Originator: Offence Description: Offence Date(s): Location: Disposal:

01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE) USING VEHICLE WHILE UNINSURED 07/04/13 ELLESMERE STREET, LONDON E14 (01HT) 23/07/13 AT EAST LONDON MAGISTRATES COURT REF: 13/2574/60295A

1 WITHDRAWN FINAL

24/05/2013 Old Police Station Ponders End:

On this date it is alleged by the police the claimant was looking for venues in which illegal raves could be held.

This is far from the truth on this date, I was contacted via phone by a person called Joshua, Joshua was homeless and at that time was staying at 204 High Street, Ponders End EN3 4EZ, this building is also known as the old police station, Joshua had contacted me due to being hungry and in need of food, he told him he would come and meet him in order to take him out and get some food as he had no money. As the claimant approached 204 high Road the police stopped him, the claimant consented to being searched and having his car searched due to the police stating there was a strong smell of cannabis, the police did their search and found nothing. The police asked where he was going which he told them, he was going to meet a friend to get some food.

The claimant disputes stating to police that he could attract people to illegal raves and three day events, the claimant does not know what Joshua said to the police so cannot comment on this as he was not with Joshua when the police were questioning Joshua.

This date is also outside of the six months time limit from when the application was submitted to the court, again the judge has proved this case when this case is only meant to be used as reference, and the claimant did not cause any anti social behaviour on the 24th May 2013. Why are these cases being proven/

20/04/2014 Cannabis 420 day:

Within Steve Elsmore statement dated 11/08/2014, it is made to seem that the claimant attended on this date without the knowledge of the organisers of this event and was not hire to be there by the event organiser's norm-co.uk. In fact he was hired by norm-co.uk to attend the event that they had organised the claimant believes this event is legal and happens every year. The claimant was hired on a dry hire basis; the claimant was doing the job on a no profit basis. When norm-co.uk contacted the claimant he was told that the person that they had hired had let them down at the last minute.

The claimant arrived at the location in Hyde Park he was approached by the police, the claimant explained why he was there that he had been hired by the organisers, the police stated to him he had not been hired by the organisers of the event, and that he was not supposed to be there, when the claimant was hired by the organisers normco.uk they told him that this was a licensed event. The claimant did not use his

5