Essex 1 SS15 6TH

Tel: DDI: 01702 444 317 1 **Fax:** 0845 077 9727

U^cid: imaged

Accident Management You Can Rely On

Please visit our website at - www.autoaccidentclaims.co.uk

<http://img.recycletheworld>

From: Chris Garvin <mailto:caarvin@accidentexchange.com>

Sent: 22 May 2014 12:23

To: Claire Wilson

Cc: SA_Outgoing; re_wired@ymail.com

Subject: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

To whom this may concern,

Thank you for your recent correspondence.

Please be advised that we have sought to re-direct our claim to Mr. Harris as previously requested. However, to date we have received no positive contact from Mr. Harris thus investigators have been appointed.

So, as we can completely discount your insured from our enquiries, we would be grateful if you could provide the proof of the sale of the index incident vehicle, CX52 JRZ.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,
Chris

Chris Garvin
Claims Negotiator

Accident Exchange Limited
Alpha 1, Canton Lane, Hams Hall,
Birmingham, B46 1GA

T: 08700 116 720

M:

E: caarvin@accidentexchange.com

Accident Exchange Limited registered in England, registered number 04141140, registered office Alpha 1, Canton Lane, Hams Hall, Birmingham B46 1GA.
Accident Exchange Limited is a subsidiary company of Automotive and Insurance Solutions Group Pic.

Confidentiality: This email and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone; please reply to this email and highlight the error.

Security Warning: Please note that this email has been created in the knowledge that Internet email is not a 100% secure communications medium. We advise that you understand and observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good computing practice the recipient should ensure they are actually virus free. We do not accept responsibility for any virus that may be transferred by way of this e-mail.

For more information about Accident Exchange, please visit our web site at www.accidentexchange.com

Hi Claire,

Thank you for your email.

I can confirm we are satisfied that your insured has no responsibility/negligence for the index incident.

Regards,
Chris

From: Claire Wilson [mailto:C.Wilson@aaonline.co.uk]
Sent: 23 May 2014 11:15
To: Chris Garvin

Subject: RE: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

Hi Chris

Please send requested document.

We await your urgent confirmation that our Insured is not accountable for the accident and we may close our file

Thanks
Claire

Claire Wilson Claims Handler

Email: C.Wilson@autoaccidentclaims.co.uk

Auto Accident Claims on behalf of KGM Insurance | 7 Argent Court | Sylvan Way | Southfields Business Park | Basildon | Essex | SS15 6TH **Tel:** DDI: 01702 444 317 | **Fax:** 0845 077 9727

Efcid: imaged

Accident Management You Can Rely On

Please visit our website at - www.autoaccidentclaims.co.uk

<http://img.recycletheworld>

From: Chris Garvin [mailto:caarvin@accidentexchange.com]
Sent: 22 May 2014 12:23
To: Claire Wilson
Cc: SA_Outgoing; re_wired@ymail.com

Subject: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

To whom this may concern,

Thank you for your recent correspondence.

Please be advised that we have sought to re-direct our claim to Mr. Harris as previously requested. However, to date we have received no positive contact from Mr. Harris thus investigators have been appointed.

So, as we can completely discount your insured from our enquiries, we would be grateful if you could provide the proof of the sale of the index incident vehicle, CX52 JRZ.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,
Chris

Chris Garvin
Claims Negotiator

Accident Exchange Limited Alpha 1, Canton Lane, Hams Hall,
Birmingham, B46 1GA

T: 08700 116 720 M:

E: caarvin@accidentexchanae.com

Accident Exchange Limited registered in England, registered number 04141140, registered office Alpha 1, Canton Lane, Hams Hall, Birmingham B46 1GA.

Accident Exchange Limited is a subsidiary company of Automotive and Insurance Solutions Group Pic.

Confidentiality: This email and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone; please reply to this email and highlight the error.

Security Warning: Please note that this email has been created in the knowledge that Internet email is not a 100% secure communications medium. We advise that you understand and observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good computing practice the recipient should ensure they are actually virus free. We do not accept responsibility for any virus that may be transferred by way of this e-mail.

For more information about Accident Exchange, please visit our web site at www.accidentexchanae.com

7/30/2017

Print

Subject: *****Proposal for Life, Music & Wellbeing Mini Fest

From: Luvinia De-terville (ldeterville@icloud.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Friday, 8 August 2014, 17:14

***** **Proposal for Life, Music & Wellbeing Mini Fest** *****
DRAFT COPY ONLY

Event: Life, Music & Wellbeing Mini Fest Weekend Fundraiser Target

Audience: All ages, Families,

Expected Attendees: 1000 Ticketed Event:

Date:

Time:

Venue:

Facilities: campsite,

Our event is a conference of young talented artists throughout London, a chance to showcase their talent in arts, crafts, dancing or singing. To show that positivity & productivity are key elements in life, something that we can all achieve!

This event is a community event that is a fundraiser for Enfield Children & Youth Services, our aim is to raise awareness & education within the community. We organise various social & fundraising events both in the UK & Overseas, with a 100% success rate.

We have so many young and talented people in our community, people who deserve an opportunity to have the support of their community. If we can help one child or young person to have a better outlook or understanding of life, then we have achieved our goal.

We have the following protocols in place for any event that we organise

1. Licences & Permits where necessary
2. Risk management: implementation of risk prevention
3. Entertainment License
4. Crowd Management: Security, Staff/Stewards -Road &Traffic Management: Traffic stewards,
5. Transport Management: public Transport & taxi services
6. Accident & Emergency Management: First Aiders available for entire duration of event.
7. Public Amenities: portable toilets and washrooms#
8. Public Liability Insurance -Equipment Insurance

All of our Contractors, Suppliers, Vendors & Staff are certified (British Standard) & public liability insured professionals. We will supply all our own equipment, outsourcing where necessary.

We will be working closely with the Metropolitan Police, London Fire Brigade & Enfield Council Events Team, Enfield Children & Youth Services.

*******Mission Statement*******

To rebuild the closeness & trust within our communities, no matter what your age, religion or ethnicity. Together as One

*****Set Up*****

***** Activities *****

Fitness & Wellbeing

-Mind:

-Healthy Eating:

Natural Juices, dietary both adults & kids -Wellbeing:

Activity in life, Lifestyle adjustments, prevention of health problems -Fitness:

Personal Training, Exercises, TRX Training

Body Confidence, The physiology of the human anatomy

Arts & Crafts

-Handmade products & crafts

Dance & Music

Singers:

groups, duets & solo -Dancers:

Various/ groups & solo -DJs

*****Vendors*****

Food:

Beverages:

Merchandise:

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville Co-ordinator & Manager D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services Mob: 07951330467

Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com Skype: lou1dems

7/30/2017

Print

Subject: Fwd: Charity Fundraiser

From: Luvinia De-terville (ldeterville@icloud.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Saturday, 9 August 2014,10:54

Good morning Hun Regards

Luvinia De-Terville

Co-ordinator & Manager

D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services

Mob: 07951330467

Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com

Skype: lou1dems

Begin forwarded message:

From: Vicky Urquhart <v.urquhart@mind.org.uk>

Date: 8 August 2014 13:04:54 BST

To: "ldeterville@icloud.com" <ldeterville@icloud.com>

Subject: Charity Fundraiser

Dear Luvinia,

Thank you so much for your email about organising an international music festival in aid of Mind next year. It sounds like a wonderful idea.

We're absolutely thrilled to have your support and would love to help in any way we can with your fundraising. If you could let me know your postal address, I'll pop a fundraising pack in the post to you which should arrive in 10-14 days. There'll be lots of information in the pack by way of a starting point, but we can certainly provide materials for on the day, as well as advice and support regarding fundraising and help from our media team with publicising the event if appropriate. It would be great to hear more about the festival and how it will run when you have a minute.

Thank you once again for your support and I look forward to hearing from you.

Best wishes,

1/2

Vicky

Vicky Urquhart

Community Fundraising Co-ordinator

0208 215 2240

Mind

15-19 Broadway, Stratford, London E15 4BQ

w: www.mind.org.uk

Registered charity number 219830. Registered in England number 424348.

Let's end mental health discrimination. Join Mind in the Time to change campaign.

-----Original Message-----

From: Luvinia De-terville [<mailto:ldeterville@icloud.com>]

Sent: 05 August 2014 11:54

To: contact @ mind

Subject: Charity Fundraiser

Good morning there!

I am organising a fundraiser for 3 charities, including Mind.

Now we are aiming to have an International Music Festival in August 2015.

Please could you let me know how you can help us

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville

Co-ordinator & Manager

D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services

Mob: 07951330467

Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com

Skype: lou1dems

Subject: *****Set up logistics for Montague Rd Building**

From: Luvinia De-terville (ldeterville@icloud.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 13 August 2014, 16:21

*****Set up logistics for Montague Rd Building*****

****LOGISTICS**DRAFT***

Timeline : allocated or needed for project?

ie: space, rental, lease, funding, approval, insurance, risk assessment, projects, staff, security, equipment & furniture,management,

Telecommunications ie: outgoing lines, internet, wifi,

-Funding? Bounces rd has a funding scheme, availability of funds?

Need average of £25,000 each from funding scheme

- A section 23-26 enabling us to use the public information regarding the premises, financial and otherwise

*Local secondhand/refurbished shop in Tottenham, need to see what they got in stock!!!!!!

* Also any offices that are getting refurbished, as the maybe disposing of office furniture

* Contact local electrical retailers ie Comet, Currys , Cartridge world, Argos

In regard to donating : printers, phones, ink, stationary, monitors, PC s, laptops,

Cabling, etc..

ACTIVITIES

**Pensioners: coffee club, bingo, chess, exercises, film time, leisure(keep fit & healthy) lifestyle & Wellbeing, cabaret nights,

**Children & Youth:

Sports: football team , basketball

Music - lessons, studio, production, qualified graders for music pieces

Arts & Crafts: design, production,

Mentor programmes: anti-bullying campaign, youth crime & violence, teen parenthood, homelessness, job

training: CV, interview skills, presentation,

educational facilities: computer Skills, people skills, responsibility

Workshops - studio,

**Community: community functions & events, leisure,

****BRIEF****

The site can regenerate a sub sustainable amount back into itself and the community, by renting out the office spaces to community businesses, function halls rented for various activities by the community. Also rented by professionals ie slimming world, Zumba, yoga.

Our staff members are all DBS checked, certified and more importantly experienced in their field and profession. We will be working closely with (Enfield Children & Youth Services, Metropolitan Police & London Fire Brigade)

We believe from our calculations and forecast flow, that this is an investment that can only benefit the community in a more positive and productive way, we have all the necessary documentations, management

plans, licenses and permits that are needed for this project.

We wish for this to be up and running in use by:

This is a much more feasible option than a empty building, thus saving the council the £80,000 spending on security, which can be resourced in a more productive way.

*****MISSION STATEMENT*****

Our aim is to bring the community together as one, regardless of age, ethnicity or religion a place within the community for the community.

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville
Co-ordinator & Manager
D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services
Mob: 07951330467
Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com
Skype: lou1dems

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 13 August 2014 15:44
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050 Simon Cordell

Attachments: Chris Garvin_RE_ Our ref_ A27_190530_AX REF - 403050.pdf
Hi Martin

Can you give me an update as to what is going on with Simon insurance as we have not heard from you since the telephone call on 07/08/2014.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 07 August 2014 13:30
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: FW: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050 Simon Cordell

Hi Martin

Please see the list of emails that I sent to the insurance company that put the claim in for the 15/09/2014 the ref for this claim for accident exchange was ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050(11) they was sent from Simon email address. Also please see the attached document that was sent over to KGM so the claim could be taken off of Simon insurance from Chris Garvin from accident exchange. I was the one that had to deal with this to sort this out as you will see as KGM was not doing anything to sort this claim out which was against Simon name. This was cleared from Simon insurance in May 2014 so I cannot understand why it is still on Simon insurance. I have sent this over before to you when it was sorted but it seems to still be on there and KGM did say to me that they would send you the details so it could be taken off.

Can this please be addressed and this taken off Simon insurance so that his insurance is not so high and his no claims can come back into force.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 07 August 2014 13:03
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Fw: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

On Tuesday, 27 May 2014, 10:01, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

On Tuesday, 27 May 2014, 9:55, Chris Garvin <cgarvin@accidentexchange.com> wrote:

F Y I

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 27 May 2014 09:55
To: Chris Garvin
Subject: Re: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

Hell Chris

Yes if at all possible could you please forward me a copy, i need to try and sort this today and get my no claims put back in place.

Regards

Simon

On Tuesday, 27 May 2014, 9:05, Chris Garvin <cgarvin@accidentexchange.com> wrote:

Mr.Cordell,

I have noted your email having returned from the Bank Holiday weekend.

Do you still require a copy of the email sent to your representatives?

Regards,
Chris

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]
Sent: 23 May 2014 17:37
To: Chris Garvin
Subject: Re: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

To Mr Chris Garvin

Could you please forward me a copy of the email you have sent to KGM please. they seem to take there time with doing anything and if i have the email i can call them and maybe sort this matter out faster with them if i have a copy of your email to them.

Regards

S Cordell

On Friday, 23 May 2014, 17:25, Chris Garvin <cgarvin@accidentexchange.com> wrote:

Mr.Cordell,

I have today emailed your Insurer advising I am seeking to re-direct the matter to Mr.Harris as I am satisfied you have no involvement in the matter.

Kind regards,
Chris

From: Rewired Rewired [mailto:re_wired@ymail.com]

Sent: 23 May 2014 17:07

To: Chris Garvin

Subject: Re: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

To Chris Garvin

Thank you for your recent correspondence on the phone and by email on the 22/05/2014

Please see attached proof of the sale of the index incident vehicle, CX52 JRZ.

Could you please now let my insurance company KGM know that this matter has now been fully re-direct to Mr.Harris and that you can now completely discount my insurance from your enquiries, i would be very grateful if this can be done so that KGM can give me my no claims back.

