

modern society, as it is stated he would have to ask any owner to see there licensed to make sure when listen to music with less than 500 people, this should only be defined under section 63 of the crime and disorder Act, as in open Air or when Trespass has taken place.

Skeleton argument, that has been provided by the applicant on page number (5 module 18),
I have never been spoken to by the police or anyone else about my behaviour, before this ASBO was served on me. I feel very upset by the words in this section as I feel that the police are trying to say they have spoken to me about problems they have included in this ASBO which is not the case.

Skeleton argument, that has been provided by the applicant on page number (5 module 19),
I did not do the acts that the police have set out in this ASBO and I believe the police are well aware off this. We have said over and over in this case that the public order unit holds information to the real people who did what the police are saying I have done in this ASBO application.

No one wanted to define the conditions the applicant wanted to make this a life time ASBO and applied for the conditions on the day of trial but was denied by the Judge it was also said that after the 5 years, the applicant can apply to put a next 5 years in place because the judge would only allow the 5 years imposed and not the life time ASBO which covers the whole UK..

An Anti Social Behaviour Order should be given as the final resort, before an ASBO is considered to be put in placed on any person. Other methods should have been tried to as before the court proceedings in any ASBO application to aid in bringing about a solution depending on the offence that has been committed; this is especially in cases of unlicensed activities. These solutions should have included the possibility of mediation, warning letters and Acceptable Behaviour Contracts (ABC). An ABC is classed as a written agreement between any Known persistent offenders, to which Simon Cordell has never been arrested to any think of similar nature in fact the last time Mr Cordell was arrested, was in 2009. He has also never been spoken to by anyone about any concerns they had.

If illegal raves have not been proven which it was not the Judge said no illegality needed to be proven, then why do my conditions for the ASBO still define illegal raves?

Please see article from The World Wide Web at:

<http://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN01889/SN01889.pdf>

What is stated in the PDF web linked above is typed below:

“Under the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994, the police have the power to stop raves. Until January 2004, these were defined as unlicensed open air gatherings of 100 or more people at which loud music is played during the night. New provisions introduced into the Anti-social Behaviour Act 2003, which came into effect in January 2004, reduced the number of people who constitute a rave from 100 to 20, and removed the requirement for the gathering to be in the open air. It also introduced an offence of attending another trespassory rave within 24 hours of a police direction, to stop people simply moving the rave to another place. There have been press reports of police in some areas holding back from using their powers for health and safety reasons, either because of the dangers of dispersing large crowds in the dark or because of other dangerous local conditions. However, there have also been reports of successful police action to control raves in particular areas. Gatherings for which an entertainment licence has been obtained are not counted as raves within the meaning of the legislation. However, there was some controversy about so-called licensed “raves” under provisions in the Licensing Act 2003 which came into force in November 2005. These allow people to get temporary event notices for gatherings of up to 499 people for events lasting up to four days. The licensed events could involve the sale of alcohol, and while the police have to review the application and object if they consider that crime and disorder would result, there is no mechanism for the general public to object. The Government is keeping this