Inconsistencies that are bios of officers to include evidence that favours the application by being unreadable.

R V CORDELL

-09-

Allegation of 15 to10 boys (text missing) to talk un relative of conduct. Fear of reprisals.

LTC when given evidence was to prove sound organisation possibly which D accepts.

If? D was polite on his case.

Investigation not performed with measurements as it should have been. Vendetta families highlighted.

Inconsistence's between start of Crimit's, a complete absinth of follow up, "is simply worrying"

What other info is wrong, that we have not been able to check?

DJ

Mr Justio?? Pitions??? – sum ???? and ????

Test of???? – Not related to police resources.

Was ASBO serious and persistent?

Decrease in activity – "huge decrees since Interim ASBO "but no indication of trends: before – after and previous years.

Pc Elsmore, couldn't say, why there was a decrease in raves.

Correspondence of consultation - so far this relies wrongfully on weak evidence.

Met on points of how then the statutory test, in relation to raves and into what is required.

DJ

Delivery of judgment @ 15:32pm

Satisfied so that she is sure, that the D acted, during the dates in a manner so for the ABSBO to be Granted.

Order necessary for reasons:

(1) Nature of conduct of these parties's

(2) Noise of ?????? of ?????? civil

(3) Police officers have to attend in large numbers.

(4) Since interim order there has been a decrease in this type of activity.

(5) Satisfied D has acted in as manner, of such conduct, that he caused harassment alarm, distress.

(6) Conduct is necessary to protect residents of Enfield , from anti social acts, from Simon Cordell

DJ

Need to ensure probations are precise to award.