Regards

S Cordell

On Thursday, 22 May 2014, 16:35, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

On Thursday, 22 May 2014, 12:23, Chris Garvin <cgarvin@accidentexchange.com> wrote:

To whom this may concern,

Thank you for your recent correspondence.

Please be advised that we have sought to re-direct our claim to Mr.Harris as previously requested. However, to date we have received no positive contact from Mr.Harris thus investigators have been appointed.

So, as we can completely discount your insured from our enquiries we would be grateful if you should provide the proof of the sale of the index incident vehicle, CX52 JRZ.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,
Chris

Chris Garvin
Claims Negotiator

Occident Exchange Limited
Mpha 1, Canton Lane, Hams Hall,
Birmingham, B46 1GA

T: 08700 116 720
M:
E: caarvin@accidentexchange.com

Occident Exchange Limited registered in England, registered number 54141140, registered office Alpha 1, Canton Lane, Hams Hall, Birmingham 346 1GA.

Occident Exchange Limited is a subsidiary company of Automotive and insurance Solutions Group Pic.

Confidentiality: This email and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone; please reply to this email and highlight the error.

Security Warning: Please note that this email has been created in the (knowledge that Internet email is not 100% secure communications medium. We advise that you understand and observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good computing practice the recipient should ensure they are actually virus free.
We do not accept responsibility for any virus that may be transferred

Hi Claire,

Thank you for your email.

I can confirm we are satisfied that your insured has no responsibility/negligence for the index incident.

Regards,
Chris

From: Claire Wilson [mailto:C.Wilson@aaconline.co.uk]
Sent: 23 May 2014 11:15
To: Chris Garvin

Subject: RE: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

Hi Chris

Please send requested document.

We await your urgent confirmation that our Insured is not accountable for the accident and we may close our file

Thanks
Claire

Claire Wilson Claims Handler

Email: C.Wilson@autoaccidentclaims.co.uk

**Auto Accident Claims on behalf of KGM Insurance I 7 Argent Court I Sylvan Way I Southfields
Business Park I Basildon I Essex I SS15 6TH Tel: DDI: 01702 444 317 I Fax: 0845 077 9727**

U^cid: imaged

Accident Management You Can Rely On

Please visit our website at - www.autoaccidentclaims.co.uk

[http://img.recycletheworld](http://img.recycletheworld.com)

From: Chris Garvin <mailto:caarvin@accidentexchange.com>
Sent: 22 May 2014 12:23
To: Claire Wilson
Cc: SA_Outgoing; re_wired@ymail.com

Subject: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

To whom this may concern,

Thank you for your recent correspondence.

Please be advised that we have sought to re-direct our claim to Mr. Harris as previously requested. However, to date we have received no positive contact from Mr. Harris thus investigators have been appointed.

So, as we can completely discount your insured from our enquiries, we would be grateful if you could provide the proof of the sale of the index incident vehicle, CX52 JRZ.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,
Chris

Chris Garvin

Claims Negotiator

Accident Exchange Limited
Alpha 1, Canton Lane, Hams Hall,

Birmingham, B46 1GA

T: 08700 116 720

M:

E: caarvin@accidentexchange.com

Accident Exchange Limited registered in England, registered number 04141140, registered office Alpha 1, Canton Lane,

Hams Hall, Birmingham B46 1GA.

Accident Exchange Limited is a subsidiary company of Automotive and Insurance Solutions Group Pic.

Confidentiality: This email and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone; please reply to this email and highlight the error.

Security Warning: Please note that this email has been created in the knowledge that Internet email is not a 100% secure communications medium. We advise that you understand and observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good computing practice the recipient should ensure they are actually virus free. We do not accept responsibility for any virus that may be transferred by way of this e-mail.

Hi Claire,

Thank you for your email.

I can confirm we are satisfied that your insured has no responsibility/negligence for the index incident.

Regards,
Chris

From: Claire Wilson [mailto:C.Wilson@aaconline.co.uk]

Sent: 23 May 2014 11:15

To: Chris Garvin

Subject: RE: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

Hi Chris

Please send requested document.

We await your urgent confirmation that our Insured is not accountable for the accident and we may close our file

Thanks
Claire

Claire Wilson Claims Handler

Email: C.Wilson@autoaccidentclaims.co.uk

Auto Accident Claims on behalf of KGM Insurance 17 Argent Court I Sylvan Way I Southfields Business Park I Basildon I Essex I SS15 6TH **Tel:** DDI: 01702 444 317 I **Fax:** 0845 077 9727

L^cid: imaged

Accident Management You Can Rely On

Please visit our website at - www.autoaccidentclaims.co.uk

<http://img.recycletheworld>

From: Chris Garvin <mailto:caarvin@accidentexchanae.com> Sent: 22 May 2014 12:23 To: Claire Wilson
Cc: SA_Outgoing; re_wired@ymail.com Subject: Our ref: A27/190530 AX REF - 403050

To whom this may concern,

Thank you for your recent correspondence.

Please be advised that we have sought to re-direct our claim to Mr.Harris as previously requested. However, to date we have received no positive contact from Mr.Harris thus investigators have been appointed.

So as we can completely discount your insured from our enquiries we would be grateful if you could provide the proof of the sale of the index incident vehicle, CX52 JRZ.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,
Chris

Chris Garvin
Claims Negotiator

Accident Exchange Limited Alpha 1, Canton Lane,Hams Hall,
Birmingham, B46 1GA

T: 08700 116 720 M:

E: caarvin@accidentexchanae.com

Accident Exchange Limited registered in England, registered number 04141140, registered office Alpha 1, Canton Lane, Hams Hall, Birmingham B46 1GA.

Accident Exchange Limited is a subsidiary company of Automotive and Insurance Solutions Group Pic.

Confidentiality: This email and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone; please reply to this email and highlight the error.

Security Warning: Please note that this email has been created in the knowledge that Internet email is not a 100% secure communications medium. We advise that you understand and observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good computing practice the recipient should ensure they are actually virus free. We do not accept responsibility for any virus that may be transferred by way of this e-mail.

For more information about Accident Exchange, please visit our web site at www.accidentexchanae.com

7/30/2017

Print

Subject:Re: *****Meeting with Simon *****

From: Rewired Rewired (re wired@ymail.com)

To: ldeterville@icloud.com;

Date: Thursday, 14 August 2014, 1:53

*****Set up logistics for bliss charity event re launch *****

14th August 2014 - 01:05am

*****LOGISTICS**DRAFT**

Timeline: Allocated or needed for project? 13 to 14 weeks date

Commitment: Simon/ Luvinia DAYS /TIMES

Date of event: 8/11/2014?

Time of event: same as before?

Space: How much bigger is the hall in Tottenham.?

Can you get pics or a link to their site.?

And how does this benefit you

and the event.

Rental: How much cheaper is the hall to hire or how much of a better deal is it?

Funding: How much do you need and have already. And what are your expected-out goings for the event including your expenses and others outgoings that you may occur even over the next 13/14 weeks of the re launch.

Approval: I am sure you got this sorted:]

Security: Now this depends on how many tickets get sold. I say we set a time scale

And time will tell.

Equipment: What do you need and what have you already resourced?

Management: I believe a management tree is the way forward controlling all avenues of income and delegation.

Marketing: A fresh flyer and re marketing all involved a bit more in detail may help the event. I also have a friend in mind he might be able to help as a voice to over lay you and help promote the event. The same person also makes flyers who I hope to be in contact with, over the next following days and I can keep you updated in regards to this.

Regards

Simon Cordell-Benjamin Director & Manager

Too Smooth Ent

On Tuesday, 12 August 2014, 17:27, Luvinia De-terville <ldeterville@icloud.com> wrote:

*****Meeting with Simon *****

*****Agenda 13/08/14 @ 12pm*****

finish proposal for mini Fest Weekender lea valley / pickets lock

Mini Fest date:

budget

Logistics & Timeframe

*****Set up logistics for Montague Rd Building*****

Timeline: allocated or needed for project?

space, rental, lease, funding, approval, insurance, risk assessment, projects, staff, security, equipment & furniture, management,

Telecommunications ie: outgoing lines, internet, Wi-Fi,

Funding? Bounces rd has a funding scheme, availability of funds?

Need average of £25,000 each from funding scheme

1/3

***** Work on partnership agreement details*****

**D.E.M.S: Lou

ie: events to work with,

- finances
- contracts
- insurances
- percentages
- anticipated Profits & losses
- 6-12month projection flow?
- proposals etc

**EMPRESSIVE STUDIO: Sharon

- Hire prices: per hour or block session,
- Can the facilities be used 7days a week or is it limited?
- If limited, then what is the limitation involved?
- Packages: studio hire fully equipped? Part equipped?
- Vocal coaching, music assessor/ grader, Instrument hire etc...
- Equipment: costs in updating or repairing where necessary. Responsibility of both Sharon & Manager? Or Sharon as equipment owner?
- Management: Studio Manager aside from Sharon
- Availability: what is the tenancy arrangement or criteria on time? ie 24hr or 12 hr access
- Access: need 2 key holders
- Can work independently ? Agree communication criteria?
- Rent: proposal of 50% each on monthly rent, signed agreement by both parties
- Security: big bro cameras
- Feasibility: generating resources back into studio
- Website: access & links

*****Logistics for International Music Festival Fundraiser*****

Date:

Venue:

Time:

Charities: Mind

: Cancer Research

: ?

Need to contact 3rd charity ASAP,

Find Venue location?

Arrange Draft proposal to be used!!

Start contacting performers: lock in with contracts where possible*

Arrange draft site set up & activities plan!!! Stages, amenities, equipment, camping area, risk, emergency, crowd, traffic, health & safety management plans etc...

Arrange marketing, design and advertising personnel

Crunch budget figures

Source suppliers from ASAP

Regards

Luvia De-Terville
Co-ordinator & Manager
D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services
Mob: 07951330467
Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com
Skype: lou1dems

Subject: [No Subject]

From: Luvinia De-Terville (lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Tuesday, 19 August 2014, 15:30

Regards
Lou
CEO & Manager
07951 330 467

D.E.M.S
De-Terville Event Management Services

Follow us on: Twitter: @Dems201Lou
Facebook: www.facebook.com/detervilleeventmanagement
Skype: lou1dems

Subject: Partnership Agreement Draft

From: Luvinia De-Terville (lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Tuesday, 19 August 2014, 15:32

Ha! sorry last email was blank lol
Here is draft partnership agreement

Regards
Lou
CEO & Manager
07951330467

D.E.M.S
De-Terville Event Management Services

Follow us on: Twitter: @Dems201Lou
Facebook: www.facebook.com/detervilleeventmanagement
Skype: lou1dems

Attachments

- Partnership Agreement.docx (19.59 KB)

Subject: proposal LMWFF & Montague Rd

From: Luvinia De-Terville (lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 20 August 2014, 18:36

Amend as you see fit.

Regards
Lou
CEO & Manager
07951 330 467

D.E.M.S
De-Terville Event Management Services

Follow us on: Twitter: @Dems201Lou
Facebook: www.facebook.com/detervilleeventmanagement
Skype: lou1dems

Raising Funds for this much needed and worthwhile charity, Target aim 2000GBP
Please give any amount , all donations greatly appreciated

www.justgiving.com/DEMS-Bliss

Attachments

- Logistics for Montague Rd.docx (24.04 KB)
- Proposal Draft Copy LMWFF 1.docx (14.09 KB)

Subject: Worried About You

From: Luvinia De-terville (ldeterville@icloud.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Tuesday, 26 August 2014, 15:15

Hi!

Hope you are ok! I have messaged & called you but you not responding.
Just a bit worried Hun x

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville
Co-ordinator & Manager
D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services
Mob: 07951330467
Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com
Skype: lou1dems

Subject: Re:

From: Luvinia De-terville (ldeterville@icloud.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Thursday, 28 August 2014, 14:49

Hey I just been really worried about you hunny.
I have great progress on LMWMF, as well as 248 Montague Rd in regards to funding, contacts etc..
Call me on 07951 330 467
Glad you are ok x
Regards

Luvinia De-Terville
Co-ordinator & Manager
D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services
Mob: 07951330467
Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com
Skype: lou1dems

On 28 Aug 2014, at 14:41, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

I had a few problems over the weekend while i was away. I have also smashed my phone due to the same problem so i can not be contacted on it can you send me your number so i can contact you i am fine by the way and am sorry for not contacting you on here all ready simon.

Subject: Mojito on you
From: Luvinia De-terville (ldeterville@icloud.com)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Thursday, 28 August 2014, 14:52

For having me worried about you, you owe me a Fat Mojito!! Regards

Luvinia De-Terville
Co-ordinator & Manager
D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services Mob:
07951330467
Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com Skype:
louldems

Subject: Call Me
From: Luvinia De-terville (ldeterville@idoud.com)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Monday, 1 September 2014, 9:44

Good morning Simon,

CALL ME ASAP

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville (Lou)
Owner & Manager

De-Terville Event Management Services Mob:

07719 009 083

Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com

Skype: lou1dems

7/30/2017

Print

Subject: Fwd: Land for 1000 attendees
From: Luvinia De-Terville (lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Monday, 1 September 2014, 14:56

Regards
Luvinia De-Terville Co-ordinator & Manager D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services Mob: 07951330467
Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com Skype: lou1dems
Begin forwarded message:
From: Luvinia De-terville <ldeterville@icloud.com>
Date: 26 August 2014 15:32:34 BST
To: Luvinia De-Terville <lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com> Subject: Fwd: Land for 1000 attendees
Regards
Luvinia De-Terville Co-ordinator & Manager D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services Mob: 07951330467
Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com Skype: lou1dems
Begin forwarded message:
From: Luvinia De-terville <ldeterville@icloud.com>
Date: 22 August 2014 13:07:01 BST
To: Stuart Hatch <stuart.hatch@virgin.net>
Subject: Re: Land for 1000 attendees

7/30/2017

Print

Thank you, Stuart,

Have a great bank holiday weekend!

