

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that at no point of time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

And at no point in time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point has he committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

**A/Insp Hamill 201566 On Friday 6<sup>th</sup> June 2014 states;** when on duty in full uniform working as the Duty Officer for the Borough of Enfield, was working between the hours of 2200hrs to 0700hrs.

**A/Insp Hamill 201566 states;** "During the early hours of the 7th June I was made aware of a potential Rave that was in progress in a discussed Industrial Building on Progress Way."

**A/Insp Hamill 201566 states;** "I have had a CAD created reference 10471 7June dispatched officers to the location to access numbers, crowd dynamics and gather information around times the event is likely to run until ----and also to make contact or identify the potential organiser. Officers have reported back that Tyrone Benjamin and Simon Cordell where at location and to be the believed the event organisers, there were approximately 200 people in attendance, the event was covered by security officers who had stated that they were volunteers and not licensed through SIA. Officers have spoken with staff to confirm that all fire escapes where clear, that there were sufficient fire extinguishers in place and that there were first aid kits available."

**Mr Simon Cordell will state;** "that this incorrect and not to be true as his brother had medical injuries stopping him from being mobile or transported. Evidence will be supplied.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not in attendance to attained any rave in fact he was dropping keys to a friend as they had been left at his address when he was there last."

**A/Insp Hamill 201566 states;** "Police Officers have reported back:

**Mr Simon Cordell will state;** The police sent by inspector Hamill reported back to him and said they had spoken to Security officers at the gate of progress way, who stated that they were volunteers not security as believed by police offices. "Who made this statement?"

If they were believed by police officers to be security, but had said they were volunteers, what makes the police sure beyond reasonable doubt that the people in question presented to be security acting as volunteers could have in fact off been the organisers. As Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not the organiser neither did he hire any sound equipment nor did he take part in any form of organization on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 or act in an Anti Social Manner. In the new skeleton argument the inspector clearly states that he now trust the security guards when officers state that they believed they were security but said they were volunteers and looked like party goers.

**A/Insp Hamill 201566 states;** "Police Officers have reported back: Staff was forthcoming with information, but refused to allow offices inside the venue."

**Mr Simon Cordell will state;** "As stated they never believed the information provide by said staff at the gates of progress way to be true, as it was believed the security was to be presenting them self's as volunteers, so why would any information provided to officers can be classed and stated as forthcoming be classed as to be true, if not believed to be true by the person writing the statement in the beginning, as said by police officers, the people at the gate also refused to allow police officers inside the venue."

**A/Insp Hamill 201566 states;** "Due to call demand during the shift and low policing numbers it was inappropriate to enter the premises to seize the equipment and close the event, but he deployed officers to conduct regular visits to the venue, where number at their peek where 500 but reported to be quite and peaceful."

**Mr Simon Cordell will state;** "that he was not involved in any event or Anti Social Behaviour on the 6th 7th 8th June2014, he only went to drop some keys off to a friend that he had a call from due to him leaving his keys at his address the last time he was there and his friend needed them back."

**A/Insp Hamill 201566 states;** "Local authority noise team were contacted reference T548832. The event was expected to run until 0700hrs on Saturday 7th June, with plans for the event to continue again later in the evening on the 7th June. During the course of the shift we received a total of calls from local residence complaining about the noise of the rave."

**A/Insp Hamill 201566 states;** "On Saturday 7th June 2014 I was again on duty in full uniform working as the Borough's Duty Officer for the hours of 2200hrs to 0700hrs, as with the previous evening I was made aware again of a Rave at an empty warehouse of Progress Way. As with the previous evening, I have posted officers to make regular visits to the venue to access numbers, crowd dynamics and general intelligence around the event.

During the course of the number numbers at the event were around 300.

At 02:00hrs I have attended the venue with A/PS Miles and two environmental officers.

The entrance to the venue was located off progress way, down the side off "Tops Tiles". The warehouse was at the bottom of this side road behind a metal gate, the gate padlock had been removed and security officers were opening the gate to allow access. As Insp Hamill and A/PS Miles and the EO have approached the gate they have closed the gate preventing us access."

**Mr Simon Cordell will state;** "that for Inspector Hamill: To be able to state the gate padlock had been removed." By this statement made Inspector Hamill was this close to pay this much attention to such an object as a pad lock on the gate, he then states a security officer was

Signed .....

Witnessed By .....

9