

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent time: 08/09/2016 11:12:06 PM
To: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Subject: I sent this to Josie

Dear Josie

I do not understand why it is not in my best interests for you to serve the suggested amendments that I made in relation towards the letter that you proposed sending to Ms Sally Gilchrist.

The reason I do not understand is because:

1. Mr Morris advice on 4th April 2016 was the same as what I had explained to yourself when the case had started dated 12th September 2014 as received on receipt by yourself and by method of email's and them email's referred to the respondent's application of an Asbo order quoting "That a case should not rely solely on hearsay" as mine seems to do by the police officer's. Most of the hearsay in any case is reported to be third party and therefore carry less weight in any case.
2. I want to show the true facts about the case as I am the one who is suffering because of untrue cut and paste facts that represent the basics of the respondent's case and that singed evidence being off fabricated police statements, as detailed in the amendments towards your letter to Sally Gilchrist, whom is already in receipt of such evidence but refuses to act upon such intelligence in accordance of the law and you advise me to ignore this even low I suffer.
3. I understand that a lot of the matters that should be dealt with at court will be.
4. I still argue for a speedy and fair trial: and feel that when a judge asks the respondent to reply by a set date such as the 1/08/2016 as the judge HHJ PAWLAK has ordered to happen it should.
5. The respondent should do so within the time duration as dated 01/09/2016 and agreed with the judge and then received with the correct response, as has not happened.
6. I have been awaiting the reply since 00/02/2016 from an ongoing civil application that is dated 13th August 2014 so to be able to have a fair trial.
7. After waiting on the 01/-9-2016 with no response I waited till the 2/09/2016 and telephoned the respondent I spoke with a lady called sally gill Hurst, she states that she has served some paperwork to my solicitor's at the beginning of august a month prior, after finishing our conversation I contacted my solicitor she explained to me that she was away on holiday and that I must wait till she gets back on the 6th September 2016.
8. I again put the phone down and called my solicitor firm's office to see if any paperwork had been served to be told no.
9. On the 8TH August I spoke with my mother who explained to me that she had been in contact with my solicitor and that I would be reviving a letter to sign to be sent to Sally Gill Hurst.

On receiving this letter it raised the following concerns.

1. The paperwork has not been served in time.
2. In a preliminary hearing, so to be ready for the appeal the judge ordered this to be achieved.
3. The respondent has had another 6 months sine 22/02/2016 from the start of the on goings as dated 13/08/2014
4. We are now at 08/09/2016 the appeal is on the 26/09/2016 this leads me to the concerns of once again the case being postponed, as it has already been ten times before.
5. I have handed to article Six the right to a speedy and fair trial in regard to some of my human rights being breached because of the on goings in the ASBO proceedings drafting clear corruption and fabricated evidence asking for the case to be investigated our the correct paperwork to be served in accordance to my response to HHJ PAWLAK.
6. Yes, my instructions are clear, I did not organised any illegal raves or provide any equipment with an intention of holding an illegal rave and surely did not cause any Anti Social behavior on the dates sighted, this is also to include Mill Marsh Lane with no exception.
7. Yes in some I am visiting my friends who are or were homeless at the time.
8. The legal technicality you state that I refer to i.e. absence of trespass that does not prevent parties from being held in accordance of the law, may lead to a stand alone anti social behavior order if a person commits a public order offence, to which I did not cause as I was not organizer neither did I take part in the organisation of the party or did I commit any civil or criminal offence.