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville (Lou)

Owner & Manager

De-Terville Event Management Services Mob: 07719 009 083

Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com Skype: lou1dems

On 22 Aug 2014, at 13:05, Stuart Hatch <stuart.hatch@virgin.net> wrote: Hi Luvinia,

Below are some contacts for you in the South/South East London.

All of the below locations are advertised through our website by the Landowners, please use the details below to contact them.

Westmead - nr Reigate

<http://www.landforevents.co.uk/locations/132-Westmead.html> Mobile Number: 07879401021

Email Address: lisa@westmeadevents.com

Harewoods Home Farm - nr Reigate

<http://www.landforevents.co.uk/locations/176-harewoods-home-farm.html> Email Address:

wiseagricultural@btinternet.com Landline Phone Number: 01342844840

Blue House Farm - nr Tonbridge

<http://www.landforevents.co.uk/locations/129-blue-house-farm.html> Landline Phone Number: 01732 451468

Email Address: alison@allstarcatering.co.uk Braid Farm - Nr Ashford

<http://www.landforevents.co.uk/locations/126-braid-farm.html> Landline Phone Number:

7/30/2017

Print

01233 771982

Mobile Number:

07782 243175

Email Address: amacfl@hotmail.co.uk

I hope that helps, Stuart

—Original Message —

From: Luvinia De-terville [<mailto:ldeterville@idoud.com>]

Sent: 21 August 2014 13:33

To: info@landforevents.co.uk Subject: Land for 1000 attendees

Good afternoon Stuart,

In regard to the text message from earlier.

Please could you send some contacts.

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville Co-ordinator & Manager D.E.M.S

Subject: rest of draft

From: Luvinia De-Terville (lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Monday, 1 September 2014, 17:30

Simon,
amend as you see necessary

Regards
Lou
CEO & Manager
07951 330 467

D.E.M.S
De-Terville Event Management Services

Follow us on: Twitter: @Dems201Lou
Facebook: www.facebook.com/detervilleeventmanagement
Skype: lou1dems

Raising Funds for this much needed and worthwhile charity, Target aim 2000GBP
Please give any amount , all donations greatly appreciated
also doing a SPONSORED WALK 11km/7miles (3hrs minimum) raising more towards our target aim
www.justgiving.com/DEMS-Bliss

Attachments

- Proposal Draft Copy LMWFF.docx (15.08 KB)

7/30/2017

Print

Subject: Fwd: Just Giving request #299329: How would you rate the support you received?

From: Luvinia De-Tervillee (lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Thursday, 4 September 2014, 23:50

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville Co-ordinator & Manager D.E.M.S

De-Terville Event Management Services Mob: 07951330467

Email: lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com Begin forwarded message:

From: Just Giving Help <support@justgiving.zendesk.com>

Date: 4 September 2014 18:02:28 BST

To: Lou Demseventmanagement <lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com>

Subject: JustGiving request #299329: How would you rate the support you received? Reply-To: Just Giving Help
<support+id299329@justgiving.zendesk.com>

Hello Lou Demseventmanagement,

We'd love to hear what you think of our customer service. Please take a moment to answer one simple question by clicking either link below:

How would you rate the support you received?

[Good, I'm satisfied](#) [Bad, I'm unsatisfied](#)

Here's a reminder of what your email was about:

Lily, Sep 04 16:50:

Hi Lou,

Thanks for getting in touch.

You can edit your fundraising page from inside your JustGiving account.

1. Click on 'Log in' in the top right corner of our homepage, www.justgiving.com
2. Enter your email address and password and click 'Log in'
3. Click on 'My Fundraising'
4. Click on 'Edit this page' underneath the page you'd like to edit
5. Click on 'Edit your page title, summary and story'

If you have any problems editing your page please send us any text or photos, you'd like us to add, along with the web address of your fundraising page, and we'd be happy to do this for you.

You can also see a full list of who has donated to your page and also download the list as a CSV file by following the steps below.

1. Click on 'Log in' in the top right corner of our homepage, www.justgiving.com.
2. Enter your email address and password and click 'Log in'.
3. Click on 'My Fundraising'.
4. Click 'Edit this Page' underneath the page you'd like to view the donations of.
5. If you have donations made to your page, you can view a summary plus the latest 5 donations on the right. You can then click the blue 'see all donations' link to view all.

During the donation process, the donor is also given the option to share their email address with you. If donors have chosen to share their email addresses, they will appear in this list. You'll then be able to extract the relevant email addresses and compose a thank you email from your personal email account.

I hope this helps. Please let us know if there's anything else we can do for you.

Kind Regards,

Lily
Just Giving Support

Lou Demseventmanagement, Sep 03 19:50:

Hello there!

I am enquiring as to how I can gain access to the full administration of my fundraising page.

Message-Id:9B76SX4C_54089b24cd46e_2eb13f88912b332011859fe_sprut

7/30/2017

Print

Subject: Kempe Hall

From: Luvinia De-Tervilke (lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Friday, 5 September 2014,0:11

Regards

Luvinia De-Terville (Lou)
Owner & Manager

De-Terville Event Management

Services Mob: 07719 009 083

Email:

lou.demseventmanagement@gmail.com

Attachments

- photo 1 JPG (113.36 KB)
- photo 2 JPG (107.70 KB)
- photo 3 JPG (112.89 KB)
- photo 4 JPG (81.40 KB)
- photo 5 JPG (103.34 KB)

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 30 September 2014 13:29
To: 'mandy.skinner@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'
Subject: FW: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

Attachments: S Cordell Cert_GE_2013-2014.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_FW_RE_CX52JRZ.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_FW_RE_Simon Cordell.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_[1]RE_Simon Cordell.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_REF_00-COSX14MT04-ID_42_Urgent.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_Simon Cordell.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_Simon Cordell-01.pdf

Forwarded re phone call

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 30 September 2014 12:47
To: 'Brown-W, Pauletta'
Subject: RE: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

Dear Miss Brown

My son was due to attend court today at 2pm but he is very unwell as I said on the phone to you his Nan has just died and he also has crohn's disease and depression, due to his Nan passing away this has made his crohn's disease come on very bad. We have tried to leave today to come to the court and had to turn round due to my son needing to change his things again.

Please can this email be passed to the judge to show why my son cannot attend court today.

In addition, please show the judge my son's insurance documents and all the emails that went back and forward to the insurance company.

Can you please ask the Officer that checks the documents to call KGM which will show he was insured and ask him to talk to Kelly Tiller who direct number is in the emails.

Can you please get back to me with an update to this matter and if the judge will set a new date or deal with this matter today as he will have all the documents needed to show my son was in fact insured.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Brown-W, Pauletta [mailto:pauletta.brown-w@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 18 September 2014 17:08
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: FW: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

For your information, please find letter attached

Pauletta Brown | Admin Officer | HMCTS |
176a Lavender Hill, Battersea, London SW11 1JU
T: 020 7805 1467 | F: 020 7805 1437 | DX 58559 Clapham Junction |
E: Pauletta.Brown-W@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Please note: As of June 2nd 2014 Our fax will be GOLDFAX No:0870 324 0299

"I am not authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means."

From: Brown-W, Pauletta
Sent: 18 September 2014 16:09
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'; 'RichmondSouthProsecutions@met.police.uk'
Cc: LCCC Compliance Unit; LCCC Enforcement Unit
Subject: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

Dear Sirs,

Please note that the above case has been relisted for a reopening hearing on the 30th instant at Lavender Hill Magistrates Court, courtroom 1 at 2 pm. Therefore, please be good enough to have your file in court and can the LCCC please put this matter on hold until after the hearing, when the Defendant will attend. Thank you.

Regards

P Brown

Pauletta Brown | Admin Officer | HMCTS |
176a Lavender Hill, Battersea, London SW11 1JU
T: 020 7805 1467 | F: 020 7805 1437 | DX 58559 Clapham Junction |
E: Pauletta.Brown-W@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Please note: As of June 2nd 2014 Our fax will be GOLDFAX No:0870 324 0299

"I am not authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means."

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: GL-SWESTERNMCENQ [swglondonmc@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 11 September 2014 23:17 To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Urgent Simon CORDELL re opening Att Miss Brown

Thank you for your email which has been passed to our correspondence team please be aware it may have to be referred to our Legal Team and we will respond to you as soon as possible.

Polite Request: There is no need to send your correspondence via post/DX or by fax as this risks the Court duplicating correspondence that has already been received.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: GL-BRENTMCENQ [gl-brentmcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 September 2014 14:22

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: urgent Simon CORDELL 011401596899

Thank you for e-mailing the North West and West London Magistrates' Courts Administration Centre. Please be aware that it has been received and will be processed.

Polite Request: Please refrain from also sending your correspondence via post/DX or by fax as this risks the Court duplicating correspondence that has already been received.

Thank you for your co-operation.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: GL-BRENTMCENQ [gl-brentmcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 September 2014 15:11

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: urgent Simon CORDELL 011401596899

Thank you for e-mailing the North West and West London Magistrates' Courts Administration Centre. Please be aware that it has been received and will be processed.

Polite Request: Please refrain from also sending your correspondence via post/DX or by fax as this risks the Court duplicating correspondence that has already been received.

Thank you for your co-operation.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 12 September 2014 14:22

To: 'gl-brentmcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'

Subject: RE: urgent Simon CORDELL 011401596899

Dear Sir or Madam

I have tried to call the court on a number of occasions regarding my conviction and sentence for no insurance but the phone just rings when I press the number given to ask about this case the telephone number, I am calling is 0208 955 0555. I have now found out the information to the case but pressing number 7 the lady I spoke to did in fact try and put me to a manager but that also just ring then cut me off. So, I called back again and asked her if she could give me the information which she did.

I am making this request to have my case listed in order that I can do the following; -

1. Application to set aside the conviction
2. Re-open the case

My name: Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB: 26/01/1981.

Address: 109 Burncroft Av Enfield Middlesex EN3 7JQ

Case No: 011401596899 Application to set aside the conviction: -

On 01/01/2014 I was stopped by the police as they did not believe that I held a valid policy of insurance. Due to this being a holiday my insurance company was closed so the police could not check if I was in fact was insured, I was insured and asked the police to check there records due to the amount of problems I had had with my insurance not showing on the MID database and being stopped do many times they did this and could in fact see many times but still impounded my van reg CX52 JRZ.

After the holidays I went to the compound and had to again pay for my Van to be taken out of the compound.

I never received any summons in relation to this matter and I only became aware that the case had been dealt with in my absence when I received a letter from the DVLA advising me that I had to send in my driving licence.

I disputed with the DVLA the points but I was given an ultimatum that if I did not send in my licence it would be revoked.

The offence of no insurance was allegedly committed on 01/01/2014. I had a valid policy of insurance from 23/02/2013 and this policy was valid until 22/02/2014 The company insuring me was KGM, and my broker was Broadsure direct I am the named policy holder, Mr. Simon Cordell and my policy number is MT3574694.

I have points on my licence which I should not have. Can you please as a matter of urgency email me back on this matter so that I can have a date so that the conviction can be set aside and my case heard in order that I can show the court my insurance and the PLO can make the necessary enquiries.

I would be grateful if you can inform DVLA that this matter and the points that have been added to my licence is being disputed so this can be put on hold as I do not see why I should suffer when I have done nothing wrong and I was insured to drive. This is in fact have a big effect on my life when I have done nothing wrong and would like this addressed as a matter of urgency.

This matter is urgent. I cannot resolve this matter until the case is listed before either a District Judge or Magistrates so I would appreciate your cooperation. I am very disappointed that you have ignored all my emails. This is causing me stress. Can you now please resolve this legal matter by listing the case for an application to set aside the conviction? I can then forward my documents to the relevant prosecuting authority.

Please see attached my insurance documents for this offence in fact showing I was in fact insured.

I await hearing from you in relation to this matter and I thank you in advance for your anticipated co-operation in

this matter.

Yours faithfully

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 September 2014 15:11
To: 'gl-brentmcenq@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'
Subject: RE: urgent Simon CORDELL 011401596899

Attachments: S Cordell Cert,_GE_ 2013-2014.pdf Dear Sir or Madam

I have tried to call the court on a number of occasions regarding my conviction and sentence for no insurance but the phone just rings when I press the number given to ask about this case the telephone number, I am calling is 0208 955 0555. I have now found out the information to the case but pressing number 7 the lady I spoke to did in fact try and put me to a manager but that also just ring then cut me off. So I called back again and asked her if she could give me the information which she did.

I am making this request to have my case listed in order that I can do the following; -

1. Application to set aside the conviction
2. Re-open the case

My name: Mr Simon Paul Cordell DOB: 26/01/1981.
Address: 109 Burncroft Av Enfield Middlesex EN3 7JQ

Case No: 011401596899 Application to set aside the conviction: -

On 01/01/2014 I was stopped by the police as they did not believe that I held a valid policy of insurance. Due to this being a holiday my insurance company was closed so the police could not check if I was in fact was insured, I was insured and asked the police to check there records due to the amount of problems I had had with my insurance not showing on the MID database and being stopped do many times they did this and could in fact see many times but still impounded my van reg CX52 JRZ.

After the holidays I went to the compound and had to again pay for my Van to be taken out of the compound.

I never received any summons in relation to this matter and I only became aware that the case had been dealt with in my absence when I received a letter from the DVLA advising me that I had to send in my driving licence.

I disputed with the DVLA the points but I was given an ultimatum that if I did not send in my licence it would be revoked.

The offence of no insurance was allegedly committed on 01/01/2014. I had a valid policy of insurance from 23/02/2013 and this policy was valid until 22/02/2014 The company insuring me was KGM, and my broker was Broadsure direct I am the named policy holder, Mr. Simon Cordell and my policy number is MT3574694.

