

A.A. - senior officer who reviews my report) upon the conclusion of the investigation. As it stands, I see nothing to prohibit such a referral, should the A.A. think it appropriate.

As you know, should the A.A. elect not to refer the matter to the CPS, you would of course have a right of appeal to the IPCC along those lines.

If you have any questions for me please do let me know.

Kind regards

Jamie Newman | Serious Misconduct Investigation Unit (SMIU) | Directorate of Professional Standards |

MetPhone 786675 | Telephone 0207 161 6675 | Email

Jamie.newman@met.pnn.police.uk

Address Empress State Building, 22nd Floor, Lillie Road, London, SW6 1TR

'Setting the bar and upholding standards without fear or favour'

From: Newman Jamie M - HQ Directorate of Professional Standards
Sent: 30 June 2017 17:23
To: 'Lorraine Cordell' <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Subject: Our meeting today.

Good Afternoon Lorraine,

Thank you for taking the team to meet with this afternoon, I found our meeting very useful in enabling me to better understand your complaint.

To confirm, the first thing I shall consider is a referral to the CPS. I shall begin by examining what consideration was given to such a referral by the previous investigator, Jeannette Riley.

Under the legislation that governs police complaints it is possible to seek guidance from the CPS where there is an indication that a criminal offence may have been committed, it is this test that I shall apply.

Leaving the CPS issue aside for a moment and the possibility of criminal charges, I acknowledge your request to have sight of the PC Geoghegan's MG11 and notebook. Such a disclosure can be made under Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act 2002. I shall revisit this request once the possibility of a CPS referral has been addressed. However, I fully intend to comply with such a request, subject to necessary redactions.

Going forward, In addition the your original points of