enter the building knowing this as really they would have got that report back on there checks that evening

Which in my eyes does show a cover up as where are them reports as it is to do directly with my case.

The DC dealing with my case also dealt with the other case on 16/02/2013 so would also been aware of the condition of the building after the 16/02/2013 pictures where taken for the case of the 16/02/2013, so he would have been well aware the pictures taken for my case was the same so how could he then charge me with all the damage to the building?

Where is the information that the police should have asked Mr Patel as to him securing the building after the 16/02/2013?

Yes I am upset as I do feel I have been setup by the police and they by doing this have allowed Mr Patel to use my case to make his claim to the insurance company go ahead was this planned from the start and yes I have asked this to myself many times and others I have spoken to have said the same said thing.

So yes I want my trail sooner then later as I want to get on with my life and my business and yes I do understand I could be found guilty for this case but until I do I should be able to run my business. And I don't think I am asking too much here.

I believe also that it could be taken to the high court for a judicial review about my bail condition but I was never made aware of this. Seeing as the crown court attitude in this case has never been good as you have put it. And that the court is unwilling to see that I need to work or face losing my business.

I have never tried to blame other people for the position I find myself in, what I am saying is I don't feel as if things are being done in a timely manner.

If you just look at the Third Party Disclosure, if you new that you had to write to Nikki Diamond and get her to say in writing her unwillingness to disclose the file before you could then apply for the Third Party Disclosure, why did you not write the letter back in Dec 2013 after the application was put into the court on the 19/12/2013 or after you come back from annual leave, due to knowing that you needed this before the 16/01/2014 that the judge had said.

I do understand you were waiting for Jemi to do the write up for the section 8 and that was out of your control. But the Third Party Disclosure was not.

Also why was it when we told you about getting a statement from Nikki Diamond did you not say then you had to wait until the Third Party Disclosure was given as she would not be able to do a statement without client confidentiality arising which would have caused issues.

You told me on the phone that if you got the statement from Nikki Diamond then the bail condition change I wanted for my birthday should not be a problem.

Can you also keep me updated as to the date for court so I can attend?

Simon

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 02 February 2014 23:58

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell - application to transfer legal representation

Hi Lorraine

It is 10.47pm. I do not have Simon's file at home with me. I will ask Jemi to respond to the allegations made by Simon re his conference on 13th December 2013. At the same time I will ask him and his clerks to respond to why the Christmas bail variation was not made on 19th December 2013.

As you are both aware I was on annual leave until 6th January 2014. Simon's case is listed for trial in June 2014. There is enough time to secure the information but there is a process.