I have points on my licence which I should not have. Can you please as a matter of urgency email me back on this matter so that I can have a date so that the conviction can be set aside and my case heard in order that I can show the court my insurance and the PLO can make the necessary enquiries.

I would be grateful if you can inform DVLA that this matter and the points that have been added to my licence is being disputed so this can be put on hold as I do not see why I should suffer when I have done nothing wrong and I was insured to drive. This is in fact have a big effect on my life when I have done nothing wrong and would like this addressed as a matter of urgency.

This matter is urgent. I cannot resolve this matter until the case is listed before either a District Judge or Magistrates so I would appreciate your cooperation. I am very disappointed that you have ignored all my emails. This is causing me stress. Can you now please resolve this legal matter by listing the case for an application to set aside the conviction? I can then forward my documents to the relevant prosecuting authority.

Please see attached my insurance documents for this offence in fact showing I was in fact insured.

I await hearing form you in relation to this matter and I thank you in advance for your anticipated co-operation in this matter.

Yours faithfully

Simon Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 15 September 2014 10:23

To: 'Martin Jenkin'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell documents

Attachments: Simon-Driving Licence-Card-Front (1).jpg; 36980126-Mr Ian Robinson.doc; 36980127-Miss M Stavros.doc; 36980128-Miss L Cordell.doc; 36980157-Jamie Macuire.doc; 36980158-L Cordell.doc; 36980160-Sheila Lewis.doc; sim-insure.pdf; Simon-Driving Licence-Card-Back.jpg

Hi Martin

Can these please be passed on for Simon Insurance I did say I would get them over on Friday to the lady that called me and said she forgot to send the insurance documents out, she also said that policy plan had done a letter to cancel the new policy, so can you please let me know ASAP if everything is still ok with Simon cover.

She also gave me her email wish I cant seem to find, but I been sorting out funerals for my Late mum and also a close friend of the family died also so at this time its been a really hard time for us as a family.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 September 2014 23:24
To: 'martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell documents

Attachments: Driving Lic.jpg; Driving Lic Back Part.jpg
Hi Martin

I am sorry my head is really not with it I sent the wrong photo part of the licence please see attached and pass these over.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 15 September 2014 10:23
To: 'Martin Jenkin'
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell documents

Hi Martin

Can these please be passed on for Simon Insurance I did say I would get them over on Friday to the lady that called me and said she forgot to send the insurance documents out, she also said that policy plan had done a letter to cancel the new policy, so can you please let me know ASAP if everything is still ok with Simon cover. She also gave me her email wish I cant seem to find, but I been sorting out funerals for my Late mum and also a close friend of the family died also so at this time its been a really hard time for us as a family.

Regards

Lorraine

Subject: Here you go mum
From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)
To: re_wired@ymail.com;
Date: Wednesday, 17 September 2014, 9:12

Here you go

Attachments

- this one do not lose again proposal al for Too Smooth Festive at lee valley.doc (465.50 KB)
- 16-09-14Logistics for Montague Rd Simon edited copy.doc (151.00 KB)
- Logistics for Montague Rd Simon edited copy. doc (155.00 KB)
- New edited this one don't lose again proposal al for Too Smooth Festive at lee valley.doc (470.50 KB)

From: Craig Adams [craigadams@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 17 September 2014 13:47
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT07-ID<74> OpenAttach Documents

Hi there

Please contact our office as a matter of urgency regarding the outstanding documents.

Kind Regards Craig Adams
cid:image001.png@01CEF276.^^

Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 17 September 2014 15:10
To: 'Craig Adams'
Subject: RE: 00-COSX14MT07-ID<74> OpenAttach Documents Hello

I have sent over 2 emails to Martin Jerkins with all the documents that was needed as far as I am aware can you let me know what documents you are talking about please.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Craig Adams [mailto:craigadams@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 17 September 2014 13:47
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: REF: 00-cOsX14MT07-ID<74> OpenAttach Documents Hi there
Please contact our office as a matter of urgency regarding the outstanding documents.

Kind Regards Craig Adams
cid:image001.png@01CEF276.^^

Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Craig Adams [craigadams@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 18 September 2014 15:04
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: 00-COSX14MT07-ID<74> OpenAttach Documents

Importance: High Hi Lorraine,

The Policy is due to be cancelled today at 17.00 hrs

The insurance company have advised the following They are awaiting Counterpart license with issue

number 21

The proof of trade is unacceptable, as it needs to be in Simons name, I can adjust the policy show the trading name but it means that Simon will have to trade under that name.

Obviously, the license is an issue as the Photo card is issue 21 and the counterpart copy, we have had issue 19a (this means that two licenses have been issued since the copy we have received)

I have been trying to ring you so we can get this rectified but have just been told you are not answering you phone as you are ill.

If the counterpart is not received by 17.00 hrs then the policy will be cancelled by the insurers and we have no control over this.

Regards

Craig Adams Broadsure Direct

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 17 September 2014 15:10 **To:** 'Craig Adams'

Subject: RE: 00-COSX14MT07-ID<74> OpenAttach Documents Hello

I have sent over 2 emails to Martin Jerkins with all the documents that was needed as far as I am aware can you let me know what documents you are talking about please.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Craig Adams [mailto:craigadams@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 17 September 2014 13:47 **To:** lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: REF: 00-COSX14MT07-ID<74> OpenAttach Documents Hi there
Please contact our office as a matter of urgency regarding the outstanding documents.

Kind Regards Craig Adams
cid:image001.png@01CEF276.^^

Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Brown-W, Pauletta [pauletta.brown-w@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 18 September 2014 16:09
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'; 'RichmondSouthProsecutions@met.police.uk'
Cc: LCCC Compliance Unit; LCCC Enforcement Unit
Subject: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802
Dear Sirs,

Please note that the above case has been relisted for a reopening hearing on the 30th instant at Lavender Hill Magistrates Court, courtroom 1 at 2 pm. Therefore, please be good enough to have your file in court and can the LCCC please put this matter on hold until after the hearing, when the Defendant will attend. Thank you.

Regards

P Brown

Pauletta Brown | Admin Officer | HMCTS |
176a Lavender Hill, Battersea, London SW11 1JU
T: 020 7805 1467 | F: 020 7805 1437 | DX 58559 Clapham Junction |
E: Pauletta.Brown-W@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Please note: As of June 2nd 2014 Our fax will be GOLDFAX No: 0870 324 0299

"I am not authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means."

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Brown-W, Pauletta [pauletta.brown-w@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 18 September 2014 17:08
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: FW: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

Attachments: HMCTS - Applic to Def giving new Re-opening date - S CORDELL.doc
[For your information, please find letter attached](#)

Pauletta Brown | Admin Officer | HMCTS |
176a Lavender Hill, Battersea, London SW11 1JU
T: 020 7805 1467 | F: 020 7805 1437 | DX 58559 Clapham Junction |
E: Pauletta.Brown-W@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Please note: As of June 2nd 2014 Our fax will be GOLDFAX No: 0870 324 0299

"I am not authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means."

From: Brown-W, Pauletta
Sent: 18 September 2014 16:09
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'; 'RichmondSouthProsecutions@met.police.uk'
Cc: LCCC Compliance Unit; LCCC Enforcement Unit
Subject: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

Dear Sirs,

Please note that the above case has been relisted for a reopening hearing on the 30th instant at Lavender Hill Magistrates Court, courtroom 1 at 2 pm. Therefore, please be good enough to have your file in court and can the LCCC please put this matter on hold until after the hearing, when the Defendant will attend. Thank you.

Regards

P Brown

Pauletta Brown | Admin Officer | HMCTS |
176a Lavender Hill, Battersea, London SW11 1JU
T: 020 7805 1467 | F: 020 7805 1437 | DX 58559 Clapham Junction |
E: Pauletta.Brown-W@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Please note: As of June 2nd 2014 Our fax will be GOLDFAX No: 0870 324 0299

"I am not authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means."

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in

mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Craig Adams [craigadams@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 19 September 2014 10:28
To: 'Lorraine Cordell'
Subject: RE: REF: 00-COSX14MT07-ID<74> OpenAttach Documents Hi Lorraine

We have managed to stop the cancelation on the policy can you please contact our office when you are feeling better to run through the details

Thank you, Craig Adams,

From: Craig Adams [mailto:craigadams@broadsuredirect.com]
Sent: 17 September 2014 13:47
To: 'lorraine32@bl ueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: REF: 00-GDSX14MT07-ID<74> OpenAttach Documents **Hi there**
Please contact our office as a matter of urgency regarding the outstanding documents.

Kind Regards Craig Adams
cid:image001.png@01CEF276.^^

Administration Department t: 01843 594477 f: 01843 594488

Broadsure Direct - Telephone number: 01843 594477 - Fax Number: 01843 594488

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the person or organisation to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please reply to the sender as soon as possible and delete the message. Please note that we are able to, and reserve the right to, monitor e-mail communications passing through our network.

Broadsure Direct Is Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 30 September 2014 12:47
To: 'Brown-W, Pauletta'
Subject: RE: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

Attachments: S Cordell Cert._GE_ 2013-2014.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_FW_RE_CX52JRZ.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_FW_RE_Simon Cordell.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_[1]RE_Simon Cordell.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_REF_00-COSX14MT04-ID_42_Urgent.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_Simon Cordell.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_Simon Cordell-01.pdf

Dear Miss Brown

My son was due to attend court today at 2pm but he is very unwell as I said on the phone to you his Nan has just died and he also has crohn's disease and depression, due to his Nan passing away this has made his crohn's disease come on very bad.

We have tried to leave today to come to the court and had to turn round due to my son needing to change his things again.

Please can this email be passed to the judge to show why my son cannot attend court today.

In addition, please show the judge my son's insurance documents and all the emails that went back and forward to the insurance company.

Can you please ask the Officer that checks the documents to call KGM which will show he was insured and ask him to talk to Kelly Tiller who direct number is in the emails.

Can you please get back to me with an update to this matter and if the judge will set a new date or deal with this matter today as he will have all the documents needed to show my son was in fact insured.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Brown-W, Pauletta [mailto:pauletta.brown-w@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 18 September 2014 17:08
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: FW: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

For your information, please find letter attached

Pauletta Brown | Admin Officer | HMCTS |
176a Lavender Hill, Battersea, London SW11 1JU
T: 020 7805 1467 | F: 020 7805 1437 | DX 58559 Clapham Junction |
E: Pauletta.Brown-W@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Please note: As of June 2nd 2014 Our fax will be GOLDFAX No:0870 324 0299

"I am not authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means."

From: Brown-W, Pauletta
Sent: 18 September 2014 16:09
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'; 'RichmondSouthProsecutions@met.police.uk'
Cc: LCCC Compliance Unit; LCCC Enforcement Unit
Subject: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

Dear Sirs,

Please note that the above case has been relisted for a reopening hearing on the 30th instant at Lavender Hill Magistrates Court, courtroom 1 at 2 pm. Therefore, please be good enough to have your file in court and can the LCCC please put this matter on hold until after the hearing, when the Defendant will attend. Thank you.

Regards

P Brown

Pauletta Brown | Admin Officer | HMCTS |
176a Lavender Hill, Battersea, London SW11 1JU
T: 020 7805 1467 | F: 020 7805 1437 | DX 58559 Clapham Junction |
E: Pauletta.Brown-W@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Please note: As of June 2nd 2014, our fax will be GOLDFAX No:0870 324 0299

"I am not authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means."

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: GL-SWESTERNMCENQ [swglondonmc@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 30 September 2014 13:22
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: RE: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

Thank you for your email which has been passed to our correspondence team please be aware it may have to be referred to our Legal Team and we will respond to you as soon as possible.

Polite Request: There is no need to send your correspondence via post/DX or by fax as this risks the Court duplicating correspondence that has already been received.

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free.
Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 30 September 2014 13:22
To: 'swglondonmc@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'
Subject: FW: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

Attachments: S Cordell Cert._GE_ 2013-2014.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_FW_RE_CX52JRZ.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_FW_RE_Simon Cordell.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_[1]RE_Simon Cordell.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_REF_00-COSX14MT04-ID_42_Urgent.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_Simon Cordell.pdf; Lorraine Cordell_RE_Simon Cordell-01.pdf

Dear Miss Brown

My son was due to attend court today at 2pm but he is very unwell as I said on the phone to you his Nan has just died and he also has crohn's disease and depression, due to his Nan passing away this has made his crohn's disease come on very bad.

We have tried to leave today to come to the court and had to turn round due to my son needing to change his things again.

Please can this email be passed to the judge to show why my son cannot attend court today.

In addition, please show the judge my son's insurance documents and all the emails that went back and forward to the insurance company.

Can you please ask the Officer that checks the documents to call KGM which will show he was insured and ask him to talk to Kelly Tiller who direct number is in the emails.

Can you please get back to me with an update to this matter and if the judge will set a new date or deal with this matter today as he will have all the documents needed to show my son was in fact insured.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Brown-W, Pauletta [mailto:pauletta.brown-w@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 18 September 2014 17:08
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'
Subject: FW: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

For your information, please find letter attached

Pauletta Brown | Admin Officer | HMCTS |
176a Lavender Hill, Battersea, London SW11 1JU
T: 020 7805 1467 | F: 020 7805 1437 | DX 58559 Clapham Junction |
E: Pauletta.Brown-W@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Please note: As of June 2nd 2014 Our fax will be GOLDFAX No:0870 324 0299

"I am not authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means."

From: Brown-W, Pauletta
Sent: 18 September 2014 16:09
To: 'lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk'; 'RichmondSouthProsecutions@met.police.uk'
Cc: LCCC Compliance Unit; LCCC Enforcement Unit
Subject: Application to Reopen - Simon P CORDELL (02TJJ63181495 LAH) 1401009802

Dear Sirs,

Please note that the above case has been relisted for a reopening hearing on the 30th instant at Lavender Hill Magistrates Court, courtroom 1 at 2 pm. Therefore, please be good enough to have your file in court and can the LCCC please put this matter on hold until after the hearing, when the Defendant will attend. Thank you.

