

in this case was never justice at his own hands, the DPS never served justice for my son, yet they knew PC G had lied in this whole case, and that PC G took it to the courts and again never told the truth and perjury himself two times in a court of law. PC G seems to think this is acceptable he still applied for his job roll where he is working to make sure justice is fair for all. I am sure if he had admitted to breaking the law in what he did he would not be in the position he is in now I do find this very relevant that is how I feel and my son does.

Best Regards
Lorraine Cordell

From: Jamie.Newman@met.pnn.police.uk [<mailto:Jamie.Newman@met.pnn.police.uk>]

Sent: 07 August 2017 09:44

To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: RE: Our meeting today.

Morning Lorraine,

Firstly, apologies for my delayed reply! I had intended to reply much sooner.

I think I'm correct in saying that the necessity for your son's arrest was associated with PC G's uncertainty as to the address provided. This is something I'll discuss in the report.

Can I ask, from where did you get the impression that your son's name was not in PC G's pocketbook?

To reiterate, I'd be more than happy share documents with you at the end of the investigation.

As per the Police Reform Act, subject to the harm test. Was there any particular reason you'd want them sooner? I expect the investigation to conclude in October incidentally.

I was intending on giving PC G three weeks, what are your thoughts on that?

Re PC G's current occupation, I can assure you it would have no bearing on this matter whatsoever.

Kind regards

Jamie Newman | Serious Misconduct Investigation Unit (SMIU) | Directorate of Professional Standards |

MetPhone 786675 | Telephone 0207 161 6675 | Email Jamie.newman@met.pnn.police.uk

Address Empress State Building, 22nd Floor, Lillie Road, London, SW6 1TR

'Setting the bar and upholding standards without fear or favour'

From: Lorraine Cordell [<mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>]

Sent: 31 July 2017 17:43

To: Newman Jamie M - HQ Directorate of Professional Standards

[<Jamie.Newman@met.pnn.police.uk>](mailto:Jamie.Newman@met.pnn.police.uk)

Subject: RE: Our meeting today.

Dear Jamie Newman

Thank you for the update email and I also hope you are well, can I ask a few things please now that you have had time to go over the documents and information.

Regarding PC G notebook is my son's name at the top and has it been checked if a radio check was done on my son on that day, I find it hard to believe that my son's name is not in PC G notebook as and my son refused to give his name and that is why he was arrested, how would he have had my son's details to talk to the insurance companies which there is no dispute that he did and he would have needed my son's details to be able to give them to the insurance companies, which would mean he unlawfully arrested my son.

I know you said you would have no problem giving us the notebook and his statement and other information, does this mean we can not get this information until after the investigation is completed?

What will happen if PC G does not want to assist in this investigation, how long will you give him to reply to your letter before you decide he is not willing to assist in the investigation?

I know this time we will have the right to appeal to the IPCC, if we are not happy, but I do believe there is enough information and I can not see why the CPS would not want to take this further, the only reason I can see them not wanting to take this on is due to PC G is now Head of Criminal Justice, Centre for Social Justice.

How long do you think it will take you to complete the investigation?

Regards
Lorraine Cordell