- Edited part 5.pdf
- Neither did the police see Mr Simon Cordell At approximately at 02:03 hrs on Saturday the 7th June 2014 as A/PS Charles Miles 724ye page 32 states, but as a matter of fact did do so on the 8th June 2014 as A/ Insp Hamill 201566 states on page 32.
- Supported Evidence of proof that the police did not in fact see Simon Cordell and his brother Tyrone Benjamin together, as police statements say (Exhibit) Off, Supporting Medical Evidence of proof that my brother could not have attended on the 7th or 8th of June 2014 as stated by office PC239YE in;
- CAD number 1047 7th June 2014 (page 175 under reason) which is linked to CAD numbers;
- CAD 1323 7th Jun 14; page 147 to 152
- CAD 1722 7th June14; page 152 to 154
- CAD 1816 7th June 14; pages 155 to 159
- CAD 2141 7th June 14; pages 160 to164
- CAD 2255 7th June 14; pages 165 to 169
- CAD 2271 7th June 14; pages 170 to 173
- CAD 1608 7th June 14; pages 184 to 186

Supported Evidence, supporting the fact that the CAD's supporting the applicant ASBO case in relation to progress way and other dates in question are time stamped wrong, this evidence does include;

- Standard Operational Guidelines East of England. <u>http://www.eastamb.nhs.uk/FOI%20Docs/Disclosure%20Log/Emergency%20Ops/July%202013/F15152</u> <u>h%20-%20attachment.pdf</u>
- National Standards for Incident Recording (NSIR) Collection and recording of police; <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/116658/count-nsir11.pdf</u>
- Understanding Control Command; <u>http://www.dodccrp.org/files/Alberts_UC2.pdf</u>
- police Central Communications Command incident procedure; <u>https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=lkd4sarsfdMC&pg=PA28&lpg=PA28&dq=police+Central+Communications+Command+incident+procedure&source=bl&ots=663ZhaKX9_&sig=Z7DgHlgJncwLNuam0g8</u> <u>EBcCja-</u> <u>8&bl=en&sa=X&ved=0abLlKEwif39iVsMbKAbWl8A4KHdnMAoOO6AEIMzAE#v=onepage&g=polic</u>

8&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwif39iYsMbKAhWI8A4KHdnMAoQQ6AEIMzAE#v=onepage&q=polic e%20Central%20Communications%20Command%20incident%20procedure&f=false

Showing evidence that is in support of the truth, relating to that of incident numbers contained within the applicants bundle off facts, proving that them incident numbers are in error, with reference to earlier times than the previous time stamps on the previous incident number / CAD numbers, as listed below;

- CAD's (2637 pages 191 to 195) to (2672 pages 196 to 198) on the 7th June 14; pages
- CAD's (3005 pages 203 to 205) to (3037 pages 179 to 183) on the 7th June 14;
- CAD (10481 pages 233 to 237) to (10506 pages 238 to 241) on the 7TH June 14;

Proving a high chance of the evidence being that of a manufactured and engineered or such marital to be fabricated and not true to there facts.

• Perverting the Course of Justice; <u>http://www.inbrief.co.uk/offences/perverting-the-course-of-justice.htm</u>

Supported Evidence showing the fact that incident numbers / cad numbers are stamped with wrong locations and if it was not for simple mistakes of certain members working on the applicant's behalf, not concealing pacific(s) information such as listed below. Mr Simon Cordell might not have been able to prove the truth to aid in his innocents in regards to the ongoing court proceedings;

 On the majority of cads / incident numbers, including (cad 2410 8th June 2014 Page number 273) the;

Call Tel, Call Name, Att Location, Map, Inc Locn, Call Location are Blocked out. Preventing Mr Simon Cordell from being able to prove, that of the nature of the members of police, who are involved within the development of the ASBO application, too be lying about the true facts of the locations, stating that police was sure that all location blocked out were in relation to progress way. Please take note to a snip lit, of the court transcripts, in respect, of the ongoing ASBO proceedings at the magistrate's court.