Regards

P Brown

Pauletta Brown | Admin Officer | HMCTS |
176a Lavender Hill, Battersea, London SW11 1JU
T: 020 7805 1467 | F: 020 7805 1437 | DX 58559 Clapham Junction |
E: Pauletta.Brown-W@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Please note: As of June 2nd 2014 Our fax will be GOLDFAX No:0870 324 0299

"I am not authorised to bind the Ministry of Justice contractually, nor to make representations or other statements which may bind the Ministry of Justice in any way via electronic means."

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 30 September 2014 15:04

To: 'Martin Jenkin'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell

Hello Martin

After Simon has just got off the phone to you and you saying to him that the full amount would need to be paid to keep the insurance in place or 2 payments at £700 to keep it in place.

I fill that this is way to much to ask I have a letter here dated the 26/09/2014 saying that he has 7 days from that date before the insurance is closed.

There has been so many errors with his insurance even to the fact we never got any documents for ages as they was left in the fill and forgot to be sent out. So for ages we never had any documents so did not know when any payments was due.

As you are also aware my mother passed away and the family has been dealing with that also.

There has been many emails that have been sent to Broadsure and many problems over the last 2 years.

Even to the fact from April 2014 when Simon started a next insurance policy that all went wrong also by no fact of his own, and you making a payment to the said company I fill should never have been made.

Please can you say what is going on with the insurance policy and if instalments can be made and by how much as ATM there is no way after paying for a funeral that 2 payments of £700 can be made.

Can you also send him over his no claims bonus?

Regards

Lorraine

From: [JOSEPHINE WARD](#)

Date: 01 October 2015 14:56:18

Lorraine

Legal Aid was sent in over two weeks ago as previously advised. If they have not received / processed the form that is outside my control. Clearly you are not familiar with the workings of the Legal Aid Agency and the frequency with which they lose forms, or the amount of time I waste on the phone to them making enquiries about legal aid applications previously sent in.

The Crown Court has been advised during a telephone conversation that the appeal would be 2- 3 days as per the length the original hearing took.

As previously advised Lorraine all other work will be undertaken once legal aid has been granted otherwise, we will not get paid for any backdated work. I submitted the appeal and that has been lodged. I did not submit a court stamped form so will now have to get fresh form signed and date stamped and I will only be paid from that date.

Please indicate if Simon can attend my office on Friday 9th October 2015 at 2pm with a full list of any new evidence he wants included in the appeal hearing.

In the intervening period of time I will get a crm 14 date stamped.

Regards

Josephine

On 30 Sep 2015 14:06, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Are you going to get back to me about the below email please?

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]. **Sent:** 29 September 2015 13:23 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

Can you please update us about the legal aid, I have contacted them via email and phone, civil and criminal, they don't have an application for legal aid for the appeal they have not even been updated there is an appeal going ahead. I spoke today to Debbie Adams from Criminal, and Civil sent me an email on the 22/09/2015 saying no application has been put in. I was told the turnaround is 2 days to know if it has been granted Josey this was meant to have been put in weeks ago.

I cannot take no more of this please update me.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 25 September 2015 13:16 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

I was wondering if you had heard anything from legal aid yet.

Could you please deal with the information below I have asked for over and over again?

Could I please get the case files, since this case started in Sep 2014 so I can see what has been done?

The information about the medical records.

A list of what was given the Magistrates for the trial as Simon never had his own bundle for trial, we don't know what was in fact sent to the court, we do know there was medical records lists 2 sets but the court did not seem to have these, as there were a lot of things Given to Michael which we don't know if they were sent.

Could you please forward me the email to Superintendent Adrian Coombs from Essex police that you said you was going to send weeks ago asking him about the statement.

Forward me the email you have sent to the crown court about the appeal updating them to how many days you think the appeal will take and any person that are being called, I know you will not be able to give them a full list as of yet as we don't yet have the statement from Superintendent Adrian Coombs and information about the public order unit.

I keep sending emails with lists of things but you only every seem to reply to as little as you can and we never get an update as to what is being asked this has gone on since this case started Sep 2014, I know Michael was dealing with this case and he left, the trial was a mess Josey as so much was never done.

Also, did you get the below email of information you asked for, and how we are going to deal with this please?

Please could you take a little time and deal with just some of this.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 24 September 2015 00:17 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

I got the face book events pages for

12/01/2013 Canary Wharf Simon was taken to the Royal London Hospital I found out the hospital called them they have the records.

25/05/2014 Unit 5 St Georges Ind Est White hart Lane, N17 I got emails of the event and what was written on the facebook event page as to the location and what the event was called and the links to the event etc, but event page was deleted. Event was called

Chaotic Waves Gully posted in >>2100+ ATTENDING<< TONIGHT!! ☆☆CHAOTIC WAVES//RIGHT

WRONGUNS//BASSFACE SOUNDS//HOUSE OF HAVIK PRESENTS THE 1ST YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF CHAOTIC WAVES^^FT SKUNK-WORKS ARENA

(Then changed to)

(Chaotic Waves Gully posted in) A VERY CHAOTIC POPUP!

06 to 08 June 2014 Progress way Enfield the full event page is 225 pages Hippy Fest and the Superintendent from Essex statement which should also then bring up a name for a Superintendent in the MET police he spoke to about Hippy Fest.

20 June 2014 1 Falcon Park Neasden Lane NW10 full event page is 87 pages

19/07/2014 Carpet right A10 Enfield I got Antony Havey Facebook account page I been waiting for nearly a year for him to add me so I could see what his account page showed as he deleted the event page the event was ca led 19th JULY (1.4k attending) The Raving Family That Rave In The Woods he only added me to his Facebook account on the 1 3/09/2015 and oh yes I got the information from his Facebook account page, which shows him and others talking about the event, and also a person says on his page there is 200 people waiting at Southbury.

Also, it seems that he was one of the people that was at Croydon Party and put on the news and newspapers, he handed himself in for this on the 30/06/2014 to a police station and was taken to Scotland Yard for interview this is confirmed by his mother on his Facebook account as she was keeping people updated.

He was given bail from Scotland yard but it seems on bail conditions from reading his page and reading between the lines one of them seem to be was not to attend any illegal raves, he got NFA for that one the 04/08/2014 from Scotland yard from what it says on his page,

But tell me this he was arrested on the 19/07/2014 for this event Simon is being blamed for before Simon got there, why when the police saw Simon did, they arrest Simon and de arrest Antony Havey, I sure they would have had his name and done a name check and saw what he was on bail for?

Also, he does not stop there about Scotland Yard.

27/07/2014 Millmarsh Lane Enfield Emails for this one with information and location. Also, this was not outdoors like the police are trying to say and I can prove this 09 to 10 Aug 2014 Millmarsh Lane Enfield the full event page is 108 pages. Also, this was not outdoors like the police are trying to say and I can prove this, also the po ice must have known there were squatters there due to the party on the 27/07/2014 Just say I got information a lot of information to each event they say Simon set up. But Josey you need to under the information and how it works I am very good at computers and t even took me a little while to work out how these people set these pages up and what they are doing with the event pages. So just saying you will print them off is not going to be enough as you are going to have hundreds of pages that will need tagging. You need to see the information and understand t to be able to lay this out how it will need laying out for people to understand it. and how I was able to find it which I started when this case started, and have been saying I had it and the event pages but nothing was done, now some pages have been deleted, but we all know the police can get them don't we.

I even got events to date that these people are still setting up and the po ice are giving green lights to them which mean the police are allowing these parties to go ahead. These parties are in fact still going on every week.

Also, Josey the paper works the police served really does need time to go over it there is times that are off so many things that are wrong here. The CADs well sorry but the police are trying to with these they really are and something needs to be done about how they have blacked so much out. But I need to show you for you to understand. And please tell me why a grid ref would need to be blacked out?

But there is a lot of work with the police papers that needs to be gone over which was not done for the trial and Josey this will take time. That last statement you missed in your email from the office in charge of the case needs to be dealt with also it was to late when we found out about it the day before the trail, and that being in Val Tanner from the public order unit at Scotland Yard, but I need to say in a statement what she said to me on the phone as it don't work out with what the police officer said in that statement. And also, the phone ca I that DS Chapman from the public order unit at Scotland Yard.

The pubic order unit at Scotland Yard know a lot more Josey and they know it and they are allowing Simon to be blamed for this knowing he never done it that is wrong Josey it really is. was really funny how the office in charge of this case deleted the email he sent to the public order unit why would he have done this why would he have not taken a statement from Val Tanner? Simon did do a lot of this with me right from the start when this started but Michael kept saying that does not matter, But Josey t does matter I did not understand how a civil case worked until the end at trial I did ask but I was not explained to me or Simon.

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD mail to josephineward_solic_torOomail.com

Sent: 23 September 2015 14:05

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appealed

Lorraine

Legal aid has not come in yet for Crown Court. Once it does, I will notify you. I am at court at present.

Can you please confirm any additional evidence you intend relying on do that I can make arrangements to get it printed off?

Thanks

Josephine

On 23 Sep 2015 11:08, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Can someone please tell me what is going on, Josey I know you are busy and have a very large case load but time after time I have been told you will deal with things then I get nothing sent to me, but if you have not got the time can you not ask someone in the office to get the case files ready so I can get them I need to know what has been done.

Can you also please forward me the email that you sent to the po ice office in Essex so I can see what has been asked for him to write in his statement or has this not been done?

And also, the emails to the court and have you had any update to the legal aid.

Josey, I do not you are busy but there has got to be some point when something will be done on Simon case. I know the cuts are really bad and it has put many solicitors under a lot of pressure but this is really making me il1 with worry, you also know how ill Simon is he is really not coping and I don't know what to do any more could you please just take 15 mins to give me a full update on this case please. Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell rmailto:lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk | **Sent:** 22 September 2015 09:32 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

Can you forward me the email sent to the court and the Essex police officer, did you also manage to chance up the Legal Aid?

And can I please get the case file so I know what I need to get I still don't know if I need to apply to The Royal London for Simon Medical Notes, as I don't know if the medical Notes was ever got from there GPs Regards

From: [JOSEPHINE WARD](#)

Subject: RE: Re: Smon Appeal

Date: 01 Oct 2015 15:15:32

Lorraine

Crown court applications cannot be made on line, only paper copy in DX.

Kindly confirm if Simon can attend the suggested appointment please.

Regards

Josephine

On 1 Oct 2015 15:08, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@bluevonde.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply to the below emails, I did ask you to check over a week ago and if you know that legal aid loses so many applications and the turn around to know if legal aid is granted and the application was put in over 2 weeks ago I sure you would have known there was an issue.

Does the office not submit the legal aid applications online or by DX?

Time is running very low to get the information dealt with we still need information from the public order unit, and other things addressed Josey there is going to be a lot of work to get his ready for appeal for the 09/1 1/2015.

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 01 October 2015 14:56 **To:** Lorraine Cordell **Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

Legal Aid was sent in over two weeks ago as previously advised. If they have not received / processed the form that is outside my control. Clearly you are not familiar with the workings of the Legal Aid Agency and the frequency with which they lose forms, or the amount of time I waste on the phone to them making enquiries about legal aid applications previously sent in.

The Crown Court has been advised during a telephone conversation that the appeal would be 2- 3 days as per the length the original hearing took.

As previously advised Lorraine all other work will be undertaken once legal aid has been granted otherwise, we will not get paid for any backdated work. I submitted the appeal and that has been lodged. I did not submit a court stamped form so will now have to get fresh form signed and date stamped and I will only be paid from that date.

Please indicate if Simon can attend my office on Friday 9th October 2015 at 2pm with a full list of any new evidence he wants included in the appeal hearing.

In the intervening period of time I will get a crm 14 date stamped.

Regards

Josephine

On 30 Sep 2015 14:06, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Are you going to get back to me about the below email please?

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk] **Sent:** 29 September 2015 13:23 **To:** JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

Can you please update us about the legal aid, I have contacted them via email and phone, civil and criminal, they don't have an application for legal aid for the appeal they have not even been updated there is an appeal going ahead. I spoke today to Debbie Adams from Criminal, and Civil sent me an email on the 22/09/2015 saying no application has been put in. I was told the turnaround is 2 days to know if it has been granted Josey this was meant to have been put in weeks ago. I cannot take no more of this please update me.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk] **Sent:** 25 September 2015 13:16 **To:** JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

I was wondering if you had heard anything from legal aid yet.

Could you please deal with the information below I have asked for over and over again?

Could I please get the case files, since this case started in Sep 2014 so I can see what has been done?

The information about the medical records.

A list of what was given the Magistrates for the trial as Simon never had his own bundle for trial, we don't know what was in fact sent to the court, we do know there was medical records lists 2 sets but the court did not seem to have these, as there were a lot of things Given to Michael which we don't know if they were sent.

Could you please forward me the email to Superintendent Adrian Coombs from Essex police that you said you was going to send weeks ago asking him about the statement.

Forward me the ema I you have sent to the crown court about the appeal updating them to how many days you think the appeal will take and any person that are being called, I know you will not be able to give them a full list as of yet as we don't yet have the statement from Superintendent Adrian Coombs and information about the public order unit.

I keep sending emails with lists of things but you only every seem to reply to as little as you can and we never get an update as to what is being asked this has gone on since this case started Sep 2014, I know Michael was dealing with this case and he left, the trial was a mess Josey as so much was never done.

Also did you get the below email of information you asked for, and how we are going to deal with this please?

Please could you take a Little time and deal with just some of this.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine3d@bluevondeM-o.uk]

Sent: 24 September 2015 00:17 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

I got the face book events pages for

12/01/2013 Canary Wharf Simon was taken to the Royal London Hospital I found out the hospital called them they have the records.

