From: Rewired Rewired <re\_wired@ymail.com> 23/05/2016 03:31:31 AM Sent time: Rewired Rewired <re\_wired@ymail.com> To: Subject: Re: Letter

Please see a copy of the court transcripts as listed below;

### R v Cordell

### Def

Mother of D in court + potentially giving evidence. Met Police No objections. Probably case will go over till tomorrow. 6 Witness of facts.

### 1. Officer in the case

To be 6 witness + 22 case statements.

### Def

Just gave possession of new info on face book, not in bundles before court, but should be. Shows info suggesting never organised but other people did, nothing to do with w/d.

### DJ

Interim ASBO made case by been well ?nan?

#### DEF

This evidence shows that Rave on  $6^{th}\,7^{th}\,8^{th}$  June 2014 was nothing to do with w/d.

Miss Cordell mother has carried out her own investigations as she was not happy with results of investigating officer / so/s.

This is a large bundle to get through this late.

If the material can be vied by the DJ and then if (Possible metered) then DJ can decide on admissibility of the evidence.

DJ

Producing material, however relevant, 10 minutes before a trial is not acceptable.

Met Police

1<sup>st</sup> Statement

DEF;

Has made a application for an ASBO Order.

Inspector Hamill to lead.... Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill – 11.15am Statement contained in tab 9-lead

### DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7<sup>th</sup> Saturday.

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van registration is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

#### R v Cordell 2

### Hearsay of officers continues.

D @ venue but officer not present here today.

There was a rave on an adjourning RD but not on that day.

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave.(Progress Way.)

## Met Police RE-XE

My understanding is the door staff @ gate presented D as the event organiser, referring too page 184 Info re: caller reporting incident. DĴ

Was ?SH? opp raised previously.

### DEF

#### No. Witness 2 Pc Miles - RO - 11:45 Am EIC

Attended venue on the 7<sup>th</sup> alone - did look @ Intel before attending.

Officer did not speak to any of the owner's.

Did not know D was with Tyrone Benjamin.

# WINTNESS 3 – PC Skinner – Bundle Tabs 12 of 13 Lead

Statement 1 Tab 13

On the 7<sup>th</sup> Duty officer + walked in to Estate and saw a van but did not recognise van. He saw D however who admitted he was the organiser of therave.

# Statement 2 Tab 12

Youths were committing shop lifting out of the petrol station.

I had to call for reserve intervention.

I arrested D and people dispersed and D was realised.

Rave did not take place

No dought the rave would have continued had he not arrested D.

# DEF XEX.

19<sup>TH</sup> July event @ Carpet right company building was occupied. Saw speakers - Intel were loading equipment indoors.