

On that date mentioned the company, who you are acting for, that is, representing myself Mr. Simon Cordell, and further named as Michael Carroll & co solicitors, that you do or did represent a contract with till the 2nd June 2016, (reason said listed in the 3rd paragraph.)

This information was also inclusive of the understanding of the solicitor firms running objectives to the ongoing of this case, that in this instance is being brought against myself by the commissioner of the metropolitan police and his acting officers inclusive of other local authority governing body's, mentioned as Enfield council.

That being of an application representing a stand alone Anti Social Behaviour Order 2003, an Act to make further provision in relation to criminal justice and disorder act 1994.

It is being said that Miss Josephine Ward at a point of time before the date of the said trial hearing at Court, that was postponed and did not go ahead, that she undoubtedly mentioned, when giving her legal guidance too such accusations of incidents, that does refer to the organisation of illegal raves, that still said "acting in my defence."

It is being said that you did in fact explain before the date of the hearing, I quote; explain being of information regarding to the past representing barrister a Mr. Andy Lock, relating to that of Intel stating that he would not be able to attend court and act for myself as he did previously at the magistrates court, due to being away on leave and this being off the only issue raised by yourself, said to be regarding myself of your concern.

On the date of the hearing another barrister did apply to the judge in aid of my acting solicitors yourself, so to be sure that off you having too no longer represent me in the court proceedings, due to a brake down in communication between our self's, the judge ruled that Michael Carroll and co's must act till the conclusion of the case, the overall Point I am highlighting as referred too is that the judge "on the whole" has ordered the company to act for myself.

In that understanding I ask and request for you to direct for my case to be carried out in such a manner, if legal to do so? I request that being off; at the day of my trial to act litigant with my mother as a McKenzie friend and for a barrister that we do select together to represent me inclusive, so for he or she to be instructed to represent myself (in the background" on the days of court. I also ask of you to set up a meeting within one month of this dated letter, between who will be taking on the case after you leave your office alongside with the acting barrister chosen.

I believe and understand that this is within the constraints of the law; I take my guidance from <https://www.gov.uk/represent-yourself-in-court/overview>