R V CORDELL

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

Confesses he did it.

Did not, notice the discrepancy on statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters - They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn't /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made any attempts to contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell's evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what's written in

Statements – another example of untrue cut and past. DJ

Ill ignore because no convections of class A drugs or supplying. Counsel

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you? Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

Officer

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ 7 boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel (text

missing) Email sent to LDE only.

Searched (text missing) for info on Cordell's convections. Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

Officer

No

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?