

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]  
**Sent:** 23 January 2017 10:24  
**To:** 'Martin Jenkin'  
**Subject:** RE: Simon Cordell  
Dear Martin

Thank you so much not sure why the police did not get in contact with you when they did the 1st investigation.

Regards

Lorraine

---

**From:** Martin Jenkin [mailto:martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com]  
**Sent:** 23 January 2017 09:54  
**To:** 'Lorraine Cordell'  
**Subject:** RE: Simon Cordell

Hi Lorraine,

Unfortunately I have no recordings of the original call, but I have had a conversation with the police complaints and confirmed that no tools were mentioned in the original call.

Regards

Martin

---

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]  
**Sent:** 22 January 2017 13:09  
**To:** 'Martin Jenkin' <martinjenkin@broadsuredirect.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Simon Cordell

Dear Martin

As you know the case in 2013 when the police lied to KGM about my Son Simon Cordell, this case is still ongoing under complaint re the police officer.

As part of there investigation they were meant to have contacted you to get a report of the 1st phone call that was made on that day which was to you by Simon where Simon passed his phone to the police officer for you to speak to and you told the police officer Simon was insured and the police officer never said anything about any tools. It was after this the police officer felt the need to contact KGM and say what he did to make Simon insurance void so he could do what he wanted.

This was not done by the 1st investigation and a reinvestigation in now ongoing.

I was wondering if you kept the audio of phone calls made to Broadsure and if so could a copy be made of that call.

If not could you write something up so it could be passed to the investigating officer. As I sure notes should still be on file.

If you could get back to me in regards to this i would be most grateful.

Regards