

Supported Evidence, supporting the fact that the CAD's supporting the applicant ASBO should not be time stamped wrong, this evidence does include;

- Standard Operational Guidelines - East of England.  
<http://www.eastamb.nhs.uk/FOI%20Docs/Disclosure%20Log/Emergency%20Ops/July%202013/F15152h%20-%20attachment.pdf>
- National Standards for Incident Recording (NSIR) Collection and recording of police;  
[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/116658/count-nsir11.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/116658/count-nsir11.pdf)
- Understanding Control Command; [http://www.dodccrp.org/files/Alberts\\_UC2.pdf](http://www.dodccrp.org/files/Alberts_UC2.pdf)
- police Central Communications Command incident procedure;  
[https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=lkd4sarsfdMC&pg=PA28&lpg=PA28&dq=police+Central+Communications+Command+incident+procedure&source=bl&ots=663ZhaKX9\\_&sig=Z7DgHlgJncwLNUam0g8EBcCja-8&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwif39iYsMbKAhWI8A4KHdnMAoQQ6AEIMzAE#v=onepage&q=police%20Central%20Communications%20Command%20incident%20procedure&f=false](https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=lkd4sarsfdMC&pg=PA28&lpg=PA28&dq=police+Central+Communications+Command+incident+procedure&source=bl&ots=663ZhaKX9_&sig=Z7DgHlgJncwLNUam0g8EBcCja-8&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwif39iYsMbKAhWI8A4KHdnMAoQQ6AEIMzAE#v=onepage&q=police%20Central%20Communications%20Command%20incident%20procedure&f=false)

#### Point 2

Blocked out Inc locations and other relevant information that should be contained within the cads that have been presented in the applicants bundle. Only in serious circumstances in cases such as were it is absolutely nessery to aid in the prevention of witness or victim intimidation should a officer be trusted to block out such information.

Under oath pc Steve Elsmore state to the district Jude that he "Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me." When in fact it is his login that created and printed the applicants bundle this can be proved by his signature and also by the computer id log that must be used to print the data contained within the Police National Computer and now has been submitted and is contained with the applicants bundle and is verified at the top of most of the pages or within.

Pc Elsmore states under oath that he did not carry out any further investigations in regards to speaking to the owners of any premises to fix that of a notice of trespass or conviction of twok as the main investigating officer. "I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue"

Pc Elsmore states under oath "There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day." **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

"Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (Progress Way)"

CADS CONTAINED WITHIN THE BUNDIL THAT ARE PRINTED IN Pc Steve Elsmore name and as the leading investigator he would have known the truth to the locations blocked out that are in fact crown road another house party a five minute drive from progress way and if not for the grid numbers being not blocked out inclusive of