magistrates court there was a mistake in the paperwork and the claimant did not attend until the 08/06/2014 at around 02:00 hours. I also cannot understand why the claimant's brother name has been added to this case as an organiser. As stated in the magistrates court the claimant's brother had a serious life changing accident in April 2014, his brother had a number substantial injuries, after this was stated before the magistrates trial the claimant's brother was never mentioned again, the reason for this the police knew of the RTA accident as they was called and the claimant's brother had to be airlifted to the Royal London Hospital. The police know my sons very well by face they do not even have to do a name check on them. So how this serious mistake could have been made is beyond me.

While the claimant was at the leaving party he had got a call from someone he knew they had stayed at his home address a few weeks earlier and left their locker keys there, due to them being in the area they called the claimant and asked if he could drop the keys off to them, the claimant told them he was at a family party and that once he left he would drop the keys off to them. He asked where they was and they gave the location as progress way, he told them that once he left the family party he would pick the keys up from his home address and drop them off to them, this is how the police saw the claimant coming towards them while they were standing at the gate at progress way on the 08/06/2017 at around 02:00 hours, the claimant had never been in side progress way, but due to the police knowing the claimant they went straight up to him and started to talk to him, the police was with people that worked for Enfield Council, they wanted to serve paperwork on the claimant, the claimant would not accept any paperwork and walked back towards the A10.

The claimant was not involved in organising or supplying any equipment at progress way. The claimant did not act in any anti social behaviour manner on the 06/06/2014, 07/06/2014 and the 08/06/2014.

Within ASBO application most of the data relates to Progress Way which relates to the dates of the 06/06/2014, 07/06/2014 and the 08/06/2014.

The police stated that the information contained within their bundle does not relate to another location and deny that an event was ongoing just down the road from progress way on the same dates as progress way, this was even stated under oath at court. The police denied that there was another event ongoing in Crown Road at the same time that Progress Way was ongoing.

They even confirmed to the judge when the Judge asked if anything related to another location, it was stated to the Judge everything that related to 06/06/2014, 07/06/2014 and the 08/06/2014 within their bundle related to progress way that nothing within the bundle for Progress Way related to another event.

A huge amount of data has been redacted by the police, I could understand if the redacted data only redacted the person's details name and address phone numbers etc that had made the calls to the police. But the redaction goes a lot further than this where there is whole pages redacted within one CAD which really makes the CAD useless being in the bundle, also the grid references why would so many be redacted I can understand the call location being redacted but why would there be a need to redact the Att Loc and Inc Loc. We asked every time we were in court for the CADs