together to get the bundles, when the solicitor came down the stairs he had a piece of paper that The Appellant mother needed to sign, stating that the bundles had been collected from the office.

Upon getting home and looking at the bundles, The Appellant mother noticed there is now at least 13 additional statements that The Appellant and The Appellant mother had never seen before from the Respondent bundle, this is a clear error as we knew that in the first bundle there were only 4 public witness statements and there now seems to be 16, when taking a closer look at the statements we noticed there are no members of the public's statements of truth and this also applied for the original 4 contained in the folder minus one, this also highlighted that each member of the public's statements are police officers only and have each put there signatures on two different statements each, in a pretence of portraying to own two houses each in Edmonton xxx Gardens and other surrounding roads in an around Progress way, the police officers are claiming to be victims of this case while on active duty.

So in understanding this, the Applicant contacted Edmonton police stations lost property room, so too for him to arrange collection of the original bundle, that was never served to him in accordance with the law. To his further upset and disappointment of justice he was to be told by another police officer deployed at the lost property room as the manager, that the bundle that the Appellant wanted to claim had been misplaced or stolen, this file clearly shows that there was only ever four potential members of the publics witness statements