But this CAD tells us even more as there is a safety risk with it regarding a fragile roof, and subsequently gives details in regards to door numbers along Southbury Road and Crown Road. This also links to CAD number 3319. There is no mistaking this CAD links to the event that was ongoing at Crown Road. The police deny this they state no event was ongoing, yet it is a known fact the building was along Crown road/Southbury Road have fragile roofs, the old mann building has partly a glass roof.

These are just a few CADs that prove there was an ongoing event at Crown Road, it is also proven in the FOI request I put into Enfield Council, there is also a substantial amount of information within the intelligence reports that do not correspond in the way in which they should do, there is also errors within the timeline of some of the CADs, I do not see why the police have mislead the courts, the only reason is most of the information the police had related to Progress Way.

Also the witness statements that was taken by police are meant to be written in the words of the witness signed and dated by the witness, all but one witness statement has been written and signed by police officers, not one witness statement identifies the claimant or a description, under the law I witness statement should be completed and signed and dated by the witness.

Now as said before I have done a lot of research, and I now put it to the police they knew full well my son had not done what they said he had done in this case. And that the police was hiding information.

Progress Way Event was relocated to Progress Way; the Metropolitan police know this already, but yet blame my son.

The location that this was meant to have happened In Essex a very senior police officer was being updated in regard to this event, due to the Essex police monitoring the event page on social media for some time, due to the brief location on the event page on social media, the very senior police officer was concerned due to it being very close with the border to the Metropolitan police area.

The very senior police officer due to being concerned contacted the Metropolitan police, information was given to the Metropolitan police in regards to the concerns with this event and area, there was information given so that the Metropolitan police could monitor the event page on social media, the senior officer asked for information of a senior officer within the Metropolitan police that would be on duty the full weekend the event was due to take place so that contact could be made regarding this.

On the 06<sup>th</sup> June 2014 the Very senior police officer sent police to look for the location within the border of Essex, the police found the location this event was going to be taking place. Once the very senior police officer got the location he went in a police helicopter and went to the location and landed in the field. He had his file with all the information in it which included pictures of the known organiser, he went up to the known organiser who was white north European, after speaking to him the very senior police officer asked him to leave the land and served him a notice under S63 CJOPOA and a notice not to set up within 24 hours. The very senior police officer gave the known organiser 3 hours to pack up everything and leave the land and gave him an explanation of the offence he was liable to commit if he failed to comply with the direction.