Sent time: 29/02/2016 05:47:50 PM To: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> Subject: RE: Medical Information Dear Simon Thank you for your email. Simon please sign and return the authority form. If the Mental Health Team confirms there are no issues we can progress matters. I have spoken to the Public Defender and he would like a conference with you before the mention hearing on 4th April 2016 subject to the above being resolved. If you do not have the Mental Health Team's assessment or do not wish me to have sight of the assessment then I can apply for funding so that you can be assessed. Once the psychiatrist reports back that there are no issues then I have covered myself professionally, should there be any issues raised re your Mental Health at a later date. The Mental Health question has been raised and now needs to be formally addressed and dealt with. I know you are not happy about this and I do not mean to cause you distress by raising this. The quickest way would be by disclosing the recent Mental Health assessments but if I have to apply for funding to have you assessed then I will do this, assuming you in fact dispute the recent Mental Health findings. The decision is yours but I need to resolve this question as soon as possible. I will notify you as soon as Superintendent Coombes statement comes in which will hopefully arrive this week. I await hearing from you. Yours sincerely Josephine On 29 February 2016 at 15:00 Rewired Rewired <re wired@ymail.com> wrote: Dear Josey What you are saying in your emails is that the judge says in his letter that I have got to have an assessment but this is not the case as part 3 of his letter states "3/ If the Appellant wishes to rely on any medical evidence as to his mental health, then any report dealing with such matters must be before the court on the 4th April 2016' Which clearly states; "if I wish to rely on any mental health evidence then a report has to be submitted by the 04/04/2016," but this does not say I must rely on this, and I do not wish to rely on this. Can you please take my case back to court so that my conditions can be defined, and also have a meeting once you get the letters you are waiting on from Superintendent Adrian Coombs so we can deal with the appeal. Can you also please send me the notes from court from the public defender that was there for me please as I have not had these as of yet. Also the issue about the public order unit if they are not willing to gave the information then they need to be summons to court for the appeal. Also what is going to happen as to the missing CAD and the errors in the CAD

The case is that I organised illegal raves on page two of the applicants first bundle it clearly states I quote "The Defendant is involved in the

Josephine Ward <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>

From:

organisation and conduct of illegal raves. These primarily take place on disused or industrial land in London and cause alarm and distress to the local residents. These raves are licensing activity, cause significant noise pollution and directly lead to destruction of property and breaches of peace."

In defense to my case the 2nd line down clearly states The Defendant is involved in the organisation and conduct of illegal raves, i have sent you the licensing act 2003 apex 4 which states, house party's and places of residents do not need a licence, which all the incidents in the applicants bundle are places of residence in contained fencing in private air. In the licensing act it states this includes gardens and private car parks. i have linked index page 4 off the licensing act 2003 within this document, which clearly states unless profit is being made, to which i am not being accused off, then their is no breach of the law, and their for not illegal.

For members of the public to have a moving in house party is not a breach of law and there for not illegal.

The word rave clearly state the key element such as in open air must be present and when in private air trespass must be present.

So what law have i broken to make the case law abiding under reasonable doubt if i am not being accused of making profit it is not illegal to organize a private house party for any British citizen, as long as you have respect for the residence living in around the local area?

In regards to the statement off; "These primarily take place on disused or industrial land in London and cause alarm and distress to the local residents." All locations are a place of fixed a bow and residence.

In reference to "These raves are licensing activity, cause significant noise pollution and directly lead to destruction of property and breaches of peace." No home is licenseable, unless a breach of the 2003 licensing act has been made, to which contained within the applicants bundle their ins none.

I have a bundle of the laws that are relevant to my case that should be in my defense bundle, please will you help me go over them.

I would like to start trading my company as I have explained to you for months and keep asking you to take the case back to court to get my bail conditions defined, to which you have not to date even low Andy Lock states that I am right in my points of law and how it leaves me in a state of utter confusion to what I am aloud to do or not as the applicants case is based on illegal raves their for banning me from what is lawfully legal.

I can not think of any jobs the conditions will not have an effect of that my professions are in, I can not be a delivery driver, as most company deliver to industrial estates.

I can not deliver parcels or goods to any person living under a section 144, this is not correct in law.

I am very concerned as the applicants case is for an ASBO in it civil manner, and the case is based on illegal activities, to which I have never had the right to defended my innocents in.

An ASBO on convection is when a person has committed criminal activities and has been found guilty and there is such a need to apply for a court to sit in its civil capacity to obtain such an order against any person, straight or therefore after.

I have a stand alone ASBO which should be on the 3rd strike of a smaller criminal conviction, to which I have never been arrested for illegal raves is and in being granted is a breach of my human rights, a stand alone ASBO put against my self with no criminal conviction is wrong in practice of law.

Thanks

Simon