## Dear Andrea Clemons

Today the MP's aid for Joan Ryan, Alev Cazimoglu sent me a copy of your letter dated the 12/12/2018, I am shocked to see what is written within it and the misleading information being passed over to the MP Joan Ryan.

It was not agreed on the condition that Mr Cordell should engage with mental health services and provide medical evidence to support the housing management transfer application. The court did not state this so I do not know where this is coming from.

I also do not understand where it is coming from that Mr Cordell had to provided supporting letter from the mental health services to support his management transfer application, and he has not done this, he was never meant to have done this and the management transfer application was completed by Enfield Council to go to the panel on the 17/08/2018 and it was ready to go to the panel on this date, but this was deferred to be considered at the next panel meeting which would have taken place on 28/09/2018, which never happened.

The reason for it being deferred was so that I Miss Lorraine Cordell could try and get a letter from the mental health team, which I could not so it therefore should have gone ahead to the panel on the 28/09/2018, which I do have the emails to prove this. I also do not understand why Enfield Council is stating supported accommodation was being asked for as this was never asked for. I did however state in court that a 2 bedroom would be better as my son could have family live with him so he could get support from his family; I have never said I am his carer I have never said a lot of things that Enfield Council is stating I have said.

It was stated in the court order.

- UPON the Defendant's mother Mrs Lorraine Cordell, confirming that she will engage with the Claimant and assist the Defendant's neighbourhood officer in making a housing management transfer application on or before 16 August 2018.
- UPON the Claimant agreeing that it will deal with the housing management transfer application as quickly as possible after being made.
- AND UPON the Defendants mother agreeing to engage with the Enfield Mental Health Unit team so the Defendant could receive assistance with his mental health conditions and housing.

The order was to be agreed with my son's solicitor upon being drafted by Enfield Council, but my son's solicitor was on annual leave and therefore did not reply to Enfield Council order until she came back of leave, But when you emailed her it came back that she was on annual leave so Enfield Council was well aware of this.

Upon her return from annual leave her amended order was sent over to Ludmilla Iyavoo, which my son's solicitor never had a reply back from Ludmilla Iyavoo regarding her amended order, but some days after the amended order was sent over to Ludmilla Iyavoo, it seems an order was sealed at Edmonton Country Court which was not agreed on which was Enfield Council drafted order, which we never agreed to and feel it is misleading as to what was said in court.

I believe a lot of what was said in court is not being told and misleading information is being said, maybe someone should ask Ludmilla Iyavoo what the judge said when Ludmilla Iyavoo said she would go for a possession order to the judge because she did not get what she wanted.