

In addition, the prosecution offered no evidence in respect of the charges that were brought even though they were reliant on police witnesses. Mr Simon Cordell had been wrongfully arrested for not having insurance when he was insured to drive. He also did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour on this date in question.

There are no CAD's for this date, but yet they were meant to be, a CAD referring to the specific details that should be relating to a person stating, that they believed a burglary was in progress and of the 999 caller stating that they had seen a person who was putting a flat screen TV into Mr Simon Cordell's van.

**In reference to 24<sup>th</sup> May 2013 police station**

Please take note to the picture above and that of the building on the far right being the old police station, you can not see the front vehicle entrance as it is in the far right of the picture and is the only entrance. The alley way in the middle is where I drove my car down and stopped there is no rear entrance to the police station from the alley as there is two other running companies in-between and to the far right is another running company.

**24.05.13 = Mr Simon Cordell was looking for venues to set up an illegal rave**

**On the 24.05.13 = Mr Simon Cordell will state;** "that he did not attend any premises on this date to rave neither was he involved in the organization of any illegal raves, nor did he supply equipment. This case was only added as a reference as the limitation Act 1980 which states that a case must be applied 6 months from the date of the incident, to which it was not. Please read my last statement dated the 24/02.2015.

It is alleged that Mr Simon Cordell was looking for venues in which illegal raves could be held, on 24th May 2013. Mr Simon Cordell disputes this. He will state that he had been contacted by a friend called Joshua, who was living at 204 High Street Ponders End EN3 4EZ, also known as the Old Police Station at Ponders End, as he and some others were homeless, so was living and residing under section 144. As Mr Simon Cordell was driving towards 204 High Street, he drove his car down the alleyway so that he could park the vehicle he was in. He parked between two well known landmarks, which is where many people who do live in an area around the surrounding areas would be able to remember as the old Ponders End police station next to the Kinder Garden Centre.

Mr Cordell states he knows the area very well as this is where he has lived all of his life, so he knew about the car park at the back of the two well known landmarks, as he states you can not park on the high road, because of the double yellow lines or other restrictions. He had parked there before, he states he believes and knows that the police saw his car as he began to take a right turn to be able to drive down where he intended to stop, he knew the police had followed him, as he had seen them pay attention to him self as he had driven past.

Mr Cordell does remember clearly that of him self locking his vehicle as the police approached him and now was standing by his side. He states that this is normal for him and over the years of his life he has become used to the police approaching him for numerous accusations, so that has also made him used to their presents, Mr Simon Cordell states that that this is so normal for him, so he got ready for the police procedures, as they said they wanted to search him and his car because the police believed that the car he was driving smelt strongly of cannabis, Mr Simon Cordell states that he would always consented to this. He is sure of his statements of facts and that the police can not dispute this, that of the police officers that had approached him and who had stopped him as he had just got out of my car, or how would they have said his car smelt strongly of cannabis, which is the reason that the police officers gave him the conditions of search and their consent form due to a search of him self and that of his vehicle that he was driving.

**Mr Simon Cordell will state;** "that he had not done any thing wrong and nothing was found on his person or in his car."

Mr Simon Cordell will dispute making any comments about being able to attract people to illegal raves and illegal 3 day events, what reason would he have had to say this. Mr Simon Cordell will state to the applicant that he was a visitor to the location of interest, due to a call from a friend who asked if Mr Simon Cordell could loan him some money for food. He will also include that he did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour on the 24th May 2013.

Mr Simon Cordell does not know what Joshua said to the police, as he was never with Joshua. Mr Simon Cordell does not know why Joshua would have said to the police that he was his lawyer, or if Joshua said this at all to police. Mr Simon Cordell has tried to get hold of Joshua to make a statement for this case, but due to him being homeless, it has been very hard. As far as he is aware the building was being occupied by people to live in, he states he does not know anything Joshua said to police about know any think about a rave. Mr Simon Cordell did not manage to visit him on this day.

At no point is Mr Simon Cordell being accused of acting in an anti social manner on this date, or by any members of the public inclusive of members of the police, neither was he arrested.

---

Hyde Park 20<sup>th</sup> 04 2014