25/05/2014 Unit 5 St Georges Ind Est White hart Lane, N17 I got emails of the event and what was written on the Facebook event page as to the location and what the event was ca led and the links to the event etc, but event page was deleted. Event was called Chaotic Waves Gully posted in >>2100+ ATTENDING<< TONIGHT!!!☆☆CHAOTIC WAVES//RIGHT WRONGUNS//BASSFACE SOUNDS//HOUSE OF HAVIK PRESENTS THE 1ST YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF CHAOTIC WAVES^FT SKUNK-WORKS ARENA (Then changed to)

(Chaotic Waves Gully posted in) A VERY CHAOTIC POPUP!

06 to 08 June 2014 Progress way Enfield the full event page is 225 pages Hippy Fest and the Superintendent from Essex statement which should also then bring up a name for a Superintendent in the MET police he spoke to about Hippy Fest.

20 June 2014 1 Falcon Park Neasden Lane NW10 full event page is 87 pages

19/07/2014 Carpet right A10 Enfield I got Antony Havey Facebook account page I been waiting for nearly a year for him to add me so I could see what his account page showed as he deleted the event page the event was ca led 19th JULY (1.4k attending) The Raving Family That Rave In The Woods he only added me to his Facebook account on the 13/09/2015 and oh yes I got the information from his Facebook account page, which shows him and others talking about the event, and also a person says on his page there is 200 people waiting at Southbury.

Also, it seems that he was one of the people that was at Croydon Party and put on the news and newspapers, he handed homes f in for this on the 30/06/2014 to a police station and was taken to Scotland Yard for interview this is confirmed by his mother on his Facebook account as she was keeping people updated.

He was given bail from Scotland yard but it seems on bail conditions from reading his page and reading between the lines one of them seem to be was not to attend any illegal raves, he got NFA for that one the 04/08/2014 from Scotland yard from what it says on his page,

But tell me this he was arrested on the 19/07/2014 for this event Simon is being blamed for before Simon got there, why when the police saw Simon did, they arrest Simon and de arrest Antony Havey, I sure they would have had his name and done a name check and saw what he was on bail for?

Also, he does not stop there about Scotland Yard.

27/07/2014 Mill marsh Lane Enfield Emails for this one with information and location. Also, this was not outdoors like the police are trying to say and I can prove this

09 to 10 Aug 2014 Mill marsh Lane Enfield the full event page is 108 pages. Also, this was not outdoors like the police are trying to say and I can prove this, also the po ice must have known there were squatters there due to the party on the 27/07/2014

Just say I got information a lot of information to each event they say Simon set up. But Josey you need to under the information and how it works I am very good at computers and t even took me a little while to work out how these people set these pages up and what they are doing with the event pages. So just saying you will print them off is not going to be enough as you are going to have hundreds of pages that will need tagging. You need to see the information and understand t to be able to lay this out how it will need laying out for people to understand it. and how I was able to find it which I started when this case started, and have been saying I had it and the event pages but nothing was done, now some pages have been deleted, but we al know the police can get them don't we.

I even got events to date that these people are still setting up and the po ice are giving green lights to them which mean the police are allowing these parties to go ahead. These parties are in fact still going on every week.

Also, Josey the paper works the police served really does need time to go over it there is times that are off so many things that are wrong here.

The CADs well sorry but the police are trying to on with these they really are and something needs to be done about how they have blacked so much out. But I need to show you for you to understand. And please tell me why a grid ref would need to be blacked out?

But there is a lot of work with the police papers that needs to be gone over which was not done for the trial and Josey this will take time.

That last statement you missed in your email from the office in charge of the case needs to be dealt with also it was too late when we found out about it the day before the trail and that being in Val Tanner from the public order unit at Scotland Yard, but I need to say in a statement what she said to me on the phone as it doesn't work out with what the police officer said in that statement. And also, the phone ca l that DS Chapman from the public order unit at Scotland Yard.

The pubic order unit at Scotland Yard know a lot more Josey and they know it and they are allowing Simon to be blamed for this knowing he never done it that is wrong Josey it really is. was really funny how the office in charge of this case deleted the email he sent to the public order unit why would he have done this why would he have not taken a statement from Val Tanner?

Simon did do a lot of this with me right from the start when this started but Michael kept saying that does not matter, But Josey t does matter I did not understand how a civil case worked unit l the end at trial I did ask but it was not explained to me or Simon.

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolic_torQomail.com]

Sent: 23 September 2015 14:05 **To:** Lorraine Cordell **Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

Legal aid has not come in yet for Crown Court. Once it does, I will notify you. I am at court at present.

Can you please confirm any additional evidence you intend relying on do that I can make arrangements to get it printed off?

Thanks

Josephine

On 23 Sep 2015 11:08, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Can someone please tell me what is going on, Josey I know you are busy and have a very large case load but time after time I have been told you will deal with things then I get nothing sent to me, but if you have not got the time can you not ask someone in the office to get the case files ready so I can get them I need to know what has been done.

Can you also please forward me the email that you sent to the po ice office in Essex so, I can see what has been asked for him to write in his statement or has this not been done?

And also, the emails to the court and have you had any update to the legal aid.

Josey, I do not you are busy but there has got to be some point when something will be done on Simon case. I know the cuts are really bad and it has put many solicitors under a lot of pressure but this is really making me I I with worry, you also know how all Simon is he is really not coping and I don't know what to do any more could you please just take 15 mins to give me a full update on this case please.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell rmailto:lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk **Sent:** 22 September 2015 09:32 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

Can you forward me the email sent to the court and the Essex po ice officer, did you also manage to chance up the Legal Aid? And can I please get the case file so I know what I need to get I still don't know if I need to apply to The Royal London for Simon Medical Notes, as I don't know if the medical Notes was ever got from there GPs Regards

From: [JOSEPHINE WARD](#)

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Date: 01 Oct 2015 15:21:46

Lorraine

Thank you for confirming.

Regards

Josephine

On 1 Oct 2015 15:20, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonderco.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply ema I and yes Simon will I be able to attend on the Friday 9th October 2015 at 2pm Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD mail to josechinewardsolicitor@amail.com

Sent: 01 October 2015 15:15

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

Crown court applications cannot be made on line, only paper copy in DX.

Kindly confirm is Simon can attend the suggested appointment please.

Regards

Josephine

On 1 Oct 2015 15:08, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply to the below emails, I did ask you to check over a week ago and if you know that legal aid loses so many applications and the turn around to know if legal aid is granted and the application was put in over 2 weeks ago I sure you would have known there was an issue.

Does the office not submit the legal aid applications online or by DX?

Time is running very low to get the information dealt with we still need information from the public order unit, and other things addressed Josey there is going to be a lot of work to get his ready for appeal for the 09/1 1/2015.

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD mail to josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com

Sent: 01 October 2015 14:56

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

Legal Aid was sent in over two weeks ago as previously advised. If they have not received / processed the form that is outside my control. Clearly you are not familiar with the workings of the Legal Aid Agency and the frequency with which they lose forms, or the amount of time I waste on the phone to them making enquiries about legal aid applications previously sent in.

The Crown Court has been advised during a telephone conversation that the appeal would be 2- 3 days as per the length the original hearing took.

As previously advised Lorraine all other work will be undertaken once legal aid has been granted otherwise, we will not get paid for any backdated work. I submitted the appeal and that has been lodged. I did not submit a court stamped form so will now have to get fresh form signed and date stamped and I will only be paid from that date.

Please indicate if Simon can attend my office on Friday 9th October 2015 at 2pm with a full list of any new evidence he wants included in the appeal hearing.

In the intervening period of time I will get a crm 14 date stamped.

Regards

Josephine

On 30 Sep 2015 14:06, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Are you going to get back to me about the below email please.

From: Lorraine Cordell mmailto:lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk **Sent:** 29 September 2015 13:23 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

Can you please update us about the legal aid, I have contacted them via email and phone, civil and criminal, they don't have an application for legal aid for the appeal they have not even been updated there is an appeal going ahead. I spoke today to Debbie Adams from Criminal, and Civil sent me an email on the 22/09/2015 saying no application has been put in. I was told the turnaround is 2 days to know if it has been granted Josey this was meant to have been put in weeks ago. I cannot take no more of this please update me.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@bluevondie.co.uk]

Sent: 25 September 2015 13:16 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

I was wondering if you had heard anything from legal aid yet.

Could you please deal with the information below I have asked for over and over again?

Could I please get the case files, since this case started in Sep 2014 so I can see what has been done?

The information about the medical records.

A list of what was given the Magistrates for the trial as Simon never had his own bundle for trial, we don't know what was in fact sent to the court, we do know there was medical records lists 2 sets but the court did not seem to have these, as there were a lot of things Given to Michael which we don't know if they were sent.

Could you please forward me the email to Superintendent Adrian Coombs from Essex police that you said you was going to send weeks ago asking him about the statement.

Forward me the email you have sent to the crown court about the appeal updating them to how many days you think the appeal will take and any person that are being called, I know you will not be able to give them a full list as of yet as we don't yet have the statement from Superintendent Adrian Coombs and information about the public order unit.

I keep sending emails with lists of things but you only every seem to reply to as little as you can and we never get an update as to what is being asked this has gone on since this case started Sep 2014, I know Michael was dealing with this case and he left, the trial was a mess Josey as so much was never done.

Also, did you get the below email of information you asked for, and how we are going to deal with this please?

Please could you take a little time and deal with just some of this.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell mail to:lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk **Sent:** 24 September 2015 00:17 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon appealed

Hi Josey

I got the face book events pages for

12/01/2013 Canary Wharf Simon was taken to the Royal London Hospital I found out the hospital called them they have the records.

25/05/2014 Unit 5 St Georges Ind Est White hart Lane, N17 I got emails of the event and what was written on the Facebook event page as to the location and what the event was called and the links to the event etc, but event page was deleted. Event was called Chaotic Waves Gully posted in >>2100+ ATTENDING<< TONIGHT!!!☆☆CHAOTIC WAVES//RIGHT WRONGUNS//BASSFACE SOUNDS//HOUSE OF HAVIK PRESENTS THE 1ST YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF CHAOTIC WAVES^FT SKUNK-WORKS ARENA (Then changed to)

(Chaotic Waves Gully posted in) A VERY CHAOTIC POPUP!

06 to 08 June 2014 Progress way Enfield the full event page is 225 pages Hippy Fest and the Superintendent from Essex statement which should also then bring up a name for a Superintendent in the MET police he spoke to about Hippy Fest.

20 June 2014 1 Falcon Park Neasden Lane NW10 full event page is 87 pages

19/07/2014 Carpet right A10 Enfield I got Antony Havey Facebook account page I been waiting for nearly a year for him to add me so I could see what his account page showed as he deleted the event page the event was called 19th JULY (1.4k attending) The Raving Family That Rave In The Woods he only added me to his Facebook account on the 13/09/2015 and oh yes I got the information from his Facebook account page, which shows him and others talking about the event, and also a person says on his page there is 200 people waiting at Southbury.

Also, it seems that he was one of the people that was at Croydon Party and put on the news and newspapers, he handed himself in for this on the 30/06/2014 to a police station and was taken to Scotland Yard for interview this is confirmed by his mother on his Facebook account as she was keeping people updated.

He was given bail from Scotland yard but it seems on bail conditions from reading his page and reading between the lines one of them seem to be was not to attend any illegal raves, he got NFA for that one the 04/08/2014 from Scotland yard from what it says on his page,

But tell me this he was arrested on the 19/07/2014 for this event Simon is being blamed for before Simon got there, why when the police saw Simon did, they arrest Simon and de arrest Antony Havey, I sure they would have had his name and done a name check and saw what he was on bail for?

Also, he does not stop there about Scotland Yard.

27/07/2014 Millmarsh Lane Enfield Emails for this one with information and location. Also, this was not outdoors like the police are trying to say and I can prove this 09 to 10 Aug 2014 Millmarsh Lane Enfield the full event page is 108 pages. Also, this was not outdoors like the police are trying to say and I can prove this, also the police must have known there were squatters there due to the party on the 27/07/2014

Just say I got information a lot of information to each event they say Simon set up. But Josey you need to under the information and how it works I am very good at computers and it even took me a little while to work out how these people set these pages up and what they are doing with the event pages. So just saying you will print them off is not going to be enough as you are going to have hundreds of pages that will need tagging.

You need to see the information and understand it to be able to lay this out how it will need laying out for people to understand it. and how I was able to find it which I started when this case started, and have been saying I had it and the event pages but nothing was done, now some pages have been deleted, but we all know the police can get them don't we.

I even got events to date that these people are still setting up and the police are giving green lights to them which mean the police are allowing these parties to go ahead. These parties are in fact still going on every week.

Also, Josey the paper works the police served really does need time to go over it there is times that are off so many things that are wrong here.

The CADs well sorry but the police are trying it on with these they really are and something needs to be done about how they have blacked so much out. But I need to show you for you to understand. And please tell me why a grid ref would need to be blacked out?

But there is a lot of work with the police papers that needs to be gone over which was not done for the trial and Josey this will take time.

That last statement you missed in your email from the office in charge of the case needs to be dealt with also it was too late when we found out about it the day before the trial and that being in Val Tanner from the public order unit at Scotland Yard, but I need to say in

a statement what she said to me on the phone as it doesn't work out with what the police officer said in that statement. And also, the phone call that DS Chapman from the public order unit at Scotland Yard.

The public order unit at Scotland Yard know a lot more Josey and they know it and they are allowing Simon to be blamed for this knowing he never done it that is wrong Josey it really is. was really funny how the office in charge of this case deleted the email he sent to the public order unit why would he have done this why would he have not taken a statement from Val Tanner?

Simon did do a lot of this with me right from the start when this started but Michael kept saying that does not matter, But Josey it does matter I did not understand how a civil case worked until the end at trial I did ask but it was not explained to me or Simon.

