

The police officer when wrote his statement not with what he told KGM on the phone call but with something totally incorrect information. The judge asked the police officer at court about the tools as he saw emails from KGM the police officer told the judge he knew nothing about any tools.

The police officer I believed knew he could not put tools down in his statement as calls were already being put into the police about complaints. So he changed his story in his statement.

The facts are that there are audio tapes of what the police officer said to KGM, and also the call that was made to Chariton police vehicle pound as KGM records all calls.

I have both of the audio files from KGM and also a Letter of Indemnity and an email that a manager sent to Kelly Tiller at KGM.

How can a police officer act in such a manner. I really do not understand why he did this.

As also said on the phone there is a number of summons my son has not had regarding the other stops from police, these cases he has gone to court without him knowing and he has been found guilty when he did not even know there was a case in court.

I have sent many emails to get these cases set aside and sent his insurance documents to the court. 2 cases we had to do statutory declarations one for Willesden and one for Bromley. The Willesden one seems to have been reheard at court on the 26/01/2015 without us knowing again he was found guilty but they put this case under a new case number looking at the letter so all the documents that were sent before were not with the court file I would have thought. But with both statutory declarations we added a copy of his insurance.

I have been trying for some time to get all of this put together so it can all be addressed and so far nothing has been done with all the emails I have sent to the court. We also asked at court when we went to Wimbledon Magistrates' Court but nothing was done. Could you please tell us where we can sort this out about getting all the other cases addressed without the need to be going from one court to the next. This is a waste of courts time and money when clearly all that is needed to be is check my son's insurance that he was in fact insured.

I am sure that the letter that has been sent of Indemnity from KGM would cover these other cases as proof he was in fact insured. Could you please advise what needs to be done with these other cases.

Please can you address the issues in this email and get back to me by email.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell