Edited part 5.pdf

clearly states that he now trust the security guards when officers state that they believed they were security but said they were volunteers and looked like party goers.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Police Officers have reported back: Staff was forthcoming with information, but refused to allow offices inside the venue."

"As stated they never believed the information provide by said staff at the gates of progress way to be true, as it was believed the security was to be presenting them self's as volunteers, so why would any information provided to officers can be classed and stated as forthcoming be classed as to be true, if not believed to be true by the person writing the statement in the beginning, as said by police officers, the people at the gate also refused to allow police officers inside the venue."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Due to call demand during the shift and low policing numbers it was inappropriate to enter the premises to seize the equipment and close the event, but he deployed officers to conduct regular visits to the venue, where number at their peek where 500 but reported to be quite and peaceful."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in any event or Anti Social Behaviour on the 6th 7th 8th June2014, he only went to drop some keys off to a friend that he had a call from due to him leaving his keys at his address the last time he was there and his friend needed them back."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Local authority noise team were contacted reference T548832. The event was expected to run until 0700hrs on Saturday 7th June, with plans for the event to continue again later in the evening on the 7th June. During the course of the shift we received a total of calls from local residence complaining about the noise of the rave."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "On Saturday 7th June 2014 I was again on duty in full uniform working as the Borough's Duty Officer for the hours of 2200hrs to 0700hrs, as with the previous evening I was made aware again of a Rave at an empty Warehouse of Progress Way. As with the previous evening, I have posted officers to make regular visits to the venue to access numbers, crowd dynamics and general intelligence around the event.

During the course of the number numbers at the event were around 300.

At 02:00hrs I have attended the venue with A/PS Miles and two environmental officers. The entrance to the venue was located off progress way, down the side off "Tops Tiles". The warehouse was at the bottom of this side road behind a metal gate, the gate padlock had been removed and security officers were opening the gate to allow access. As Insp Hamill and A/PS Miles and the EO have approached the gate they have closed the gate preventing us access."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that for Inspector Hamill: To be able to state the gate padlock had been removed." By this statement made Inspector Hamill was this close to pay this much attention to such an object as a pad lock on the gate, he then states a security officer was opening the gate to allow access. Followed by they have closed the gate, with so many people walking in and out of such numbers of 300 people in attendance Mr Simon Cordell will state that he believes the inspector see Mr Simon Cordell and took his own believes.

A/In s p Hamill 201566 "I have introduced myself and asked to speak with the event organisers, to which a member of staff has disappeared into the venue and returned with a male who I would describe as light skinned black male, Approximate age of 35, wearing a white long sleeved t-shirt, grey bottoms. I recognized this male as Simon Cordell.

Inspector Hamill introduced him self and asked if we could speak at the bottom of the' road where the noise levels would allow us to talk. We have all moved to the bottom of Progress Way where I have introduced myself and explained the purpose of the visit and asked "It's Simon isn't it?" to which he has replied "Yes" I have then further asked "Simon Cordell" to which he has indicted that it was but not verbally confirmed the answer. I have introduced the two EO's the Simon who have explained the purpose of their visit and the fact that they were going to severe a noise abatement order, they have produced the paperwork and asked the male for his name to which he has refused to provide his details, It was explained that without the name of a person from the venue the EO's are unable to serve the