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephineward solicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 23 September 2015 14:05

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Simon Appealed

Lorraine

Legal aid has not come in yet for Crown Court. Once it does, I will notify you. I am at court at present.

Can you please confirm any additional evidence you intend relying on do that I can make arrangements to get it printed off?

Thanks

Josephine

On 23 Sep 2015 11:08, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Can someone please tell me what is going on, Josey I know you are busy and have a very large case load but time after time I have been told you will deal with things then I get nothing sent to me, but if you have not got the time can you not ask someone in the office to get the case files ready so I can get them I need to know what has been done.

Can you also please forward me the email that you sent to the police office in Essex so I can see what has been asked for him to write in his statement or has this not been done?

And also, the emails to the court and have you had any update to the legal aid.

Josey I do not you are busy but there has got to be some point when something will be done on Simon case. I know the cuts are really bad and it has put many solicitors under a lot of pressure but this is really making me ill with worry, you also know how ill Simon is he is

really not coping and I don't know what to do any more could you please just take 15 mins to give me a full update on this case please.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 22 September 2015 09:32 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

Can you forward me the email sent to the court and the Essex police officer, did you also manage to chance up the Legal Aid?

And can I please get the case file so I know what I need to get I still don't know if I need to apply to The Royal London for Simon Medical Notes, as if don't know if the medical Notes was ever got from there GPs Regards

Subject: Re: Website

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 1 October 2014, 15:28

The website is made with a backend with Wordpress

The website was at 1st going to be only Toosmooth hire company for Entertainment

He then wanted a section added that included a trade section which would have allowed a user to sign up for an account under a payment method there was going to be 3 payment options which give the user more option for the higher account.

With this he wanted them to be able to get there own page and be able to include there form of business. there would have been option that would have included DJs then once for MCs, then one for PA system hire etc.

Each section would be under area of location for the hire.

This is the section i had problems with as it was in fact like a yellow pages for Entertainment but allowing the option for the user to input there company data so the website needed min input and maintenance.

Coding this within the website was where I had issues as I had to make it also work with the wordpress backend database.

Subject: Summer-01

From: Lorraine Cordell (lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk)

To: re_wired@ymail.com;

Date: Wednesday, 1 October 2014, 15:51

Here are the 1st set of pictures had to do it in 3 lots due to size see attached rar file

Attachments

- Summer-01.rar (10.00 MB)

From: [JOSEPHINE WARD](#)

Date: 08 Oct 2015 18:03:45

Lorraine

I have scheduled a meeting with Simon tomorrow so that the following can be done:

(a) Simon signs crm 14 and I can submit legal aid application again (t) Simon's defence document bundle is updated and indexed
Simon's statement is updated All freedom of information disclosure is considered and included in the bundle Simon's comments on the additional statement Basically, you now know how the police are putting the case. You have specified areas that you require clarification upon, namely Crown Road raves etc. I have left a voice message for Andy asking him to confirm if he is willing to do the appeal as usually barrister's like fresh eyes on the case as the prosecution is not firmly on notice as to the points that we are raising. We also now know the points that the prosecution is making and we need to challenge them. Re Freedom of information - please bring all that you have so that I can consider it and include it in the bundle Email to Essex will be done tomorrow when you are present Email to Val at Public Order unit will be done tomorrow - as you are aware the police did not take a statement from Val but provided a statement regarding a conversation so we will request information. This point was mooted with Andy during the conference and he was of the view that this could be a double-edged sword and I agreed with Andy. Perhaps via emails / Facebook we can explain how Simon was present so early on for the parties.

Whatever you have on the USB please bring so that we can consider this also.

The meeting is scheduled between 2pm - 4pm tomorrow.

Regards

Josephine

On Thu, Oct 8, 2015 at 4:12 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

I have not had a reply to the below emails yet, can you please tell me what this meeting is going to be tomorrow that you want Simon to attend at the office at 14 00 hours. Is it just going to be to sign and new legal Aid form, and hand over a list of information for the appeal?

As said in an earlier email you will not just be able to print of the new information and hand it in as each part will need going over as there are 100s of pages, I can bring it on a USB pen as at this time I have just printed it to PDF so it all on my computer the only one I printed off which the judge would not allow was Hippy Fest, and some other documents from the news showing these parties was still going on. Now I have event pages showing this clearly has not stopped. And the police are allowing them to go on. I have already sent you a list of information that has been gathered, and explained what would be needed to be done, due to it not being done for the trial. I know you cannot do any work without legal aid being granted, and I know Simon thinks tomorrow he will be sitting down with you going over a lot of data will this be the case?

Could you also tell me if Andy Locke will be dealing with the appeal? I not sure how this will work as legal aid has not been granted yet would he be able to book the days that would be needed for the appeal.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell mail to: lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk **Sent:** 02 October 2015 13:41 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

Can you please fill the form in for legal Aid and leave it at the office and let me know when this has been done please then me and Simon will come to the office and he will sign and date it and I will get the staff to take a copy of the form so you have a copy of it. I will then take it to the post office and send it next day signed for as I am not going to wait until the 09/10/2015 for Simon to sign this legal Aid form this needs to be done as soon as possible so we know if it has been granted or it will have to go in front of the judge like last time.

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto: lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk]

Sent: 01 October 2015 20:49 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

I can print the form off and take it to Simon like I did last time get him to sign it and date it, and then bring it to your office I will even pay for it to be sent next day delivery signed for, so we have proofed this time they got it as I don't trust normal delivery after what you said and that legal aid losing so many applications, or please leave the form with someone at the office and I will bring Simon to the office before the 09/10/2015 to get him to sign it and date it in front of one of the staffs and leave the money there so it can be posted next day delivery. This way you be able to get it before 09/10/2015 so it can be sent off so you are not doing any work before legal aid is granted. There is only 5 weeks 4 days left for the appeal case, and there is so much that was not done for the last trial that it all needs to be done. I don't want him just coming to the office to sign the form on the 09/10/2015 he already is not well enough as you already know and then we have to wait weeks to find out if the application has been granted again in which time no work can be done again as this will really upset him and I really can do without that, and I am not having the date set back on the 26/10/2015 when it is listed in court to make sure everything is ready. this case has been going on for over a year now and it was never ready for trial the 1st time.

You also have to look at the CADs as I been saying all along a lot are not correct and we need to get the cads for the 06th June funny how none of them are in there and also all the Cads for Crown Road. I mean the grid that are left open in the cads we have got are for places like chase side miles away from progress way, and other places, and then you got crown road 2 secs away from Southbury road train station and progress way is 17mins away from Southbury road, so did the police ask a 1 the people that were at Southbury road what party they were going to? I mean from what the police said on the stand they did not even know a party was going on at crown road which is a joke seeing as parties had been going on there every week since April 2014, it just does not add up and if it had been looked at when I kept asking this should have been seen.

And what about the medical notes I still don't know anything about them, if you have not got them it takes around 6 weeks to get them so we are already out of time, but seeing as Michael said he had paid for them I think you should have them they just were not at court for the trial.

And the Cads and medical notes was meant to have been done form the trial, also we still don't have the court bundle from the trial so don't know what is in it. Things will need to be asked for Josey I am tiring as much as I can to get as much work as I can done, Simon and me done a lot of work already. I don't think you understand how much paper work is going to be added to this case. and I been up and down Highbury corner getting the errors addressed that is on his PNC record which should have been done for the trial. Also, as I already said the police are not helping with a l the FOI, I am putting in they are finding every way possible not to deal with it, and sorry but 5 weeks is not long enough to address this entire, well it will be 4 weeks 3 days by the 09/10/2015.

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto: Josephine.wardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 01 October 2015 19:18 **To:** Lorraine Cordell **Subject:** Re: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

I indicated in an earlier email that I would get a date stamped form signed by Simon.

Regards

Josephine

On Thu, Oct 1, 2015 at 5:01 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

John Devery has just called me back he spent over 2 hours checking and there is 100% no legal Aid application they have got for the appeal. He said he needs a new one to be sent do you need me to drop off to the office a new signed crm 14 from Simon?

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:Josephine_ward_solicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 01 October 2015 15:22

To: Lorraine Cordell **Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

Thank you for confirming.

Regards

Josephine

On 1 Oct 2015 15:20, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply ema l and yes Simon will be able to attend on the Friday 9th October 2015 at 2pm Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:Josephine_wardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 01 October 2015 15:15 **To:** Lorraine Cordell **Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

Crown court applications cannot be made on line, only paper copy in DX.

Kindly confirm is Simon can attend the suggested appointment please.

Regards

Josephine

On 1 Oct 2015 15:08, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply to the below emails, I did ask you to check over a week ago and if you know that legal aid loses so many applications and the turn around to know if legal aid is granted and the application was put in over 2 weeks ago I sure you would have known there was an issue.

Does the office not submit the legal aid applications online or by DX?

Time is running very low to get the information dealt with we still need information from the public order unit, and other things addressed Josey there is going to be a lot of work to get his ready for appeal for the 09/1 1/2015.

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:Josephine_ward_solicior@gmail.com]

Sent: 01 October 2015 14:56 **To:** Lorraine Cordell **Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

Legal Aid was sent in over two weeks ago as previously advised. If they have not received / processed the form that is outside my control. Clearly you are not familiar with the workings of the Legal Aid Agency and the frequency with which they lose forms, or the amount of time I waste on the phone to them making enquiries about legal aid applications previously sent in.

The Crown Court has been advised during a telephone conversation that the appeal would be 2- 3 days as per the length the original hearing took.

As previously advised Lorraine all other work will be undertaken once legal aid has been granted otherwise, we will not get paid for any backdated work. I submitted the appeal and that has been lodged. I did not submit a court stamped form so will now have to get fresh form signed and date stamped and I will only be paid from that date.

Please indicate if Simon can attend my office on Friday 9th October 2015 at 2pm with a full list of any new evidence he wants included in the appeal hearing.

In the intervening period of time I will get a crm 14 date stamped.

Regards

Josephine

On 30 Sep 2015 14:06, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Are you going to get back to me about the below email please.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@Nuevond.co.uk]

Sent: 29 September 2015 13:23 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon appeal

Hi Josey

Can you please update us about the legal aid, I have contacted them via email and phone, civil and criminal, they don't have an application for legal aid for the appeal they have not even been updated there is an appeal going ahead. I spoke today to Debbie Adams from Criminal, and Civil sent me an email on the 22/09/2015 saying no application has been put in. I was told the turnaround is 2 days to know if it has been granted Josey this was meant to have been put in weeks ago.

I cannot take no more of this please update me.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto: lorraine32@blueyondft~.co.uk]

Sent: 25 September 2015 13:16 **To:** JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

I was wondering if you had heard anything from legal aid yet.

Could you please deal with the information below I have asked for over and over again?

Could I please get the case files, since this case started in Sep 2014 so I can see what has been done?

The information about the medical records.

A list of what was given the Magistrates for the trial as Simon never had his own bundle for trial, we don't know what was in fact sent to the court, we do know there was medical records lists 2 sets but the court did not seem to have these, as there were a lot of things Given to Michael which we don't know if they were sent.

Could you please forward me the email to Superintendent Adrian Coombs from Essex police that you said you was going to send weeks ago asking him about the statement.

Forward me the email you have sent to the crown court about the appeal updating them to how many days you think the appeal will take and any person that are being called, I know you will not be able to give them a full list as of yet as we don't yet have the statement from Superintendent Adrian Coombs and information about the public order unt.

I keep sending emails with lists of things but you only every seem to reply to as little as you can and we never get an update as to what is being asked this has gone on since this case started Sep 2014, I know Michael was dealing with this case and he left, the trial was a mess Josey as so much was never done.

Also, did you get the below email of information you asked for, and how we are going to deal with this please?

Please could you take a little time and deal with just some of this.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 24 September 2015 00:17 **To:** JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

I got the face book events pages for

12/01/2013 Canary Wharf Simon was taken to the Royal London Hospital I found out the hospital called them they have the records.

25/05/2014 Unit 5 St Georges Ind Est White hart Lane, N17 I got emails of the event and what was written on the Facebook event page as to the location and what the event was called and the links to the event etc, but event page was deleted. Event was called Chaotic Waves Gully posted in >>2100+ ATTENDING<< TONIGHT!!! AACHAOTIC WAVES//RIGHT WRONGUNS//BASSFACE SOUNDS//HOUSE OF HAVIK PRESENTS THE 1ST YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF CHAOTIC WAVESA~A~FT SKUNK-WORKS ARENA (Then changed to)

(Chaotic Waves Gully posted in) A VERY CHAOTIC POPUP!

06 to 08 June 2014 Progress way Enfield the full event page is 225 pages Hippy Fest and the Superintendent from Essex statement which should also then bring up a name for a Superintendent in the MET police he spoke to about Hippy Fest.

20 June 2014 1 Falcon Park Neasden Lane NW10 full event page is 87 pages

19/07/2014 Carpet right A10 Enfield I got Antony Harvey Facebook account page I been waiting for nearly a year for him to add me so I could see what his account page showed as he deleted the event page the event was called 19th JULY (1.4k attending) The Raving Family That Rave In The Woods he only added me to his Facebook account on the 13/09/2015 and oh yes I got the information from his Facebook account page, which shows him and others talking about the event, and also a person says on his page there is 200 people waiting at Southbury.

Also, it seems that he was one of the people that was at Croydon Party and put on the news and newspapers, he handed himself in for this on the 30/06/2014 to a police station and was taken to Scotland Yard for interview this is confirmed by his mother on his Facebook account as she was keeping people updated.

He was given bail from Scotland yard but it seems on bail conditions from reading his page and reading between the lines one of them seem to be was not to attend any illegal raves, he got NFA for that one the 04/08/2014 from Scotland yard from what it says on his page,

But tell me this he was arrested on the 19/07/2014 for this event Simon is being blamed for before Simon got there, why when the police saw Simon did, they arrest Simon and de arrest Antony Harvey, I sure they would have had his name and done a name check and saw what he was on bail for?

Also, he does not stop there about Scotland Yard.

27/07/2014 Millmarsh Lane Enfield Emails for this one with information and location. Also, this was not outdoors like the police are trying to say and I can prove this 09 to 10 Aug 2014 Millmarsh Lane Enfield the full event page is 108 pages. Also, this was not outdoors like the police are trying to say and I can prove this, also the po ice must have known there were squatters there due to the party on the 27/07/2014

Just say I got information a lot of information to each event they say Simon set up. But Josey you need to under the information and how it works I am very good at computers and it even took me a little while to work out how these people set these pages up and what they are doing with the event pages. So just saying you will print them off is not going to be enough as you are going to have hundreds of pages that will need tagging. You need to see the information and understand t to be able to lay this out how it will need laying out for people to understand it. and how I was able to find it which I started when this case started, and have been saying I had it and the event pages but nothing was done, now some pages have been deleted, but we all know the police can get them don't we.

I even got events to date that these people are still setting up and the po ice are giving green lights to them which mean the police are allowing these parties to go ahead. These parties are in fact still going on every week.

Also, Josey the paper works the police served really does need time to go over it there is times that are off so many things that are wrong here.

The CADs well sorry but the police are trying it on with these they really are and something needs to be done about how they have blacked so much out. But I need to show you for you to understand. And please tell me why a grid ref would need to be blacked out?

But there is a lot of work with the police papers that needs to be gone over which was not done for the trial and Josey this will take time.

That last statement you missed in your email from the office in charge of the case needs to be dealt with also it was too late when we found out about it the day before the trail and that being in Val Tanner from the public order unit at Scotland Yard, but I need to say in

a statement what she said to me on the phone as it doesn't work out with what the police officer said in that statement. And also, the phone ca l that DS Chapman from the public order unit at Scotland Yard.

The pubic order unit at Scotland Yard know a lot more Josey and they know it and they are allowing Simon to be blamed for this knowing he never done it that is wrong Josey it really is. was really funny how the office in charge of this case deleted the email he sent to the public order unit why would he have done this why would he have not taken a statement from Val Tanner?

Simon did do a lot of this with me right from the start when this started but Michael kept saying that does not matter, But Josey t does matter I did not understand how a civil case worked until the end at trial I did ask but it was not explained to me or Simon.

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:Josephine.ward@solicitor]

Sent: 23 September 2015 14:05 **To:** Lorraine Cordell **Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Appealed

Lorraine

Legal aid has not come in yet for Crown Court. Once it does, I will notify you. I am at court at present.

Can you please confirm any additional evidence you intend relying on do that I can make arrangements to get it printed off.

Thanks

Josephine

On 23 Sep 2015 11:08, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Can someone please tell me what is going on, Josey I know you are busy and have a very large case load but time after time i have been told you will deal with things then I get nothing sent to me, but if you have not got the time can you not ask someone in the office to get the case files ready so I can get them I need to know what has been done.

Can you also please forward me the email that you sent to the po ice office in Essex so I can see what has been asked for him to write in his statement or has this not been done?

And also, the emails to the court and have you had any update to the legal aid.

Josey, I do not you are busy but there has got to be some point when something will be done on Simon case. I know the cuts are really bad and it has put many solicitors under a lot of pressure but this is really making me I am with worry, you also know how ill Simon is he is really not coping and I don't know what to do any more could you please just take 15 mins to give me a full update on this case please.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk]

Sent: 22 September 2015 09:32 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

Can you forward me the email sent to the court and the Essex po ice officer, did you also manage to chance up the Legal Aid? And can I please get the case file so I know what I need to get I still don't know if I need to apply to The Royal London for Simon Medical Notes, as I don't know if the medical Notes was ever got from there GPs Regards

From: [JOSEPHINE WARD](#)

Date: 01 Oct 2015 19:18:12

Lorraine

I indicated in an earlier email that I would get a date stamped form signed by Simon.

Regards

Josephine

On Thu, Oct 1, 2015 at 5:01 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

John Devery has just called me back he spent over 2 hours checking and there is 100% no legal Aid application they have got for the appeal. He said he needs a new one to be sent do you need me to drop off to the office a new signed crm 14 from Simon?

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:Josephine_ward_solicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 01 October 2015 15:22

To: Lorraine Cordell **Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

Thank you for confirming.

Regards

Josephine

On 1 Oct 2015 15:20, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply ema l and yes Simon will be able to attend on the Friday 9th October 2015 at 2pm Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:Josephine_ward_solicitoranmail.com]

Sent: 01 October 2015 15:15 **To:** Lorraine Cordell **Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

Crown court applications cannot be made on line, only paper copy in DX.

Kindly confirm is Simon can attend the suggested appointment please.

Regards

Josephine

On 1 Oct 2015 15:08, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Thank you for the reply to the below emails, I did ask you to check over a week ago and if you know that legal aid loses so many applications and the turn around to know if legal aid is granted and the application was put in over 2 weeks ago I sure you would have known there was an issue.

Does the office not submit the legal aid applications online or by DX?

Time is running very low to get the information dealt with we still need information from the public order unit, and other things addressed

Josey there is going to be a lot of work to get his ready for appeal for the 09/1 1/2015.

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:osephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 01 October 2015 14:56

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Lorraine

Legal Aid was sent in over two weeks ago as previously advised. If they have not received / processed the form that is outside my control. Clearly you are not familiar with the workings of the Legal Aid Agency and the frequency with which they lose forms, or the amount of time I waste on the phone to them making enquiries about legal aid applications previously sent in.

The Crown Court has been advised during a telephone conversation that the appeal would be 2- 3 days as per the length the original hearing took.

As previously advised Lorraine all other work will be undertaken once legal aid has been granted otherwise, we will not get paid for any backdated work. I submitted the appeal and that has been lodged. I did not submit a court stamped form so will now have to get fresh form signed and date stamped and I will only be paid from that date.

Please indicate if Simon can attend my office on Friday 9th October 2015 at 2pm with a full list of any new evidence he wants included in the appeal hearing.

In the intervening period of time I will get a crm 14 date stamped.

Regards

Josephine

On 30 Sep 2015 14:06, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Are you going to get back to me about the below email please.

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 29 September 2015 13:23 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

Can you please update us about the legal aid, I have contacted them via email and phone, civil and criminal, they don't have an application for legal aid for the appeal they have not even been updated there is an appeal going ahead. I spoke today to Debbie Adams from Criminal, and Civil sent me an email on the 22/09/2015 saying no application has been put in. I was told the turnaround is 2 days to know if it has been granted Josey this was meant to have been put in weeks ago.

I cannot take no more of this please update me.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell mail to: lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk!

Sent: 25 September 2015 13:16 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appealed

Hi Josey

I was wondering if you had heard anything from legal aid yet.

Could you please deal with the information below I have asked for over and over again?

Could I please get the case files, since this case started in Sep 2014 so I can see what has been done?

The information about the medical records.

A list of what was given the Magistrates for the trial as Simon never had his own bundle for trial, we don't know what was in fact sent to the court, we do know there was medical records lists 2 sets but the court did not seem to have these, as there were a lot of things Given to Michael which we don't know if they were sent.

Could you please forward me the email to Superintendent Adrian Coombs from Essex police that you said you was going to send weeks ago asking him about the statement.

Forward me the email you have sent to the crown court about the appeal updating them to how many days you think the appeal will take and any person that are being called, I know you will not be able to give them a full it's as of yet as we don't yet have the statement from Superintendent Adrian Coombs and information about the public order unt.

I keep sending emails with lists of things but you only every seem to reply to as little as you can and we never get an update as to what is being asked this has gone on since this case started Sep 2014, I know Michael was dealing with this case and he left, the trial was a mess Josey as so much was never done.

Also, did you get the below email of information you asked for, and how we are going to deal with this please?

Please could you take a little time and deal with just some of this.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell mail to: lorraine32@bluevonder.co.uk!

Sent: 24 September 2015 00:17 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

I got the face book events pages for

12/01/2013 Canary Wharf Simon was taken to the Royal London Hospital I found out the hospital called them they have the records.

25/05/2014 Unit 5 St Georges Ind Est White hart Lane, N17 I got emails of the event and what was written on the Facebook event page as to the location and what the event was called and the links to the event etc, but event page was deleted. Event was called Chaotic Waves Gully posted in >>2100+ ATTENDING<< TONIGHT!!! AACHAOTIC WAVES//RIGHT WRONGUNS//BASSFACE SOUNDS//HOUSE OF HAVIK PRESENTS THE 1ST YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF CHAOTIC WAVESAAFT SKUNK-WORKS ARENA (Then changed to)

(Chaotic Waves Gully posted in) A VERY CHAOTIC POPUP!

06 to 08 June 2014 Progress way Enfield the full event page is 225 pages Hippy Fest and the Superintendent from Essex statement which should also then bring up a name for a Superintendent in the MET police he spoke to about Hippy Fest.

20 June 2014 1 Falcon Park Neasden Lane NW10 full event page is 87 pages

19/07/2014 Carpet right A10 Enfield I got Antony Harvey Facebook account page I been waiting for nearly a year for him to add me so I could see what his account page showed as he deleted the event page the event was called 19th JULY (1.4k attending) The Raving Family That Rave In The Woods he only added me to his Facebook account on the 13/09/2015 and oh yes I got the information from his Facebook account page, which shows him and others talking about the event, and also a person says on his page there is 200 people waiting at Southbury.

Also, it seems that he was one of the people that was at Croydon Party and put on the news and newspapers, he handed himself in for this on the 30/06/2014 to a police station and was taken to Scotland Yard for interview this is confirmed by his mother on his Facebook account as she was keeping people updated.

He was given bail from Scotland yard but it seems on bail conditions from reading his page and reading between the lines one of them seem to be was not to attend any illegal raves, he got NFA for that one the 04/08/2014 from Scotland yard from what it says on his page,

But tell me this he was arrested on the 19/07/2014 for this event Simon is being blamed for before Simon got there, why when the police saw Simon did, they arrest Simon and de arrest Antony Havey, I sure they would have had his name and done a name check and saw what he was on bail for?

Also, he does not stop there about Scotland Yard.

27/07/2014 Millmarsh Lane Enfield Emails for this one with information and location. Also, this was not outdoors like the police are trying to say and I can prove this 09 to 10 Aug 2014 Millmarsh Lane Enfield the full event page is 108 pages. Also, this was not outdoors like the police are trying to say and I can prove this, also the police must have known there were squatters there due to the party on the 27/07/2014

Just say I got information a lot of information to each event they say Simon set up. But Josey you need to under the information and how it works I am very good at computers and it even took me a little while to work out how these people set these pages up and what

they are doing with the event pages. So just saying you will print them off is not going to be enough as you are going to have hundreds of pages that will need tagging. You need to see the information and understand t to be able to lay this out how it will need laying out for people to understand it. and how I was able to find it which I started when this case started, and have been saying I had it and the event pages but nothing was done, now some pages have been deleted, but we all know the police can get them don't we.

I even got events to date that these people are still setting up and the po ice are giving green lights to them which mean the police are allowing these parties to go ahead. These parties are in fact still going on every week.

Also, Josey the paper works the police served really does need time to go over it there is times that are off so many things that are wrong here.

The CADs well sorry but the police are trying it on with these they really are and something needs to be done about how they have blacked so much out. But I need to show you for you to understand. And please tell me why a grid ref would need to be blacked out?

But there is a lot of work with the police papers that needs to be gone over which was not done for the trial and Josey this will take time.

That last statement you missed in your email from the office in charge of the case needs to be dealt with also it was too late when we found out about it the day before the trail, and that being in Val Tanner from the public order unit at Scotland Yard, but I need to say in a statement what she said to me on the phone as it doesn't work out with what the police officer said in that statement. And also, the phone ca l that DS Chapman from the public order unit at Scotland Yard.

The pubic order unit at Scotland Yard know a lot more Josey and they know it and they are allowing Simon to be blamed for this knowing he never done it that is wrong Josey it really is. was really funny how the office in charge of this case deleted the email he sent to the public order unit why would he have done this why would he have not taken a statement from Val Tanner?

Simon did do a lot of this with me right from the start when this started but Michael kept saying that does not matter, But Josey t does matter I did not understand how a civil case worked until the end at trial I did ask but it was not explained to me or Simon.

Regards

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:iosephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 23 September 2015 14:05

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Re: Simon Appealed

Lorraine

Legal aid has not come in yet for Crown Court. Once it does, I will notify you. I am at court at present.

Can you please confirm any additional evidence you intend relying on do that I can make arrangements to get it printed off?

Thanks

Josephine

On 23 Sep 2015 11:08, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

Can someone please tell me what is going on, Josey I know you are busy and have a very large case load but time after time I have been told you will deal with things then I get nothing sent to me, but if you have not got the time can you not ask someone in the office to get the case files ready so I can get them I need to know what has been done.

Can you also please forward me the email that you sent to the po ice office in Essex so I can see what has been asked for him to write in his statement or has this not been done?

And also, the emails to the court and have you had any update to the legal aid.

Josey, I do not you are busy but there has got to be some point when something will be done on Simon case. I know the cuts are really bad and it has put many solicitors under a lot of pressure but this is really making me ill with worry, you also know how ill Simon is he is

really not coping and I don't know what to do any more could you please just take 15 mins to give me a full update on this case please.

Regards

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@bluevondft~.co.uk]

Sent: 22 September 2015 09:32 **To:** 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: Re: Simon Appeal

Hi Josey

Can you forward me the email sent to the court and the Essex po ice officer, did you also manage to chance up the Legal Aid? And can I please get the case file so I know what I need to get I still don't know if I need to apply to The Royal London for Simon Medical Notes, as I don't know if the medical Notes was ever got from there GPs Regards